

# **Phoenix Journal**

## **# 226**



**By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn**

# Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1 .....	1
THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON .....	1
AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS	
PART I IN A SERIESby Calvin Burgin 2/5/98 .....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	1
WHY THIS BOOK WAS WRITTEN .....	1
HISTORY CONTINUALLY BEING CHANGED .....	1
DATING ERAS, EVENTS AND PERSONS .....	3
THE SECRETS OF THE MYSTERIES .....	4
PURPOSES .....	5
THE MILLENIUM “WEEK” CHRONOLOGY .....	6
THE BIBLE’S 7,000-YEAR “WEEK” .....	6
DAY FOR A YEAR PRINCIPLE .....	8
THE CORNERSTONE OF BIBLICAL PROPHECY .....	9
DANIEL 9 PROPHECY .....	9
THE DECREE OF ARTAXERXES .....	10
COUNTING THE TIME.....	12
A POPULAR ERROR .....	13
BASIC FRAMEWORK OF.....	14
BIBLICAL CHRONOLOGY .....	14
CHAPTER 2 .....	17
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 2/14/98.....	17
TRUTH ABOUT IRAQ IS HIDDEN .....	17
IN GOVERNMENT’S MANURE PILE .....	17
LEWINSKY SEEN AS POLICY SAVIOR .....	18
WHERE’S THE OUTRAGE OVER.....	20
THE LYING, CONNIVING PRESIDENT?? .....	20
D.C. POLICE SILENT ON MURDER	
OF FORMER WHITE HOUSE INTERN.....	21
BETTY CURRIE: INTIMIDATION.....	22
BUFFETT’S BUYS DRIVE SILVER TO DECADE HIGH .....	23
8,200 QUAKES IN TEN DAYS .....	25
THE CAUSE OF TYRANNY.....	25
U.S. REPORTS FLU OUTBREAKS IN 44 STATES .....	26
IMF UNDER FIRE FROM ALL SIDES .....	26
LATEST TELEPHONE SCAM CONFIRMATION .....	26
UNITED NATIONS INVESTIGATES HUMAN .....	27
RIGHTS ABUSES IN THE UNITED STATES .....	27
AWARD-WINNING HOME DESIGNS .....	28
GIVE UP RIGHTS, CAR OWNERS TO BE ASKED .....	28
RADIATION HALO .....	29

RINGS MILKY WAY .....	29
HIS MYSTERIOUS WAYS .....	30
CHAPTER 3 .....	32
THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART II IN A SERIES	
by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98 .....	32
WHO WAS GODFREY HIGGINS? .....	32
MASORETIC HEBREW IS A NEW LANGUAGE .....	33
WHAT WAS GOD’S NAME? .....	35
THE BIG MYSTERY .....	36
ON THE VOWEL POINTS OF THE HEBREW LANGUAGE .....	38
PRE-FLOOD OVERLORDS .....	41
THE BELLRINGER CHRONOLOGY .....	41
POLAR DEFENSES .....	43
PRIOR CIVILIZATIONS .....	43
THE BABYLONIAN GENESIS .....	44
WHO WAS NOAH? .....	45
CHAPTER 4 .....	47
THE UNTOLD HISTORY OF AMERICA PART XVII OF A SERIES	
by Ray Bilger 1/21/98 .....	47
CHAPTER 5 .....	56
THE NEWS DESK A SPECIAL REPORT .....	56
WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON—	
VIA A POP-QUIZ ON THE MIDDLE-EAST	
by Dr. Al Overholt 2/14/98 .....	56
BOMB THE USUAL IRAQIS by Eric Margolis 9 Feb 1998 .....	60
CHILLING CURRIE COINCIDENCE .....	63
ARTICLE #1: TRUSTED SECRETARY TELLS GRAND	
JURY WHAT SHE KNOWS .....	64
ARTICLE #2: DRIVER FATALITY HIT	
AFTER SURVIVING CRASH .....	64
MIKE HUCKABEE PRESIDENT 2000 .....	65
CHAPTER 6 .....	67
AT WAR WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION by Dr. John Coleman 2/10/98 .....	67
ON THE CONSTITUTIONALLY MANDATED	
DECLARATION OF WAR: .....	69
ARTICLE III .....	76
THE FIG LEAF .....	77
“UNITED NATIONS” EXCUSE .....	77
CLOSING CONCLUSIONS: .....	81
CHAPTER 7 .....	82
GERMAIN: “GROWING PAINS” OF THE PLANETARY TRANSITION .....	82
2/15/98 SOLTEC .....	82
2/15/98 VIOLINIO ST. GERMAIN .....	82
CHAPTER 8 .....	87

THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 2/21/98.....	87
DEFENDING AMERICA .....	87
US STRIKE AT IRAQ WILL EXPLODE THE ARAB WORLD---	
SELEZNYOV.....	89
AFTER GUNS ARE GRABBED, WILL FREE SPEECH BE NEXT?.....	89
VIRUS ALERT (THIS IS NO JOKE)!!!! .....	90
BIG BIRD .....	91
COLOR-CODED BEHAVIOR SYSTEM	
WORKS FINE AT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL .....	91
ADAPTIVE CHIPS .....	93
GORDON B. HINCKLEY TO LEAD	
US INTO THE NEW WORLD ORDER .....	93
WHITEHIEMERS DISEASE .....	94
SPELL-CHECKER'S APPROPRIATE CHOICE	
FOR A MISPELLED WORD .....	94
MEDIA'S WISDOM .....	94
CHINESE STRATEGY .....	94
GOP SELLOUT .....	95
RUSSIAN METAL SALES .....	95
SMART CARD FOR SCHOOLS .....	95
GREECE ON CYPRUS .....	96
WYOMING AIR NATIONAL GUARD .....	96
GUARD BRIGADES .....	96
RUSSIAN/U.S. RESEARCH .....	96
SOVIET SUBMARINE .....	97
INSIDER'S VIEW OF FREEMASONRY .....	97
RON BROWN'S ENCOUNTER	
WITH CLINTON ABOUT INVESTIGATION .....	98
ONLY HUMAN? .....	98
CHAPTER 9 .....	100
THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY	
OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART III IN A SERIES	
by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98 .....	100
WHO WAS ANU? .....	100
WHO WAS MENU? .....	101
WHO WAS MENES? .....	101
WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THEBES?.....	104
WHO WAS ENOCH? .....	106
FLYING SAUCERS? .....	107
THE GENEALOGY OF JIMMANUEL/EMMANUEL/JESUS/SANANDA:.....	110
CHAPTER 10 .....	114
THE NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT by Dr. Al Overholt 2/21/98 .....	114
THE 'GROUP OF 24' DEVELOPING COUNTRIES	
CALLS FOR NEW MONETARY SYSTEM .....	114
CHAPTER 11 .....	117

GERMAIN: “GROWING PAINS” OF THE PLANETARY TRANSITION .....	117
2/15/98 SOLTEC .....	117
2/15/98 VIOLINIO ST. GERMAIN .....	117
CHAPTER 12 .....	122
ILLUMINATI-MASONIC HISTORY CONNECTING IRAQ & ALBERT PIKE...	122
WHY THE ATTACK ON IRAQ? .....	122
CHAPTER 13 .....	124
ONWARD, CLINTON SOLDIERS! .....	124

## CHAPTER 1

### THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART I IN A SERIES by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98

*Editor's note: The following begins a new series from Calvin Burgin; as he explains in a footnote, Heptameron means: **Heptameron** (hep-tam'-e-rön): "a book or treatise containing the actions of seven days, in the style of Boccaccio's Decameron or the Heptameron of Queen Margaret of Navarre (1492-1549)"*

### INTRODUCTION

#### WHY THIS BOOK WAS WRITTEN

**WARNING:** This book may shatter your whole concept of world history. Some will reject it out of hand as being simply too much to even consider. Many will not have the ability or willpower to understand it (it takes *study*). For some of you, though, your viewpoint of history is about to change drastically.

I DO NOT ASK YOU TO BELIEVE ANY OF THIS. I do not believe in "belief". All I suggest is you learn what is presented herein, think about it, and then, if you wish, tell me your viewpoint. My purpose is to search for truth, not to present a dogma. Once you learn what I know, then we have a common ground from which to learn new truth. Where I am wrong, you may detect it and help me in my pursuit of truth. "Seek, and ye shall find." This material is for "hard-core" seekers! Some of this may be, *and probably is*, WRONG! In fact, some of it is contradictory because I quote sources that are contradictory. I do KNOW that historians continually change history, so I have tried to go back to find the information as close to the original event as possible and put it together into a reasonable, coherent, UNCENSORED story.

The oldest events in the written history of the Western world are the Flood and the Tower of Babel events. One might include the Creation Story, except that there would have been eyewitnesses to the Flood and Babel events (assuming they actually happened). Finding eyewitnesses to the Creation might be a bit more difficult!

Before one can judge whether the Flood and the Tower of Babel events were real events, one should at least become familiar with what the stories are and with what evidence is available. My purpose is to examine the evidence, see if it fits into the broader picture, and see what, if anything, can be learned.

#### HISTORY CONTINUALLY BEING CHANGED

History is far from an exact science. History is continually rewritten according to the whims of the writers. This is true even of the oldest histories. The nations with the oldest histories are China, Egypt and Babylon/Assyria. We shall exclude China for the moment and look at Egypt and Babylon.

Jack Finigan, in *Light From The Ancient Past*, pp. 65-66, said: "In the arrangement of...Egyptian materials within a framework of consecutive dynasties, all modern historians are dependent upon an ancient predecessor. This was an Egyptian priest and writer Manetho who lived under Ptolemy II Philadelphus (285-246 BC). Manetho was born at Sebennytus (now Samannud) in the Delta. Eventually he rose to be high priest in the temple at Heliopolis. Berossos of Babylon/Assyria was practically a contemporary, and the two priests became rivals in the proclamation of the antiquity and greatness of their respective lands."

Notice that these two oldest of historians, who were practically contemporary, were both in competition proclaiming the antiquity of their respective lands. A close examination reveals one thing they both did. Both lands had multiple kings ruling separate areas at the same time, contemporary with other kings. Both Manetho and Berossos "rewrote" history by placing the kings of their countries in consecutive order, one after the other in time, instead of correctly listing them as sometimes contemporary with other kings ruling at the same time in other areas. This made each country's history appear to be much older than it was in fact.

It appears that the details of each dynasty were maintained correctly, but Manetho for instance attached the dynasties end-to-end to make Egypt appear to be much older than Babylon. The Biblical documents confirm that there were multiple kings at the same time: "the kings of the Hittites, and the kings of the Egyptians" (*II Kings 7:6*) and "At that time did king Ahaz send unto the kings of Assyria to help him" (*II Chronicles 28:16*). Herodotus said (*Euterpe II:147*): "The Egyptians having become free after the reign of the priest of Vulcan, for they were at no time able to live without a king, established twelve kings, having divided all Egypt into twelve parts. These, having contracted intermarriages, reigned...". Here is an instance of 12 kings reigning concurrently.

In trying to correctly evaluate and reconstruct history, studying and following the king lines is of much value. Sometimes the only records remaining are the lists of the kings. When the length of the reigns are given, if any date can be established or correlated with known dates, then the whole chronology can be reconstructed. Historians tend to describe history based on cultures or eras, which become meaningless. The Stone Age, for instance, has no meaning. There are stone age "era" people living on Earth today while Boeing 747s fly overhead. Because there are people today (or until recently) using stone tools, does that mean we are living in the stone age?

Royalty, by definition, must maintain its genealogy. King lines must prove their ancestry. By reconstructing the king lists and length of reigns, one can develop a skeleton upon which to reconstruct history. An example might be Germany. The various tribes that eventually resulted in modern Germany maintained lists of their rulers, resulting in the confederation that ruled Germany at the time of World War I. William II (1888-1918) ruled the Prussian House of Hohenzollern, Frederick Augustus III (1904-1918) ruled Saxony. William II (1891-1918) was king of Wurttemberg, Louis III (1913-1918) was king of Bavaria, and Brunswick was ruled by Ernest Augustus (1913-1918). We can trace these king lines backwards and reconstruct a genealogy. I will have more on this later.

## DATING ERAS, EVENTS AND PERSONS

The historical dates from written documents are reasonable from about 4,000 BC. Prior to that, the few written documents (clay tablets, etc.) speak of kings who reign 50,000 years or whatever and it is a considerably different picture. The dates after 4,000 BC have many contradictions and confusions, but there is enough information to at least attempt to sort it out. Another problem is “authorities” who simply make up dates, “so-an-so reigned in 8,000 BC”. Sez who? They just make up dates to fit their personal scenario preference.

When I was a kid at one time I wanted to be an archaeologist, so I started studying archaeology. One of the first problems I noticed was the problem of determining dates. I decided I would simply learn how the dates were determined, so I could confirm what the “authorities” were saying.

What I learned surprised me. I learned that the oldest artifacts, such as fossils, arrowheads, sea shells, pottery shards, etc., are dated according to the geological layer in which they are found. A certain pottery shard or sea shell, say, [shay that 10 times fast] is so many years old because it was found in a certain geological layer that is dated such and such. Ask the archaeologist how does he know how old the geological layer is, and you will find he just memorized it in school. He will say you have to go to the geologist for more details.

So you go to the geologist and ask him how does he know how old the geological layers are. He says he knows because of the fossils in them. How does he know how old the fossils are? Well, he says, he is a geologist, not an archaeologist, you will have to go to the archaeologist. That is circular reasoning!

It seems reasonable that the most primitive sea shells would be in the oldest geological layers. And it seems reasonable that the oldest layers would be the deepest, with younger layers deposited on top of them. But it is still relative, it is only an indication of what might be older than something else. And there is the problem of the MANY cases where older geological layers are on top of younger layers, and cases where primitive artifacts are mixed in with later, more advanced ones. The authorities tended to simply ignore what they called “anomalies”. If it doesn’t fit their story, throw it out.

And then they developed more “scientific” methods of dating, such as carbon-14, potassium-argon, uranium-thorium, rubidium-strontium, dendochronology (counting tree rings), ice core analysis, etc. I studied these and found that in every case, they made basic assumptions that were assumed to be true but on careful study were at least suspect or tenuous and sometimes definitely wrong. For instance, carbon-14 dating makes the assumption that the carbon intake of plants and animals in ancient times was the same as it is now, an assumption that is clearly at least suspect and to my mind is highly unlikely. [“An assumption on the constancy of atmospheric radiocarbon concentration in the past is basic for radiocarbon dating. However, the atmospheric radiocarbon concentration depends on the production rate of radiocarbon by cosmic rays in the stratosphere and the carbon cycle on the earth, and there is no evidence that either was constant in the past” (Kunihiko Kigoshi and Hiroichi Hasegawa, “Secular Variations of Atmospheric Radiocarbon Concentration and Its Dependence on Geomagnetism”, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 71, No. 4, February 15, 1966, p. 1065).]



In historical times, say after 4,000 BC, what about dating according to the ancient written documents? The attitude of the modern authorities is basically one of “the ancients were stupid, superstitious, and liars.” And an attitude of “I am an expert, shut up and don’t question me, memorize what you are told if you want to be *licensed* to have access to our information.”

Don’t get me wrong, I do not wish to belittle archaeologists. It is a wonder what all they can do with a piece of pottery. If you take a coffee cup that says “Dad Is The Greatest” on it and smash it against a brick wall, then take the pieces to an archaeologist, he will look at the pieces, obtain a 50 year grant from the government in order to study the pieces in more depth, he will discuss the pieces with his colleagues (after withholding them for 40 years) and then 10 of them will each publish 5 different very erudite documents intricately categorizing the pieces of those 6 coffee cups (or was it 14?) in 50 different ways. They will establish a whole new field in the universities to study the newly discovered civilization of that mysterious “Dada the Great!” Never underestimate an archaeologist!

And never underestimate a NASA astronaut. You may have seen a TV broadcast of astronauts on the Moon and heard them cry out with great excitement that they saw at the foot of a mountain a rock that was at least 3 billion years old. I never did learn how to just look at a rock and tell how old it was. But if they said it, it must be true. They are the experts.

## THE SECRETS OF THE MYSTERIES

Did Noah really exist? Did the worldwide Flood described in the *Bible* really happen? Some people will say, “No”. Others say, “Yes”. Can the answer be proven, one way or the other? Does it make any difference? The *Bible* says that at the “end time”, the world situation will be “as it was during the days of Noah.” *Bible* teachers say this means that mankind will be doing its own thing, marrying and eating and ignoring God. If this is true, then why did God wait until Noah’s time to destroy the world? Why hasn’t He destroyed it a thousand times since? There is much more to this story than is immediately apparent.

If the Biblical Flood happened, around 2,000 to 3,000 BC according to the *Bible*, then there should be evidence to prove it. Yet we are bombarded in school classes and the media with statements and assumptions that the Flood and the *Bible* are mythology. In church, we usually are taught that the Flood was a literal fact, without any proof. The *New Testament* and Christ said that Noah and the Flood were real (Noah is listed in Christ’s genealogy, for instance). If this story is not real, then we may as well throw away the *Bible*. If it is true or at least true in some sense (in other words, an allegory), then it might be beneficial to take a closer look at the story. If God truly did destroy mankind because mankind became “evil”, I would think that we had better pay attention and learn what this means to us.

“My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee....” (*Hosea. 4:6*).

To anyone willing to open their eyes and look around, it is obvious we lack knowledge. We lack knowledge of how to be healthy, happy, and how to live in freedom and harmony. We lack knowledge of who we are, why we are here, where we came from, what is our destiny. We go to school

and blindly accept whatever “facts” are spoon-fed to us, facts that continually change according to whatever is the “politically correct” fads and fashions of the moment, so that we may be accepted as part of the crowd, so that we may live our lives in a comfortable world of dream-reality.

We accept whatever we are taught by “authority,” without understanding that “authority” does not and cannot teach true knowledge, for doing so would lead to the downfall and disappearance of such “authority”. Authority maintains its power and influence only by imposing false doctrines upon the people, since knowledge is not one of its attributes and knowledge would bring about its downfall. Most humans who think they are searching for knowledge are actually searching for evidence, however circumstantial and meaningless, to confirm their already-fixed beliefs. They usually resent and reject all information that conflicts with their settled opinions. The closed mind and lethargy, typical of most humans, are the principal obstacles to learning and the acquisition of true knowledge.

I have been a student of Life Science, also known as Natural Hygiene, and Life Science teaches: “Knowledge is one of the cardinal needs of mankind but the human race lives in almost total ignorance of Truth—foolishly substituting sterile theories, inherited prejudices, unfounded opinions and imposed blind beliefs for true knowledge which can be acquired by anyone who truly seeks to know anything. Acquisition of knowledge, however, requires significant effort on the part of the seeker—thus most of the human race satisfies itself with that information which is imposed effortlessly upon it by self-styled ‘authority’ which concerns itself primarily with its own self-interests at the expense of the governed masses. This authority is sectioned into the institutions which are truly only a variety of disciplines of a single totalitarian regime and which should not be considered separately but must be acknowledged as a single force and influence in the lives of mankind.”

A researcher asked someone if they believed it true that most people are ignorant and apathetic. The person answered, “I don’t know, and I don’t care.”

If you study this document, you will learn some things that are shocking from the “accepted” viewpoint. Don’t take my word for it, check it out for yourself. I hope this document helps you save time and trouble in finding where to look, and what to look for. Have fun!

Most Christians are familiar with the story of Noah and the Flood, and most have heard that Noah had three sons: Shem, Ham, and Japheth. What most Christians do not know, however, is how much information is available on these people from ancient documents other than the *Bible*.

## PURPOSES

It is my purpose in this article to present the story of Noah and the Flood, the origin of the races of man and related subjects and show where these people migrated according to actual records. If the records are wrong, so be it. Many of you think there ARE NO records—I think you will be surprised. Many of you will have some degree of doubt about the truth of this information—and frankly, some of it is contradictory and must be wrong. I shall present some of the available information and you can decide on its validity. I hope you will be challenged to do your own research on the subjects, and I hope you keep in mind that the big-money powers-that-be do not want you to know this information.

We are told that Noah and Shem were people from before the Flood, and were deified by early civilizations. Some evidence shows that they were extra-terrestrials. Myths were developed from the core of truth, and some truth became known as myth when in fact it was simple truth. I shall attempt to separate the truth from the myth where possible, or list both, and shall offer some other information that some may feel is questionable. The source of the information will usually be included, so that the reader can follow up on the data if desired.

We shall learn how to connect the genealogies in the *Bible*, specifically the Table of Nations in *Genesis 10*, to secular history, and shall learn how to identify famous people in history with their Biblical names. One of the most famous archaeologists of the 20th century, William F. Albright, said the list of nations in *Genesis 10* is “an astonishingly accurate document”. We will learn details of what he meant. I will also show you a chronological time frame of history, how and where to fit many of these names into our past, based on actual records.

Godfrey Higgins, after 20 years of research into old documents (more on him later), in his book *Anacalypsis*, p. 577, says that ALL the Christian “fathers” of the second century “attributed a double sense to the words of Scripture, the one obvious and literal, the other hidden and mysterious, which lay concealed, as it were, under the veil of the outward letter. The former they treated with the utmost neglect.” He says the obvious interpretation is of no importance, that the real truth was concealed. What were these secrets the religious leaders ALL SAID they were keeping from us?

As far as I can tell, most or all languages trace back to a common original. We shall see that this original was the language of the time of Noah. Of course, there was language before Noah, so my definition of original is the written language in use at the time of Noah. All alphabets trace back to a common original. There is evidence that the original alphabet was numbers before it became letters, and the letters/numbers became the names of spirit beings and were even coded as the names of trees!

If all peoples do not trace back to Noah, then there would be languages that do not trace back to Noah. I do not know of any, except by rumor.

All religions are much the same, with just different words for the same concepts. Yes, this means that the Baptists are essentially the same as the Buddhists. Do not “believe” this, do not merely accept it, but do not reject it yet, either, until you examine the data. If you do not believe this, fine, no problem. I am just telling you that if you care to go the trouble of researching, you shall learn for instance that Buddha was the product of an immaculate conception, that the Buddhists honor the Madonna and Savior Child, that they have a Trinity, worship the Cross, their religion has a holy mountain, a tradition of Noah and the Flood, celibate priests who wear a garment of linen, and on and on. Sound familiar?

## THE MILLENIUM “WEEK” CHRONOLOGY

### THE *BIBLE*’S 7,000-YEAR “WEEK”

*“But, beloved, be not ignorant of this one thing, that ONE DAY IS WITH THE LORD AS A THOUSAND YEARS, AND A THOUSAND YEARS AS ONE DAY” (II Peter 3:8). “For a thousand years in thy sight are but as yesterday....” (Psa. 90:4).*

There is an ancient tradition in the Christian Church and Jewish religion that God created (actually, recreated) the heavens and the Earth and all therein in six literal days, and rested from His labors on the seventh; while in a dual sense intended by the scriptures, God has given mankind a week consisting of “days” of 1,000 years each. One of the most important principles of understanding Biblical prophecy is to understand this principle of duality (*I Cor. 15:45-47*). According to this principle, mankind is free to make its own choices for the first six days or 6,000 years, then Christ will return and intervene and rule on the seventh “day”, during the final 1,000-year period known as the “Millennium”, to give mankind a rest from its tribulations. This means there will be 6,000 years from Adam until the Second Coming of Christ.

Is this a “true doctrine of God,” or just a religious myth? All I can say is it appears to me that some very “high up” powers seem to be using this plan. Much in the *Bible* seems to fit this plan, so is it of God, is it a coincidence, is it a very clever plan of deception by some very powerful controllers? Before you try to answer that, you need to know just what this plan is.

The Christian 7,000-year “week” for the completion of the spiritual creation of man according to this doctrine is seen to be a dual type of the 7-day literal week. The pattern for this dual plan is given in the *Bible* and in early Church writings. *Genesis 2:17, 18—“And the Lord God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat; But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it; for in the DAY that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely DIE.”* Then *Genesis 5:5* says, *“And all the days that Adam lived were NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY YEARS; AND HE DIED.”*

Adam ate the forbidden fruit, but did not die that day, he died 930 years later. So it is apparent that the “day” spoken of was at least 930 years long! In *Hebrews 4:4, 11*, the seventh day is mentioned as a dual type of the 1,000-year Millennium rest that will follow the present age of human labor. The Millennium is thus compared with a “day” of the week. In *Revelation 20:4*, that rest is specifically called “a thousand years”. If the first and last “day” of the overall “week” are 1,000 years each, then it is obvious that the six “days” before the final (seventh) 1,000-year day would cover 6,000 years.

The *Epistle of Barnabas* written around 90 to 120 AD (according to *Halley’s Bible Handbook* and other authorities), says in verse 4 of Chapter 13: “Consider, my children, what that signifies, ‘He finished them [the Creation works] in six days.’ The meaning of it is this; that in six thousand years the Lord God will bring all things to an end. For with Him one day is a thousand years; as Himself testifieth, saying, Behold this day shall be as a thousand years. Therefore, children, in six days, that is, in six thousand years, shall all things be accomplished.”

Irenaeus, considered to be one of the early Christian church fathers, born in A.D. 140, wrote: “For in so many days as this world was made, in so many thousand years shall it be concluded...and God brought to a conclusion upon the sixth day the works He made.... This is an account of the things formerly created, as also it is a prophecy of what is to come...in six days created things were com-

pleted: it is evident, therefore, that they will come to an end after six thousand years.”

*The Secrets of Enoch*, dating from at least the first century A.D. (also called *II Enoch*) says: “And I [God] appointed the eighth day also, that the eighth day should be the first-created after my work, and that the first seven revolve in the form of the seventh thousand, and that at the beginning of the eighth thousand there should be a time of not-counting—endless....”

*The Testament of Adam* (from about the middle or late third century) has Adam saying on his death bed: “You have heard, my son Seth, that a Flood is coming and will wash the whole Earth because of the daughters of Cain, your brother, who killed your brother Abel out of passion for your sister Lebuda, since sins had been created through your mother, Eve. And after the Flood there will be six thousand years [left] to the form of the world, and then its end will come.”

Modern protestant and Jewish teachers say the same (Catholics believe the Millennium Rest came with the beginning of the rule of the Catholic Church). In the 1976 Hebrew Union College annual yearbook, Rabbi Ben Zion Wacholder said: “Just as the seventh offers a release to the Jew, so the world will be released during the seventh millennium.”

The Jewish *Talmud*, written in the second century A.D., says: “The world is to stand 6,000 years, viz., 2,000 confusion and void, 2,000 with the law, and 2,000 the time of the Messiah.” It says the seventh millennium was predicted to be the “exaltation of Messiah”. Then they debate whatever happened to the Messiah.

So you see that I am not making up a story, this is a belief that has been around in Christian churches for nearly 2,000 years. This belief is being used by Christians and Jews to justify rebuilding a temple in Jerusalem, and to justify re-establishing animal sacrifices.

#### DAY FOR A YEAR PRINCIPLE

The *Bible* uses a day-for-a-year principle in prophecy. In *Numbers 14:34*, God told the Israelites, “After the number of the DAYS in which ye searched the land, EVEN FORTY DAYS, EACH DAY FOR A YEAR, shall ye bear your iniquities, even FORTY YEARS....” In *Ezekiel 4:3-6*, God told Ezekiel, “THIS SHALL BE A SIGN TO THE HOUSE OF ISRAEL. LIE THOU ALSO UPON THY LEFT SIDE, AND LAY THE INIQUITY OF THE HOUSE OF ISRAEL UPON IT: ACCORDING TO THE NUMBER OF THE DAYS that thou shalt lie upon it thou shalt bear their iniquity. For I have laid upon thee the YEARS of their iniquity, ACCORDING TO THE NUMBER OF THE DAYS, three hundred and ninety days: so shalt thou bear the iniquity of the house of Israel. And when thou hast accomplished them, lie again on thy right side, and thou shalt bear the iniquity of the house of Judah forty days: I HAVE APPOINTED THEE EACH DAY FOR A YEAR.”

If you study the following verses, you will see that a Biblical year consists of 360 days (NOT 365 1/4 days): *Genesis 7:11*; *8:3-4* (five months of 30 days equal 150 days); *Revelation 12:6*; *13:5*; *12:14*; *Daniel 12:7*. You also should know that a “score” equals 20 (see any dictionary). The prophetic term “time” equals a 360-day year, “times” equals two years, and “half a time” equals half a year (180 days or 6 months), and in each case the “year” is a prophetic year of 360 prophetic days,



which, using the day-for-a-year principle, would equal 360 literal years. For instance, the prophetic term “seven times” can be 7 x 360 or 2520 prophetic days, which would equate to 2520 literal years. Many Biblical students know this already. You can check the commentaries or *Bible* handbooks should you want more information on these terms.

According to the usage of 1,000 years for a day of God, and the prophetic usage of a day for a year, it is obvious that under this belief there was to be 6,000 years from Adam to the Second Coming of Christ (who is to rule for the final “day” of the “week”). Therefore, if the date of Adam’s creation can be established, we can determine the theoretical date of the Second Coming.

## THE CORNERSTONE OF BIBLICAL PROPHECY

Christ is the Cornerstone of the *Bible*, as numerous scriptures point out (*Eph. 2:20, 1 Pet. 2:6*), and He is also the Cornerstone of Biblical prophecy and chronology. Once we can definitely date some key incidents in Christ’s life, we should be able to build a chronology around these key events and therefore pinpoint some specific dates to use in determining events in Biblical prophecy.

The keystone of all Biblical prophecy is the prophecy of the “First Coming” of Christ, the appearance of the Messiah, given in *Daniel 9*. This prophecy also proves that the one we call Jesus was the Messiah, because Jesus was the One Who fulfilled this prophecy.

If you check various commentaries and reference works about the fulfillment of the “Seventy Week” prophecy of *Daniel 9*, you will quickly find that there is much confusion and little understanding concerning the dates of this prophecy. For the most part, they say, “We don’t know,” or else they quote the figures given by Sir Robert Anderson in *The Coming Prince*, written in the late 1800s. This article will give you the facts concerning these dates as determined by the latest historical and archaeological discoveries, the only dates that fit all the facts, and then will explain how other people determined their choices of dates (and the problems involved). It is absolutely imperative to get these major dates right, because once you do, some other surprising, shocking, exciting pieces of the puzzle start falling into place and reveal some things you probably never imagined! Study these things, and see for yourself!

## DANIEL 9 PROPHECY

Now we have the background required to begin a study of the *Daniel 9* “Seventy Weeks” prophecy. *Daniel 9, verse 1*: “*In the first year of Darius...*” The first year of Darius is generally agreed to be the fall of 538 BC and the spring of 537 BC, although there is doubt about exactly who was this Darius. The *Nabonidus Chronicle* says that Ugbaru captured Babylon for Cyrus, so Ugbaru may be the Darius of *Daniel 5:31*. D. J. Wiseman, “The Last Days of Babylon”, *Christianity Today*, Nov. 1957, pp. 7-10, says that Darius may have been Cyrus himself. J. Whitcomb, *Darius the Mede*, (Erdmans, 1959), identifies Darius with Gobyrus.

*Verse 3 of Daniel 9* is the fulfillment of the 70 years of the prophecy of *Jeremiah 29:10-14*. Now *verse 24*: “*Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people and upon thy holy city, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in*

*everlasting righteousness, and to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to ANOINT THE MOST HOLY. Know, therefore, and understand, that FROM THE GOING FORTH OF THE COMMANDMENT TO RESTORE AND TO BUILD JERUSALEM UNTO THE MESSIAH, THE PRINCE, SHALL BE SEVEN WEEKS, AND THREESCORE AND TWO WEEKS: the STREET shall be built again, AND THE WALL, even in troublous times. And after threescore and two weeks shall Messiah be cut off, but not for himself; and the people of the prince that shall come shall destroy the city and the sanctuary, and the end of it shall be with a flood, and unto the end of the war desolations are determined. And He shall confirm the covenant with many for one week; and IN THE MIDST OF THE WEEK he shall cause the sacrifice and the oblation to cease, and for the overspreading of abominations he shall make it desolate, even until the consummation, and that determined shall be poured upon the desolate.”*

It all sounds very mysterious at first, and it is not our purpose to try to explain all the details. Let's confine ourselves to dating the prophecy. It says that from the going forth of the commandment to rebuild Jerusalem (with the street and the wall), until the Anointed (“Messiah” is Hebrew for “Anointed,” as “Christ” is Greek for “Anointed”), shall be 7 plus 62 weeks, or 69 weeks.

### THE DECREE OF ARTAXERXES

There were three decrees (“commandments”) issued—some say four—which need to be examined to determine the correct starting point.

Cyrus reigned in Babylon from 538/537 BC until 530/529 BC. Cambyses followed and reigned until 522/521 BC. Next was Darius I who reigned for 36 years, until December 23, 486 BC (see Finegan's *Light From the Ancient Past*, p. 238), when Xerxes (generally considered to be the Ahasuerus of *Daniel 9:1*) took the reign until 465/464 BC. He was followed by his son Artaxerxes Longimanus, who then reigned until 424 BC (Biblical years, under the “Sacred” calendar, cover part of two of “our” years). There is very little disagreement with these dates (see *Bible As History* by Werner Keller, or Erdman's *Handbook to the Bible*).

Cyrus issued a decree in 536/535 BC regarding the rebuilding of the TEMPLE in Jerusalem (*Ezra 1:1-2*). This could not be the correct one because *Daniel 9:25* speaks of the rebuilding of the city, JERUSALEM—including the STREETS and WALLS—not merely the Temple. The people resisted this decree and ceased work on the Temple until the second year of Darius (see *Ezra 4:4-5, 24*).

Darius also made a decree, in 529 BC, and ordered the construction of the Temple to continue (*Ezra 6:11-12*). Again, this decree was for the Temple, not the city. The Temple was completed by the sixth year of Darius (*Ezra 6:14-15*), so this could not be the decree spoken of by Daniel. Counting the prophecy from these dates would put the termination considerably before Christ.

The correct decree from which to count was made by Artaxerxes (*Ezra 7:1, 6, 11-26*). Ezra quoted it, “...I make a decree...to go up to Jerusalem...” Then in *Ezra 9:7-9*, he praised God for the decree, saying, “...And now for a little space grace hath been shewed from the Lord our God, to leave us a remnant to escape...to give us a reviving, to set up the house of our God, and to repair the desola-

tions thereof, and to give us a WALL in Judah and in Jerusalem.” This decree gave Ezra authority to not only beautify the house of God, but to repopulate the city, organize the government, and rebuild the city and wall. This decree was made in the seventh year of Artaxerxes (*Ezra* 7:7-9; Ezra left Babylon the 1st day of the 1st month—Tishri 1—and arrived in Jerusalem the 1st day of the 5th month—Shebat). This date can be accurately determined, as we shall see. Further information on this event can be found in *Josephus, Antiq.*, Bk. XI, Chap. V, Sec. 1 & 2.

Actually, the decrees of Cyrus and Darius were considered by Ezra to be two preliminary parts of the final decree of Artaxerxes—they were all one decree, in other words. See *Ezra* 6:14—“*They builded, and finished it, according to the commandment of the God of Israel, and according to the commandment [margin shows ‘commandment’ as ‘decree’, singular] of Cyrus, and Darius, and Artaxerxes king of Persia.*” This decree could not be said to have gone forth as stated in the prophecy until all the permission as required in the prophecy had been given. Also, if you use the decree of Cyrus in 535 BC or Darius in 519 BC as the starting point of the *Daniel* 9 prophecy, the termination falls far short of the Christian era. Therefore, the decree of Artaxerxes is the right one.

Another proof that the decrees of Cyrus and Darius were not considered total is the fact that Artaxerxes ordered all work *stopped* on the Temple, because the Jews had started rebuilding the city and WALL *without authority*—read *Ezra* 4:11-24, especially verses 12, 14, 21.

Some have tried to use the commission to Nehemiah in 444 BC as the starting point of the prophecy (*Nehemiah* 2). Nehemiah sought permission to go up to Jerusalem. Oral, not written, permission was given to him individually. The king asked him how long he would be gone and when he would return. He received orders to the keeper of the king’s forest for timber, and letters of safe conduct to the governors along the way. When he arrived in Jerusalem, the rulers, priests, nobles and people were already at work building Jerusalem (*Nehemiah* 2:16), under the authority of the decree they had received thirteen years earlier from Ezra. Nehemiah finished his work in 52 days (*Neh.* 6:15). The city and walls had been rebuilt much earlier, and Nehemiah merely repaired some recent damage to the gates and walls. This was the END of most of the rebuilding, not the “going forth” of the permission to rebuild.

Those that try to date *Daniel* 9’s “Seventy Weeks” from the commission in *Nehemiah* 2 usually use a date of 445 BC, which is not the right date for this event. The commission in *Nehemiah* 2 was 444 BC, not 445, according to *Halley’s Bible Handbook*, p. 229; *The Bible As History*, p. 356; and many others. Actually, once you determine the date of the decree of Artaxerxes (in his seventh year), then it is easy to determine the date of the Nehemiah commission as it was in the 20th year of Artaxerxes (*Neh.* 2:1). *Neh.* 1:1, 2, shows that Nehemiah’s brother came to Susa in December (Chisleu or Chislev) to report the sad situation at Jerusalem. Four months later (Nisan), in spring of 444 BC, Artaxerxes’s 20th year, the king gave permission for Nehemiah to go to Jerusalem (*Neh.* 2:1-8).

Can we know for certain when the correct decree of Artaxerxes was issued? Indeed! It was issued in 457 BC. This date is authenticated from many sources. The decree was issued in the seventh year of Artaxerxes’ reign (*Ezra* 7:7). An ancient papyrus document, dated with two calendar systems, written during the accession year of Artaxerxes, has been found and is now in the Brooklyn Museum. It was written from the Jews of Elephantine in Egypt, and is dated the 18th of Kislev or the



17th of Thoth (Egyptian), “in the year 21 [21st year of reign of Xerxes], the beginning of reign when King Artaxerxes sat on his throne.” This date corresponds to the evening of January 2 or the daytime of January 3, 464 BC (Jews reckon days from sunset to sunset). According to Jewish reckoning, if his accession year began January 2/3 in 464 BC, then his first regnal year could not officially begin until Tishri 1, in the autumn of 464 BC (for proof see most any recent book on *Old Testament* chronology, such as those by Edwin Thiele). Seven years later would be 458 BC, and the seventh year of Artaxerxes was from autumn 458 to autumn 457 BC. That the Jews were using the autumn-to-autumn calendar can be proven by studying *Nehemiah 1:1* and *2:1*, which says Chisleu or Kislev preceded Nisan in the 20th regnal year of Artaxerxes (see Chart I). Ezra’s journey took place from Babylon to Jerusalem in late summer, TISHRI 1 (*Ezra 7:9*), 457 BC. The “going forth” occurred when Ezra left Babylon on Tishri 1 (1st day of 1st month). Final departures occurred Tishri 12 (*Ezra 8:31*). The starting point of the prophecy was Tishri 1.

#### chart I

The dating of this decree is proven by evidence given in Ptolemy’s Canon; by the Saros Tablets; by the Aramaic papyrus AP 6; in Langer’s *Encyclopedia of World History*, p. 53; *The Brooklyn Museum Aramaic Papyri*, p. 191-193; *Babylonian Chronology* by Ra. A. Parker and W. H. Dubberstein; Sidney Smith’s *Babylonian Historical Texts*; and the Greek Olympiads.

For further discussion, see Sir Isaac Newton’s *Observations Upon the Prophecies of Daniel*, p. 154-157; *The Old and New Testament Connected in the History of the Jews*, Vol. I, p. 322, by H. Prideaux; etc. The facts of the fulfillment are further proofs of the time periods of the prophecy.

#### COUNTING THE TIME

The seven weeks of *Daniel 9:25* would be seven weeks of seven days each, or 49 prophetic days. The threescore (60) and two weeks would be 62, times 7 equals 434 prophetic days. The 434 plus 49 equals 483 prophetic days. Using the day-for-a-year principle:

- 457 (BC)

+483 prophetic days/years

+ 1 for no year zero

27 AD—when the prophecy was to end and the Anointed (“Christ” in Greek) was to appear.

Halley, in his *Handbook*, overlooked the fact of no year zero and incorrectly lists 26 AD as the date. Is 27 AD correct? Indeed it is, for in that year Christ was baptized (anointed) and began His ministry, which can be proven from many other sources. If you want more proof, check *Analysis of Sacred Chronology* by S. Bliss, p. 180; *New International Encyclopedia*, art. “Jesus Christ”; *A Chronological Synopsis of The Four Gospels*, Karl Wieseler, p. 164-247; and perhaps one of the best sources is *A Harmony of the Gospels In Modern English* by Frederick Coulter.

## A POPULAR ERROR

Some people date the *Daniel 9* prophecy of the appearance of Christ from April (or March), 445 BC, and end it at about April, 32 AD. Hal Lindsey, in his popular books *The Late, Great, Planet Earth* and *There's A New World Coming* perpetrates this error, dating the prophecy from 445 BC, although he does not try to be too specific about the dates. Mr. Lindsey was a staff member of Campus Crusade For Christ for eight years. The Campus Crusade For Christ and author Josh McDowell published another popular book called *Evidence That Demands a Verdict*, which details specifically how they arrived at their dates. They, in turn, got their information mostly from a Scotland Yard detective name Sir Robert Anderson, who wrote *The Coming Prince* in the late 1800s. Even though Anderson did an admirable job of trying to analyze the dates, there just was not enough archaeological information available at that time for him to be accurate. Many other later authors of prophetic commentaries refer to the dating used by Anderson. So let's see exactly how he arrived at these dates.

First, the commission to Nehemiah in *Neh. 2:1-8* is the one they have chosen as the starting point, which I've shown is not correct. Nehemiah had been in Jerusalem only three days, had told no one why he was there, yet most of the people had already been at work rebuilding the city (*Neh. 2:11-1-6*). The repair of the wall was finished in 52 more days. This event was too late to be the beginning, the "going forth". Then these authors assign a date of 445 to this event, which is again in error, as the correct date is 444 BC. But the method they use to count the years is the big error. Here is how they count the dates, as shown on pages 180-181 of *Evidence That Demands a Verdict* and p. 128, *The Coming Prince*, 1977 reprint:

445 ad

a.  $69 \text{ weeks} \times 7 \text{ years} \times 360 \text{ days} = 173,880 \text{ days}$

b. 173,880 days from March 14, 445 BC [an assumed date] gives April 6, 32 AD.

This ending date is apparently chosen in order to fit the dates assumed by Anderson for the "Passion Week". Here is another method shown for counting the dates:

The terminal event of the 69-week prophecy is chosen to be the crucifixion of Christ (or alternatively, His entry into Jerusalem). However, the "Messiah" is the Hebrew word for "Anointed" (as "Christ" is the Greek word for "Anointed"), and the *Dan. 9* prophecy properly terminates with the COMING of the ANOINTED (*Dan. 9:24-25*). Jesus was anointed with water by John the Baptist and with the Holy Spirit by God the Father, in 27 AD, at the age of 30, as described in *Matt. 3:16*; *Mark 1:10*; *Luke 3:22-23*; and *John 1:33-34*. As Jesus was 30 years old in 27 AD, if you count back 30 years you find that He was born in 4 BC. If you try to use any other dates, then the whole

chronology of the *Old Testament* and *New Testament* is thrown off and *does not fit the MANY facts of archaeology and history* and does *not* fit the events of the time of Jesus (the death of Herod, the eclipse, the census, the reigns of the various rulers, etc.). For example, the *Chronicle of John Malalas*, translated by Matthew Spinka (Univ. of Chicago Press, 1940), written in the early 500s AD, documents these dates, as does Suidas and many others.

Those that use Robert Anderson's method of dating use a starting point near the completion of the rebuilding instead of the "going forth" of the decree to rebuild, and terminate the prophecy with the end of Jesus' ministry instead of the anointing to begin the ministry.

But one of the biggest errors of all that they make is in their method of converting the prophetic terms into literal dates. Remember, the principle to use is: convert the prophetic term (such as "week" or "time") into prophetic days, then each day represents a year. God said, "I have appointed thee each DAY for a YEAR" (*Ezek. 4:6*). Anderson converted the prophetic term into prophetic days (multiplying years by 360 equals prophetic days), then let each prophetic day equal a literal day. He used a rule of a DAY for a DAY. The correct method is prophetic day equals a literal year, "DAY for a YEAR".

Once we pinpoint these Biblical dates with contemporary dates, we can work out the chronology of the whole *Bible*. For now, we shall just determine the overall framework, which I have presented in my Chart called:

[SEE NEXT 2 PAGES FOR CHART

2 page basic framework chart

BASIC FRAMEWORK OF  
BIBLICAL CHRONOLOGY

The following chart [see previous page] is self-explanatory if you study it closely and look up the references. I simply use the figures from the *Bible*. There are two areas that may need more explanation, one is the exclusive/inclusive dating of the two different lists. *The Book of the Generations of Adam* in *Genesis 5* uses exclusive dating, the reign ends one year, the next reign begins the next year. *The Book of the Generations of Shem* in *Genesis 11* uses inclusive dating, the last year of one reign is counted also as the first year of the next reign. This dual dating is explained thoroughly in Edwin R. Thiele's *A Chronology of The Hebrew Kings*. He presented his proof of this in 1944 [*Journal of Near Eastern Studies*, "The Chronology of the Kings of Judah and Israel", (July, 1944), pp. 137-186.], and since then it has been exhaustively studied and proven to be true (yet mostly ignored. I think ones want to keep their chronology secret).

The 333 years of freedom from the beginning of the reign of Rehoboam until the captivity of Jehoichin is taken from page 75 of Thiele's book. Zedekiah is not counted because he was installed on the throne by Nebuchadnezzar the conqueror: "And the king of Babylon made Mattaniah his father's brother king in his stead, and changed his name to Zedekiah" (*II Kings 24:17*).

There are 333 years from the end of Solomon's reign until the end of the reign of Jehoiachin, in 597 BC, when, "In the seventh year [of Nebuchadnezzar's reign], the month of Kislev, the king of Akkad mustered his troops, marched to the Hatti-land, and encamped against [besieged] the city of Judah and on the second day of the month of Adar he seized the city and captured the king. He appointed there a king of his own choice, received its heavy tribute and sent [them] to Babylon" (from a translation of the Babylonian Chronicle now in the British Museum, D.J. Wiseman, *Chronicles of Chaldean Kings [626-556 BC] in the British Museum*, pl 73).

#### chart 3 and

Nebuchadnezzar began his reign in 605/604 BC "No date in ancient history is more firmly established than is 605 for the commencement of Nebuchadnezzar's reign," p. 69, *A Chronicle of the Hebrew Kings* by Thiele. Thiele then assumes the 70-years captivity of the Jews is to be counted from this 605/604 date, but this is not correct. The 70 year count starts 7 years later with the capture of Jerusalem and King Jehoichin, the second of Adar or March 15/16, 597 BC Mattaniah, Jehoichin's cousin, was placed in charge by Nebuchadnezzar who also changed Mattaniah's name to Zedekiah. "The people of Judah seem never to have accepted Zedekiah as their true king, however, probably because he had been appointed by the foreign Nebuchadnezzar. Instead, they ascribed this honor still to Jehoichin, though in captivity" (p. 374, *A Survey of Israel's History*, Leon Wood).

Then follows TWO seventy-year periods, one period of captivity under Babylon which ended in 527 BC, and one period of relative freedom under Persia which ended with the "going forth of the commandment" in 457 BC.

This list shows that there are exactly 2000 years from Adam to Abraham, and exactly 2000 years from Abraham to the anointing of the Christ (at age 30, AD 27). According to ancient church tradition, there is to be exactly 2000 years from the anointing of Christ to the beginning of the Millennium, which would be in the year 2027 AD. Some have said that Christ was born 8 BC. If this is true, then all dates need to be shifted by 4 years, as I used the traditional 4 BC as the date of Christ's birth. [*To be continued.*]

## CHAPTER 2

### THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 2/14/98

### TRUTH ABOUT IRAQ IS HIDDEN IN GOVERNMENT'S MANURE PILE

From FREE AMERICAN NEWSMAGAZINE, 1998: [quoting]

Should President Clinton order the bombing of Iraq, he will be acting as a cold-blooded terrorist and will be guilty of first-degree murder.

The only difference will be that Clinton, unlike most terrorists, doesn't have the guts to do his own killing. Let me dig out a few facts for you from the pile of horse manure the U.S. government has unloaded to hide the truth about this latest flap with Iraq.

First, Iraq did not—I state again, did not—halt United Nations inspections. All Iraq said was that the Americans on the team were not acting in good faith and would not be allowed to participate. Continue the inspections without the Americans. That was the Iraqi position.

It was the United Nations, not Iraq, that chose to halt the inspections. Now, if it really thought Iraq was about to whip up a weapon of mass destruction, why did it halt inspections? It could have continued the inspections while protesting the exclusion of the Americans. Instead the United Nations pulled out. The obvious inference to be drawn is that the United Nations, despite its lies to the contrary, doesn't really think that Iraq has any weapons of mass destruction.

And let's look at the issue of whether or not the United States is acting in good faith. Why do you suppose Iraq reached that conclusion? Perhaps because, after nearly seven years of full-time inspections and the supervised destruction of missiles, manufacturing plants, warheads and stores of chemical weapons, the United States still refuses to lift sanctions on the grounds that somewhere in this little country something may be hidden. But there is an even better reason to justify the Iraqi position.

**Earlier this year Madeleine Albright made an official, public speech in which she said plainly and explicitly the sanctions were not going to be lifted no matter what the Iraqis do as long as Saddam Hussein remains in power.**

**You do understand plain English, Albright said, and the president confirmed it in a message to Congress, that the United States will not lift the sanctions no matter how cooperative the Iraqis are, no matter what proof there is that they have no weapons of mass destruction. In short, the inspections are just a pretense** [*emphasis mine*].

And why, after Clinton just finished wallowing all over a Chinese dictator with an infinitely worse human-rights record than Saddam Hussein, does the United States refuse even to discuss the issue

with Iraq. Why did we prevent an Iraqi official from addressing the Security Council? Whatever happened to the idea of constructive engagement? Free speech?

So bottom line is you had a disagreement over the composition of an inspection team but no refusal to be inspected. For that, Clinton, the great military strategist, moved ships and planes to the Persian Gulf to threaten defenseless people with death.

Death for what? For being Iraqis, I suppose. There is something about Iraq that makes the moral decadence in American society rise to the surface like pus in an infected wound. Journalists, both conservative and liberal, suddenly advocate murder and, like contemptible cowards safely on the fringe of a lynch mob, scream for blood. That is despicable behavior.

Do you understand how ridiculous we look in the eyes of the world, making such a fuss over a small country run by a quite ordinary dictator not unlike 100 others we do business with? Even Kuwait has told the United States not to use military force against Iraq. Make no mistake: The life of an Iraqi is as precious in the eyes of God as any other. And we're in enough trouble with Him already for murdering half a million Iraqi children with the sanctions.

How's that for mass destruction? [End quoting]

It's obvious that our leaders want war under any circumstances and much pressure on our leaders **and prayers** are necessary if we are going to prevent **the worst holocaust in history**.

#### LEWINSKY SEEN AS POLICY SAVIOR

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 2/9/98: [quoting]

Israel's fingerprints lie all over the possible political corpse of Bill Clinton, and Israelis are calling Monica Lewinsky a "Godsend".

Proclaiming that "G-d has sent this young woman to save Eretz Israel," Chief Rabbi Ovada Yosef, spiritual leader of the ministate's Sephardic faithful, welcomed the crippling crisis faced by President Bill Clinton over revelations of his relationship with former White House intern Monica Lewinsky.

Naming Ms. Lewinsky as "one of the historic women" whose intervention helped rid Israel of hostile rulers in "moments of danger", Chief Rabbi Yosef made it plain that Zionist hardliners now considered Clinton an enemy and a threat to their plans for a greater Israel.

A number of principals in the affair, including Lewinsky herself, are reportedly driven by deep ethnic and emotional ties to Israel—and, in the case of at least one key player, long standing links with its secret service, the Mossad, a *SPOTLIGHT* investigation has confirmed.

Knowledgeable diplomats and intelligence sources expressed doubt that the scandal was inspired by divine providence.

"Clinton's own improvidence is responsible for much of this mess," said Otto Alinsky, a public



affairs adviser for the U.S. Catholic Conference of Democratic Clubs.

The problem goes beyond the president's adulterous affairs, Alinsky asserted. "He has fostered an amoral atmosphere in his administration and what I can only call a climate of corruption among its financial and corporate supporters."

Much of this, however, including some of the worst about Clinton's degenerate dalliances, had been known for years. It was not until the White House found itself on a collision course with the Israeli government that the scandals came to a head and threatened to undercut the president, diplomatic observers say.

These sources listed five major issues over which Clinton and Binyamin Netanyahu, Israel's hardline prime minister, are now in bitter conflict.

\* The huge U.S. handouts going to Israel every year will be capped—and eventually reduced—if Rep. Sonny Callahan (R-Ala.), chairman of the House Appropriations subcommittee on foreign operations and a determined budget-cutter, gets his way.

That he will get it became more likely in recent months when the White House lent its support to Callahan's proposal to limit the total of all U.S. aid to the Middle East to 5 billion next year, prompting an angry outburst by Israeli Finance Minister Yaakaov Ne'eman.

\* Netanyahu has made repeated personal appeals to Clinton to free Jonathan "Jay" Pollard, a deep-penetration spy for Israel serving a life sentence in a federal prison. But Clinton, after telling Israeli President Ezer Weizman that "a pardon was in the works", refused to let Pollard go, largely because of his growing dislike for what he once reportedly called "the lying, two-faced Netanyahu and his thugs".

\* The Israeli prime minister, in turn, was said to be enraged by the slights and thinly veiled insults he had to endure in Washington. These included a presidential order not to let Netanyahu stay at Blair House, the official residence for honored visitors of state, Clinton's pointed decision to shun the Israeli leader while both were in Los Angeles last month, and to deny Netanyahu the customary White House dinner reception during his most recent official visit.

\* During his first term, Clinton allowed well known Israeli agents such as Martin Indyk, Dennis Ross and Stephen Grossman to shape his administration's Middle Eastern policies. Now the president must confront the "miserable failure" of this experiment, intelligence sources say. It has inflamed tensions throughout the region and threatens to trigger renewed violence, insurgency and possibly an all-out war at key flashpoints, including Palestine, the Gulf and even the Mediterranean, where infiltrated Mossad agents are fanning a campaign of brutal repression against Turkey's majority Islamic faithful.

\* Israeli demands for stepped-up economic warfare against Iran now threatens a rift between the U.S. and its European allies who have no trouble getting along with the Islamic regime in Teheran, and have made large investments in Iranian oil exploration. Clinton has been told that the Netanyahu



administration is leaking doctored and fabricated intelligence information to leading American news organizations about Iran's efforts to arm itself with nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction—a buzzword also widely used to ignite a new war against Iraq.

The so-called “dual-containment” strategy, formulated by Martin Indyk, the hardline Israeli agent whom Clinton put in charge of Middle Eastern and Arabian Peninsula affairs, “has been nothing but trouble”, says a veteran foreign service officer who, like other diplomatic sources, requested anonymity before discussing sensitive issues.

“The result is that we face another armed conflict over Iraq and a bitter confrontation with our European allies as well as the Islamic world over Iran,” commented this knowledgeable observer, who recently resigned his post at the U.S. Embassy in Cairo in protest against the appointment of Dan Kurtzer, a known Israeli loyalist, as the new U.S. Ambassador to Egypt. [End quoting]

It looks like there is a war going on between Netanyahu and Clinton and my guess is Netanyahu will win—then **WE all lose either way!!**

Did you notice that God was spelled G-d?? The Jews have a habit of leaving letters out of words—have you noticed? Their god is not the Holy God of Light. Theirs is SATAN and that is possibly why it wasn't spelled correctly.

### WHERE'S THE OUTRAGE OVER THE LYING, CONNIVING PRESIDENT??

What Does This Say About  
The American People?

Excerpted from the INTERNET, courtesy Gail Irwin, 2/3/98: [quoting]

With defeat almost certain, Bob Dole raised an anguished question late in the 1996 campaign: How could a president so often accused of misconduct be so popular? “Where is the outrage?” he demanded.

The question is back. Frustrated by President Clinton's record-high poll numbers, conservatives suggest that the Monica Lewinsky controversy may reveal as much about Americans as it does about their president.

“He has winked at his own behavior and invited us to wink back,” self-styled Republican moralist William Bennett told the Conservative Political Action Conference. “Many Americans have decided, for their own reasons, to follow him downhill.”

Former Republican presidential candidate Alan Keyes said, “If the American people are right now handing approval to a president who has brought us as low as this president has, then they do not pass a judgment on the president—they pass a judgment on themselves.”

In Congress, too, where criticism of Clinton generally has been more muted, there are similar comments. “Anybody who believes that Clinton’s alleged infidelities should be excused has already announced their total lack of character,” said Sen. Jesse Helms, R-N.C.

Conservatives are baffled by polls showing a seemingly contradictory view of Clinton: While many voters don’t believe his denials about an affair with Ms. Lewinsky, a majority of Americans believe he has the honesty and integrity to serve as president.

Three out of five respondents to a recent *Los Angeles Times* poll agreed that it is possible for Clinton to behave unethically in his personal life while maintaining integrity in his presidential duties. A slightly larger number didn’t think he should resign or be impeached if he was lying about an affair with Ms. Lewinsky.

Some conservatives say the polls may simply reflect a presumption of innocence, and they expect Clinton’s support to plummet if credible evidence emerges against him.

“We hold our husband to a higher standard” than public officials, said Sen. Rick Santorum, R-Pa. “That is the real sin here.”

Ann Martin, 18, a conservative college student from Lynchburg, Va., said the public’s attitude leads her to worry about the country.

“When we have a leader who lies, what does it say about us?” she said.

“When we don’t care, what does that say about our ability to choose a leader?” [End quoting]

I doubt if most *CONTACT* readers believe what the pollsters are saying because they know that they say what they want us to think—but, there are far too many people who have little or no morals and don’t expect any more from their president.

D.C. POLICE SILENT ON MURDER  
OF FORMER WHITE HOUSE INTERN

Gennifer Flowers: She was maligned by  
the elite establishment for years.  
NOW THE PRESIDENT HAS ADMITTED  
HIS AFFAIR WITH HER [*emphasis mine*].

From the INTERNET, /98: [quoting]

On July 6, 1997, a brutal triple murder took place at a Starbucks restaurant in an upscale Georgetown neighborhood. The victims were Aaron Goodrich, 18; Emory Evans, 25; and Mary Caity Mahoney, 25. According to a July 13 *Washington Post* story, ballistics tests indicated that 10 shots were fired from two different guns, sometime after 9:15 p.m. The *Post* story characterized the crime as an “execution-style” murder.

The murders were strange for several reasons. First, the restaurant's doors were locked when the victims were found. Nothing appeared to have been stolen, although nearly \$4,000 was in the store at the time. Police initially discounted robbery as a motive. Second, the restaurant was in a neighborhood that has a very strong neighborhood watch program. None of Washington D.C.'s 397 murders in the previous year had occurred in or near Georgetown, which is rated safer than many other American cities such as Palm Springs and Oceanside, California and Boulder, Colorado. Third, neighbors heard no gunfire, indicating that the assailants might have used silencers. Finally, multiple murders are rare even in violent areas of Washington D.C.

Making the story particularly interesting is the fact that Mary Caity Mahoney was shot as many as five times, according to some press reports. As the *Washington Post* reported:

She was almost unrecognizable. The coffee shop assistant manager was first shot in the chest, police said. She had raised her hands to her face, possibly to protect herself. A bullet pierced her hands and hit her face. Then she was shot in the back of the head.

Ms. Mahoney had been heavily involved in presidential politics, working on Bill Clinton's campaign in 1992. She served as a White House intern for a while in the first Clinton Administration, arranging White House tours. After finishing a degree in Women's Studies at Towson State University in Baltimore in 1995, she moved to Washington permanently, taking a job as an assistant manager at the Starbucks restaurant. There Ms. Mahoney's path seems to have crossed that of another White House intern, Monica Lewinsky. George Stephanopoulos said last week that Lewinsky used to hang out at the Starbucks where he lived.

These connections to Lewinsky and the White House have raised eyebrows in many quarters. The January 23, 1998 Strategic Weekly Briefings contained the following account attributed to anonymous sources:

[W]hen Monica Lewinsky first met with Vernon Jordan in November 1997 she told him she didn't want to end up like Caity Mahoney. Jordan professed not to know whom Lewinsky was referring to until she identified Mahoney as the former White House intern who was murdered last summer in a Starbucks....[End quoting]

Add three more murders to suspect Clinton's list. If all that has been said about Clinton and he was your next door neighbor everyone in the neighborhood would probably be trying to run him out of town or worse. But since he is president far too many are fighting to shake his hand. **And we think we deserve freedom??**

#### BETTY CURRIE: INTIMIDATION

From the INTERNET, courtesy Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/5/98: [quoting]

BETTY CURRIE, the Clinton White House Secretary and door keeper, has two brothers.

One of them was severely beaten and hospitalized the day before Betty was to testify to the grand jury, so says the Jan 29, '98 *Oregonian*, page A9.

Her other brother, according to *Time Magazine*, was killed in a car accident the Sunday after Christmas, and that Lewinsky met with Clinton that night with Currie present, and that Lewinsky gave a present to Currie.

This brother's name was Theodore R. Williams, Jr. Clinton accompanied Currie to her dead brother's funeral at Metropolitan Baptist Church and shared a hymnal and sang *Jesus Keep Me Near the Cross*.

Clinton told Currie and her family in a letter of condolence, "We hope the **loving support of one another** [*emphasis mine*] and loving memories of Teddy will sustain you," from Jan 22, '98 *AP* wire reports.... Hmm, two brothers, one dead, one "severely beaten and hospitalized" within days of her testimony and involvement... Hmmm!! [End quoting]

She'd **better** help support Clinton if she doesn't want to pay the price her brothers paid—is the message I get. How about you??

Add another murder and severe beating to suspect Clinton's long, long list.

### BUFFETT'S BUYS DRIVE SILVER TO DECADE HIGH

Billionaire Holds  
Fifth Of World's Supply

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 2/5/98: [quoting]

A huge endorsement by one of the world's richest investors sent silver prices surging to a 10-year high Wednesday, raising memories of a speculative binge that wound up trashing the metal.

silver price  
chart

Silver surpassed \$7 an ounce for the first time since January 1988 after legendary investor Warren Buffett's company disclosed late Tuesday it had acquired 130 million ounces of silver, now worth \$910 million.

Buffett has legions of followers that track his every move, but rarely has the famed advocate of buy-and-hold stock investing steered them to the commodities pit.

“In my opinion, he’s saying that common stocks are a bit rich,” said Michael Metz, chief investment strategist at CIBC Oppenheimer. “If Mr. Buffett can’t find any attractive common stocks, maybe that means you can’t either, and should begin taking a look at commodities.”

The silver contract for delivery in March rose 40½ cents Wednesday to close at \$7.02 a troy ounce on the New York Mercantile Exchange, giving it a two-day gain of more than 12 percent. Since Buffett’s company, Berkshire Hathaway Inc., began buying silver in July, the metal’s value has soared 62.5 percent.

Berkshire’s stake represents an estimated 20 percent of the world’s silver supply, excluding jewelry and other manufactured items.

The developments evoked memories of 1980, when the Hunt brothers of Texas tried to corner the market and drove prices from around \$6 an ounce to a high of \$52.50. That run-up led people to melt down trophies, sell heirloom place settings and search frantically for old silver quarters.

But when the silver market collapsed just weeks after hitting its peak, the Hunt brothers were left with \$1 billion in losses.

Unlike the Hunts, who borrowed heavily on a losing bet, Buffett’s company has deep pockets. Silver represents just 2 percent of its holdings.

The current increase comes at a time when precious metals should seemingly be out of favor. Like gold, silver is seen as a hedge against big jumps in inflation or uncertainty caused by world turmoil.

In fact, gold has been languishing around \$300 an ounce or lower as inflation remains tame and central banks sell off their holdings. That, too, is a far cry from its 1980 peak of \$875.

“Generally silver and gold trade within bands of each other, and it’s unusual to have this kind of divergence,” said Robert Brusca, chief economist at Nikko Securities International Inc.

But silver has one advantage over its costlier counterpart: heavier industrial use. For several years, demand from makers of film, jewelry, electronics and even dental equipment has far outstripped production.

According to the Silver Users Association, a Washington-based trade group, an estimated 739 million ounces was consumed last year, while just 570 million ounces of new silver was produced. That means the market is relying heavily on recycled scrap.

Also driving up prices recently have been allegations that a commodities dealer—the one used by Berkshire Hathaway for its silver purchases—conspired with others to manipulate the market.

Phibro Inc. has denied the charges, which were contained in a lawsuit filed last week by a Canadian

silver options trader. Berkshire Hathaway owns a stake in Phibro's parent company, Travelers Group.

Berkshire Hathaway, which is about 40 percent owned by Buffett, said it began buying silver last July 25, which then traded at \$4.32 an ounce. It said it finished its buying Jan. 12 and has no plans for additional purchases or sales of its holdings.

Buffett's investing savvy has made him one of the world's richest men. Last year's *Forbes* magazine estimated his net worth at \$21 billion, putting him second only to Microsoft's Bill Gates in its ranking. [End quoting]

Certainly Buffett wouldn't attempt to manipulate prices. After all, don't you think he got all of his billions nice and ethically and honestly??

### 8,200 QUAKES IN TEN DAYS

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Ray Bilger, 2/9/98: [quoting]

Axial Seamount is a submarine volcano located in the northeast Pacific Ocean in the center of the Juan de Fuca Ridge. It is approximately 300 miles west of Cannon Beach, Oregon.

January 28, 1998:

Intense activity continues on the south side of Axial Seamount. The epicenters of this activity are slowly migrating south. A magnitude 4.7 earthquake was recorded in the area on the 28th.

January 25, 1998:

Intense seismic activity was detected on the summit and south flank of Axial Seamount on January 25. This activity was characterized by many small events without a large mainshock. The measurement of these events have indicated the possibility of a fairly large eruptive event.

This information was summarized from a NOAA page on Axial Seamount.

<<http://Volcano.und.nodak.edu/vwdocs/current—volcs/axial/>> [End quoting]

I never heard or read anything about this in the papers or on TV or radio. Evidently Billy boy's zipper is more important.

Mother Earth is quite upset and she will blow a bunch of volcanos—**probably in the very near future.**

### THE CAUSE OF TYRANNY

“...So long as the people do not care to exercise their freedom, those who wish to tyrannize will do so; for tyrants are active and ardent, and will devote themselves in the name of any number of gods, religious and otherwise, to put shackles upon sleeping men.” —Voltaire de Cleyre

[End quoting]

So short and succinct—but so hard to get across to the masses of irresponsible people.

#### U.S. REPORTS FLU OUTBREAKS IN 44 STATES

From the INTERNET, 2/5/98, courtesy Calvin Burgin: [quoting]

Influenza outbreaks were occurring in 44 states and deaths from flu and pneumonia were at epidemic levels, federal health officials said Wednesday. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention said 44 states, the District of Columbia and New York City reported regional or widespread flu activity during the week ending Jan. 24, the latest period for which figures were available. Deaths from influenza and pneumonia in a sampling of 122 cities were at epidemic levels for the 3rd consecutive week, the agency said. See <<http://www.infobeat.com/stories/cgi/story.cgi?id=2552740180-2f6>> [End quoting]

Yes, and it’s probably much, much worse than they indicate here.

#### IMF UNDER FIRE FROM ALL SIDES

From *THE NEW FEDERALIST*, 1/12/98: [quoting]

U.S. Representative Bernard Sanders (Ind-Vt), a “leftist”, has entered into discussions with “right-winger” Sen. Lauch Faircloth (R-NC), on measures to block or limit IMF funding, say press reports in the *Financial Times* of London and the *Washington Times*. A recent Heritage Foundation report urged that the U.S. withhold funding from the IMF, while former Housing Secretary Jack Kemp has called for IMF Managing Director Camdessus to be fired for prescribing an economic slowdown.

Meanwhile, Ralph Nader, in a letter to treasury Secretary Robert Rubin, vilifies the so-called “South Korea/Citicorp bailout”, and attacks the IMF for being “too secretive and too enchanted with pull-down austerity measures”.

Finally, supply-sider Jude Wanniski is circulating a memo in which he calls the IMF the “Prince of Darkness”, and says Camdessus is “the most evil and dangerous [man] in the world”. [End quoting]

The IMF is pulling down the world into a tight and tough slave camp—**just as it was set up to do.**

#### LATEST TELEPHONE SCAM CONFIRMATION

A fraud center (1-800-346-4074) personnel—by the name of Billie—confirmed this TELEPHONE SCAM.



From the INTERNET, courtesy Calvin Burgin <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/9/98: [quoting]

On Saturday, 24 January 1998, Naval Air Station, Joint Reserve Base, New Orleans' Quarterdeck received a telephone call from an individual identifying himself as an AT&T Service Technician that was running a test on our telephone lines. He stated that to complete the test the QMOW should touch nine (9), zero (0), pound sign (#) and hang up. Luckily, the QMOW was suspicious and refused. Upon contacting the telephone company we were informed that by pushing 90# you end up giving the individual that called you access to your telephone line and allows them to place a long distance telephone call, with the charge appearing on your telephone call.

We were further informed that this scam has been originating from many of the local jails/prisons.

Please "pass the word". [End quoting]

Sounds like a scam that many innocent people will be bilked by. I stress: **PLEASE PASS THE WORD!!**

UNITED NATIONS INVESTIGATES HUMAN  
RIGHTS ABUSES IN THE UNITED STATES

Excerpted from the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 2/4/98: [quoting]

From February 1-4, 1998 Mr. Abdelfattah Amor, the UN Special Rapporteur on Religious Intolerance will be in Arizona to hear testimony from representatives of Indian tribes, organizations and communities from Arizona and other states in the United States regarding violations of freedom of religion as part of a two-week visit to the United States.

In addition to hearing testimony on a variety of cases from Indian representatives in Phoenix, Mr. Amor will make an on-site visit to Black Mesa/Big Mountain in the 4-corners area of Arizona on February 3rd.

In 1996, the International Indian Treaty Council (IITC) filed a formal complaint with the UN Special Rapporteur on Religious Intolerance on behalf of the Sovereign Dineh Nation of Big Mountain, Arizona, focusing on forced relocation and its impacts on freedom of religion. The Rapporteur accepted the case, and filed a communication with the United States government, expressing his wish to enter the U.S. to meet with the affected Peoples. The U.S. State Department issued him a formal invitation to enter the U.S., resulting in this visit.

This is the first time the U.S. has been formally investigated by the UN for violations of Indigenous Peoples' right to freedom of religion or belief. In August 1996, the UN Subcommission on the Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities passed a resolution recognizing for the first time the tie between sacred sites and lands and the right of Indigenous Peoples to practice their religion. The resolution encouraged the Rapporteur to investigate cases impacting Indigenous Peoples.

Jesse Helms of North Carolina called this visit a waste of the UN's time. [End quoting]



This is probably a big test case—all well orchestrated as a trial balloon for reactions of the the public. Helping the Native Americans probably plays no part in this show.

### AWARD-WINNING HOME DESIGNS

#### Plastic Foam Concrete

From *POPULAR SCIENCE* magazine, Feb. 1998: [quoting]

Remember the third little pig? He remained safe in his masonry home while the hungry wolf quickly flattened his brothers' straw and wood frame structures.

Turns out he was ahead of his time. This month, the National Association of Home Builders Research Center will announce its third annual Energy Value Housing Awards, sponsored by the Department of Energy, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, and product manufacturers. The winners in the Innovative category for hot, moderate, and cold climates are all built from insulated concrete.

Two of the winners—the Holloway Co. in Iowa Park, Texas, and Dominion Building Group in Virginia Beach, Virginia—used insulated concrete forms. These consist of polystyrene panels or blocks; they're stacked like Legos, then the voids filled with concrete. The panels stay in place to form the home's thermal insulation.

The third winner, Tierra Concrete Homes of Pueblo, Colorado, precasts its wall sections, then trucks them to the site. Company President Judy Niemeyer says Tierra leaves the interior walls uninsulated, so that the structure's passive-solar design can take advantage of the concrete's thermal mass. During the day, well-placed windows soak up solar heat energy, which is absorbed by the concrete's mass; at night, the concrete reradiates that heat into the house.

While insulated-concrete technology has been around for more than a decade, Mark Justlin of the Portland Cement Association stresses that the technology is in its infancy in terms of use—it commands less than 1 percent of the market. And most U.S. building codes have only recently accepted the forms.

The award homes' combination of reduced air infiltration and thermal mass helps lower heating and cooling costs by 60 to 80 percent. Dominion says it can downsize furnace and air-conditioner capacities by half when compared with a woodframe home. With their thick, inert walls, concrete homes are also quieter, don't attract termites, and have lower fire-insurance premiums.

And they can better withstand the shaking of earthquakes and the buffing and puffing of hurricane-force winds. —Charles Wardell [End quoting]

### GIVE UP RIGHTS, CAR OWNERS TO BE ASKED

*Police say program may  
curb car thefts*

From *THE RICHMOND TIMES*, 1/27/98: [quoting]

Too often, Richmond police say, the *U.S. Constitution* is getting in the way of prosecuting car thieves.

Now the police have come up with a way to get around that major stumbling block. They're going to ask car owners to voluntarily waive their Constitutional protection against illegal search and seizure so officers can stop their cars if they see them on the street between midnight and 6 a.m.

Police will make the waivers available to residents who volunteer. The program will be called Richmond Combats Auto Theft, or R-CAT.

Capt. Francis J. Monahan Jr. told the City Council's Public Safety Committee yesterday that the program was in line with the atmosphere in the Police Department. **"We want to make sure we go to the edge of the envelope,"** [*emphasis mine*] he said.

That means pushing the *Constitution* to its limits.

Under the R-CAT program, people would be asked to sign a one-page waiver that would eliminate the need for the police to have a "probable cause" to stop a vehicle and arrest the driver. As it is, people can't legally be stopped by the police unless officers have probable cause that they committed a crime.

Often, Monahan said, police will see a car being driven by a young person who can barely see over the steering wheel. If the police stop that car and discover that it is stolen, it is likely that a judge would throw out the arrest for lack of a probable cause.

But, if the car owner has signed a waiver of that protection, there would be no need for probable cause and the driver could be legally prosecuted, Monahan said. [End quoting]

So they want to push the envelope to the limit with your OK?? Then they'll have the excuse to push it much, much further. **WAKE UP** and tell them you don't want the envelope pushed and, in fact, you want most laws taken off the books.

RADIATION HALO  
RINGS MILKY WAY

From *THE GARDEN ISLAND*, Kauai, HA, courtesy of Robert A. Jones, 11/6/97: [quoting]

A report of a previously unknown gamma-ray halo around the Milky Way has puzzled and excited scientists.

The finding by NASA's Compton observatory, an orbiting satellite that carries a gamma ray tele-

scope, was presented at a meeting of the American Astronomical Society.

“There is nothing out there that should obviously be making gamma rays,” said a researcher at the University of California-Riverside who reported the halo’s existence.

“Some high-energy process is occurring out there,” Dixon said. “The space around our galaxy is rather empty of the kind of things we would expect to generate gamma rays in the observed brightness.”

Another astrophysicist, Lynn Cominsky of Sonoma State University in California, told the *Los Angeles Times* the finding may shed light on a great mystery of astronomy, the nature of the unseen “dark matter” that is assumed to make up more than 90 percent of the universe.

“It’s the first light on dark matter,” she said.

The halo measures several trillion miles thick and extends deep into outer space from the Milky Way, the galaxy containing Earth.

In a system used to measure electromagnetic energy, a single gamma ray photon has about 1 billion times as much energy as a photon of ordinary visible light. Gamma rays are not visible from Earth because they are absorbed by the atmosphere.

Scientists are interested in gamma rays because they may be generated by some of the most fundamentally interesting events in the universe, including the birth of a galaxy and the death throes of star.

One theory about the source of the halo is that it could be the product of electrically charged particles colliding with lower energy photons in space. [End quoting]

How about the Photon Belt??

### HIS MYSTERIOUS WAYS

From *GUIDEPOSTS*, Aug. 1997, P.O. Box 1479, Carmel, NY 10512: [quoting]

We were about 30 miles away from the Marine barracks in Fort Meade, Md.—where my friend and I were stationed—when the dashboard warning lights came on and the engine sputtered. Fortunately, we were able to make it to an emergency pulloff area. But we were due back in an hour and a half, after which time we would be considered AWOL.

I poked around under the hood and discovered that a bolt holding the generator in place had worked its way out, loosening the fan belt, causing the engine to overheat and the battery to discharge. The

bolt was nowhere to be found. “What are we going to do?” my friend asked in desperation. He didn’t want a blemish on his service record, and I wasn’t thrilled with the idea myself. “You’re the one who’s religious,” I said sarcastically. “Why don’t you pray?”

As he walked away I searched for a bolt or screw we could use as a replacement. No luck. Just then my friend came back; he was remarkably calm. “I took your advice,” he said. “Everything will be all right.”

There was the rumbling of a huge tractor trailer coming toward us. As it passed, I heard a clink on the road, then felt something hit my foot. I looked down to see a bolt that must have fallen off the truck. I picked it up, twisted it in. It fit perfectly!

Thirty years have passed and I can still feel that little piece of steel hitting my foot. It really was a bolt out of the blue—or out of heaven. —*Duane L. Burch, Sevierville, Tennessee* [End quoting]

It never fails to fascinate me to read stories of all of the “coincidences” that happen after someone prays to God of Light.

## CHAPTER 3

### THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART II IN A SERIES by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98

*Editor's note: The following continues the new series from Calvin Burgin; as he explains in a footnote, Heptameron means: Heptameron (hep-tam'-e-rön): "a book or treatise containing the actions of seven days, in the style of Boccaccio's Decameron or the Heptameron of Queen Margaret of Navarre (1492-1549)."*

#### WHO WAS GODFREY HIGGINS?

Next I want to tell you about Godfrey Higgins, as I will often quote him. Godfrey Higgins was a magistrate (judge) in England in the early 1800s who spent the last twenty years of his life after he retired, in study. He then wrote a massive book with the imposing title *Anacalypsis, An Attempt to Draw Aside the Veil of the Saitic Isis, or An Inquiry Into the Origin of Languages, Nations, and Religions*. In the preface he explains that an illness led him to begin the study (in quoting Higgins I will copy his spelling and emphasis): "The illness above alluded to induced me to turn my attention, more than I had formerly done, to serious matters, and determined me to enter upon a very careful investigation of the evidence upon which our religion was founded. This, at last, led me to extend my inquiry into the origin of all religions, and this again led to an inquiry into the origin of nations and languages; and ultimately I came to a resolution to devote six hours a day to this pursuit for ten years. Instead of *six* hours daily for *ten* years, I believe I have, upon the average, applied myself to it for nearly *ten* hours daily for almost *twenty* years."

Higgins was a highly educated man who became fluent in Latin, ancient Greek, Biblical Hebrew, French, Sanskrit, ancient Welsh (which, by the way, is very nearly the same as Biblical Hebrew). He talked of translating his work into German, so he may have known that language also. He was in walking distance of the British Museum and Library, and had access to the best records available in the world (many of which are not now commonly available to researchers). His book is over 1,300 pages in two volumes of small print and contains a tremendous amount of research material. Only 200 copies were printed, after his death, in London, in 1836, most copies of which have since disappeared. A copy was obtained by Health Research, Box 70, Mokelumne Hill, California 95245, who now makes xerox copies for people who order it.

In the Preface, Higgins said that the vowel points used by Jews so that they can read Hebrew are a modern invention: "In my study of Hebrew, also, a considerable time, I may say, was wasted on the Masoretic points, which at last I found were a mere invention of the modern Jews, and not of the smallest use." Keep in mind that he was writing circa 1833!

Then in a footnote he said: “It may be, to fix the pronunciation and the meaning of their Hebrew to their own fancy at the time, invented a system called the Masoretic Points, which they substituted in place of the vowels, leaving the latter in the text; but, where they could not make them stand for consonants and thus form new syllables, leaving them silent and without meaning. The belief in the antiquity of this system has now become with them a point of faith; *of course here the use of reason ends*. On this account I shall add to the appendix to this volume a small tract that I formerly published on this subject, which I doubt not will satisfy reasoning individuals.”

Let me explain clearly what this means. If you take an original word spelled in a language that had no written vowels, a word such as BR, you can greatly change the meaning of the word by adding vowels. Examples might be BEAR, BARE, BORE, BURY, BRA, EBER, ABRA, and since ancient Hebrew was a language that read from right to left, over the years some words were reversed so it might end up being spelled ROB, ROBE, RABI, and so on. Higgins stated emphatically that this is exactly what the Jews did with Hebrew, and gave many examples!

A modern commentator, in an Introduction he added to the book, made a statement worth remembering: “Modern ‘Jews’ never spoke Hebrew until a country was ‘created’ for them in 1948.”

Higgins further stated: “Hebrew scholars have been accused of undue partiality to what is sneeringly called their *favorite language* by such as do not understand it: and this will probably be repeated towards me. In self-defence, I can only say, that in my search for the origin of ancient science, I constantly found myself impeded by my ignorance of the Hebrew; and in order to remove this impediment, I applied myself to the study of it. **I very early discovered that no translation of the ancient book of Genesis, either by Jew or Christian, could be depended on.**”

Refer to Higgins’ work for much more proof of this. I will attach his appendix to this chapter, which gives confirmation from many other scholars of the time, among whom he names “Capellus, Elias Levitta, Thomas Erpenius, Isaac Casaubon, J.J. Scaliger, Isaac Voxxius, J. Drusius, Arnolde Boote, Andrew Rivet, Lewis de Dieu, Grotius, Spanheim, Festus Hommius, Theodore Beza, Selden, Walton, Sennert, Basnage, Burman, Simon, Limborch, Morinus, Vitringa, Le Clerc, Heuman, L’Advocat, Houbigant, Louth, Kennicott, and Marsh, Theol. Lec. P. ii. Lib. x., p. 75; also see *Todd’s Life of Walton*, note 1, Vol. II, p. 322.”

In his Appendix Higgins states: “The Hebrew Language, as it is found in the copies of the *Pentateuch* used in the synagogues, consists of twenty-two letters, but is devoid of the marks which are known by the name of the vowel points. The present Jews, with the followers of the new divinity school, maintain that these points are of very great antiquity; some asserting them to be as old as Ezra, others coeval with the language. On the contrary, it has been the opinion of most learned men in modern times, that they have been not only adopted as authority, but invented, since the time of Christ; that they were invented in the dark ages by the Jews, in order to enable them to give such meaning and pronunciation to the text as they thought proper....to give to the original text nearly whatever meaning he thinks proper. This is the object for which they were invented by the Jews, and this is now the object for which the new school of Christians support them.”

#### MASORETIC HEBREW IS A NEW LANGUAGE

On page 451 Higgins states: “The Mazoretic or pointed Hebrew is, in fact, a new language.”

On page 450 is this interesting statement concerning the Alexandrian Manuscript: “In the last century [1700s], the University of Oxford employed the learned Dr. Brabe to publish a version of the famous Alexandrian Manuscript, and the following is the description of this work, given by the *Encyclopedia Britannica*: (in voce *Bible*:) ‘In this (version) the Alexandrian manuscript is not printed such as it is, but such as it was thought it should be; i.e., it is *altered* wherever there appeared any fault of the copyists, of *any word inserted from any particular dialect*.’ Thus every new version has been mended. The Jews mend the Samaritan; Origen mends the Jews; Jerom mends Origen; Mohamed mends Jerom; Luther mends Mohamed; Calvin mends Luther; and Dr. Grabe mends them all.”

Higgins said that the *Bible* is mistranslated right from the beginning verse. *Genesis 1* is written “In the beginning, God created the heaven and the Earth...” but Higgins said the Hebrew actually says “Wisdom created” or “Mind created”, and the word “heaven” should have been translated “planets”. This agrees with Zecharia Sitchin.

**Higgins also made the significant statement that ALL religions trace back to a time when God was originally considered to be LIGHT.**

Another interesting quote from Higgins, Vol. 2, p. 53 is: “The ancients had an order of priests called Parasiti or Parasites. These answered correctly to our modern chaplains.” The words “priests” and “parasites” come from the same root.

Higgins had at hand the best reference library in the world, and he had the time, education and knowledge of languages to take advantage of the opportunities. He made some statements that are truly mind-boggling to one educated in modern Christianity. For instance, according to the records, the Apostle John had a student named Polycarp, and Polycarp had a student named Irenæus. Higgins said the Apostle John, Polycarp, and Irenæus and **all** the original writers **SAID THAT CHRIST WAS NOT CRUCIFIED**, but lived to be *over 50 years old!*

Here is the quote from page 129 of Volume 2 of Higgins’ monumental work (emphasis and spelling copied): “He [Irenæus] was of the sect of the Christ *not* crucified. How is all this to be accounted for, except that what the first Christian fathers all taught was true, namely, that there was an *esoteric* and an *exoteric* religion? A great part of what I have unfolded, indeed almost the whole of it, applies to the Gnostics; that is, to the Jesus described by the disputed chapters of *Matthew* and *Luke*—to Jesus of Bethlehem. St. Paul preaches, in a very pointed manner, *Christ crucified*; this was in opposition to the Christ *not* crucified of the Gnostics; and in later times, of the Manichæans and Mohamedans. Gnosticism was the secret religion of the conclave. They had Jesus of Bethlehem for the people, Jesus of Nazareth for the conclave and the cardinals. For the people, they had and have *Jesus crucified*; for the conclave, Jesus *not* crucified. This will appear to many persons at first absolutely incredible. Most fortunately [sic?] the church has been guilty of the oversight of letting the passage of Irenæus escape. One of the earliest, most celebrated, most respected, and most quoted authority of its ancient bishops, saints, and martyrs, tells us in distinct words, that Jesus was *not* crucified under Herod and Pontius Pilate, but that he lived to be turned fifty years of age. This negatives [sic?] the whole story of Herod and Pontius Pilate. This he tells us on the authority of his



master St. Polycarp, also a martyr who had it from St. John himself, and from *all the old people of Asia*.” Asia? Some records show that Christ went to India after the “crucifixion”.

### WHAT WAS GOD’S NAME?

Here is what Higgins found in the old records about the origin of the names of God. The oldest name of God of record was IAO, three vowels. This later became IEU, which is actually the same thing when you understand that the language had no written vowels. Later it became IEU-E, the “E” being the word “the,” so IEUE was “the IEU” or “the IAO”. Later IAO or IEU was added to PTR or PITER to form IAOPITER or IEUPITER or Jupiter, a “paganized” form of the name (PITER has to do with sex worship (PETER) and inter-PREtation of the “mysteries” by PRiesTs). “Theo” comes from “Iao”, as does “Dio”, etc. He has several pages of explanations of these details (p. 323 ff.). In whatever variation, originally “the Scriptures and the fathers of the church all call God a sublime light” (Higgins, p. 327).

In my personal opinion, I think the term for God was originally I, or One, which became IE, which meant “The One” or “The I”, which later became the IAO as mentioned by Higgins above.

In a language that does not have written vowels, you will note that this name IEUE cannot be written down. However, the I in Hebrew is called the Yod; the E is called the Hey, the U is called a VAU or WAU, as V and W are interchangeable. So if you spell the name out, you would spell Yod Hey Vau Hey, or Yod Hey Wau Hey. The first letters of the names of the unwritten vowels just listed are: YHVH or YHWH, the celebrated “Tetragrammaton” [I wonder why it is called the tetragram-ATON, the “four letters of Aton”?]. From this developed the name Yahweh or Yahvah, which eventually degenerated into Jehovah.

The IEUE over a period of time evolved into names such as Yao, Yahhoo, Yah, etc. If you try to pronounce the word IEUE, then try to spell it in your current language, you can appreciate how the word would change over a period of time. I do not mean to imply that IEUE is the true name of God, it is merely one of the oldest forms of the name in some languages that evolved into other names over the passage of time. Without going into details, there is evidence that the name of God traces back eventually to the letter “I” and the number “1”.

The Jews, by whatever name you wish to call them, have been champion name-changers and name-stealers over the centuries. Take note that “J” is a relatively new letter in the English alphabet. In the original *King James Version Bible* and earlier, the word “Jew” was written “Iewe”. [Alan & Mary Culpin Booksellers, 15 Aloha Lane, Rockport, Texas 78382, 512-729-5269 (FAX 512-729-3720) had for sale a 1595 Edition of the *Bible*, printed by Christopher Barker, 1599, London. It used the word “Iewes”.] The word “Jew” or “Jeue” is from the original spelling “IEUE” which they declared was the secret name of their secret god! Many of my friends have been tricked into thinking there is something special about using the name “Yahweh” without realizing that the word is merely a Hebrew acronym for the word Jew! Don’t forget that the God of the Jews said His name is Asher! These names are purposely confusing and can be interpreted various ways. However, we have seen that the four letters of the “Tetragrammaton” means the “four letters of Aton”. Ponder it, as we learn more!



You can label God whatever name you wish, I doubt that it matters. Labels will change with languages and dialects and time, what matters is understanding who and what God IS.

“And the light shineth in darkness; and the darkness comprehended it not...”. Jesus “was not that Light, but was sent to bear witness of that Light” (*John 1*).

### THE BIG MYSTERY

There is much evidence to indicate that our gods are not what we think they are. There were more than one yahweh. One group of angels were called seraphim which literally means fire-breathing dragons. The “spirit” world is much more complicated than we were ever taught. **THAT IS WHAT THE BIG SECRET OF ALL THE SECRET RELIGIONS IS ALL ABOUT.** Originally, the word *secret* and the word *sacred* were the same word! The word *sacred* comes from the Latin word *secretum* which probably traces back to the Hebrew word *sether* which means secret (Higgins). The word *latin* comes from the Hebrew word *lat* or *laat* which also means secret, and thus Latin became the secret language of the sacred priests. The Hebrew word *lat* is also translated *enchantments* in the *King James Bible* and relates to the serpent (enchanter) in the garden and leads to all kinds of interesting research subjects.

Higgins said: “In all the histories of the early Christians, called by the Paulites of Rome or Papists heretics, we read that they held the doctrine, that the God of the Jews was not the Great God, but only a Daemon or Angel” (p. 814). The followers of Paul called all the early Christians heretics, because they said the god of the Jews was a demon? That’s interesting. Conversely, this is confirming that other early Christians considered Paul to be a heretic.

“Every inquirer knows that St. Augustine is looked up to by both Papists and Protestants as one of the first luminaries of the Christian Church; and he not only professed to teach that there were secret doctrines in the religion, but he went a step farther—for he affirmed, *Multa esse vera quæ vulgo scire non sit utile, et quædam quæ tametsi falsa sunt, aliter existimare populum expedit*: that there were many things true in religion, which it was not convenient for the vulgar to know; and again, some things which, *though false*, yet it was expedient should be believed by them. It is not unfair to suppose that in these withheld truths we have part of the modern Christian mysteries, and I think it will hardly be denied, that the church, whose highest authorities held such doctrines, would not scruple to *retouch* the sacred writings” (Higgins, p. 683).

On page 824 Higgins makes this astounding statement: “The doctrine that the Christian religion contained a secret or allegorical meaning is so clearly acknowledged, laid down, and treated on as an admitted fact, by Justin, Clemens Alexandrinus, Origen, and indeed by all the very early fathers, that to attempt to give quotations from their works would be willfully to weaken the evidence of the fact, unless I were to fill fifty pages with them: indeed, I must copy their works. I shall, therefore, say no more on the subject, for it is a point which cannot be disputed; and so the Christian religion continued till the time of Origen, when the doctrines of Paul prevailed, and Origen was declared by the Paulites a heretic for professing it.” Take note that the doctrines of Paul did not originally prevail.

On the next page he says: “I now beg my reader to recall to his recollection what I have said

respecting the X o [Chresos], and I think he will have no difficulty in agreeing with me that originally the religion of Jesus was X -ianity, and that it was not, in fact, until the Paulites got possession of the Papal chair, by a compromise, that Paul's pernicious doctrines were admitted into it—by which its whole character was changed, and it became Christianity, such as it was for a thousand years—quarrelling, persecuting, and devil-driving: and very different indeed from the Chrestianity [older more correct spelling per Higgins] of the first fathers, and from the secret doctrines of the temples of Eleusis and Jerusalem, of the Gentiles, and of Jesus of Nazareth, all of which were the same.”

Higgins, p. 681, 682, said: [QUOTING]

In Cleland's life of Lanfranc, Archbishop of Canterbury, is the following passage: “Lanfranc, a Benedictine Monk, Archbishop of Canterbury, having found the Scriptures much corrupted by copyists, applied himself to correct them, *as also the writings of the fathers*, agreeably to the orthodox faith, *se undum fidem orthodoxam*.” The same very learned Protestant divine has this remarkable passage: “Impartiality exacts from me the confession, that the orthodox have in some places altered the Gospels.” Lanfranc was head of the Monks of St. Maur about A.D. 1050, and it appears that this society not only corrected the Gospel histories, but they also corrected the fathers, in order that their gospel corrections might not be discovered: and this was probably the reason for the publication by them of their version of the whole of the fathers. To the observation that they would not correct all the copies, that some must escape them, it may be replied, that they thought otherwise, and there can be no doubt that if the Pope and the Monks thought it worth their while to correct the Gospels, they would spare no pains to make the correction universal.

Thus we see the fact proved, not only that the Holy Scriptures have been corrupted by the united exertions of the monks and the Papal see, but that the works of the fathers have also been corrupted to be in unison with them, and this not by one man, but by a very great and powerful society in league with the Pope. Surely after the proof of such a fact as this, it is only fair if a passage be found which compromises the moral attributes of God (which a passage would do if it established *the atonement*) to suppose that it is a passage which has been *retouché*. I may be an obstinate heretic for entertaining such belief, but I can sooner believe that a passage is one of those RETOUCHÉ than that God is unjust or cruel. From the observation of Mr. Gibbon, from Wetstein, that the retouching was done by consentaneous movement at Rome, St. Maur, and Canterbury, we may form a pretty fair judgment that a universal movement of the Monks of the world then took place to effect the desired object. There can be no doubt, I think, that the very fine edition of the fathers which was published by the Benedictins of St. Maur was done to remove any passages which the old books might contain opposed to the RETOUCHÉS Gospels.

But this is not the only correction the Gospels are said to have undergone. Lardner says, “Victor Tununensis, an African Bishop, who flourished about the sixth century and wrote a Chronicle, ending at the year 566, says, When Messala was Consul (that is, in the year of Christ 506) at Constantinople, by order of the Emperor Anastasius, the holy Gospels being written by illiterate Evangelists are censured and corrected.” As may be expected, great pains have been taken to run down and depreciate this piece of evidence to a dry fact, the truth or falsity of which the narrator must have known. *Victor was a Christian Orthodox Bishop*. It is not credible that he would in his

Chronicle record a fact like this if it were false. His evidence is rendered more probable by the casual way in which it is given; and he must be considered the most unwilling of witnesses. If evidence *like this*, to such a simple fact, is to be refused, there is indeed an end of all history, ancient and modern. The charge against the ruling power of corrupting the Gospels is not that of one individual only: the same charge we see was made against it by the Mohamedans, and it was done before by the Manichæans. It is worthy of observation, that there is not a manuscript of the Gospels in existence earlier than the sixth century. A strong probability arises that the ancient Gospels were destroyed at this time.

[END OF QUOTE FROM HIGGINS]

It is very important to realize that the vowels were not added to Hebrew until the Middle Ages. After all, the sacred writings had been rewritten and “corrected” and the originals had been destroyed by this time. What do you suppose was in the originals that they wanted to eliminate? Perhaps we can learn hints from books that have been reclaimed, such as the *Book of Jasher* and the *Book of Enoch*. These were rediscovered among the *Dead Sea Scrolls*. They tell about extra-terrestrials, flying machines, they identify conventional historical beings with Biblical and “mythical” beings, etc. Oh my. I can certainly imagine a Dark Ages, Middle Ages monk wanting to destroy such “blasphemy”.

The oldest *Hebrew Bible* manuscript with vowels was the Aleppo Codex written in the early 900s AD. “This 760-page manuscript, written in the early 10th century, was the oldest copy of the complete *Hebrew Bible* containing vowel signs, punctuation, notations for liturgical changing and textual notes.” P. 24, “Ancient *Bible* from the Ashes”, *Bible Review*, August 1991 by Harvey Minkoff. The manuscript was written by Aaron Ben Asher, who took the old documents and *corrected* them and added vowel points and it became “...the finest *Masoretic Bible* ever produced. In addition, it is most likely the first manuscript of the complete *Hebrew Bible* to contain all the notations for vowels, accents, intonation and melody which we have been describing” (p. 26, *Ibid.*). The manuscript was destroyed by fire in 1947 during the fighting between the Jews and Arabs. However, in 1958 it miraculously appeared again, with a story of how about three-fourths of it survived the fire. Minkoff’s article states: “There are contradictions and unanswered questions, of course—because of the confusion inherent in the riots, the passage of time, the desire of some to exaggerate their part and of others to protect family and friends still at risk in Syria.” Scholars are not allowed to research the original document; however, a facsimile of the surviving portion was published in 1976. Even this 10th century “original” could be a hoax and is certainly suspect!

For much more information and proof, I refer you to Higgins’ book. Are you open minded enough to seriously consider the points brought out in this article? It is your choice.

The Following Is The Appendix Written By Higgins In Early 1830s:

ON THE VOWEL POINTS  
OF THE HEBREW LANGUAGE

(From *The Classical Journal*, XXXIII. 145-153.)

*To the Editor.*

Sir,

In consequence of the present prevailing fashion for the study of the Hebrew language, I am induced to offer some observations respecting its celebrated vowel points. It appears that a new school of divinity is arising, which is chiefly founded on an old exploded notion of the antiquity of these points. The object for which this obsolete doctrine is revived, is, I think, sufficiently evident. However, with your permission, as concisely as is in my power, I propose to submit to your readers a few of the reasons which formerly caused it to be exploded, and which, I flatter myself, will finally consign it to its long home. The Hebrew language, as it is found in the copies of the *Pentateuch* used in the synagogues, consists of twenty-two letters, but is devoid of the marks which are known by the name of the vowel points. The present Jews, with the followers of the new divinity school, maintain that these points are of very great antiquity; some asserting them to be as old as Ezra, others coeval with the language. On the contrary, it has been the opinion of most learned men in modern times, that they have been not only adopted as authority, but invented, since the time of Christ; that they were invented in the dark ages by the Jews, in order to enable them to give such meaning and pronunciation to the text as they thought proper, and further to enable them, on once having given it that meaning and pronunciation, to keep them from all change in future. The object for which they were invented is evident from the circumstance, that they not only added a system of new vowels to the language, but they contrived to abolish the old ones, and render them silent and useless as vowels, and convert them, when joined to the new letters, into consonants. Had the object of the Jews in inventing the points been merely to fix the pronunciation, they would not have done away with the old vowels, but only added some points to them. But this would not have served their purpose; therefore they were obliged to get quit of the sturdy old vowels, which would not be made to bend to their purposes, and to convert them into consonants.

The simple question at issue betwixt the parties is, whether these points be new or old; and this, I think, it will not be difficult to settle. If what Harris says be true, that a letter is a sign significant, the vowel points and accents or marks, upwards of twenty in number, must be letters, for they are certainly signs significant; and it is pretty evident that the addition of such a number of letters to any language must enable the person adding them, to give to the original text nearly whatever meaning he thinks proper. This is the object for which they were invented by the Jews, and this is now the object for which the new school of Christians support them.

In the beginning of the last and the end of the preceding century, the question of the antiquity of these points was discussed at great length, and with no little warmth and animation, by a great number of very learned men, until the subject appeared to be completely exhausted, and the question settled. To enter into the contest again would be useless, and evidently would occupy too much space in your miscellany: but as Dean Prideaux has summed up the chief arguments against them in a short and compendious form, it may be useful to many of your readers who are misinformed by their Jewish and Christian instructors, to see what has been said by him against them. The following are the principal reasons which he gives against their antiquity:

1. "The sacred books made use of by the Jews in their synagogues, have ever been, and still are,

without the vowel points, which would not have happened had they been placed there by Ezra, and consequently been of the same authority with the letters; for, had they been so, they would certainly have been preserved in the synagogues with the same care as the rest of the text. There can scarce any other reason be given why they were not admitted thither, but that, when the Holy Scriptures began first to be publicly read to the people in their synagogues, there were no such vowel points then in being; and that when they afterwards came in use, being known to be of a human invention, they were for that reason never thought fit to be added to those sacred copies, which were looked on as the true representatives of the original; and therefore they have been ever kept with the same care in the ark or sacred chest of the synagogue, as the original draft of the law of Moses anciently ...[*my copy not readable*]...was in the ark or sacred chest of the tabernacle, which was prepared for it; and they are still so kept in the same manner among them to this day.

2. “The ancient *various readings* of the sacred text, called Keri Cetib, are all about the letters, and none about the vowel points; which seem manifestly to prove, that the vowel points were not anciently in being, or else were not looked on as an authentic part of the text; for if they had, the variations of these would certainly have been taken notice of, as well as those of the letters.

3. “The ancient cabalists drew none of their mysteries from the vowel points, but all from the letters: which is an argument either that these vowel points were not in use in their time, or else were not then looked on as an authentic part of the sacred text; for if they had been so, these triflers would certainly have drawn mysteries from the one as well as from the other, as the later cabalists have done.

4. “If we compare with the present pointed *Hebrew Bibles* the version of the *Septuagint*, the Chaldee paraphrases, the fragments of Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion, or the Latin version of Jerome, we shall in several places find that they did read the text otherwise than according to the present punctuation; which is a certain argument that the pointed copies, if there were any such in their times, were not then held to be of any authority for otherwise they would certainly have followed them.

5. “Neither the *Mishna*, nor the *Gemara*, either that of Jerusalem or that of Babylon, do make any mention of these vowel points, although in several places there are such special occasions and reasons for them so to have done, that it can scarce be thought possible they could have omitted it if they had been in being when these books were written; or, if in being, had not been looked on by the Jews of those times to be of any authority amongst them. Neither do we find the least hint of them in Philo-Judæus or Josephus, who are the oldest writers of the Jews, or in any of the ancient Christian writers for several hundred years after Christ. And although among them Origen and Jerome were well skilled in the Hebrew language, yet in none of their writings do they speak the least of them. Origen flourished in the third, and Jerome in the fifth century; and the latter having lived a long time in Judea, and there more especially applied himself to the study of Hebrew learning, and much conversed with the Jewish Rabbis for his improvement therein, it is not likely that he could have missed making some mention of them through all his voluminous works, if they had been either in being among the Jews in his time, or in any credit or authority with them, and that especially, since in his commentaries there were so many necessary occasions for his taking notice of them; and it cannot be denied but that this is a very strong argument against them.”—Prid. Con. P.i.B.v.



[END OF QUOTE FROM HIGGINS]

### PRE-FLOOD OVERLORDS

There is plenty of evidence of mankind before Adam, if you date Adam to around 4,000 BC or so. However there are no writings that can be dated with confidence before 4,000 BC.

There are those that currently claim to be extra-terrestrials (the Masters who give us “channelled” information) that have spoken on what occurred in the pre-historic times. Is the information they give us concerning ancient events real truth? Sorry, don’t ask me, these events were so long ago that I no longer remember them (wink).

For what it is worth to you, let’s look at some of this information before we look at later documents. Surprisingly, it does seem to fit into the overall picture.

### THE BELLRINGER CHRONOLOGY

There is a posting on the Internet <<http://www.rapidnet.com/~contact/>> from Patrick Bellringer, who apparently receives “channelled” information, that states [*refer to chart; quoting:*]

The rest of the document concerns current material that is not pertinent to our subject.

Bellringer says that the Pleiadeans returned to Earth in force and stationed their ships around the equator and at both Poles in 1954 to stabilize the Earth (this was not “first contact”). Perhaps the electromagnetic fields around the Earth were erratic because of the nuclear testing we had recently been doing at the time, some of which was in space. If any of you read the *Fire From The Sky* material, perhaps you recall that in March 1955, Admiral Byrd was placed in charge of *Operation Deepfreeze* which was part of the International Geophysical Year (1957-1958) exploration of the Antarctic. Many nations were suddenly interested in Antarctica. He died, some have insisted he was murdered, in 1957.

2 pages pleadians

According to UFO researchers, geophysicist Lloyd Viel Berkner, President of Associated Universities, Inc., which operated the particle accelerators of the Brookhaven National Laboratories, was a member of Majestic-12 and the Jason Group, and the Robertson Panel which investigated UFOs. According to David Jacobs, *The UFO Controversy in America*, Berkner “accompanied Admiral Byrd” on Antarctic expeditions. Berkner is listed as a member of Majestic-12 and the Jason Group along with astronomer Dr. Thornton Leigh Page, Deputy Director, Office of Operations Research, John Hopkins University and formerly a physicist with *naval* ordnance. Others in the Robertson Panel included Frederick Clark Durant, a rocket engineer; astronomer Dr. Joseph Allen Hynek, Ohio

(home of Wright-Patterson AFB) State University who was also a consultant to the U. S. Air Force Air Technical Intelligence Center (which did the UFO Blue Book Project). The Panel interviewed a long list of Generals and Chiefs and other high level individuals. Dr. Lloyd Berkner is also listed in *Assault On The Unknown*, *The International Geophysical Year* (a project that investigated Antarctica) as the head of the American part of the International Geophysical Year study. He was radio man on the first Byrd Expedition to the Antarctic and developed the sounder that charts the radio-reflecting layers of the atmosphere (is that really what it was?). Berkner was Chairman of the Space Science Board of the National Academy of Sciences. *Operation Highjump* is not mentioned, but Berkner was part of *Operation Deepfreeze* at the Antarctic in 1957-1958, headed by Byrd until his death, as were Wernher von Braun (why was a rocket scientist suddenly interested in Antarctica?) and Frederick C. Durant. Berkner became a Navy Rear Admiral.

Another Antarctic scientist was Dr. Carl Augustus Heiland, who worked with Vannevar Bush and who was part of the on-site recovery team of the Aztec UFO crash (p. 85, *UFO Crash At Aztec*). He appears to be a Paperclip scientist, as he received his Doctorate from the University of Hamburg. Another very important scientist was Dr. Eric Henry Wang. Steinman (author of *UFO Crash At Aztec*) said: “The subject of Dr. Eric Henry Wang remains one of the most touchy and sensitive areas in all ufology” (p. 282). Dr. Eric Wang was head of the Office of Special Studies of the Air Materials Command at Wright-Patterson AFB. He was an Austrian-born graduate of the Vienna Technical Institute and “a close associate of Victor Schauberger”. [Hamilton, William F. *Cosmic Top Secret*. Inner Light, 1991., p. 23.] Steinman’s book has a whole chapter on Nazi German “flying disk” projects, many of which were built using Schauberger’s designs.

The official conclusion of the Robertson Panel was that interest in UFOs was dangerous and that the situation should be downplayed and covered up. Jacobs said that Robertson showed the final report of the panel to General Charles Cabell, director of Air Force intelligence and later Deputy Director of the CIA in charge of clandestine operations, “who expressed satisfaction with it”. Cabell’s brother Earl was the Mayor of Dallas during the Kennedy assassination, and apparently was the one that ordered the motorcade to change routes into the ambush area. It has been rumored that Kennedy intended to reveal the truth about UFOs. Earl later went to work for Howard Hughes. General Cabell was the person who briefed President Kennedy prior to the Bay of Pigs invasion. Robert Groden in *High Treason* quotes Victor Marchetti as saying that Clay Shaw, David Ferrie, E. Howard Hunt, Frank Sturgis, Bernard Barker, Charles Cabell, and Richard Nixon “were all working together in the CIA’s Bay of Pigs planning operation”. Kennedy later fired Cabell. Cabell and Richard Bissell were in charge of the U-2 program. Lee Harvey Oswald was given a job within one week of his arrival in Dallas, working on maps for the U-2 flights (Jim Garrison, *On The Trail of The Assassins*). In 1957, Oswald was a radar operator at the U-2 base in Atsugi, Japan.

General Cabell ordered the re-activation of Project Grudge (a UFO investigation project) on October 27, 1951, and on December 11, 1951, Major General John A. Stamford, “having replaced Major General Cabell as director of intelligence, was given a full briefing of the service’s UFO program by Captain Edward J. Ruppelt and Colonel Frank Dunn, chief of the Air Technical Intelligence Center.” [Flammonde, Paris. *UFOs Exist!*. Ballantine Books, New York, 1976, p. 392-393.]

Army General John Medaris was in charge of the Army Ballistic Missile Agency over von Braun and

on March 20, 1959, received a directive for a study of a manned lunar base. “General Medaris organized a crash effort to carry out the study, which became *Project Horizon*. Less than three months later, on June 8, the study was completed. In the first page of the study (four of five volumes of which have been declassified), the conclusions are stated: Military, political and scientific considerations indicate that it is imperative for the United States to establish a lunar outpost at the earliest practicable date.... *Project Horizon* represents the earliest feasible capability for the U.S. to establish a lunar outpost [*Project Horizon 1959*, volume 1, p. 1].”

### POLAR DEFENSES

One thing that Admiral Byrd stated in a press conference after the defeat of his invasion of Antarctica was that the Antarctic continent should be surrounded by a “wall of defense installations, since it represented the last line of defense for **America**”. Although the US and Russia had been allies during the war, suddenly the “Iron Curtain” was created and we and the Russians became enemies. Both the Soviets and the United States ringed the poles with defense and detection bases, and in between was the barren no-mans-land of the poles where absolutely nobody lived—or did they? Could it be that we pretended we were protecting against the Russians and they pretended they were protecting against us, while really we and they were both scared of what was in between us?

UFO researchers should begin to see the light of why the Navy has always been in the lead of UFO research.

### PRIOR CIVILIZATIONS

Phoenix Source Distributors (PO Box 27353, Las Vegas, NV 89126) has many journals of information on a wealth of subjects. The first journal is called *Sipapu Odyssey*, and on page 56 a book called *New Teachings* (for an Awakening Humanity) is recommended. This book is information from one called The Christ. This is information from one who says he is the being we call Jesus. This book has information about our past before the time of the *Bible*, and recommends a book called *New Cells, New Bodies, New Life* as a follow up with more material on the subject.

The *New Cells* book says that the first man was the Red man, and the White, Yellow, Brown and Black came from him (the *Bible*, also, says that Adam was a Red man). It talks about gods/extraterrestrials who taught the Red man and others such as the Peruvian “Indians”. It says we have origins in the Pleiades (sometimes called Krittika per p. 435, *The Secret Doctrine* by Blavatsky, Vol. 1).

The third book in this series is called *You Are Becoming A Galactic Human*. It goes back to a beginning 35 million years ago when Earth had an etheric (similar to energy/spirit) civilization. Then about 26 million years ago there was an invasion of a Dinoid (dinosaur?) civilization from the Bellatrix system and other stars in the belt of the Constellation Orion and a Reptoid (serpent?) civilization from Sagittarius. There was a lot of violence going on.

About 18 million years ago, a pre-cetacean society arose. The pre-cetaceans were a mammalian group composed of a land version of modern cetaceans (whales and dolphins). The dinoids and



reptoids joined to destroy the cetaceans, but the cetaceans managed to defeat and destroy them about 8 to 10 million years ago. The Dinoid/Reptoid survivors fled to Maldek. The Phoenix Journal #5 *From Here To Armageddon, I Am Ashtar*, on page 15, says the planet Maldek (in our solar system) was later destroyed by the one we know as Lucifer.

A Vegan (I think this means from the star Vega—not a vegetarian) human group was created and the first Earth colony called Hybornea was begun, about 2 million years ago. About 1 million years ago Hybornea was destroyed, and about 900,000 years ago Lemuria was founded. About 500,000 years ago Atlantis, Yu and Libyan/Egyptian Daughter Colonies were founded.

About 25,000 BC Atlantis destroyed Lemuria. A group of rebels called the Ionians created an underground movement called the Osiris cult which eventually defeated King Atlas (whose son was Osiris, head of the Osiris cult). Queen Mu and her brother Prince Mayam fled to Central America with most of the Atlantean army.

This book says that the Great Flood was about 6,000 years ago (4,000 BC).

This book also says that there are at present on Earth two main species that are guardian groups. **One group are the cetaceans (which includes whales and dolphins)** and the other is a human species originally brought from other star systems to their present locations on Earth.

To some Christians, this information is nonsense. Before you reject it, I suggest, dear Christians, that you look a little closer at your *Bible*. I will explain in a moment. But first, let's look at some of our oldest writings, ancient clay tablets.

### THE BABYLONIAN GENESIS

In 1848-1876, Austin Layard, Hormuzd Rassam, and George Smith excavated the ruins at Nineveh and discovered the great library of King Ashurbanipal. Among the discoveries were seven clay tablets containing over 1,000 lines of text of a story that has become known as the *Enuma Elish* Creation Epic. Other tablets were later found at Ashur in 1902-1914, containing the Assyrian version of the Babylonian epic.

The story starts when there was nothing but water, when Apsu begat Mummu and Tiamat (these had to be water creatures—were they cetaceans?). Lahmu and Lahamu came into being, then Anshar and Kishar were created. Anshar's son was Anu, who begot Nudimmud. Anu had a grandson named Marduk. It talks of the star Nibiru.

Other tablets tell of Anu, Enlil, Shamash, and Ea coming to Earth along with the Anunnaki, the great gods. They say, "Let us slay (two) Lamga gods. With their blood let us create mankind." Anu, Enlil, Enki and Ninhursagga created mankind and brought into being four-legged animals of the field.

The stories (translations) are in books such as *The Babylonian Genesis* by Alexander Heidel. Heidel discusses comparisons of the struggles and warfare between the serpents (reptoids) and the dragons (Dinoid), and compares them with similar accounts in the *Bible*. Heidel was on the Assyrian Dictio-

nary staff of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago.

For instance, *Isa. 51:9-10* tells of “...Was it not thou that didst hew Rahab in pieces, that didst pierce the crocodile (*tannin*)? Was it not Thou that didst dry up the sea, the waters of the great deep....”

*Psalms 89:9-12* tells of “...Thou didst crush Rahab like one who is slain, With Thy strong arm Thou didst scatter Thine enemies....”

*Job: 9:13-14* talks of “God does not turn back His anger. Under Him bowed the helpers of Rahab....”

*Job 26:12-13* tells of “By His power the sea is quiet, And by His understanding He smites Rahab. By His breath the sky is cleared, His hand pierces the fleeing serpent.”

*Isa. 27:1*: “On that day the Lord will punish with His sword, which is hard and great and strong, Leviathan, the fleeing serpent, And Leviathan, the tortuous serpent, and He will slay the crocodile (*tannin*) that is in the sea.”

*Psalms 74:12-17* tells of “....Thou didst divide the sea by Thy power; Thou didst crush the heads of the crocodiles (*tanninim*) by the waters. Thou didst shatter the heads of Leviathan....”

Heidel discusses the word *tannin* which is used for the serpent, the crocodile, the whale and the shark (see *Gen. 1:21*; *Ps. 148:7*). He points out that the word crocodile is not adequate as a translation, because crocodiles are fresh water and do not live in the sea. And then on page 106 he says “**the allusion is then in all probability to some cetacean animal**”. Heidel wrote in 1942.

Isn't it interesting that the *Bible*, ancient clay tablets, and modern “channeled Masters” all talk of serpents, dragons, and CETACEANS? Even the U. S. Navy, always at the forefront (or *background*) of UFO and extra-terrestrial research, is also very interested in classified research on communication with dolphins!

### WHO WAS NOAH?

Now that we have a chronological outline of the *Bible*, let's get down to specifics. You should read *Genesis* chapters 6 through 9 to familiarize yourself with the basic story of Noah, if you are not already familiar with it. Let's begin noting some key things: “And [God] spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly” (2 *Peter* 2:5).

What does “...saved Noah the eighth person...” mean? Noah's three sons, their three wives, and Noah's wife would be seven people, and Noah would have made eight. However, all eight were saved, not just the eighth one, so this must mean something else. Noah's father was Lamech. If we read *Genesis 4:16*, we find Lamech's ancestors were Adam, Cain, Enoch, Irad, Mehudael, Methusael, and then Lamech. This is seven and Noah would have been the eighth if we count this line.

Upon closer inspection, we find a problem. Another ancestry of Lamech in *Genesis 4:26* through

5:29 is Adam, Seth, Enos, Cainan, Mahalaleel, Jared, Enoch, Methusaleh, and Lamech, who is stated to be the father of Noah. Noah would have been tenth in this line.

Which line is correct? Note that one Lamech is descended from Adam's son Cain, and another Lamech is descended from Adam's son Seth. There are obviously two totally different men named Lamech, two different lines described, and we must not get them mixed.

Luke, in the *New Testament*, confirms the ancestry of Shem. He lists (using Greek spelling) in *Luke* 3:36 that Sem (Shem) was son of Noe (Noah), who was son of Lamech, who was son of Mathusala, son of Enoch, son of Jared, son of Maleleel, son of Cainan, son of Enos, son of Seth, son of Adam. The question remains, Noah was the eighth what? Obviously he was not the eighth generation. The answer is this: *2 Peter* 2:5: "...but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness...." Noah was the eighth preacher of righteousness, or what we would now call, the eighth High Priest. Most commentators and translators say the "eighth" refers to Noah being one of the eight persons who were saved from the Flood. The transliteration of the Greek reads "...and of old a world not he spared, but eighth Noah of righteousness a herald he kept safe...." (*Diaglott*). Adam Clarke, in his *Commentary*, was on the right track when he said "Some think that the words should be translated, 'Noah, the eighth preacher of righteousness'." The *Bible* and the old records show that Seth was also a "preacher of righteousness", as was Enoch, and Noah's son Shem. To say that Noah was the eighth person saved really makes no sense. For that matter, would it not be more sensible to say that Noah was the first of eight that were saved? We shall see the importance of this information (that Noah was the highest ranking preacher or priest, in other words, the High Priest of that time) later in the article.

## CHAPTER 4

### THE UNTOLD HISTORY OF AMERICA

#### PART XVII OF A SERIES

by Ray Bilger 1/21/98

Ohiyesa, a Santee Dakota physician and author, was born in 1858 near Redwood Falls, Minnesota. In 1887 he graduated from Dartmouth College, and in 1890 he received a degree in medicine from Boston University. He is perhaps the most famous of Native American authors. Here he reflects on his life and the Indian ways: (quoting)

As a child I understood how to give. I have forgotten this grace since I became civilized. I lived the natural life, whereas now I live the artificial. Any pretty pebble was valuable to me then; every growing tree an object of reverence. Now I worship with the White Man before a painted landscape whose value is estimated in dollars! Thus, the Indian is reconstructed, as the natural rocks are ground to powder and made into artificial blocks which may be built into the walls of modern society.

The first American mingled with his pride a singular humility. Spiritual arrogance was foreign to his nature and teaching. He never claimed that the power of articulate speech was proof of superiority over the dumb creation; on the other hand, it is to him a perilous gift. He believes profoundly in silence—the sign of a perfect equilibrium. Silence is the absolute poise or balance of body, mind and spirit. The man who perseveres his selfhood is ever calm and unshaken by the storms of existence—not a leaf, as it were, astir on the tree; not a ripple upon the surface of the shining pool—his, in the mind of the unlettered sage, is the ideal attitude and conduct of life.

If you ask him, “What is silence?” he will answer, “It is the Great Mystery! The holy silence is HIS voice!” If you ask, “What are the fruits of silence?” he will say, “They are self-control, true courage or endurance, patience, dignity, and reverence. Silence is the cornerstone of character.”

In the life of the Indian there was only one inevitable duty, the duty of prayer; the daily recognition of the Unseen and Eternal. His daily devotions were more necessary to him than daily food. He wakes at daybreak, puts on his moccasins and steps down to the water’s edge. Here he throws handfuls of clear, cold water into his face, or plunges in bodily. After the bath, he stands erect before the advancing dawn, facing the Sun as it dances upon the horizon, and offers his unspoken orison...

Whenever, in the course of the daily hunt, the red hunter comes upon a scene that is strikingly beautiful or sublime—a black thundercloud with the rainbow’s glowing arch above the mountain; a white waterfall in the heart of a green gorge; a vast prairie tinged with the blood-red of sunset—he pauses for an instant in the attitude of worship. He sees no need for setting apart one day in seven as a holy day, since to him all days are God’s. (End quoting)

The modern, civilized human, on the other hand, has been well trained to believe that we are to conduct our worship of our Creator one day out of seven (we call this religion), having long since forgotten, if indeed we ever knew, that all days, and all life, are sacred. It is all part of the subtle

means used to control us from behind the scenes.

Before we move ahead into 1964 and the exploits of President Lyndon B. Johnson and his handlers, it would be very beneficial for us to go back for just a moment to 1947 and pick up a lesson which will offer great insight into all that follows thereafter. It is not that we have forgotten to include what happened then, it is just that only so much information can be absorbed by the human mind at any one time without overloading it, and it now appears to be the appropriate time to consider the following.

What we shall now explore happened on February 19, 1947, and concerns the Arctic flight of one Admiral Richard E. Byrd. But before we get into this incredible tale, it would be good to give a bit of background information on just who this Admiral Byrd was.

Richard E. Byrd, American Naval officer, celebrated Arctic and Antarctic explorer, navigator and scientist, was born in Virginia in 1888. At the age of 12 he took a trip around the world, unescorted. He entered the U.S. Naval Academy in 1908, and in 1918 he was given command of two U.S. Naval Air Bases in Canada which he directed throughout World War I.

Richard seemed to have a lifelong affinity for exploring the Earth's polar regions, and in 1926 he made the first airplane flight to the North Pole. Next, he attempted a flight from New York to Paris, France. On June 29, 1927, he took off from Roosevelt Field on Long Island with a crew of two and the first official trans-Atlantic air mail, and 42 hours and 6 minutes later he reached Paris in a driving rain, having covered 4,200 miles! He excelled in everything he did. After exploring the South Pole in 1929, the Congress, by special act, advanced him to the rank of Rear Admiral.

Jumping ahead to 1947, Admiral Byrd was making yet another of his many flights to the North Pole. It was February 19th and, as you will see, he was later ORDERED by the Pentagon not to speak to anyone about his flight for National Security reasons. Admiral Byrd almost took this information with him to the grave, when he died on March 11, 1957 in Boston, Massachusetts. By the way, he was given full honors at Arlington National Cemetery. This secret log and diary of his February 19 flight was only made public many years later. Let's hear his story in his own words about that seven-hour flight. For reasons not known, exact log times were not indicated (please refer to accompanying diagram): (quoting)

Flight Log: Base Camp Arctic, Feb. 19, 1947.

——— Hours: All preparations are complete for our flight northward, and we are airborne with full fuel load at ——— Hours.

——— Hours: Fuel mixture on starboard engine seems too rich, adjustment made and Pratt & Whitneys are running smoothly now.

——— Hours: Radio check with base camp, situation normal.

——— Hours: Turbulence encountered again, increase altitude to 2,900 feet, smooth flight conditions again.

—— Hours: Vast ice and snow below, note coloration of yellowish nature, and dispersed to a linear pattern. Altering course for a better examination of this color pattern below, more reddish or purple color also. Circle this area two full turns and return to assigned compass heading. Position check made again to base camp, and relay information concerning colorations in the ice and snow below.

—— Hours: Both magnetic and gyro compasses beginning to gyrate and wobble, we are unable to hold our heading by instrumentation. Take bearing with Sun compass, yet all seems well. The controls are seemingly slow to respond and have sluggish quality, but there is no indication of icing!

—— Hours: In the distance is what appears to be mountains!

—— Hours: 29 minutes elapsed flight time from the first sighting of the mountains; it is no illusion. They are mountains consisting of a small range that I have never seen before.

—— Hours: Altitude change to 2,950 feet, encounter turbulence again.

—— Hours: We are crossing over the small mountain range and still proceeding northward as best as can be ascertained. Beyond the mountain range is what appears to be a valley with a small river or stream running through the center portion. There should be no green valley below! Something is definitely wrong and abnormal here! We should be over ice and snow! To the portside are great forests growing on the mountain slopes. Our navigation instruments are spinning, the gyroscope is oscillating back and forth!

—— Hours: I alter altitude to 1,400 feet and execute a sharp left turn to better examine the valley below. It is green with either moss or knitgrass. The light here seems different. I cannot see the Sun anymore. We make another left turn and spot what seems to be a large animal of some kind below us. It appears to be an elephant! NO!!! It looks like a mammoth! This is incredible! Yet, there it is! Decrease altitude to 1,000 feet and take binoculars to better examine the animal. It is confirmed—it is definitely a mammoth-like animal! Report this to base camp.

—— Hours: Encountering more rolling green hills now. The external temperature indicator reads 74 degrees Fahrenheit! Continuing on our heading now. Navigation instruments seem normal now. I am puzzled over their actions. Attempt to contact base camp. Radio is not functioning!

—— Hours: Countryside below is more level and normal (if I may use that word). Ahead we spot what seems to be a city!!! This is impossible! Aircraft seems light and oddly buoyant. The controls refuse to respond!! My GOD!!

Off our port and starboard wings are a strange type of aircraft. They are closing rapidly alongside! They are disc-shaped and have radiant quality to them. They are close enough now to see the markings on them. It is a strange symbol I shall not reveal. This is fantastic. Where are we! What has happened. I tug at the controls again. They will not respond!!! We are caught in an invisible vise grip of some type!



——— Hours: Our radio crackles and a voice comes through in English with what perhaps is a slight Nordic or Germanic accent! The message is: “Welcome, Admiral, to our domain. We shall land you in exactly seven minutes! Relax, Admiral, you are in good hands.” I note the engines of our plane have stopped running! The aircraft is under some strange control and is now turning itself. The controls are useless.

——— Hours: Another radio message received. We begin the landing process now, and in moments the plane shudders slightly, and begins a descent as though caught in some great unseen elevator! The downward motion is negligible, and we touch down with only a slight jolt!

——— Hours: I am making a hasty last entry in the flight log. Several men are approaching on foot toward our aircraft. They are tall with blond hair. In the distance is a large shimmering city pulsating with rainbow hues of color. I do not know what is going to happen now, but I see no signs of weapons on those approaching. I hear now a voice ordering me by name to open the cargo door. I comply. END LOG

From this point I write all the following events here from memory. It defies the imagination and would seem all but madness if it had not happened.

The radioman and I are taken from the aircraft and we are received in a most cordial manner. We are then boarded on a small platform-like conveyance with no wheels! It moves us toward the glowing city with great swiftness. As we approach, the city seems to be made of a crystal material.

Soon we arrive at a large building that is a type I have never seen before. It appears to be right out of the design board of Frank Lloyd Wright, out of a Buck Rogers setting!

We are given some type of warm beverage which tasted like nothing I have ever savored before. It was delicious. After about ten minutes, two of our wondrous appearing hosts come to our quarters and announce that I am to accompany them. I have no choice but to comply. I leave my radioman behind and we walk a short distance and enter into what seems to be an elevator.

We descend downward for some moments, the machine stops, and the door lifts silently upward! We then proceed down a long hallway that is lit by a rose-colored light that seems to be emanating from the very walls themselves! One of the beings motions for us to stop before a great door. Over the door is an inscription that I cannot read. The great door slides noiselessly open and I am beckoned to enter.

One of my hosts speaks. “Have no fear, Admiral, you are to have an audience with the Master...”

I step inside and my eyes adjust to the beautiful coloration that seems to be filling the room completely. Then I begin to see my surroundings. What greeted my eyes is the most beautiful sight of my entire existence. It is in fact too beautiful and wondrous to describe. It is exquisite and delicate. I do not think there exists a human term that can describe it in any detail with justice!

My thoughts are interrupted in a cordial manner by a warm rich voice of melodious quality. “I bid you welcome to our domain, Admiral.” I see a man with delicate features and with the etchings of years upon his face. He is seated at a long table. He motions me to sit down in one of the chairs.



After I am seated, he places his fingertips together and smiles. He speaks softly again, and conveys the following. “We have let you enter here because you are of noble character and well-known on the Surface World, Admiral.”

Surface World, I half-gasp under my breath! “Yes,” the Master replies with a smile, “you are in the domain of the Arianni, the Inner World of the Earth. We shall not long delay your mission, and you will be safely escorted back to the surface and for a distance beyond. But now, Admiral, I shall tell you why you have been summoned here. Our interest rightly begins just after your race exploded the first atomic bombs over Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Japan. It was at that alarming time we sent our flying (discs)... to your surface world to investigate what your race had done.

That is, of course, past history now, my dear Admiral, but I must continue on. You see, we have never interfered before in your race’s wars, and barbarity, but now we must, for you have learned to tamper with a certain power that is not for man, namely, that of atomic energy. Our emissaries have already delivered messages to the powers of your world, and yet they do not heed. Now you have been chosen to witness here that our world does exist. You see, our Culture and Science is many thousands of years beyond your race, Admiral.”

I interrupted, “But what does this have to do with me, Sir!”

The Master’s eyes seemed to penetrate deeply into my mind, and after studying me for a few moments he replied, “Your race has now reached the point of no return, for there are those among you who would destroy your very world rather than relinquish their power as they know it...”

I nodded , and the Master continued. “In 1945 and afterward, we tried to contact your race, but our efforts were met with hostility... our (flying discs) were fired upon. Yes, even pursued with malice and animosity by your fighter planes. So, now, I say to you, my son, there is a great storm gathering in your world, a black fury that will not spend itself for many years. There will be no answer in your armies, there will be no safety in your science. It may rage on until every flower of your culture is trampled, and all human things are leveled in vast chaos.

“Your recent war was only a prelude of what is yet to come for your race. We here see it more clearly with each hour... Do you say I am mistaken?”

“No,” I answer, “it happened once before, the dark ages came and they lasted for more than five hundred years.”

“Yes, my son,” replied the Master, “the dark ages that will come now for your race will cover the Earth like a pall, but I believe that some of your race will live through the storm, beyond that, I cannot say. We see at a great distance a new world stirring from the ruins of your race, seeking its lost and legendary treasures, and they will be here, my son, safe in our keeping. When that time arrives, we shall come forward again to help revive your culture and your race.

Perhaps, by then, you will have learned the futility of war and its strife... and after that time, certain of your culture and science will be returned for your race to begin anew. You, my son, are to return to the Surface World with this message...”

With these closing words, our meeting seemed at an end. I stood for a moment as in a dream... but, yet, I knew this was reality, and for some strange reason I bowed slightly, either out of respect or humility, I do not know which.

Suddenly, I was again aware that the two beautiful hosts who had brought me here were again at my side. "This way, Admiral," motioned one. I turned once more before leaving and looked back toward the Master. A gentle smile was etched on his delicate and ancient face. "Farewell, my son," he spoke, then he gestured with a lovely, slender hand a motion of peace and our meeting was truly ended.

Quickly, we walked back through the great door of the Master's chamber and once again entered into the elevator. The door slid silently downward and we were at once going upward. One of my hosts spoke again, "We must now make haste, Admiral, as the Master desires to delay you no longer on your scheduled timetable and you must return with his message to your race."

I said nothing, all of this was almost beyond belief, and once again my thoughts were interrupted as we stopped. I entered the room and was again with my radioman. He had an anxious expression on his face. As I approached, I said, "It is all right, Howie, it is all right." The two beings motioned us toward the awaiting conveyance, we boarded, and soon arrived back at our aircraft.

The engines were idling and we boarded immediately. The whole atmosphere seemed charged now with a certain air of urgency. After the cargo door was closed the aircraft was immediately lifted by that unseen force until we reached an altitude of 2,700 feet. Two of the aircraft (flying discs) were alongside for some distance gliding us on our return way. I must state here, the airspeed indicator registered no reading, yet we were moving along at a very rapid rate.

——— Hours: A radio message came through. "We are leaving you now, Admiral, your controls are free..." We watched for a moment as the (crafts) disappeared into the pale blue sky.

The aircraft suddenly felt as though caught in a sharp down-draft for a moment. We quickly recovered her control. We do not speak for some time, each man has his thoughts.

——— Hours: We are again over vast areas of ice and snow, and approximately 27 minutes from base camp. We radio them, they respond. We report all conditions normal... normal. Base camp expresses relief at our reestablishing contact.

——— Hours: We land smoothly at base camp. I have a mission... (End Quoting)

Shortly thereafter, on March 11, 1947, Admiral Byrd had a high-level meeting with Defense Department officials at the Pentagon. Again, let us hear his description of what happened in his own words: (quoting)

March 11, 1947: I have just attended a staff meeting at the Pentagon. I have stated fully my discovery and the message from the Master. All is duly recorded. The President has been advised. I am now detained for several hours (six hours, thirty-nine minutes to be exact). I am interviewed

intently by Top Security Forces and a medical team. It was an ordeal!!!

I am placed under strict control via the national security provisions of this United States of America. I am ORDERED TO REMAIN SILENT IN REGARD TO ALL THAT I HAVE LEARNED, ON THE BEHALF OF HUMANITY!!! Incredible! I am reminded that I am a military man and must obey orders. (End quoting)

Is Admiral Byrd's story true? Or was this just a hallucination on his part? Well, his radioman would also have to have the same hallucination, which is highly unlikely. You will not read about this in any encyclopedia or any of the other normal sources of information. National Security, you know! But it is a known fact that all of the major sightings of UFOs in our "modern" times did begin immediately after we dropped atomic bombs on Japan. Are there ones, possibly from the world inside the hollow Earth or from elsewhere in the cosmos, trying to awaken us to see the error of our ways?

hollow earth pict.

As far as having credibility, Admiral Byrd is beyond reproach. Nothing in his life then or after that time indicated he had any kind of mental problems or that he was delusional. On the contrary, he continued his work and in 1955 he was made officer in charge of U.S. Antarctic programs, the senior authority for government Antarctic matters!

Since it appears that Admiral Byrd's flight of Feb. 19, 1947 was exactly as he describes it, we are then left with the inescapable conclusion that this information has been and is still being withheld from the American people, and indeed from the people of the world, by those who control the government from behind the scenes, for some reason or agenda that we have not been informed about. Again, this would appear to be because We The People must be controlled, and an enlightened and informed populace are difficult to control. Besides, we are supposed to believe that all beings in flying discs are bad, so that a fake alien invasion will scare us half to death.

Admiral Byrd made two final entries in his secret diary before his death. They are important and are included here for the reader's careful consideration: (Quoting)

December 30, 1956: FINAL ENTRY. These last few years elapsed since 1947 have not been kind... I now make my final entry in this singular diary. In closing, I must state that I have faithfully kept this matter secret as directed all these years. It has been completely against my values of moral right. Now, I sense the long night [death] coming on and this secret will not die with me, but as all truth shall, it will triumph, and so it shall.

December 24, 1956: This can be the only hope for mankind. I have seen the truth and it has quickened my spirit and has set me free! I have done my duty toward the monstrous military-industrial complex. Now, the long night begins to approach, but there shall be an end. Just as the long night of the Arctic ends, the brilliant sunshine of truth shall come again, and those who are of

darkness shall fail in its light... For I have seen “That Land Beyond The Pole, That Center of the Great Unknown.” Admiral Richard E. Byrd, U.S. Navy. (End quoting)

Admiral Byrd left this Earth on March 11, 1957, exactly ten years to the day after his meeting with Pentagon officials about his famous expedition! Admiral Byrd’s secret log and diary were reprinted here from the book *A Flight To The Land Beyond The North Pole*, published in 1990 by Abelard Productions, Inc. The book may be out of print at this time. The accompanying diagram is reprinted from the book *The Hollow Earth* (which should be required reading), by Dr. Raymond Bernard, copyright 1991, published by Carol Publishing Group, 120 Enterprise Avenue, Secaucus, New Jersey 07094.

Word of Admiral Byrd’s famous flight did get out to a few people. F. Amadeo Giannini wrote a book in 1959 titled *Worlds Beyond the Poles*, published in New York, but it was never advertised by the publisher and remained unknown. What secret forces were behind suppressing that book?

Also, in what turned out to be a very curious turn of events, the December 1959 issue of *Flying Saucers* magazine gave publicity to Admiral Byrd’s discovery. But, as soon as the magazine was ready to be mailed to subscribers and placed on newsstands, it mysteriously disappeared! When the truck from the printer arrived to deliver the magazines to the publisher, no magazines were to be found in the truck! An inquiry from the publisher resulted in the printer not being able to find any shipping receipt to prove the shipment was even made.

Since the magazine had been paid for, the publisher asked the printer to return the plates to the press and print the copies due. But the plates were found to be so badly damaged that no reprinting could be done.

One distributor did receive 750 copies of the magazine to sell on his newsstand, but he was suddenly reported missing and the 750 magazines disappeared along with him! Was he fitted with cement shoes?

As a result of all this, 5,000 subscribers did not get the December 1959 issue of the magazine. Who were the powerful forces suppressing this information? This cover-up was serious, but small time compared to the cover-up of the John F. Kennedy assassination which happened in 1963.

By the way, information concerning the hollow Earth was revealed in the December 12, 1995 issue of *CONTACT*, on page 23, where it was reported by a highly reputable source “that if you go some 600 miles into the Earth’s crust—you find that the gravitation reverses. It will, of course, vary as to geographical location but this is generally a good average depth... There are major openings... and the points of passage can be found... [at] the Poles (both) and those areas referred to casually as devil’s triangles.”

There is one other point from the 1950s that could be further clarified, regarding how truth is concealed through the mainstream media. In Vol. I of *The Untold History of America*, Chapter XV, at page 203, it was mentioned that in 1953, John Swinton of the *New York Times* had said that mainstream journalists are intellectual prostitutes. We need to hear what he really said, because it

indicates exactly who is in control.

John Swinton, former Chief of Staff of the *New York Times*, was considered by many of his peers to be “the Dean of his Profession”. At an appearance before the New York Press Club in 1953, he was asked to give a toast to the independent press in America. Mr. Swinton responded: (Quoting)

There is no such thing, at this date of the world’s history, in America, as an independent Press. You know it and I know it. There is not one of you who dares to write your honest opinions, and if you did, you know beforehand that it would never appear in print.

I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinions out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish as to write honest opinions would be out on the streets looking for another job. If I allowed my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before twenty-four hours my occupation would be gone.

The business of the Journalist is to destroy truth; to lie outright; to pervert; to vilify; to fawn at the feet of mammon, and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread. You know it and I know it—and what folly is this toasting an independent Press? We are the tools and vassals for rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks; they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes. (End quoting)

Now do you understand? The American people are not supposed to know anything about Flying Saucers (or those who may fly them) or anything else of real substance! Thus we ended the decade of the 1950s, with information that could help to make a better world, which was given to a very brave man who served his country well (Admiral Byrd), ending up buried by powerful forces bent on destroying the world!

We will take up next, with 1964, the Johnson Administration and how he let the crooks (Federal Reserve) take all substance (gold and silver) out of our money, and how he allowed the Vietnam “Conflict” (set up originally to facilitate the exporting of heroin out of Southeast Asia) to be turned into a great money-making venture for the big corporations.

[*To be continued.*]

## CHAPTER 5

### THE NEWS DESK A SPECIAL REPORT

#### WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON— VIA A POP-QUIZ ON THE MIDDLE-EAST

by Dr. Al Overholt 2/14/98

Just so you can keep up with the perpetual  
crisis in the Middle East,  
I have a little quiz for you.

A well thought out IRAQ assessment, by Margolis

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/12/98: [quoting]

\* A POP QUIZ ON THE MIDDLE-EAST—ANSWERS MAY SURPRISE YOU

\* BODY COUNT UPDATE: Strange Deaths of Currie's Siblings

From: Final Conflict <FinalConflict@dial.pipex.com>

Charley Reese of *The Orlando Sentinel* Staff

Question: Which Country In The Middle East Has Nuclear Weapons?

Answer: "Israel".

**Q:** Which country in the Middle East refuses to sign the nuclear non-proliferation treaty and bars international inspections?

**A:** "Israel".

**Q:** Which country in the Middle East seized the sovereign territory of other nations by military force and continues to occupy it in defiance of United Nations Security Council resolutions?

**A:** "Israel".

**Q:** Which country in the Middle East routinely violates the international borders of another sovereign state with warplanes and artillery and naval gunfire?

**A:** "Israel".

**Q:** What American ally in the Middle East has for years sent assassins into other countries to kill its

political enemies (a practice sometimes called exporting terrorism)?

A: "Israel".

Q: In which country in the Middle East have high-ranking military officers admitted publicly that unarmed prisoners of war were executed?

A: "Israel".

Q: What country in the Middle East refuses to prosecute its soldiers who have acknowledged executing prisoners of war?

A: "Israel".

Q: What country in the Middle East created 762,000 refugees and refuses to allow them to return to their homes, farms and businesses?

A: "Israel".

Q: What country in the Middle East refuses to pay compensation to people whose land, bank accounts and businesses it confiscated?

A: "Israel".

Q: In what country in the Middle East was a high-ranking United Nations diplomat assassinated?

A: "Israel".

Q: In what country in the Middle East did the man who ordered the assassination of a high-ranking U.N. diplomat become prime minister?

A: "Israel".

Q: What country in the Middle East blew up an American diplomatic facility in Egypt and attacked a U.S. ship in international waters, killing 33 and wounding 177 American sailors? [34, 171]

A: "Israel".

Q: What country in the Middle East employed a spy, Jonathan Pollard, to steal classified documents and then gave some of them to the Soviet Union?

A: "Israel".

Q: What country at first denied any official connection to Pollard, then voted to make him a citizen and has continuously demanded that the American president grant Pollard a full pardon?



A: “Israel”.

Q: What country on Planet Earth has the second most powerful lobby in the United States, according to a recent *Fortune* magazine survey of Washington insiders?

A: “Israel”.

Q: Which country in the Middle East is in defiance of 69 United Nations Security Council resolutions and has been protected from 29 more by U.S. vetoes?

A: “Israel”.

Q: What country is the United States threatening to bomb because “U.N. Security Council resolutions must be obeyed”?

A: Iraq.

John <http://www.magicnet.net/~gidusko/>

For the truth about the *USS Liberty*, visit

<http://www.magicnet.net/~gidusko/liberty.html>

Q: What “country” in the Middle East used to actually be a part of IRAQ and in fact contains 25% of the world’s known oil supply?

A: “Kuwait” which really is part of IRAQ that was stolen from the IRAQIS by Britain much the same as “Pakistan” was created/stolen from India by the same ZOG [*Zionist Occupation Government*] Britain. In fact the Sassons [“Jewish” Family under British Flag] raped India and used its land to produce poppys that were made into opium that was used to poison China. Boy, how so few know the truth.

Q: In what country in the Middle East is it legal to buy and sell human beings?

A: “Israel”. [not sure about her neighbors, would not be surprised though] (See *NY TIMES* Jan. 11, 1998 front page)

<http://www.igc.apc.org/igc/wn/hl/9801238597/hl7.html>

Q: In what country in the Middle East is prostitution legal?

A: “Israel”. [Brothels are “illegal”, yet ubiquitous.], but prostitution itself is fully legal. See *NY TIMES* Jan. 11, 1998 front page <http://www.igc.apc.org/igc/wn/hl/9801238597/hl7.html>

Q: In what country in the Middle East is it ILLEGAL to spread the Gospel?

**A:** “Israel”. [True of other countries in that area I would imagine, but don’t miss the point: they are Cross Haters; in fact they ban the use of the PLUS sign in schools as it reminds them of the Cross, I hear.]

**Q:** What country in the Middle East is the one to which the US pays more tribute to than any other country in the world? Tribute is defined as money paid to a foreign occupying government, and occupying is defined as, for example, Zionist Occupied Government [ZOG], like ours. Need I name names? Look into it—you will be flabbergasted.

**A:** “Israel”. US pays “Israel”, and has been, year in and year out, AT LEAST 5 BILLION a year, NOT as loans but as grants, or rather TRIBUTE! A country the size of NJ! Billions, NOT millions and this is OVERT, not the COVERT, who knows how much there is via Foreign-owned and NEVER audited Fed and other scams. [I can back up “NEVER audited”, just ask.]

**Q:** In what Country was “Kuwait” before it was “Kuwait”?

**A:** IRAQ; in fact, Britain “created” “Kuwait” by tearing off a piece of IRAQ and installing stooge Arabs who made sure Britain could get its oil. Same way “Pakistan” was created, rather stolen, from India. Same with modern “Israel”, i.e. ill-gotten goods are POISON.

**Q:** How many tribes of “Israel” are there really? 12? 1 as in Judah, i.e. the true Jews, or 13 as in the 12 real tribes which include the true Jews or tribe of Judah, and a 13th imposter tribe, known as the Khazars, who have NO BLOOD CLAIM whatsoever to the land or lineage yet make up some estimate 90% of the “jews” we mistakenly call jews, who have in fact usurped the land and the name from the true Jews, and give the true Jew a bad name via confused people who do not know the difference.

**A:** 12, which does include the true Jews of Judah, as well as the other 11 which are also Israelis. Irish state that Jeremiah the Prophet, is buried in Ireland; hmmm, interesting...

**Q:** In the *Bible* which people does it speak of in *Revelation 3:9* where it is written: “Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews, and are not, but do lie; behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee.”

**A:** Not sure, but could it be apostate “Israel” of today? Build upon a document called the *Balfour Declaration*—Balfour, an avowed Satanist.

Founded by David Ben Gurion [real name Gruen], an avowed ATHEIST. The “Israel” of today: where White Slavery is rampant, drug dealing world wide, the Kosher Nostra, organized crime, etc., etc., ad nauseam. Meyer Lansky and the Rothschilds’ money made it happen. Are these the true Jews? Sure there are some true Jews amongst the imposters, but which ones? It can be known.

Love them all and you will know who is real and who is not by their reaction to your good will. Ask God for help, trust only Him. To Love a Bum is not to give him money so he can buy another beer or bullet with, but make him know the Truth, on all things, then he can help himself. Just as Bums cannot face the truth and hate it when you show it to them, so does anyone until they rejoin the fold.

Q. Which country in the Middle East has stolen more Plutonium and technology than any other in history?

A. "Israel".

Q. Who really is the father of nuclear technology despite claims to the contrary?

A. Enrico Fermi

Q. How was IRAQ led into the War in the first place?

A. April Glaspie, US Ambassador to IRAQ, on July 25, 1990, informed IRAQ, via official diplomatic channels, that the US would NOT intervene in the return of the land of the British-created "Kuwait" to its rightful historical owners, that is IRAQ. Glaspie assured Saddam that the US considers this a regional matter and that the US would NOT intervene. Based on this, IRAQ pushed out the foreigners from the ill-gotten British-created "Kuwait" days after the reassurances from the US State Department and Ambassador Glaspie, August 2nd to be precise. IRAQ was confident that the information was in good faith because US President Bush was at that time VERY supportive of IRAQ via massive MILITARY AID, as well as MASSIVE loans from the US Department of Agriculture, and official diplomatic communiques that are for that express purpose in the first place.

Two FACTS: "Kuwait" owns 25% of the World's known oil. Bush's father, Prescott Bush, loaned BILLIONS to Adolf "Schicklegruber" Hitler via his New York Union Bank and was slapped on the wrist for trading with the Enemy. Remember BCCI and S&Ls? Bush's sons were in that up to their ears, as was Kissinger and Associates.

BTW, they are talking about using TACTICAL NUKES in IRAQ this time.

### BOMB THE USUAL IRAQIS

by Eric Margolis 9 Feb 1998

The objective of war, wrote the great military thinker Maj. Gen. J.F.C. Fuller, is not victory, but to shape the peace that follows.

Without clear, strategic objectives for a post-war period, starting a conflict is merely mindless brutality. This, alas, is precisely the direction in which the U.S. is headed over Iraq.

War fever and jingoism, fanned by an uncritical, cheerleading media, grip the United States. Seventy-one percent of Americans, most of whom could not find Iraq on a map, want to see it pulverized anew.

Exactly 100 years ago, a similar nationalist frenzy produced the lopsided Spanish-American War. Today, the cry is: 'Take Out Saddam!' Back then it was, 'Remember the *Maine*!'

President Bill Clinton, up to his neck in sleaze, may unleash a new war on Iraq to distract the public

from his growing legal and moral problems. The man who dodged the draft in wartime plans to use the US military to save his political skin. No matter thousands of Iraqi civilians and some American servicemen may die.

Republican leaders Newt Gingrich and Trent Lott are beating the war drums. Though bright men, like many Republicans and conservatives, they have no grasp of the Mideast's serpentine complexities. They see the Mideast with comic-strip simplicity. These small-town politicians writ [*sic*] large mistakenly believe the solution to America's imperial problems in the Mideast is simply swinging the big stick.

The world could pay dearly for this dismaying combination of international vigilantism, arrogance, and ignorance.

Consider:

- \* The U.S bombs Iraq for days, killing large numbers of troops and civilians. Saddam survives. What then? He will proclaim another victory against the world's superpower. There will be widespread revulsion towards the U.S. Under international law, Iraq has the right to attack nations—like Kuwait and Bahrain—if they allow U.S. warplanes to launch attacks from their soil. This week, Russia's Boris Yeltsin rightly warned of unpredictable dangers arising from an American attack.

- \* Iraq's remaining stores of chemical and biological weapons (CB) cannot be totally eliminated. Claims they can be expunged by bombing are nonsense. Iraq has no nuclear program left. Its strategic weapons consist of limited quantities of poison gas, V-series nerve agents, and biological agents. But Iraq cannot deliver them at any distance. These CB weapons are likely hidden in mountains, deserts or swamps, not in Saddam's palaces.

Hitting chemical sites could release toxic clouds, killing thousands of civilians—as almost occurred in Baghdad in 1991. Gulf War Syndrome that affects so many U.S. servicemen was most likely caused by the foolish demolition of Iraqi chemical weapons stores by the U.S. Army.

- \* Chemical/biological weapons are relatively easy to manufacture. Unlike nuclear weapons, they require little space and can be hidden in a small, nondescript building. CB technology is a genie that's out of the bottle.

Note: Iraq's CB technology was provided by Britain and the U.S. during the 1980s for use against Iran. The only way to eliminate CB technology from Iraq is by killing 22,000 Iraqi military technicians and scientists.

- \* All major Mideast powers now have chemical and, some, biological, weapons which, Arabs and Iran maintain, were developed mainly to counter Israel's huge nuclear, chemical and biological arsenal. If Saddam is overthrown, Iraq's next ruler will immediately begin rebuilding his strategic CB and, later, nuclear, weapons.

- \* Saddam is a dangerous brute [*What about the dangerous murderer-brute Clinton and mob??*]. All

previous Iraqi rulers since the 1950s have also been thugs. It takes an iron hand to rule chronically unstable Iraq. Unless Iraq, which has the Mideast's second largest oil reserves, is to be partitioned between Turkey and Iran, a Saddam act-alike will be needed to run this mutant stepchild of British imperialism. Iraq's next ruler will probably be as ruthless as Saddam, but smarter.

\* Virtually unnoticed by the world, **the U.S. has given Turkey a green light to begin annexing northern Iraq, with its rich oil fields** [*emphasis mine*]. Under the pretext of fighting Kurds, the Turkish Army is inching its way towards Mosul. The US scourges Iraq for trying to annex Kuwait, while encouraging Turkey to annex part of Iraq.

\* Iraq is not the deadly menace American propaganda makes it out to be. Without missiles, and only a handful of aircraft, Iraq has little offensive capability. Once U.S.-imposed sanctions are lifted, however, Iraq will begin rebuilding its forces, but this will take many years.

\* US human intelligence (humint) in Iraq is awful. In 1996, the Clinton Administration badly botched an attempt to assassinate Saddam and overthrow his regime, including terror bombings of civilian targets in Baghdad. CIA's Iraqi network was broken and rolled up. Israel's Mossad has become a major supplier of humint on Iraq. The data from Israel is crafted to serve Israel's strategy of pressing the U.S. into another war with Iraq.

\* If the US strikes, it will try to kill Saddam, using newly developed BLU-109B/113 bombs that can penetrate 3 meters of concrete. Assassinating foreign leaders is against US law. The law, however, is suspended when dealing with the Mideast.

\* An attack on Iraq will not be a UN operation. The core majority of the Security Council—Russia, France and China—strongly oppose attacking Iraq. So the US, with Britain tagging along, will unilaterally go to war with Iraq. Stripped of the UN fig leaf, we see the realpolitik: U.S. determination to crush Iraq for daring to challenge its Oil Raj in the Mideast...

\* The lightweight U.S. Secretary of State, Madeleine Albright, insists diplomacy has been exhausted. But contrast Clinton's policy towards North Korea, which, unlike demolished Iraq, is a real international threat.

North Korea has nuclear weapons, gas, and germs. It threatens to use them against South Korea, Okinawa, Japan and 37,000 US troops in [*South*] Korea. Washington is buying off hungry North Korea by giving it two nuclear reactors, oil, food and medicine. Why not buy hungry Iraq's good behavior? After all, a mere eight years ago, wicked Saddam used to be a close U.S. ally.

The US has painted itself into a corner by demonizing Iraq and wildly exaggerating the threat from Baghdad. Saddam keeps making the US look foolish. After all his threats, if Clinton backs down over Iraq, Republicans will crucify him. America's allies and Russia are frantically trying to fashion a face-saving diplomatic exit from this mess that will allow Washington to proclaim victory.

Diplomacy is clearly the way out. But the U.S. has made clear it will keep Iraq in prison, and torment its people, until they overthrow Saddam; Iraqis must be able to see an end to crushing

sanctions and a return to normal relations. A nation cannot be kept in permanent solitary confinement.

Saddam is not a lunatic; he can be encouraged to acceptable behavior. The world would be better without Saddam and his like, but we may have to live with him. And a lasting Mideast peace won't come until Israel allows a viable Palestinian mini-state, and joins some kind of regional CB arms-control program.

This crisis will probably hasten the end of Iraq's 7-year isolation. U.S. policy towards Iraq has been a total fiasco. Ironically, Great Brinksmen Saddam may yet emerge the victor in his long test of wills with Washington.

America, the world's sole superpower, is about to savage a small, defenseless nation of 22 million. Such Saddam-like behavior is unworthy of both a great, humane democracy, and the proud U.S. armed forces.

This is certainly not America's finest hour.

#### CHILLING CURRIE COINCIDENCE

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 2/11/98: [quoting]

Here is what I know:

Betty Currie, White House Secretary and Oval Office gate keeper, had ONE Brother and ONE Sister, who are both now DEAD.

The Brother, Theodore, R. Williams, was beaten and hospitalized the night before Betty Currie was to testify in the Campaign Finance Scandal Summer 97.

The SAME brother, was then killed December 17th 1997, after the Lewinsky matter was already fully out of control, in a car accident wherein he was reportedly knocked down a ravine, where he survived only to be struck by another "follow-up" vehicle moments after climbing out of the ravine. Read on for more detail.

The Sister, whose name and other details I do not have, died last summer of causes I also am not aware of. Apparently around the same time Theodore was beaten and the Campaign Finance Scandal investigation was underway. Please provide all details you have as the "mainstream" media simply is NOT covering this.

It may be that the puppeteers "Clinton's Handlers" fear that Betty is too independent, and may not be reliable, knows a lot about visitors to White House including fund raisers from Red China, and girls etc., and that due to the Ron Brown thing may help investigators whoever they are, or are they cover-uppers? But to take out Currie herself would be too obvious probably due to measures she has taken to protect herself that her would-be assassins and surveillers are aware of. The others that

have died, most likely did not take such precautions and the assassins, via surveillance, chose the opportune times to strike.

Actual and updated news articles below. Please provide details as you get them.

ARTICLE #1:

TRUSTED SECRETARY TELLS GRAND  
JURY WHAT SHE KNOWS

From *The Oregonian* (Portland, Oregon), 1/28/98: [quoting]

Summary: Clinton's personal secretary, Betty Currie, appears before the panel investigating whether her boss urged a former intern to lie.

While her boss was gearing up to deliver his State of the Union message Tuesday, Betty Currie, President Clinton's personal secretary, was in a federal courtroom near Capitol Hill.

With a lawyer at her side and a frightened look on her face, Currie, universally described by colleagues as sweet-tempered and devoted to the president, pushed her way through hundreds of reporters and photographers to leave the courthouse early Tuesday afternoon.

Like other proceedings of the grand jury, Currie's testimony was sealed.

Currie described her job in detail in August during a deposition taken by Senate investigators probing the campaign finance scandal.

Although White House lawyers advised her to postpone the session because her brother, Theodore Williams, had been severely beaten and hospitalized the night before [in August], Currie sat through the five-hour grilling without complaint...

6 months go by, and then...

ARTICLE #2:

DRIVER FATALLY HIT  
AFTER SURVIVING CRASH

The *Richmond Times Dispatch*, December 17, 1997

A New York motorist survived a plunge down an embankment after his vehicle hit a truck on Inter-



state 95 in Hanover County on Monday, but he was killed when he was struck by another truck after he climbed the embankment.

Theodore R. Williams, 52, of Fort Washington was traveling south about 11 a.m. when his vehicle hit a truck and plunged down the embankment just south of state Route 674, state police said. After the crash, Williams climbed up the embankment and stepped onto the roadway where he was hit by the second truck. [end of article]

And lastly, Currie's sister is also dead, all in the same year.

Could someone as key and in touch with such intimate knowledge of White House affairs NOT be a key person to send such indirect yet understandable messages to?

Maybe there is nothing to all of this, so why not investigate it further with full disclosure of all details to assure us there is no connection just simply astronomically high bad luck ???!!

<http://www.chinfo.navy.mil/navpalib/people/secnav/secnavbi.html>

<<http://TeamInfinity.com/800>>, <<http://TeamInfinity.com/rb.html>>

P.S. RE-READ for updates: Why is almost NO ONE cognizant of, or talking about the FACT that Betty Currie, White House Secretary, had a brother and sister, both now dead within 6 months of each other. Brother was severely beaten and hospitalized the night before she testified before the Campaign Finance hearing August 97, see *Oregonian* January 29, 1998, Page A9, then killed in a car "accident" late December 1997 within one month of her testifying in Lewinsky Grand Jury [AP Wire Service], <<http://www.jpfo.org>>—Looks like a noble effort to be encouraged.

[Against-Genocide@jpfo.org] JFPO Jews for Preservation of Firearms Ownership

MIKE HUCKABEE PRESIDENT2000

<http://www.state.ar.us/governor/governor.html>

DENNY's bans ALL Guns, Conceal & Carry too, Give em a Holler 1-800-733-6697

CLASSIC QUOTES:

"We are fans of President Clinton and admire his positions and policies concerning Israel. Clinton is very positive toward Israel and the Jews, and Monica and I are Jews," Ginsburg said. Ginsburg spoke in a telephone interview with the *Yediot* correspondent in New York, Zaddok Yeheskieli. Ginsburg's remarks were published in Hebrew and translated back into English by the *Associated Press*.

"Balanced Budget Biggest Mathematical Lie of Modern History" Martin Gross

TRUE budget balance THIS year is \$100 billion in the RED. [that is, we will take in 100 billion less than “we” spend.] TOTAL ACCUMULATED National Debt is \$5.5 TRILLION and growing. Creditor is NOT US, obviously as you cannot owe yourself money, in the 90s ALONE we will have paid 5 TRILLION in INTEREST ALONE! Not one nickel towards Principal. To whom? Why? Are our interests, let alone our property, secure?

Consider the following:

Could it be that the Iraq thing is really all about doing apostate “Israel’s” will and not God’s or anyone else’s? Something to consider BEFORE spilling all kinds of Blood.

## CHAPTER 6

### AT WAR WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

by Dr. John Coleman 2/10/98

The swift build up of hysteria against Iraq shows that the American people are firmly in the grip of mind control-brainwashing techniques first perfected by Major John Rawlins Reese of the British Psychological Warfare Bureau back in 1921 and which in the 1940s and 1950s was directed toward the American people in a process known as “long-range penetration and inner directional conditioning”, a process which began to be concentrated on the American people in 1946 and from which the modern perfidy known as “polling” evolved.

Today, polling or opinion sampling, has become the method whereby major issues which confront the Nation are manipulated to suit the ends and purposes of the One World Government—the New World Order.

How does this scam work? When the secret upper-level parallel government of the United States wants to achieve another of its revolutionary goals, the matter or particular matters will be raised either by some Trojan Horse Communist in the legislature, or else by the President. After the subject is picked up by the jackals of the news media, it is bandied about so that the public quickly becomes aware of the issue or issues, slanted of course in favor of those who wish to manipulate the particular matter at hand to suit their purposes.

Then comes “public opinion” which is manufactured in the most blatant fashion. The particular political party pushing the issue, or the President’s men, or one of the major Socialist newspapers, will engage the services of a polling company, or several companies. Let us say the issue is one being pushed by the Democrat (Communist) Party—although it works in the same way for either party:

The Democrat Party will employ brainwashing “directional conditioning” specialists of the polling companies who then draw up a questionnaire, which is so loaded and slanted as to solicit the desired approval of the particular subject from those persons to whom it is put. These polling specialists are so highly trained in such techniques that they would succeed with their loaded questions to get “a majority” of the American people to agree that there is “no God”. This is no exaggeration. I have one of the training manuals of John Rawlins Reese of the Tavistock institute—the only one I know of in the U.S. Polling experts have so much ability to elicit the “right” responses that they could get Americans to agree that the *Constitution* should be abolished by using “inner directional conditioning” techniques.

The next step is where they study demographics based on voter rolls. Obviously, if the Democrat Party is behind it, or their jackals of the media, then the experts select several districts where there is a heavy concentration of registered Democrats or “liberal” (read Socialist) Republicans. The areas selected for polling are then analyzed as to the class of people, where they live, what sort of incomes they enjoy.

The pollsters then set about calling the usual number (900) “samples” and put their prepared set of loaded questions to them. In this crooked and disgustingly dishonest manner is “public opinion” created. A question could take the following form: “Do you think that given that 75% of the American people think the president is doing a good job of running the country, he should be impeached for sexual misconduct?” No proof is ever offered that “75% of the American people think the president is doing a good job”, other than perhaps citing some other opinion poll where the results and number of people sampled are obtained through the same techniques and methods.

How can the slanted, prejudiced opinions of only a part of the 900 people questioned possibly represent what a nation of millions of people actually believe? Of course it cannot, but through this crooked, devious, unreliable “test” is public opinion made. It is time that the methods used be made honest or be scrapped altogether. Today, American policy, domestic and foreign, is based upon this type of “opinion poll” which is 100-percent fraudulent.

Another way in which the press will create favorable “public opinion” is by juxtapositioning stories and photos. An example: Instead of being on the front page of the *Sunday Chronicle* a photo showing a smiling President Clinton and his wife locked arm in arm with a smiling British Prime Minister, Tony Blair, and his wife, appeared on page 19 of the paper, sandwiched in between the following headlines: “Prosecutor’s Methods On Trial”; “GOP, Split On Impeachment, Holds Its Fire Against Clinton”; and “Lewinsky Lawyer Accuses Starr Of Coercing Her.”

Is the position and placing of the photograph in the newspaper just by some happenstance? Of course not; it is meant to convey the impression that here are two statesmen carrying on the business of their respective countries, regardless of what is going on around them. It is also meant to convey the impression that it is Mr. Starr, not the White House, who is under fire.

Which brings me to the next poll and the next happenstance. In their deceitful and utterly untruthful manner, polls are showing that 65% of the people favor a military attack by the U.S. on Iraq. Of course the “65%” is made up by a majority of the 900 people polled and is in no wise representative of what the true majority of Americans think.

The kind of poll which should be taken—but never will be—is the following, or something like it: “Do you believe the *U.S. Constitution* gives the President the right to attack Iraq, and if so, can you quote the exact part of the *Constitution* which grants the President such powers?”

The sad part about is that in such a poll the majority will probably answer “in favor” but without being to cite the constitutional empowerment, accepting the misconception that the President is the commander in chief, a misconception which has been drummed into the heads of the American people ever since George Herbert Walker Bush took this Nation to war against Iraq on his own volition and without any constitutional authority to do so.

So what is the constitutional position in regard to the status of the President? Is he automatically the commander in chief when he takes office? Does he have any war-making powers? Can he order U.S. forces to engage in acts of aggressive warfare against any nation without the mandatory five-step declaration of war first having been passed by a joint session of the House and Senate? Does the

president have any authority to decide the mission of the troops once they have been mobilized?

The answer to all of the questions is a resounding NO!

ON THE CONSTITUTIONALLY MANDATED  
DECLARATION OF WAR:

The delegated powers given to the Federal Government are contained in Article I, Section 8, Clauses 1-18. These are the ONLY powers that the Federal Government has. Nowhere in these delegated powers does it say the President on his own has the power to commit this Nation to aggressive war against another nation, especially one which has not been declared a “BELLIGERENT NATION” by a joint session of the House and Senate. Until the word “belligerent” is so stated, no nation may be referred to as “the enemy” or “the enemy nation”, nor can there be a declaration of war.

In his great work, *The Constitution of Virginia and the United States* (title shortened), St. George Tucker, a hero of the American Revolution and a professor of law at the College of William and Mary, one of the framers of the *Constitution*, says on page 329:

“The first shall be commander in chief of the army and the navy and the militia of the several States, when called into service of the United States. A power similar to that of a king of England and of the stadholder of Holland; yet qualified by more restrictions, which I believe, were not to be found in either of these governments. As, first, he cannot make rules for the regulation and government of the Army and Navy himself, but must be governed according to the regulations established by Congress...

“A third and infinitely more important check...as long as elections continue as frequent as the present, is that no appropriations for the support of the army can be made for longer than two years, the period for which congress is chosen—this puts the power of the people at the end of the period.

“Fourthly, the Militia of the several States, though subject to him when called into service by the authority of Congress, must be governed by the law of the States.”

What this means, according to such authorities as Judge Joseph Story whose work, *Constitution of the United States, Book III* and Henry Clay, the greatest authority of the war powers of the President, is that the President only becomes the commander in chief of the armed forces when called into the service of the United States, this being a FUTURE action; not a PAST action:

Joseph Story, *Constitution of the United States, Book III*, page 412:

“A power to declare war is to make and carry out war. It is not mere power to make known an existing thing, but to give life and effect to the thing itself... The true doctrine has been expressed in the Supreme Court: If from the imperfection of human language there should be any serious doubts respecting the extent of any power given, the objects for which it was given, especially when those objects are expressed in the instrument itself, should have great influence in its construction.”

Henry Clay:

“I conclude, therefore, Mr. President and fellow citizens, with entire confidence, that Congress has the right (note Clay did not say “the President has the right”), either of the beginning or during the prosecution of any war, to decide the objects and the purposes for which it was proclaimed or for which it ought to continue. And I think it is the duty of the Congress by some deliberate and authentic act to declare what objects the present war shall be longer prosecuted.

“I suppose the President would not hesitate to regulate his conduct by the pronounced will of Congress to employ force and diplomatic power of the nation to execute his will. But if the President should decline or refuse to do so, and in contempt of the supreme authority should persevere in waging war for other objects than those proclaimed by the Congress, then it would be the imperative duty of that body to vindicate its authority by the most effectual and appropriate measures.

“There can be no insuperable difficulty in Congress making such an authoritative declaration (of war.) Let it resolve, simply, that the war shall or shall not be a war of conquest; and if a war of conquest, what is to be conquered. Should a resolution pass disclaiming, the President would conform to his constitutional duty...

“In their final and deliberate judgments one of the most important features of the covenant (the *U.S. Constitution*) was that our country should be distinguished from other nations in its refusal to concentrate in one man exclusive power over foreign relations of the Government, especially over the issues of peace and war.”

Pomeroy, the great constitutional scholar, Congressional Record, House, July 21, 1888:

“The organic law nowhere proscribes or limits the causes for which hostilities may be waged against a foreign nation. The causes of the war it leaves up to the discretion and the judgment of the legislature.”

Please note, the President has no powers whatsoever to decide such issues. The President does not automatically assume the title of commander in chief when entering office. This is conferred upon him by a joint session of the House and Senate after passing a joint declaration of war, a five-step process which follows. Before that he is NOT the commander in chief of the armed forces, otherwise he would stand where kings stood and could take this nation to war at his own whim and drag our sons and daughters, fathers and sons, to fight in any war of his choosing. This awesome power was CATEGORICALLY DENIED to the president by the Founding Fathers.

A constitutionally mandated declaration of war is found in the House of Representatives, Report No.1, 65th Congress, 1st session, page 319, Congressional Record, House, April 5, 1917 on the occasion of Pres. Woodrow Wilson’s wish to take America into WWI:

“Whereas The Imperial German Government has committed repeated acts of war between the Government and the people of the United States of America: Therefore be it resolved by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America, in Congress assembled (in other



words a joint session of Congress), that the state of war between the United States and the Imperial German Government which has thus been thrust upon the United States, is hereby formally declared: and that the President be, and is hereby authorized and directed to employ the entire naval and military forces of the United States and the resources of this government to carry on a war against the belligerent Imperial German Government; and bring the conflict to a successful termination. All of the resources of the country are pledged by the Congress of the United States.”

From the foregoing it is perfectly clear that: Pres. Wilson was not the commander in chief of the armed forces of the United States BEFORE APRIL 5, 1917, nor was he EVER referred to as such prior to being called into service (a future action) on that date.

George Washington was not the commander in chief of the Army and Navy before being called into service by a special executive session of the Senate, after which the title was conferred upon him.

Congressional Record, October 6, 1917, page 7882:

“Constitutional Provisions involved (in a declaration of war) Section 8, Article 1 of the *Constitution* provides: ‘The Congress shall have the power to lay and collect taxes, duties and imposts, and excise to pay the debts and provide the common defense and general welfare of the United States.

“It is clear from the very first sentence that no war can be prosecuted WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE CONGRESS.” (And this has to be constitutionally done in the form of a five-step declaration of war by a joint session of the House and Senate). “There is no power in the *Constitution* given to the Executive branch to raise money for the purposes of making war. Only the Congress can do that. From this provision (standing on its own) it must follow without qualification that the duty of determining whether a war shall be prosecuted or not, whether the people’s money shall be expended or not, rests SOLELY UPON CONGRESS (emphasis added) and with that power goes, necessarily, the power to determine the cause of the war, for if the Congress does not approve the purposes of the war, it may refuse to lay the taxes upon the people to prosecute it...

“Another reason for giving this (war-making) power to the Congress was that the Congress, particularly the House of Representatives was assumed to be directly responsible to the people and would most nearly represent their views.”

Article I, Section 8, Clause II: “To declare war and punish piracies.” Here it is expressly implied that only the Congress can declare war, and that such a declaration of war, properly formulated, is mandatory. It does not say the President has any such powers.

President Lincoln, Congressional Record October 16, 1917, page 7800 is quoted as follows:

“The provisions of the *Constitution* giving war-making powers to Congress was dictated, as I understand it, by the following reason: Kings had always been involving and impoverishing their people in wars, pretending in not always, that the good of the people was the object. This our convention understood to be the most oppressive of kingly oppressions, and resolved to so frame the *Constitution* that no man should hold the power of bringing this oppression upon us. But your views destroy

the whole matter and places the President where kings have always stood.”

Representative Vic Fazio, Congressional Record, House, January 12, 1991, Pages H402-H403:

“President Harry Truman introduced a large American military force into the Korean conflict without Congressional authorization (declaration of war), whatsoever. His administration advanced the unheard of theory that the President, as commander in chief of the Armed Services of the United States, has full control over their use. The framers (of the *Constitution*) sought to create an executive, not another king.”

“Can the President order the Militia to Serve Across The Seas” (The Dick Act HR11654 of June 1902.)

Speech by Charles Hughes of the ABA Appendix to Congressional Record, House, Sept 10, 1917, pages 6838-6840 on the occasion of Pres. Wilson attempting to draft the Militia for service in WWI:

“The militia, within the meaning of these provisions of the *Constitution* is distinct from the Army of the United States. At these pages is also found references to a statement made by Daniel Webster: ‘That the great principle of the *Constitution* on that subject is that the militia is the militia of the States and not of the General Government, and thus being the militia of the States, there is no part of the *Constitution* worded with more care and more scrupulous jealousy than that which grants the limits of power of Congress over it.’”

From: “A Petition to The Senate and The House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress Assembled. Submitted by Hannis Taylor in behalf of himself and as next friend of a half a million and more of America’s youth now under military duress, conscripted under Sec. 8, Art. 1 of the *Constitution* which provides that ‘Congress shall have power to provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrection and repel invasions’ which provisions, as construed by the Supreme Court, FORBIDS the sending of the militia, the so-called (meaning so delineated) beyond the territorial limits of the United States.

“An Appeal To the Congress To Prevent The Sending Of The Conscripted National Militia To European battlefields In Open Defiance Of The *Constitution Of The United States*.

“...American armies were never sent before across the seas...Thirdly, the transportation of the militia of the national or States beyond our territorial limits is strictly forbidden by the *Constitution of the United States*...Your petitioner further represents that the Federal Convention of 1787, after long and stormy debate, so clearly and positively fixed the exemptions of the militia, National and State from military service beyond our territorial limits that it was never questioned during the century and a quarter that preceded the year 1912. In all our wars preceding that date the exemption was always frankly recognized not only by jurists but by all writers on our military system.

“...The *Constitution* distinctly enumerates the three exclusive purposes for which the militia may be called into the service of the United States. These purposes are: First, to execute the laws of the Union, second to suppress insurrections, and third, to repel invasions...In the history of this provi-

sion of the *United States Constitution* there is nothing indicating that it was even contemplated that such troops should be employed for the purposes of offensive warfare outside of the limits of the United States...”

Yet, although Pres. Wilson admitted in at least four major speeches that he had no authority to send the militia fight in Europe, and in spite of having been told very forcibly that he had no such authority by Attorney General Wickersham, Wilson still went ahead and sent the militia abroad in gross violation of the *Constitution* and the Dick Act and his oath to faithfully to execute the laws of the United States, just as George Bush did in his private war against Iraq. Both Wilson and Bush ought to have been impeached and then tried for violating the oath of office they took to defend the *U.S. Constitution*.

From the foregoing we can see what is meant in the *Constitution* where it says: “Fourthly, the Militia of the several States, though subject to his command when called into the service of the United States (i.e., the President has to be called into the service of the United States as commander in chief) by the authority of the Congress and must be governed by law of the States...” The President cannot “govern” the militia.

The important thing to remember is that the Founding Fathers did not want a king and it is expressly implied that the President is not the commander chief on assumption of the presidency, but only gets the title when it is conferred upon him by a joint session of the House and Senate, after the five-step process for a declaration of war has been completed.

These steps are as follows:

1. The House and Senate have to pass separate resolutions declaring a state of belligerency exists between the United States and the belligerent nation. To pass such a resolution may take a few weeks or even a month. The Founding Fathers did not want some would-be king in the White House stampeding the Nation into war. The Spanish-American War, WWI and WWII are examples of properly drawn up constitutional declarations of war by the Congress, and the language thereof should be studied by those who wish to obtain a better understanding of how to conform to the constitutional requirements of a declaration of war. There is no such thing as Congress giving the President “permission” to engage in any type of war unless the proper constitutional provisions of such a declaration have been complied with.
2. The House and Senate must each pass separate resolutions saying that a state of war exists between the United States and the belligerent nation which is a notice to the American people that they are about to go to war. The word “belligerent” must be mentioned. There can be no war without a state of belligerency existing.
3. The House and Senate would then each pass separate resolutions informing the military that the nation is at war with the belligerent nation.
4. Then, the House and Senate would decide if the war against the belligerent nation was to be a perfect or imperfect war. If an imperfect (limited) war, then only ONE branch of the military could

be engaged. If a perfect war, then all branches of the services could be engaged

5. Then the House and the Senate would decide if the United States was to be engaged in a public war; in a public war every man and woman and child in the United States would be at war with every man, woman, and child of the belligerent nation. Properly debated, such resolutions could take weeks and even months to pass, which is what the Founding Fathers wanted, to keep hotheads like Bush and Clinton from rushing the Nation into war.

Let us now carefully examine the foregoing in our search to see whether the President is automatically the commander in chief and just what sort of war powers he has:

1. It is clear from all of the foregoing that he is not automatically the commander in chief upon assuming office, but has first to be called into service by a joint session of the House and Senate after a five-step process to declare war has been completed, at which time the title of commander in chief is conferred upon him, which lasts for the duration of the war, after which period he relinquishes the title.

2. It is very clear that the President has no powers which would allow him to state the causes of the war; how it is to be fought and against which belligerent nation. Without the word “belligerent” being used there can be no declaration of war. The President has no power to decide how the armed forces shall be used and takes no part in such decision-making which is always the prerogative of the Congress.

3. The President has no authority to call up the Militia of the States (including the National Guard) for service abroad—”over the seas”—as the *Constitution* puts it. George Bush grossly violated this constitutional prohibition and he should have been impeached and driven from office for his crime.

4. The President has no power to raise monies to pay for the war.

5. There is no such provision in the *Constitution* which would allow Congress to give “permission” for the President to send troops abroad save and except where a proper constitutionally mandated declaration of war has been passed by a joint session of the House and Senate.

6. The President cannot take the Nation to war on the basis of a U.N. Resolution, nor can such a U.N. Resolution be intertwined with the language of the *U.S. Constitution* as was done in the case of the Vietnam War.

7. Engaging in a blockade of a nation without a declaration of war by the Congress violates the *U.S. Constitution* and Vattel’s “Law of Nations”. A blockade is an act of war and can only be entered into upon a joint declaration of war by a joint session of the House and Senate.

8. Moreover, such a blockade of a foreign nation cannot be undertaken unless the word “belligerent” nation is embodied in the declaration.

9. As war against Iraq has never been constitutionally declared, Iraq is not a “belligerent” nation and

technically, the U.S. is at peace with it.

10. The President cannot engage in a war with a foreign nation on the strength of a U.N. Resolution because the aforesaid U.N. Resolution takes the power to declare war away from the Congress and gives it to the President, thus grossly violating the *U.S. Constitution*. ONLY THE CONGRESS can authorize dispatch of U.S. military forces abroad (excluding the Militia) because only the Congress is authorized to raise money to pay for the cost of the war.

11. Only the Congress can decide the object of the war and whether it should be prosecuted. The President has no say in the matter, nor does his secretary of state, or defense, have any say. Congress has the sole authority to direct the armed forces as to what its objectives are. The President and his cabinet have NO SAY in such matters, and in the case of the President, he is given military instructions only AFTER having the title of commander in chief conferred upon him.

12. The Founding Fathers abhorred the idea that war-making powers be given to one man; they did not want a king who could bring oppression upon the people by having the power to take the Nation to war and that is why they gave Congress THIS SOLE POWER and not the President.

13. Henry Clay said if the President does not obey the regulations prescribed by the Congress then the Congress must take the necessary steps to force him to do so. (Through the impeachment process.)

We issue a challenge to the American Bar Association, the Justices of the Supreme Court and the members of the House and Senate to show us where in the delegated powers of the Central Government is it stated that the President automatically become the commander in chief when he is elected to office, and in addition, where in the *Constitution* does it say that the President has ANY WAR POWERS WHATSOEVER.

We also issue a challenge to the members of the House and Senate to stop the dangerous erosion of the war powers of the House and Senate by looking the other way when the President usurps the title of commander in chief without it being conferred upon him. George Washington was the first commander in chief to have the title conferred upon him. We ask the members of the House and Senate to do their duty and stop the flagrant violation of the *U.S. Constitution's* War Powers which is going on at present, before we have a king instead of a president.

Neither the President nor his unelected officials, Secretary of State Albright and Secretary of Defense Cohen, have the slightest constitutional right to engage in rhetoric which purports to give them the right to take this Nation to war. They have absolutely NO WAR-MAKING POWERS, nor can they or the President have any say in the cause of war nor the prosecution thereof with regard to the manner in which U.S. troops may be used and the objectives for which they may be used.

Can a President be impeached for violating his oath of office by exceeding his authority when it comes to going to war against a foreign nation?

During the 1991 Gulf War, Representative Henry Gonzalez and Professor Francis Boyle, a professor

of International Law, drew up five articles of impeachment against then Pres. George Bush and I gave quite some input to these patriots at the time. Quoting from Professor Boyle's "Presidential Conduct Requires Impeachment Proceedings. January 15, 1991":

"A major effort is being initiated to urge the United States House of Representatives to consider the impeachment of President Bush, Vice President Quayle and Secretary of State Baker.

"The basis for such impeachment includes: Exceeding the powers of their office; violations of the *Constitution* and federal statutes; planning and preparing and conspiring to commit war crimes in violation of The Hague and Geneva Conventions, the Nuremberg Charter Laws of Armed Conflict and U.S. military regulations restricting the use of force.

"President Bush has employed Presidential power for improper purposes by deliberately planning and acting to lead the U.S. into a war with Iraq. The President has committed acts of war without (Congressional) authority. These include the use of naval forces for blockade; bribing; intimidating; threatening others to support belligerent acts; interfering with efforts to peacefully resolve disputes between Iraq and Kuwait and others; false deception; misleading statements and concealment of facts to lead to war with Iraq; preventing efforts required by law to peacefully solve the dispute by legal proceedings, arbitration, and other means; threatening to employ military force against civilians with weapons of mass destruction including nuclear weapons...Congress cannot authorize the President to commit war crimes..."

There followed House Resolution 34 dated Jan. 17, 1991. "Impeaching the President of the United States of high crimes and misdemeanors...Resolved that George Herbert Walker Bush, President of the United States is impeached for high crimes and misdemeanors and that the following articles of impeachment be exhibited to the Senate."

In all, five lengthy articles were presented. Space does not allow me to quote them all, so I will quote Article III, which is fairly representative of the tone and content of the other four articles.

### ARTICLE III

"In the conduct of office of the President of the United States, George Herbert Walker Bush, in violation of his constitutional oath faithfully to execute the office of the President of the United States and to the best of his ability, preserve, protect and defend the *Constitution of the United States*, and in violation of his constitutional duty to take care that the laws are faithfully executed, has prepared, planned, and conspired to engage in a massive war against Iraq employing methods of mass destruction which will result in the killing of tens of thousands of civilians, many of whom will be children. The planning includes the placement and potential use of nuclear weapons, and the use of such indiscriminate weapons as massive killings by aerial bombardment, or otherwise, of civilians, violates The Hague Conventions of 1907 and 1923 and the Geneva Conventions of 1948 and Protocol I thereto, the *Nuremberg Charter*, the Genocide Convention and the *United Nations Declaration of Human Rights*.

"In all of this George Herbert Walker Bush has acted in a manner contrary to his trust as President



and subversive of constitutional government, to the great prejudice of the cause of the law and justice and to the manifest injury of the people of the United States. Wherefore: George Herbert Walker Bush, by such conduct, warrants impeachment and trial, and removal from office.”

The other four articles make it very clear that Bush did not receive a joint declaration of war by a joint session of Congress and that constitutionally, he was never authorized by a declaration of war to go to war against Iraq. Of course George Bush was NEVER called into service as the commander in chief of the Armed Forces and this title was NOT conferred upon him.

The forgoing, I believe, speaks for itself in the context of the climate of hysteria being generated by the Clinton administration and it is the bounden duty of the House and Senate to call a halt to such proceedings until such times a joint session of the House and Senate is convened, a proper 5-step declaration of war is declared, and the title, commander in chief, is conferred upon President Clinton.

The *Constitution* must be obeyed! It is imperative that our *Constitution* be saved from those who are destroying and trampling it underfoot. These matters on whether the United States goes to war against Iraq or not, ARE SOLELY FOR THE CONGRESS to decide and it is not a matter of taking sides for, or against, Iraq; but an urgent issue of whether the *Constitution* is to be obeyed or not. Are there no statesmen in the legislative branch of the Central Government who will put a stop to the gross violations of the *U.S. Constitution* presently being planned by the Democratic Administration, in prosecuting an attack on a nation with which the United States is not at war?

### THE FIG LEAF “UNITED NATIONS” EXCUSE

When confronted by incontrovertible constitutional facts that the President has no war-making powers, as in the case of the Bush private war against Iraq for and on behalf of British Petroleum’s big financial stake in “Kuwait”, an artificial entity created by British force of arms back in 1910 when British forces occupied Baghdad to secure Iraqi oil for the coming world war (1914-1918), and again, when British troops invaded Iraq in 1941 “to save the country from Germany” (the official excuse), and again, when in 1961, British troops lopped off part of Iraq’s richest oil fields and called it “Kuwait”, the response is nearly always the same: “The President is authorized by the United Nations to unleash the dogs of war against Iraq.”

The plain truth is that the United States does not belong to the U.N., as to do so would place the U.N. agreement/charter (it is not a treaty) above the *U.S. Constitution*, and any United States citizen who places the U.N. agreement in a superior position to that of the *United States Constitution* is violating his oath to uphold and defend the *U.S. Constitution*, a most heinous crime.

There are least two dozen constitutional reasons why the U.S. cannot belong to the U.N.; but one is sufficient: Under the U.N.’s article 43, the power to make war is taken away from the Congress of the United States and given to the President. In my discussions with Senator Ervin about the alleged U.S. membership of the U.N., he told me, “there is no way under the noonday sun that we could

have joined the U.N.” Senator Ervin was possibly the greatest authority on the *U.S. Constitution* in the 20th century.

But let us just for the sake of discussion take the position that in some freak way the *Constitution* did allow membership of this One World Government-New World Order entity. What is the truth about the United Nations’ powers to set the U.S. attack dogs loose on Iraq? In this regard we have public officials and the jackals of the media blithely talking about “this time we must take Saddam Hussein out” meaning, presumably, that Hussein should be murdered. To those people who believe that the U.S. has the right to meddle in the affairs of other sovereign nations, let me say that The Hague Convention, to which the U.S. is a signatory, says as follows:

Article 23 annexed to The Hague Convention Document No. IV to which the U.N. and the U.S. subscribe:

“It is especially forbidden... to kill or wound treacherously individuals belonging to the hostile nation or army...”

It is also forbidden by Vattel’s “Law of Nations” upon which our *Constitution*’s foreign policy was founded, and there is nothing in the *U.S. Constitution* which allows the U.S. to intervene in the internal affairs of sovereign nations, let alone murder their leaders. Moreover, the U.S. Department of Defense in its Army Field Manual, 27-10 Paragraph 31, “The Law Of Land Warfare” states as follows: “This article is construed as prohibiting assassination proscription or outlawry of the enemy.”

Two words from the constitutional declaration of war come to mind here: “BELLIGERENT” AND “ENEMY”. As I have stated elsewhere, there can be no declaration of war against any country unless the constitutionally mandated declaration of war includes the word “belligerent” and no nation may be called “the enemy” or “enemy” until a state of belligerency is publicly declared between the U.S. and the belligerent nation.

According to the Nuremberg Tribunal, the rules of The Hague Convention No. IV became part of international law and this was accepted by the U.S; in fact there was a ruling in a U.S. District Court—I believe it was in Washington, D.C.—which upheld the prohibition of assassinations and outlawry as described in the U.S. Army Field Manual.

On the convoluted supposition that somehow, the U.S. belongs to the U.N., then our government is duty-bound to obey Article 33 (1) of the *U.N. Charter* to: “first of all, seek a solution by negotiation, inquiry, MEDIATION, conciliation, arbitration, judicial settlement, resort to regional agencies or arrangements, or other peaceful means of their own choice.”

To say that “Well, the Security Council has authorized the U.N. to intervene in Iraq and search for weapons of mass-destruction” is no excuse, because under Charter VII, the Security Council is bound by its own rules and MUST “act in accordance with the Purposes and Principles of the United Nations as required by article 24 (2) and article 2 (3) which says ‘All Members shall settle disputes by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security and justice are not endan-

gered.’ The actions of the Clinton administration ever since the Bush private war against Iraq, are contrary to these U.N. articles, and as Russian President Yeltsin has declared, threaten world peace.

It is of a particular interest to those who bring up the United Nations resolutions as being the reason why the U.S. continues its undeclared war against Iraq: That the Security Council Res. 678 is not primary, but secondary, to The Hague Convention, and the U.N. has an obligation to do everything it can to find a peaceful solution to this dispute with Iran under article 24 (1), 2,(3) and 33 (1) which covers special mediation obligations.

Neither former Pres. Bush nor the Clinton administration has sought mediation before going to war against Iraq. Going back to The Hague Convention of 1907 which the U.S. signed, under Article 8 the rule is specificity of what it is that is endangering the peace—because of a threat of war being made by the United States, the United States has to declare with specificity that the dispute with Iraq will DIRECTLY affect the United States. At this point a mediator is to be assigned by the U.N. None of these rules of The Hague Convention and U.N. rules were complied with by George Bush and the Clinton administration, nor did either man state SPECIFICALLY that Iraq DIRECTLY threatens the U.S.

The point here is that the United States is not yet the policeman of the world (although it may well be, under the New World Order) and does not have any right under its own *Constitution* or The Hague Convention or the *U.N. Charter* to set itself up as the self-appointed police force of the world. The Bush administration and the Clinton administration are both guilty of using cabinet members to pontificate that the U.S. is acting under “international law”. In the first instance, the U.S. is not bound by international law but by its *Constitution*, and for the second instance, the U.S. has NOT acted correctly under international law or the *U.N. Charter* in its dispute with Iraq, as I have clearly indicated.

In fact when it comes right down to it, the Bush administration committed the U.S. to war against Iraq in gross violation of the *U.S. Constitution*, the President assuming the title of commander in chief without such title having been conferred upon him, and he did so contrary to The Hague Convention and the *United Nations Charter*. President Clinton is repeating these violations in a systematic manner. President Bush DID NOT receive a declaration of war from the Congress nor was the title, commander in chief, conferred upon him. President Clinton has not received a declaration of war from the Congress and the title commander in chief has not been conferred upon him.

In his unwillingness to obtain a constitutional declaration of war and the title, commander in chief, Pres. Bush violated also the War Powers which are vested solely in the House and the Senate, and this constituted subversive actions against the *U.S. Constitution*, to the great danger of the American people and a grave danger to the rule of law and order in the United States. If Pres. Clinton goes ahead with his stated objective of making war on Iraq, ignoring the constitutional prohibitions against such unilateral action, then he will be in the same place where George Herbert Walker Bush stood; that being, open to impeachment proceedings against him.

A news report of February 10th, 1998 has it that “the U.S. plans to send 3000 troops to the Middle East in a few days.” If this report is correct then we must say that it is not “the U.S.” which will send

the troops abroad, but solely the President, without a mandated declaration of war and in violation of the War Powers which are vested in the Congress, which alone, may order our armed forces into service after complying with all of the constitutional provisions. Secretary of Defense Cohen has NO CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY to order American troops abroad in the absence of a declaration of war and indeed, at any other time, and this action is in violation of the War Powers vested solely in the Congress.

Apart from these constitutional prohibitions against any U.S. President acting unilaterally as in the Bush and Clinton examples, there is the following situation which has never been clarified by the U.N. and the Clinton administration:

1. Where did Iraq get the money to buy the components that go to make up chemical weapons, since the country is not allowed to sell its oil except in quantities insufficient even to provide basic food-stuffs and medicine for its people?
2. If some foreign power is financing Iraq, this is easily discoverable. Have any efforts been made by the U.N. or the U.S. to find the source of such funding, supposing it has taken place?
3. Iraq has been under a tight embargo unconstitutionally enforced by the U.S. Navy, without a declaration of war. How is it possible that the import of such components for making chemical weapons could have got through to Iraq?
4. Which companies outside of Iraq are supplying these components and has any action been taken against them to put an end to it?
5. Have our scores of billion-dollar satellites been “blinded”? If so, how? If not “blinded” how is it that they have been unable to see what is going on, given the boast that they can read the time off a wrist watch worn by an individual standing on the streets of Baghdad?
6. How would Iraq deliver such “weapons of mass destruction”? By its few, remaining, old, creaking SCUD missiles? Could these DIRECTLY threaten the U.S.? Hardly! So then, by what delivery method would Iraq be able to “threaten its neighbors” with weapons of mass destruction?

The Clinton administration needs to take the American people into its confidence and make a clean breast of these questions. The situation is serious. China, France and Russia are utterly opposed to a military action against Iraq by the U.S. and Britain. Yeltsin has warned that such an attack might lead to the Third World War breaking out. There is no love lost between the Russian military high command and the U.S. The Russians have not forgotten the way in which they were betrayed and double-crossed by Secretary James Baker in the Bush war in 1991; and they are not likely to stand back and let it happen for a second time.

This time they may be disinclined to keep their fingers off the triggers of their deadly SS-18 intercontinental ballistic missiles, eight of which could destroy the better part of the U.S. The SS-18s are highly mobile and could launch a series of missiles, perhaps against the U.S., but more likely against U.S. forces in the Gulf, and then move swiftly to new locations. If we could not find the old SCUDS during the Gulf War, what chance do we have against the rapidly deployable and mobile SS-18s? Is

this what the American people want? A possible nuclear war over our greed do get our hands on the Mosul oilfields of Iraq? The Congress needs to step in and do its constitutional duty without any further delay.

#### CLOSING CONCLUSIONS:

The true purpose of the undeclared war against Iraq by the United States is PARTITION OF THE COUNTRY in which the U.S. and British oil companies, Turkey, Syria, and Israel, will be the beneficiaries with the long-coveted multi-billion-dollar Mosul oil fields as the glittering prize. How the final Master Plan for Iraq will be carried out is the subject of my concluding article which will be made available within the next few days. A closing thought:

Was the timing of Prime Minister Blair's visit to the White House just coincidental? The question is asked because it will be recalled that just prior to launching his attack on Iraq in 1991, George Bush received a visit from Margaret Thatcher to "put some steel into his spine" to launch the long-planned war against Iraq. Was this the purpose of Prime Minister Blair? Did he bring with him instructions on how the U.S. should go to war for the second time against Iraq for the benefit of British Petroleum?

## CHAPTER 7

### GERMAIN: "GROWING PAINS" OF THE PLANETARY TRANSITION

2/15/98 SOLTEC

**Good morning, my friend. It is I, Toniose Soltec, come in the Radiant One Light of Creator Source. Be at PEACE.**

**There are those who wish to get messages through at this time. Please allow for the interchange, for there are great inner emotional rewards to be gained in doing so. Find the Inner Knowing and sense the inner-connectedness, and from that vantage point draw upon the certainty and knowingness of that which you hold in your heart as having value. Resist not and allow the energies to flow naturally.**

**We shall not let you fall but, if you insist upon experiencing a fall, neither shall we prevent you from your free-will choice. We shall add unto you our strength when your heart and head are in agreement with your Higher Knowing self.**

**Let go of the past, for it is gone. Find yourself IN THE MOMENT and go forth anew. Allow for the moment and make the most of it.**

**Thank you! I shall stand aside as another wishes to speak.**

2/15/98 VIOLINIO ST. GERMAIN

**Greetings, my friend. It is I, Violinio St. Germain of the Violet Ray, come to commune with you that a much needed message get penned.**

**Yes, and thank you for asking: I DO come in the Light! I come as the representative of the Violet Ray of Transmutation. Your world is in the midst of birthing from one density of experiencing into the next, natural level of evolvement. This type of planetary shift in consciousness presents many unique opportunities and challenges for EVERY being who participates.**

**There shall be the apparent suffering of many who have failed to realize this greater reason for experiencing. But know that all is unfolding as it should in this "schoolroom" environment, for some of the most important lessons that can be offered anywhere in the entire Omniverse are available at this time on Earth-Shan.**

**With the ramping-up of the frequency (and thus energy) of the planetary environment, there is available a new, more expansive level (or cycle) of functioning. Along with this new cycle of "life" experience comes new awarenesses and an overall sense of Inner Connectedness with Creator Source and with ALL life, everywhere. You shall finally FEEL what your Native**



**American brothers have been trying to explain to you since before you stole and decimated their lands.**

**The NON-PHYSICAL senses of physical man shall be brought more fully to the forefront of conscious awareness. They shall become as matter-of-fact as are the presently utilized senses of hearing, sight, taste, touch, and smell.**

**Many are already using these finer, “etheric” senses, and have been for quite some time. For instance, it will become commonplace for ones to know you, not by your physical appearance, but moreso by the electromagnetic light emanations that you each emit, yet you tend to ignore for the most part.**

**You would be correct in surmising that hiding ANYTHING will be difficult under these conditions, so the habits of lying, cheating, etc., would become as visible as are currently your shoes or winter gloves. What do you think this will do to the “cover” of the many leeches and parasites running around on your planet at this time? For those few who may physically survive the continual planetary upshift, it will be quite an uncomfortable environment in which to hold onto those old habits!**

**I, Germain, have been summoned (chosen) as a Guide to help those who are desiring assistance to make this transition as smoothly as can be deemed reasonable. There is generally a great amount of confusion associated with this type of experience for those of you who have chosen to participate in the physical. The confusion is greatly amplified by the apparent lack of general acknowledgement of what is truly unfolding in physical space.**

**I say “apparent” because each being participating at this time can, if they so choose, feel the “tension” in the ethers. There is a general nervousness that has been ever increasing, and the rate of noticeable incremental change is greatly accelerated.**

**It is such that, by the time you ones adjust to the current level of “tension”, it has already shifted and you are finding yourselves in a near constant state of adjusting. This will manifest in many ways. For some it will be physiological pressures such as headaches, muscle spasms, and gastro-intestinal disorders. For others it may be irritableness, nervousness, or feelings of apprehension.**

**Many are feeling somewhat “rushed” as if there is not enough time to get done those things that need to get done. These are all signs and clues to you ones that, indeed, the Great Shift is near. This is the “quickenings”, of sorts, that many are beginning to consciously FEEL as well as see the effects of.**

**It is of utmost importance to shed the ties of past habits, for holding onto those “old ways” will only serve to impede the natural flow of transition.**

**This is the same as what happens when a heavy anchor holds down a wooden boat while the waters around it are rising. Eventually the boat gets pulled under, whereas the boat’s natural**

**(built-in) tendency was to float with the rising water (that is, the increasing frequency, and thus energy, of the planet).**

**Or, another way of looking at it is that when you become anchored, you are acting much like an electrical grounding rod. You will create a condition which will cause a “short circuit” of sorts, and thus “burn” you. One can hold onto (and thus float along with) a high-voltage wire, such as feeds your household electricity grid, and as long as there is no path to ground, you will not be hurt. BUT, as soon as any practical ground path exists, electricity can flow through the body. You now have a rapid heating of the waters of the body (97-98% of your body is essentially water) and thus, among other things, you burn.**

**Holding on to past habits that have deep emotional ties in the physical will only serve to cause great discomfort within as the etheric counterpart to the physical body is (choose your analogy) either “pulled under” as the waters keep rising, or is “grounded out” and thus begins to “burn”.**

**Many of you are not aware of the extent to which you hold onto past worries, doubts, fears, and perceived pains.**

**You ALL do this to varying degrees. Some of you hold onto these charged events more than others, and due to the strong emotional sensitivity of the female apparatus, the women will in general have a tougher time with letting go of this excess “baggage” than will the men, yet women will also generally be the first ones who begin to recognize and utilize more fully the non-physical senses.**

**These transitional times need not be difficult for any. But, as it stands this day, there shall be many who will simply refuse to let go of the baggage and will suffer greatly as they resist the ever increasing energy flow of this change.**

**The values of the past will be greatly shifted. This is to say that what many of you hold, in your heart, as desirable this day, will not hold any value whatsoever in the times just ahead. The more physically oriented are ones values, the more traumatic this change shall be.**

**Ones will not lust over the status of a job title. Ones will be greatly honored to serve freely their brethren, for they will see the oneness and unity of Creator Source in everyone, everywhere. Ones will not try to hoard physical “things” for there will be a greater understanding and realization that it is only out of fear-of-lack that you do so now.**

**These are truly magnificent times in which to be experiencing! There are many who will fight and struggle with this change, and in doing so, they will either tap the Inner Knowing within and “grow with the flow”, or they will simply succumb to the emotional exhaustion of fighting change or to the anxiety of trying to understand.**

**This is where you, as Ground Crew, will have your greatest opportunities to assist your brothers and sisters in need. This is NOT the time to tell them: “I told you so!” Remember that you**

came here at this time as much to function as teachers of the New Ways as to experience your own lessons of growth. As a teacher, do you laugh in the face of your students' questions and lack of knowledge, or do you recognize and respect their often hesitant steps toward awakening?

It will be the time to humbly offer them these insights so that they may come to their own level of understanding of what it is that they are experiencing. Much confusion shall exist—it already does, but mostly bubbling at a sub-conscious (not consciously acknowledged) level at this time.

Be not harsh nor forceful. If ones “spit in your face” for trying to help, then be not offended. Simply go on your way and be attentive to offering assistance to others who request same. You will find that, for the most part, people will come to you out of curiosity and ask you why it is that you are so at peace and self assured while all seems to be in chaos around them.

This is the basic reason for having this information come out BEFORE the fact of this Great Shift in consciousness. Another reason is so that ones will know that there truly does exist we Guides of the unseen realms, and by inference, that God has never once left them unattended. Moreover, ones shall then realize that it was THEIR choice to ignore the clues that were there the entire time for them to take note of.

Growth, and the resultant expansion of awareness, is the primary reason for having gone through any experience. There is very little of true lasting value to be gained in the physical outside of this one, basic, non-physical parameter.

The number of dollars that you have in a bank account, or on a sheet that lists your worth (assets), is of ZERO value when compared to the value of some experience that you might go through that causes you to look within and tap an Inner Strength you never realized you had within. This is true growth; this is what you take with you. This is what is real; this is what has lasting value.

Nothing that you have in the physical will leave with you when you release from the physical experience. What will go with you is the acquired knowledge and insights that the challenges of the physical experience caused you to search for and find.

Find peace in knowing that, if you are reading this, it is likely because you have an inner desire to understand. This “active seeking” is the path that will garner you the true value that your inner heart connection longs for with interminable desire.

We, of the Hosts of God, are here to prepare, to the best of our ability, those who desire to expand beyond that which is their present level of growth, for these ones sense what is coming quickly into their reality and wish to maximize their potential to succeed in fulfilling their growth desire.

In doing so, we ALL gain in untold ways, as the invigorating surge of newness springs forth out of the decay of the old. Remember that as one grows, we all grow.

**Our challenge is a formidable one and our reward is beyond the limitations of words, for it spans a multitude of dimensional realities and is not limited to the physical domain. To say the least, both the challenges and the rewards are beyond your purest ideas of passion, bliss, or satisfaction. We are quite thankful to play our part in manifesting this experience of growth. I am Violinio St. Germain. I am keeper of the Violet Flame at this time. I am honored to serve with those of you who have come with the intent to serve your brethren at this time of planetary awakening and transition.**

**Let go of the perceived indiscretions of the past. Forgive those whom you perceive as having “done you wrong”! Those who have “spit in your face” are the ones whom you have come to help! You would be wise NOT to let this fact leave your thoughts.**

**NOW IS THE TIME TO CLEANSE THYSELF OF THE EMOTIONAL GARBAGE THAT YOU SO FOUNDLY CARRY AROUND!**

**In Light and Blessings to you all.**

**SALU!**

## CHAPTER 8

### THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 2/21/98

### DEFENDING AMERICA

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Gail Irwin, 2/6/98: [quoting]

“The V Corps Commander, Lt. Gen. John W. Hendrix, has blown the whistle on his own 41,000-man outfit saying it needs 180 days to become battle-ready,” says a Pentagon source.

Wait a minute! Hendrix commands one of the U.S. Army’s best units which is currently deployed on a red hot mission in Bosnia and is the first to go if trouble strikes anywhere else in Europe, Africa and South West Asia and it ain’t ready?

V Corps—whose 1st Armored Division and 1st Mechanized Division whipped Saddam Hussein’s Army in less than 100 hours—is supposed to be fit to fight anyplace, anytime, able to roll in a few hours notice.

Brave General Hendrix has told it like it is. A tank leader in Hendrix’s outfit says “We’re broken. We have been forced to do too much with too little without clear focus for too long.”

Only a few months ago V Corps reported, “We’re best in the West,” but that was under General John Abrams, who’s now back in the states being groomed for four stars.

His successor, General Hendrix, after a couple months in the saddle, is saying his active duty corps which costs the taxpayer \$207 million a year needs as much time to be combat ready as the Pentagon says a National Guard division needs.

Only a Congressional investigation will reveal when the war-fighting skills of proud combat units in Germany slipped to those of weekend warriors.

These units have been the main ground striking force in Europe for almost 50 years. Their readiness did not suddenly plummet from perfect to horrible in the last six months.

The real issue here that needs immediate investigation is have politically correct senior leaders let combat readiness decline while they were telling Congress their units were ready!

It’s been a long time, too, since a senior officer such as Hendrix has had the moral courage to sound off. In my memory only four Army generals since the Korean War have fallen on their swords and put honor over career to tell the truth in matters affecting national security.

They are James Gavin, Henry Emerson, Harold Moore and John Singlaub. All were given the boot. All are in my personal hall of fame.

And now Hendrix. He skips one of the four fighting corps in the U.S. Army, and he's saying: Our military machine is broken.

For two years I've been reporting in this space what your kids have been telling me: Our military aircraft are crashing in record numbers, tanks are falling apart like 1930s Grapes of Wrath trucks, combat units are understrength and not trained for war and ships are sailing with crews that are overworked and understaffed.

Any grunt or junior leader—off the record, of course, from a green replacement to a navy lieutenant to an Army, or Air Force captain will tell you that operational readiness stinks.

Even the mainline media are reporting the story. They're saying things have not been worse since the dark, grim days after Vietnam when our military machine was broken and couldn't even execute a raid to snatch the Iranian hostages without turning it into a bloody disaster.

But still the high brass in the Pentagon and their taxpayer-funded lying spokesmen are insisting all is well. Sadly, even General Henry Shelton, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, has bought into this party line.

*U.S. News and World Report* recently lashed the Pentagon about their sad readiness condition. Shelton responded by saying our forces are good-to-go and "the Pentagon's carefully monitored readiness statistics do not indicate serious degradations in the force."

I could expect such a snow job from someone like Max Taylor, who brought us Vietnam, or Colin Powell, who after Desert Storm didn't bring us the promised snake's head or John Shalikashvili, who brought us our military's current meltdown. But not from a great, straight-shooting warrior such as Green Beret Shelton.

A message to Henry Shelton: Don't believe your briefers or the puffed-up readiness reports. Take off on a recon by yourself and spend a week each on a fighting ship, with a grunt platoon, a fighter squadron and a maintenance unit.

Talk to the Joes and Janes and get the real word. They are the truth.

"So long as the people do not care to exercise their freedom, those who wish to tyrannize will do so. For tyrants are active and ardent, and will devote themselves in the name of any number of gods, religious and otherwise, to put shackles upon sleeping men." —Voltaire de Cleyre [End quoting]

Any country which places the crooks in the high seats of power—as does the U.S.—should be surprised we have any defensive armed force. Being battle ready has less to do with the real agenda than does simply getting our armed forces out of the country.

## US STRIKE AT IRAQ WILL



## EXPLODE THE ARAB WORLD—SELEZNYOV

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, <wrldline@texas.net>, 2/12 /98: [quoting]

MOSCOW, (Itar-Tass)—”Should the United States bombard Iraq the entire Arab world would explode and terrorism will engulf the planet,” Russian State Duma speaker Gennady Seleznyov declared on Wednesday.

Seleznyov, who was talking with journalists, called on the United States “to consider this and take everything into account before taking any action against Iraq”.

He stressed that “we are categorically against bombardments of Iraq and we insist on the political settlement of the crisis. [End quoting]

It will sure explode the U.S. also—**that’s for sure!!**

## AFTER GUNS ARE GRABBED, WILL FREE SPEECH BE NEXT?

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 2/2/98: [quoting]

“What’s wrong with a waiting period before you publish a newspaper while the government checks your facts?”

That question, seen on a button at a science fiction convention, aptly summarizes the position of Kent Hackett, founder and chairman of PressControl, Incorporated (PCI). Hackett promises that PCI’s legislative agenda will outshine the presidential election campaign and enact more unprecedented change than the House’s Contract with America.

Hackett held a news conference in Washington, D.C. recently in which he and other spokesman for the organization spelled out the proposals they want to see enacted, and the reasons for them. One such item is a Seven-day waiting period between composition of a publication and its release for printing and distribution while a newly established U.S. Bureau of Accuracy, Truthfulness and Factuality (BATF) investigates the assertions contained in it.

“A waiting period on publications would go a long way toward alleviating the damage done by hole-in-the-wall publishers,” Hackett says, “and we’re confident that the real newspapers and magazines, having as their primary purpose the imparting of accurate information to the public, will have no problem with the proposed law. Only those publishers that routinely spread inaccurate information, untruths and half-truths, and political propaganda in the guise of information, will suffer more than an inconvenience.”

The waiting-period legislation, dubbed the Hackett Bill, is only the beginning of the blitz planned for next year. Other proposals include laws to prohibit the use or possession of a printer within 1,000 feet of a school. “Our kids are having a tough enough time being educated without being exposed to

pamphleteering by irresponsible people,” says Hackett.

Other proposals PCI plans to push through Congress: Registering people who purchase over-sized paper trays for laser and ink-jet printers and copiers.

\* Restricting licensed persons from owning more than a set maximum number of printers and copiers.

\* Blanket authority given to police to conduct unannounced, warrantless searches for unregistered printers, and to maintain close surveillance of persons suspected of using printers to disseminate anti-government propaganda.

\* In an ambitious plan that would vastly increase the cost of owning and using a printer, copier, fax machine or computer, a 1,000 percent-surtax on these items and supplies to fund a program for psychiatric counseling for victims of information overload.

A spokesman for the National Printer Association, a leader for the printer industry, criticized PCI's agenda as “doing serious damage to the *Constitution* and to the personal freedom of every American.” The spokesman, claiming that the NPA has more than four million members representing 70 million printer owners across the country added that “the real agenda here is not to resolve any problems arising from the misuse of these items, but to exert control over the thoughts and speech of individual, law-abiding citizens”. [End quoting]

The elite are so brazen because they're sure they've got us now except for tying the noose and there's not a chance we can get out of their hold. I've got news for them: **GOD WINS!!**

### VIRUS ALERT (THIS IS NO JOKE)!!!!

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Jim Seabourn, 2/14/98: [quoting]

If you receive an e-mail titled “JOIN THE CREW” DO NOT open it. It will erase everything on your hard drive. Forward this letter out to as many people as you can. This is a new, very malicious MACRO virus and not many people know about it. This information was announced yesterday morning from IBM; please share it with everyone that might access the Internet.

Once again, pass this along to EVERYONE in your address book so that this may be stopped. Also, do not open or even look at any mail that says “RETURNED OR UNABLE TO DELIVER”.

This virus will attach itself to your computer components and render them useless.

Immediately delete any mail items that say this. AOL has said that this is a very dangerous virus and that there is NO remedy for it at this time.

Please practice cautionary measures and forward this to all users. [End quoting]  
Like human viruses, each version that is made is deadlier than the one before.

## BIG BIRD

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Calvin Burgin, 1/28/98: [quoting]

From: Steve Jones

Re: Secret USAF Space Exploration & Space Plane...

Greetings Jan—

The craft that was observed was rolled out of a hanger that could hold two 707-style tankers. It barely fit into this hanger. It was so big that two tugs were used to tow it out to the tarmac for the launch (which was straight up and silent). The horizontal profile was very thin and it only had two small tailettes. The craft was a very large delta, with another forward delta section for the crew. When launched it rose straight up in pancake fashion, silently and very rapidly. It blew a hole in a thin cloud layer that was over the base at about 1500 feet and did so in about 5 seconds. About 15 seconds after the launch one could hear a distant rumble of thunder.

I have pressed contacts from Washington and they are telling me that it is the X-33. The launch occurred at approximately 10:10 PM February 9, 1996 just in front of the large hanger on the SE portion of the tarmac at McClellan AFB.

NASA was there, having flown in in several planes, including a couple of corporate jets and at least one “guppy” craft. The show was guarded by 8 Marine Harriers, which flew in about mid-afternoon of that Friday. Also, helicopter gun ships cruised the base boundaries.

The craft in Phoenix, according to several eye witnesses that have communicated in private with me, was over a mile in length, which makes it highly unlikely to be ours. It was not a group of craft, or flares, or a flight of anything else. One of the people who viewed it, said that the wing tip came right over his house. —Steve [End quoting]

Wouldn't it be interesting to know just how much technology the elite have hidden from us?

## COLOR-CODED BEHAVIOR SYSTEM WORKS FINE AT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

From *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, courtesy Norman McLaughlin, 1/22/98: [quoting]

QUESTION: Some time ago you had a column in which an unhappy parent wrote about a school that gave children “colors” for the day’s behavior.

This year our school is participating in a new behavior-management system of which a color system is a part. We include lessons so students learn what the proper behaviors are for the classroom and for the school. We also include a review of what the consequences are for breaking the rules. If a child habitually breaks the rules, then the proper behaviors are retaught to that child.

Our system includes seven levels:

Green: having a great day.

Pink: warning.

Yellow: isolation within the room from other students for a time depending on the severity of the behavior.

Orange: no recess.

Purple: The student has to call his/her parent and tell the parent what behaviors prompted the call.

Blue: The student has to see the assistant principal or principal.

White: detention the next day.

We have 830 students in our building. I'm happy to say that only five children have had detention.

We try to build on a child's success. Each child begins each day on green. If a child or a group of children stay on green for a period of time, we reward them. If a child has had problems in the past and works for a short period of time to stay on green, we reward that child and try to increase the time the child stays on green. We try to educate the child and modify the behavior.

We also communicate with parents weekly about their children's behavior.

We feel this program has been very successful. Our teachers have indicated the students are learning proper behaviors and that there are fewer improper behaviors in the classroom. This gives them more time to concentrate on instruction and learning in the content areas.

Parents and even our students like this program.

Yes, there are some parents who are not happy with this system—like the parent who wrote to you. These are the parents who do not give their children the proper direction at home.

We feel that part of instruction in school is learning how to be a responsible citizen—and part of being a responsible citizen is learning how to follow the rules of society.

Mark D. Hudson, principal, Crittenden-Mount Zion Elementary, Dry Ridge, Ky.

ANSWER: Most people in the United States feel that we do need more structure—for our children and for those who work with them. It sounds to me as if your behavior-management system has evolved from a long, caring inquiry into ways to instill values in the children you are in charge of. I like, in particular, how you reach out for parents and include them in your system. Certainly, they are the key to whether any such system works—or not. [End quoting]

What do you think about this??

My thoughts are that the use of colors in this way is quite possibly going to affect these children **in a very negative way for the rest of their lives**. Why can't they teach them that negative actions have a penalty and the reward for good performance is self dignity, the ability to get a better job,

have better living conditions, be able to learn faster, etc.? Of course that's too logical, and the elite can't control logical, well disciplined adults.

### ADAPTIVE CHIPS

From *POPULAR SCIENCE* magazine, Feb. 1998: [quoting]

Each and every software application on your system has specific needs. Your word processor, for instance, requires only minimal multimedia and computational resources; Web browsers and games, on the other hand, may need a maximum of both.

To help computers allocate resources more efficiently, professors Fadi J. Kurdahi and Nader Bagherzadeh at the University of California, Irvine, are looking into ways to let computer chips change dynamically. "Our approach is to use computer power to make chips extremely flexible, so they can dynamically adapt their structure to each application they run and execute that application as efficiently and as quickly as possible," says Kurdahi. According to Bagherzadeh, the technology should take five years to come to fruition.

Recent advancements in manufacturing processes that have increased the number of transistors per chip are key to the technology. The Pentium II, for instance, has 7.5 million transistors; Intel's next-generation chip Merced will contain nearly 30 million. Kurdahi and Bagherzadeh look to the day when a chip can have 1 billion transistors.

President Clinton recently announced steps to fund this and other university projects to develop so-called billion-transistor chips. "These chips will be no larger than my fingernail, but their computing power will be 25,000 times greater than this entire mainframe computer," he said. Through the Defense Department, the government is supporting this effort to the tune of \$14 million. [End quoting]

What a boon this would be if man would only put this computing power into positive service for humans—instead of to increase the killing power of the elite's weapons systems for their depopulation plans.

### GORDON B. HINCKLEY TO LEAD US INTO THE NEW WORLD ORDER

From a *CONTACT READER*, 2/7/98: [quoting]

Back when Bush was President, I think it was some time in 1991, a friend showed me a newspaper clipping from the *New York Times*. There was a picture of Bush with his arm around the shoulders of Gordon B. Hinckley (who was not President of the Church at that time) [*Mormon Church*] and the quote was from Bush, "This is the man who will lead us into the New World Order." Unfortunately the clipping was lost and I do not have a copy of it. [End quoting]

Some more evidence of who the hierarchy of the Church of Jesus Christ Latter Day Saints serve.

Remember that Mormon in Japan means devil—consequently the Mormons have to hide this fact over there. Under such circumstances wouldn't you have thought they would have dropped that part of their name a long time ago if it weren't true.

Remember something else and that is evil always has to show or wear a mark of some kind. It is up to us to decipher what it is.

### WHITEHIEMERS DISEASE

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

It's a disease that has affected Bill and Hillary Clinton, along with their White House staff, causing memory loss... particularly when questioned. [End quoting]

And all of his so-called friends who **are still alive**, I might add.

### SPELL-CHECKER'S APPROPRIATE CHOICE FOR A MISSPELLED WORD

[From Dr. Al:]

When using my word processor's spell-checker last week I had spelled White House [president Clinton's temporary home] Whitehouse. The spell-checker usually gives several alternatives for misspelled words and I burst out laughing when it gave me such an appropriate first choice for the alternatives. The word was WHOREHOUSE—this is no joke.

### MEDIA'S WISDOM

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

The mainstream media is telling you that you don't care about Clinton's sexual misconduct, obstruction of justice, breaking of laws concerning campaign financing, possible espionage and association with drug dealers and other felons. They tell you that you need to worry about global warming because the Earth's temperature may rise as much as nine degrees in the next 200 or 300 years unless you stop driving your car. [End quoting]

The elite know how to manipulate most people's reactions to all of their shenanigans and most follow like a trained seal.

### CHINESE STRATEGY

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

The Pentagon has translations of Chinese military publications that view the U.S. as "a declining military power" that can be defeated with new technology and "the right strategy". China intends to



obtain high-tech knowledge from the U.S. any way they can get it. [End quoting]

All they have to do is put the money on the table and even better—many times—all they have to do is ask our traitorous leaders for it.

### GOP SELLOUT

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

Newt Gingrich (R-GA) and Tom Delay (R-TX) both signed on to HR856 that will allow Puerto Rico to vote in 1998 to become the 51st state. Statehood would almost certainly result in two Democrat senators and with half the island on welfare, it will add another \$3 billion to the national budget. It's an issue that's sure to stir the Puerto Rican Liberation Army back into action. [End quoting]

Our government is full of traitors—anything for greed.

### RUSSIAN METAL SALES

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12 /97: [quoting]

In September the Scientific and Production Center Inor contracted with Iran to provide special metalloids needed for long range missiles. A few weeks later the White House announced that Russia is “responsive” to U.S. concerns about Iran acquiring missile technology... more lies to follow.

When have either side quit lying? [End quoting]

### SMART CARD FOR SCHOOLS

Excerpted from *THE HERALD JOURNAL*, Logan, Utah, letter to the editor, 2/3/98: [quoting]

I am working with a pilot program here in Cache County called “Kids Card”. This program is designed to partner parents, schools and local businesses to provide funding for educational programs in the Cache County School District.

Briefly, the Kids Card is a smart card that is provided free to every household. Each applicant can select up to three schools to receive funding from this program. The Kids Card is presented at participating merchants following each purchase. These merchants then send a predetermined percentage of each cardholder's purchase amount to the school(s) of the customer's choice.

The success we have had, so far, can only be attributed to the support of the educators, merchants and parents with whom we are working... —Debbie Ditton, District Coordinator, Kids Card, Logan [End quoting]

The NWO is training the kids (very young) to use their cards—but worse, they are **using the kids to**

**intimidate the parents into using them!**

#### GREECE ON CYPRUS

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

Greece is encouraging Russia to sell S-300 missiles to Greek Cypriot forces in Cyprus. This whole issue makes the next 18 months critical. If Russia and Turkey go to war or if Turkey is neutralized, war in the Mideast between the Arabs and Israel is very likely. [End quoting]

I'm sure the elite who are directing the arming of many nations would deny that they are setting up a war. Russia and China are forces to keep an eye on.

#### WYOMING AIR NATIONAL GUARD

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

Why did the Wyoming Air National Guard fly to Indonesia to fight forest fires? Three C-130s configured with fire fighting equipment along with a crew of 46 made the trip that was in response to a request from our State Dept. Funding for the operation is a bit unclear, but humanitarian funds from either State or DOD were used.

Also unclear is if the burning forests belong to the Lippo Group. [End quoting]

Certainly it's the best excuse I've heard for them to have been sent over there—especially knowing how ol' Georgie Boy works the money pots of the world for his gain.

#### GUARD BRIGADES

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

The Army is working on a plan that will integrate the National Guard brigades into active army divisions, placing them under the command of the respective division commanders. The move is to improve the training and readiness of three "enhanced" brigades which must be able to deploy within 90 days. The idea of enhanced brigades was a part of the 1993 Bottom-up Review, which created 10 hollow army divisions and put a "fig leaf" on the "force" state of readiness. [End quoting]

Sounds to me like there is something more sinister to this—like an excuse to ship these trained U.S. troops out of the country so they can't be available when the U.N. troops—already here—**start tossing our citizens behind barbed wire and worse!**

#### RUSSIAN/U.S. RESEARCH

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

Several U.S. agencies including DOD are about to fund Russia's biological war program, hoping to turn ongoing research on such deadly viruses as anthrax, ebola and shigella into programs that help world health and at the same time prevent Russian scientists from selling their skill and knowledge to rogue nations. The old Soviet program involved work at, at least, 47 sites. U.S. personnel have visited some facilities like the VECTOR in Siberia, a complex of more than 100 buildings. The Russian's admit they have a strain of the anthrax bacteria that is resistant to known treatment. [End quoting]

One thing you can bet on is that the elite have a cure for this or they wouldn't be playing with this bug.

Don't put anything past these used-car dealers. They'll do anything for a few bucks.

### SOVIET SUBMARINE

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

The *Povodnaya Lodka* B-427 (or Scorpion), a Soviet submarine that was built in 1973, will join the *Queen Mary* in Long Beach Harbor as a tourist attraction in May 98. [End quoting]

Looks as though they want to add a more Communist atomosphere to the area.

### HSIA AND GORE

From *MILITARY* magazine, 12/97: [quoting]

In 1989, Maria Hsia raised at least \$20,000 for Gore at one event and later that year wrote him wanting him to "become one of the senators closest to the Asian-Pacific community". In 1990 Gore sponsored legislation which "benefited her (Hsia) immigration service business", according to a report from Sen. Thompson's committee that looked at campaign finance. [End quoting]

Some more selling of a country and its laws. **When will we stop it??**

### INSIDER'S VIEW OF FREEMASONRY

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, letter to editor, 2/9/98: [quoting]

Congratulations for printing Yorie's article. Perhaps this will expose the darker side of Masonry and cause some people and fellow Masons to rethink their opinions of and membership in this organization much as the murder of Capt. Morgan by fellow Masons in the 1830s for revealing Masonic secrets did.

Blue Lodge Masons and many in higher degrees are not aware of the hidden facets of Freemasonry. A reading of Masonry's major texts, *Morals and Dogma* by Albert Pike, the leading authority at that time, and *Encyclopedia of Freemasonry* by Francis Mackey, a 33rd degree Mason, would educate

them on the anti-Christian aspects of Masonry.

The “Great Architect of the Universe” and “JBO” are not associated with Christ.

There are many fine books by ex-Masons who became disenchanted with Masonry, which expose the Jewish symbols, influence and control of Freemasonry from its beginning. One excellent primer is *The Hidden Power Behind Freemasonry* by Lt. Col. (Ret.) Jack Mohr. —Carl Yates, Tahoe City, California [End quoting]

Thank God there are still a few people trying to expose the hidden secret agenda for the Masonic Lodges and those who fall for the scam.

### RON BROWN’S ENCOUNTER WITH CLINTON ABOUT INVESTIGATION

From *FREE AMERICAN* newsmagazine, Feb. 1998: [quoting]

Two weeks before Brown died, he had a meeting with Clinton in the White House, where he told the President of his intentions to cut a plea agreement with the independent counsel that had been investigating him and his son, Michael Brown, for bribery and other offenses. The plea would have meant cooperating to tell everything Brown knew about the scandals. Clinton, according to Hill’s account of what Brown told her, reacted with a terse, “that’s nice”.

Brown’s recollection of his encounter with the president in the final days of his life, as related by his trusted friend, Noland Hill, is downright chilling. News that Ron Brown was about to turn state’s evidence would have, under normal circumstances, sent Clinton flying into a rage. What could explain his placid acceptance of this devastating development? [End quoting]

How about: he knew the order had already been given to eliminate Brown?

### ONLY HUMAN?

From *ANGELS ON EARTH*, Mar./Apr. 1996, *Guideposts*, 39 Seminary Hill Rd., Carmel, NY 10512: [quoting]

In the summer of 1976, I was on my way home to Cincinnati from a business trip to Poughkeepsie, N.Y., one day later than planned. My wife was being admitted to the hospital that afternoon for surgery, and I was anxious about getting back.

When I arrived at the ticket counter to board the commuter flight, the agent informed me that I would be responsible for my own bags when I switched planes at Kennedy Airport in New York. I had a suitcase, a briefcase stuffed with manuals and a case of equipment necessary for my job as a service engineer. “What about all this luggage?” I complained. “I’ll have only minutes to make my connecting flight.”

“The airport bus to your terminal won’t be far away,” she said.

I boarded the plane, fuming while we taxied the runway. Finally I bowed my head. “Lord, please help me make my connecting flight. My wife is counting on me.” I took a deep breath and I tried to relax during the 45-minute trip.

Upon landing, I saw the airport bus in the distance and struggled with my luggage. *I can't miss that plane!*

“Boss,” someone said behind me, “looks like you need help.” A skycap! “We’ll get you right on the bus,” he said, loading his dolly. He rolled it along while I walked gratefully beside him. As he put my bags in the luggage compartment of the bus, I reached for my wallet to tip him and thank him. But when I looked up he was gone.

What happened to that skycap?” I asked the man next to me.

“Skycap?” he said, looking puzzled. “Mister, you came to this bus alone.”

Who ever heard of a hardworking skycap disappearing without his tip? That’s how I know mine was an angel. —Jim Sutter, Fairfield, Ohio [End quoting]

Most people just don’t realize that we are never alone.

## CHAPTER 9

### THE WORLDLINE HEPTAMERON AN UNCENSORED HISTORY OF OUR ORIGINS AND OVERLORDS PART III IN A SERIES by Calvin Burgin 2/5/98

*Editor's note: The following continues the new series from Calvin Burgin; as he explains in a footnote, Heptameron means: Heptameron (hep-tam'-e-rön): "a book or treatise containing the actions of seven days, in the style of Boccaccio's Decameron or the Heptameron of Queen Margaret of Navarre (1492-1549)."*

#### WHO WAS ANU?

The *Encyclopedia Britannica* says that Anu was a Babylonian deity that was regarded as the father and king of the gods.

Zecharia Sitchin can read the ancient clay tablets and has written a popular series of books on what he believes the tablets to say. In *The 12th Planet*, page 89 (Avon Books), he says: "The head of this family of Gods of Heaven and Earth was AN (or Anu in the Babylonian/Assyrian texts). His wife was ANTU and great-granddaughter was IN.ANNA." On page 69, Sitchin tells of the story of Anu being castrated by Kumarbi.

Anu's eldest son was EN.LIL (p. 95). Enlil erected Nippur (also known as Kharsag) as his city (p. 96). Enlil selected the kings who were to rule over Mankind (p. 98) and Sitchin quoted a writing of Hammurabi which says: "Anu and Enlil named me to promote the welfare of the people...to cause justice to prevail in the land" (p.99). Enlil was in charge of agriculture and first provided cereals and grains, including a mystery grain called Spelt (p. 414).

The third son of Anu was E.A, also known as EN.KI. Enki said "When I approached the land, there was much flooding" (p. 101). Both Enki and Enlil claimed to be the first born. Ea or Enki was known as "a mighty hunter, a fighting god renowned for his martial abilities" (p. 107). The *English-Sumerian Dictionary* by Adapa says that Enki was a Serpent God.

In the ancient languages, the pictogram which represented water was a wavy line. A small part of the wavy line became our letter N and N represented the name of Noah. Vowels were not written at first, but when they were added N became Nu, No or Noe as it is in the *New Testament* (Greek) which meant Noah. Ancient languages were read from right to left. A-Nu meant "the Nu" or Noah. "No-ah" meant "the No". Anu is simply Noah read backwards. They were originally exactly the same word. Sitchin explains the principle on page 317: "According to Sumerian grammatical rules, either of two syllables of any term could precede the other without changing the word's meaning, with the result that AB.ZU and ZU.AB meant the same thing."



“A” is simply the particle “the” in some ancient languages, but in an older form it meant “water”. The *English-Sumerian Dictionary* by Adapa on the Internet (<http://web2.airmail.net/apkallu7/uzdict.html>) says that “water” is “A”. A-NU therefore was WATER-NOAH or Noah of the Water. Was Noah a cetacean?

In *Genesis 9:20*, Noah became a husbandman, in charge of agriculture.

### WHO WAS MENU?

The following is a quote (minus the Hebrew characters) from Godfrey Higgins about the demi-god in India called Menu: “In the Hindoo mythology we meet with a very important personage, called Menu. He is allowed to be identical with Buddha, and with the Sun, and to be surnamed Son of the Self-existent, or, in other words, Son of God. The word Menu signifies *mind* or *understanding*, and is closely connected with the idea of wisdom. It is, in short, but another epithet for Buddha [he explains this connection elsewhere]. This root is closely allied to the root MNR [Hebrew], whence comes the Minerva of the Greeks, and the English word man, and the Latin words MENS *mind*, memini *to remember*, and the Sanscrit man or men, to think...Numa of the Romans...read from right to left it is Manu.”

In another paragraph he says: “Menu was maintained by Sir W. Jones to be the NH, or, as we call him, the Noah of Genesis.” Higgins has several pages of many details showing that the Sanscrit Menu was the Biblical Noah. On page 649 of Volume 1, he says: “Among the Jews and among the Indians, Rama was also known by the name of Menu and Noah. The striking similarity of Noah to Janus has been remarked, and their [*common*] identity, in fact, admitted by every person who has written upon these subjects. The proofs of it to any person of observation have been exhibited a thousand times in the course of this work.” Read his work and you will see that he is not exaggerating.

Higgins points out that Menu and Nume were the same original word (p. 212, Vol. II).

### WHO WAS MENES?

Menes was the first ruler of Egypt, per the Egyptian historian Manetho. The original languages did not use vowels, so the name was MN and could be written Mana, Manu, Menu, etc. *Gods of the Cataclysm* by Hugh Fox, p. 202, says that “min” was a word for “king”. Have you ever heard of a Min-Noahn civilization in ancient times (usually called Minoan)? The *History of Egypt* by Sir Flinders Petrie says: “The oldest statues of gods (in Egypt) are three gigantic limestone figures of Min at Koptos.” L. A. Waddell says: “This god ‘Min’ would now appear to be either the deified Menes himself or more probably Min or Man, the twin Sun-gods of the Sumerians, Aryans and Phoenicians” (*The Makers of Civilization*, p. 277).

Godfrey Higgins, in *Anacaplysis*, p. I-333, says that Minos of Crete [home of the Minotaur] was “same as the lawgiver of India, called Menu, and as the first king and lawgiver of Egypt, Menes.” Higgins shows that Menu (p.234) was identical with Buddha, and that Sanscrit Menu, Greek Noos, and Hebrew NH or Noah are all the same word, and from it we derive our words mind, the Latin

“mens” [from which the organization Mensa is named], man, mankind, etc. Noah is Noe in the KJV *New Testament*. Armenia, where Mt. Ararat is located, is from Ar or Har Meni-yah or Ar-mene-ia which means the mountain (Ar or Har, as in Ar-Megiddo) of Menes or country of Noah. The Menu of the Hindoos is the Maha-bad or Great Bud of the Buddhists, and the goddess Minerva comes from the same base word. In the Hindoo system there are said to be 14 Menus, the last of whom was during the Flood, and from this list we get our usage of the word menu to mean a list. Faber, in *Origins of Pagan Idolatry*, p. 118, written about 1800, says the first Brahmin Menu with his three sons was Adam with his three sons Cain, Abel, and Seth, “But the ark-preserved Menu-Satyavrata and his triple offspring—Sama, Cama, and Pra-Japati, are certainly Noah and his three sons, Shem, Ham, and Japhet.” It should be no surprise that the Brahmins (Brah-mins) tell of Noah, as they were descended from or named from Abram (A-bram). Books have been written showing that all cultures world-wide trace back to a tradition of their survival through a Flood in an ark, and when you understand that back then all the languages were basically the same, when you are researching a name and want to know more you can sometimes switch to a different country and find the same person with a slightly different name.

Higgins says (in Chap. V of Vol. I) “...Diodorus makes the first king of Egypt, Menas, or Menes, to reign at Thebes, not at Memphis.... Thus Menes is found as first king at Thebes and at Memphis; in Crete, by the name of Minos, and in India as Menu.... Of the Theban kings Eratosthenes says, The first who reigned was Mines the Thebinite...”.

The name Menes is used by the historians for the first king of Egypt, but Menes is a Greek name. The actual Egyptian transliterates to MN which can be translated as Min-Nu, Men-nu, Min-No, etc. and means the King No or Wise No. Other Egyptian names were Men-cheres, Menthuphis, Menkaure, Mentuhotpe, etc. It is probable that “mon-arch” (MN-arch) traces to Menes, the first king, the first king from the ark.

Not only are there three statues of Noah in Egypt, but James Henry Breasted, in *A History of the Ancient Egyptians*, p. 40 (Vol V.), says Menes was “buried in Upper Egypt, either at Abydos near his native Thinis, or some distance above it near the modern village of Negadeh, where a large brick tomb, probably his, still survives. In it and similar tombs of his successors at Abydos written monuments of his reign have been found, and even a golden fragment of his royal adornments, bearing his name, which this ancient founder of the Egyptian state wore upon his person.” Can you believe that a fragment of Noah’s garment exists! Min, Menes, Menu are merely variant spellings of the original MN, which should be translated Min Nu or King Noah.

Higgins says, p. 649, “Among the Jews and among the Indians, Rama was also known by the name of Menes and Noah.” He quotes Payne Knight who says that Ramases was the Egyptian equivalent of Rama-Isi (Knight wrote in 1786).

Most of the reference books will say that Menes is given credit for being the first to unify Egypt. Yet some of them will also say something like this quote from Donald Corville (*The Exodus Problem*): “Eusebius states that the reign of Mena, the first king of the First Dynasty, was preceded by the reign of 10 kings of Thinis.” The historians arrange these 10 kings to reign in sequence, but if they reigned in sequence, then from what was Egypt unified under Menes? The *Bible* has the answer—the ten

kings before Menes were the ten kings from Adam to Noah (Adam, Seth, Enos, Cainan, Mahalaleel, Jared, Enoch, Methuselah, Lamech, Noah) before the Flood, with the pre-Flood reign of Noah being the tenth. Then Noah became the first after the Flood, the first in another line of ten kings that ended with Abraham.

Some records say that Narmer was first king of the First Dynasty, and a remarkable stone palette of Narmer has been found. Unless I find more information, I believe at this point that Narmer was Noah. Some say he was probably Nimrod.

P. 713, Higgins says [Latin and old spelling copied] “A little consideration will serve to shew that in every case Menu has a reference to mind, to understanding, to wisdom. Here we at last come to the origin of the word Man and Homo, hominis and Nemo, neminis, i.e., ne-homo. Man is the Mn of Om homan, or human. Inhuman is the negative of human. The Mene of Persia is Mndi, holy Mn, Om-mannus, mind of Om. In Irish, Om means man, Omon a human. Vossius, Scaliger, and others, derive the Amanus or Omanos of the Persians from HUM, Chom, Calor; unde HME, Sol et Ignis. There can be no doubt that they are the same words.... A prophet in India is called muni or wise man. This seem to be the same as Menu. And hence, I think, has come our Nh mind, the son of the Muni.... Mannus a man, name of Noah. Mind and Man were, in fact the same.... From this root comes Mana, mens, mind.” Also Nemo, of the *Nautilus*.

Berosus called Noah “Sagan Ogygisian”, sagan means wise man, and the Deluge of Ogyges is another name for the Flood. The Roman historian Varro said the flood of Ogyges took place 400 years before Inachus; however, Inachus means Noah and son of Noah. It is also another name for Bacchus. Four hundred years after the Flood would bring us to the time of Abraham. Bacchus was another name for Noah, for Nimrod, Buddha, etc., AND FOR BRAMHA. Another name for Abram was Brahma, in fact it is the same name (A-bram and Bram-a). The priests and historians have ignorantly, or purposely, confused the true history. Once you get on the right track, and stay on it, the pieces will fall into place and reinforce each other, and will affirm that the *Bible* is *at least* partially accurate.

L. A. Waddell, in *Egyptian Civilization Its Sumerian Origin & Real Chronology and Sumerian Origin of Egyptian Hieroglyphs*, p. 4, shows that one of the names of Menes in India was Prabhu, from the Sanskrit meaning “ruler, master, lord” and “it is in series with the prefixed title of Pra, obviously derived from the Sumerian Par, Bar or Baru, ‘lord’—the form Prabhu adopted by the Indian scribes was presumably to make this ‘Pharaoh’ title intelligible to Indian readers.... The title ‘Royal Eye’ for Manasyu is a strikingly Egyptian metaphor and in series with the name Asar (Greek Osiris) for the traditional deified ancestor of Menes, which name is written in Egyptian and Sumerian by the same pictograph and phonetic value of an Eye over a Throne, another instance of the Sumerian origin of a fundamental Egyptian word with the same meaning, word-form, and hieroglyph writing. And Menes, in one of his inscriptions in Egypt, actually used this Eye-Throne Sumerian hieroglyph for his title.” I will add, they called it a glowing eye—these days we would probably call it a UFO.

Pha-Ra-oh seems to come from “Son of Ra”. E. A. Wallis Budge, in *The Dweller on the Nile*, p. 215, translating the “Book of knowing how Ra came into being”, says “From being one god I became three gods. I lifted up Shu and Tefnut out of Nenu in which they existed, and my Eye (i.e.

the Sun) followed after them....a man (or, men) came into being from the tears that fell from my Eye upon my members.” Note that he says the Eye represents the Sun. On the preceding page is an illustration with this comment: “Nenu, the god of the primeval waters, lifting up the Atet Boat of the Sun into the heavens. The solar disk is being rolled up into the sky....” The *Book of the Dead* by Albert Champdor, p. 23, speaks of the “flaring solar light within the womb of the cosmic egg”. On page 54 he tells of “Ra’s phallus, ‘which is his light’.” On page 70 he speaks of the “Eye of Horus, the god of the sky” and “The Eye of Horus created men and things.” There is much more I could quote, but to save time I’ll just say that when you put it all together, they are talking of a Cosmic Egg, or a glowing Eye, often represented as a Sun disk, coming down from heaven, and gods come forth from it. From the observation of the glowing disks coming down from heaven, carrying gods who got women pregnant, developed Sun worship, and sex worship.

Higgins, writing in 1833, after 20 years of 10-hours-per-day daily research in the best library and museum in the world, says (p. 515): “...we have what I think will lead us to the origin of the Cyclopes: Whoever has seen Cortona, Volterra, or others of the Tuscan cities, can form a correct idea of the walls of Mundore, which are precisely of the same ponderous character. It is singular that the ancient races of India, as well as of Europe, (and whose name of Pali is Synonym of Galati or Keltoi) should, in equal ignorance of the mechanical arts, have piled up these stupendous monuments, which might induce their posterity to imagine there were giants in those days. This Western region, in which I include nearly all Rajapootana and Saurashtra, has been the peculiar abode of these ‘pastor kings’, who have left their names, their monuments, their religion and sacred character, as the best records of their supremacy. The Raj-Pali, or Royal Pastors, are enumerated as one of the thirty-six royal races of ancient days: the city of Palithana, the ‘abode of the Pali,’ in Saurashtra (built at the foot of mount Satrunja, sacred to Buddha) and Palli in Goddwar, are at once evidences of their political consequence and the religion they brought with them.... Colonel Tod very justly considers the Palli or Raj-pali, or the country of Pali-stan, to extend over all Rajapootana and Guzerat, or Syrastrane or Saurashtra. But we have the Pali, or Pallestini, on the Hellespont; the Pallestini, at the mouth of the Padus, of Italy; and the mount Palatinus of Rome, and Palestraena, or the Sacrum Praeneste, and the celebrated Pali-Rau or Royal pastors of Egypt, the builders, probably, of the Pyramids. This Raj is the Roi of Gaul. I THINK THE WORD RAJ IS THE SAME AS RAY, OR RADHA, OR RADIUS, AN EMANATION FROM THE SUN, AND PROBABLY THE KINGS, MONARCHS, AFFECTED TO BE EMANATIONS FROM THE SUPREME, OR FROM THE SUN, OR, AS THEY CALLED THEMSELVES, SONS OF GOD” [emphasis mine].

### WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THEBES?

On page 12 of Volume II, Higgins says: “The Abbe’s assertion that, in the time of Menes, the first king, whose name was, in fact, the same as that of Noah, Egypt was a marsh, with the exception alone of the district of Thebes, which comprised all Upper Egypt, that is, that it was covered with water, is in exact accordance with what I have said in Vol. I, pp 291, &c., and 336. He afterward shews that the history of Thebes is a travesty of the history of the flood of Noah and of the Ark. That the same particulars are in both the histories—that from Thebes an immense ship was built, and that the first men and animals of the present world came out from Thebes, &c., &c.”

The records show that after the Flood, Egypt was a swamp and Noah settled at Thebes on high

ground and he built channels, dikes and ditches to control the drainage. If you look in *Unger's Bible Handbook*, p. 87, under "The Ruins At Thebes", it begins: "Thebes (Egyptian Net, biblical No, Greek Thebai)...." No, of course, is Noah. No is mentioned in *Naham* 3:8 and see *Jere.* 46:25: "The Lord of hosts, the God of Israel, saith; Behold, I will punish the multitude of No, and Pharaoh, and Egypt, with their gods, and their kings; even Pharaoh, and all them that trust in him." Please take note that the God of Israel is equating the multitude of Noah and the Egyptians! *The Old Testament is a much more EGYPTIAN book than the "Judeo-Christians" want to admit.* Moses was an Egyptian. Joseph was a ruler of Egypt. Abraham spent much time in Egypt. Christ's parents fled to safety in Egypt. Noah was an Egyptian! The scholars have had a hard time finding proof of Israel in ancient Palestine—they are looking in the wrong place! There is plenty of proof of Biblical Israel in Egypt—in the form of writings and pictures, statues and stone carvings, mummies, etc.! I will have more on this later.

Eusebius says that the reign of Mena, the first king of the First Dynasty of Egypt, was preceded by the reign of 10 kings of Thinis. Since we can prove that Mena or Menes was Noah, then the 10 kings previous must be the kings from Adam to Noah; Noah was 10th in this line. If you check Unger's dictionary, you will find that Thebes was also called No-Amon (Min-Noah backwards), Noamon and No or Amon of No. If you look at a relief map or globe it will show a high spot, mountains, where Thebes was (now modern Luxor, where the famous tombs of the Valley of the Kings are located), showing why Noah picked this spot. As Noah was king and father of the world, all civilizations trace their history back to the Minoans, the Menus, the Menas, etc., back to a common origin.

Check your Young's or Strong's *Bible* concordance and look up the word "ark", in *Genesis*. You will find that it is translated from the Hebrew "TEBAH", which is precisely the same original root as THEBE, from the Sumerian TB and is where we get our word "TUB". Noah survived the Flood in a tub, and named the place of landing and the first city after it! The Abbe Guerin de Rocher, writing in 1824 AD, said that the story of Thebes was just a messed-up story of Noah and the Ark (to be fair, could it be the Biblical story that is the messed-up one?). He said that at the time of Noah, Egypt was a great marsh except for the high lands at Thebes. The stories of Thebes tell of doves being sent out, of a giant ship being built, that the first men in the world came from Thebes, etc. The Moguls trace their history back to Japheth, and have a city of god called Delhi (D'el-ii) which had seven gates like Thebes, was governed by Al-Mage, Magi, Mage, or Mogul like Thebes, etc. The story is in many ways similar to the Biblical story. The *Encyclopedia Britannica*, art. Mogul, used to have this information but it is censored out of more modern versions. Gengis Khan was in this line, and it was claimed that his mother was lying on a bed one night when a bright light blinded her and penetrated her body three times and caused her to be pregnant with three sons, one of whom was Gengis Khan who was born of this immaculate conception. Tammerlane is another of many men who have been conceived supposedly by a bright light in an immaculate conception. This is still occurring, if you believe the UFO-abductions experiences that are being reported.

As the Egyptians migrated to other places, they carried the story with them, so you find a Thebes in Greece, for instance, with a very similar history.

*The English-Sumerian Dictionary* by Adapa says that the Sumerian word for "ship" is "TU" and the



Sumerian word for “fly” is “BA”. Thus a “flying ship” is a “TUBA”. This is one of the secrets that the “powers that be” do not want you to know! Noah was a “water god” who came to Earth in a “flying ship” which became known as a Cosmic Eye (look on your \$1 bill)! It is not for me to tell you whether or not this is TRUTH, but now you can look it up for yourself and you will see that it is what the records SAY!

### WHO WAS ENOCH?

The *Books of Enoch* were translated into modern English by Dr. R. H. Charles of Merton College, Oxford, England. He said of this book or books:

“Nearly all the writers of the *New Testament* were familiar with it, and were more or less influenced by it in thought and diction. It is quoted as a genuine production of Enoch by St. Jude, and as scripture by St. Barnabas. The authors of the *Book of Jubilees*, the *Apocalypse of Baruch*, and *4 Ezra*, laid it under contribution. With the earliest Fathers and Apologists it had all the weight of a canonical book....

“The citations of Enoch by the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs and by the *Book of the Jubilees*, show that at the close of the second century BC, and during the first century BC, this book was regarded in certain circles as inspired. When we come down to the first century AD, we find it recognized as scripture by St. Jude.”

Some have said the *Book of Enoch* is a late-date forgery. This is disproved by the fact that of over 800 scrolls that were found at Qumran called the *Dead Sea Scrolls*, there were 11 copies of the Book of Enoch (*The Dead Sea Scrolls After Forty Years* by Shanks, Vanderkam, McCarter and Sanders, Biblical Archaeological Society, 1990, p. 27). The *Book of Enoch* was accepted as scripture by the earliest Christians until it fell out of favor and was suppressed about 400 years after Christ.

The Anunnaki are called “Watchers” in the *Book of Enoch*. Also *Daniel 4:13*: “I saw in the visions of my head upon my bed, and, behold, a watcher and an holy one came down from heaven” [extra-terrestrial].

The *Moffatt Bible*, *Dan. 4:13*: “In the visions of my brain in bed I looked, and there was one of the angel-guard!” In other words, the word translated as “Watcher” really could be translated “Guardian-Angel” and in the ancient clay tablets is “Anunnaki”.

Robert Graves and Raphael Patai, in *Hebrew Myths*, *The Book of Genesis*, says, p. 84, “‘Watchers’ (egregorikoi in Greek), the name given to Satan’s angels in the *Second Book of Enoch*, seems to be a rendering of two Aramaic words: irin, applied to angels in *Daniel 4: 10, 14, 20* [10 and 20 must be a mistake]; and qaddishin, ‘holy ones’. A nearer translation would be ‘guardian angels’, which agrees both with their functions and the meanings of their names. According to Midrash Tehillim on *Psalms I*, ir refers to the deity Eloah.” But I ask: who decided these were “Satan’s angels?” The originals say they were from God.

Some people refuse to accept the evidence that says that angels fathered children with human women.



If you look at the evidence with an open mind, there can be no doubt that this is what the records SAY. The *Septuagint Bible*, the *Bible* that was used at the time of Christ, says “angels” instead of “sons of God” and thus some assume they must have been Satan’s demons. Josephus (*Antiquities of the Jews*, Book I, Chap. 3, v. 1) says: “Many angels of God now consorted with women, and begot sons on them who were overbearing and disdainful of every virtue; such confidence had they in their strength. In fact, the deeds that our tradition ascribes to them recall the audacious exploits told by the Greeks of the giants. But Noah...urged them to adopt a better frame of mind and amend their ways.” Josephus was on the right track: many of the so-called “Greek gods” were in fact REAL BEINGS, beings that were called “Nephilim” in the *Bible*.

Now let’s look at the story of Enoch. This is clearly a story of extra-terrestrials, flying “clouds”, UFOs, etc., written before the time of Christ. This is *2 Enoch I*, beginning in v. 2:

“On the first day of the month, I was alone in my house, and was resting on my bed. And as I was sleeping, I dreamt that a great grief came over me and that I wept; and I could not understand why I felt like this, or what was going to happen to me.

“I awoke to find, in my room, two very tall men different from any that I have seen in the Lowlands. Their faces shone like the Sun, and their eyes burned like lamps; and the breath from their mouths was like smoke. Their clothes were remarkable—being purplish [with the appearance of feathers]; and on their shoulders were things which I can only describe as ‘like golden wings’.

“They stood by the head of my bed and I was awakened by them calling me by name; and I clearly saw them standing in front of me. I bowed my head to them and hid my face, and they said to me: ‘Do not be afraid, Enoch; the Great Lord has sent us to you and, today, you are to go with us up to the Highlands. Now, tell your sons and your servants that they must manage without you down here; and tell them that no one is to come looking for you. Eventually, you will be brought back.’

“So I obeyed them. I went out of the house and called my sons—Methusaleh, Regim and Goidal, and told them what these men had said to me.

“When I had spoken to my sons, the men called me. They lifted me up and placed me on what seemed to be a cloud, and this cloud moved, and going upwards I could see the sky around and, still higher, I seemed to be in Space. Eventually, we landed on the First Haven and, there, they showed me a very great sea, much bigger than the inland sea where I lived.”

NOTE: The Greek word translated “Space” above was “ether”, which meant the region above Earth’s atmosphere. The word translated “Haven” was “paradeisos”, which originally meant a “park” or “sanctuary”, but some think it means Heaven. I believe it also referred to the Garden of Eden, which existed until the Flood, but in this case they clearly left Earth’s atmosphere.

### FLYING SAUCERS?

*Enoch LXX:2* says: “And he was raised aloft on the chariot of the spirit—and his name vanished from among them.” Recall that *Genesis 5:24* says: “Enoch walked with God: and he was not; for

God took him.” The word above translated “spirit” was “pneuma”, which literally meant air (as in our word pneumatic). So Enoch was taken up in an “air-chariot”, or what we now call an airplane! Or more specifically, it was a type of space shuttle. “His name vanished” does not make sense unless you consider that “name” should be translated “space ship” as Zecharia Sitchin has insisted.

*Enoch XVII:1*: “They [the two men] conducted me to a place where those who were there were as bright as fire, but when they wished, they could appear as ordinary men. They had brought me to a place of darkness from a mountain whose summit reached to the heavens. There I saw lighted places, and heard thunderous noises; and, in the deepest part, there were lights which looked like a fiery bow and arrows with their quiver, and moving lights like a fiery sword.”

Could this have been a “space station”? Angels/extraterrestrials definitely do use some sort of vehicle for transportation. One is described in the first chapter of *Ezekiel*, what preachers call the “flying saucer chapter of *Ezekiel*”. Elijah was “taken into heaven by a whirlwind” (*2 Kings 2:1*) then in v. *11*: “there appeared a chariot of fire, and horses of fire,...and Elijah went up by a whirlwind into heaven” and in verse 12 Elisha calls after the “Chariot of Israel”. The helicopter is an example of a vehicle that produces a whirlwind.

*Psa. 68:17*: “The chariots of God are twenty thousand, even thousands of angels;....”

*Ezek. 30:9*: “In that day shall messengers go forth from me in ships....” The word “messenger” is “MALAK” in Hebrew and is most often translated as “angel”. This clearly says that angels travel in ships.

*Isaiah 66:15*: “For, behold, the Lord will come with fire, and with his chariots like a whirlwind....”

Also see *Zechariah 6:1-5*.

*2 Kings 6:17*: “And the Lord opened the eyes of the young man; and he saw: and, behold, the mountain was full of horses and chariots of fire round about Elisha.”

The *Bible* calls these chariots “whirlwinds”. See *Job 40:6*, *38:1*, *Isa. 66:15* (“For, behold, the Lord will come with fire, and with his chariots like a whirlwind....”, *Jer. 4:13*, *Jer. 23:19*, *Jer. 30:23*, *Ezek. 1:4*.

*Enoch VI:6*: “Altogether they were two hundred who descended in the days of Jared on the summit of Hermon. They called it that because they had sworn, and bound themselves with vows, upon it. These are the names of their leaders, and chiefs of ten; Shemjaza, their leader; Arakiba, Rameel, Kokabiel, Tamiel, Ramiel, Danel, Ezequeel, Bariqijael, Asael, Armaros, Batarel, Ananel, Zaqiel, Samsapeel, Turel, Jomjael and Sariel.”

Herman is sometimes spelled Harmon, either is correct. My mother’s maiden name is Harmon. I hereby claim descent from Shemjaza of Mt. Harmon!

Please take note that Shemjaza was their leader (wink—no, make that half a wink).

Then later he says “...the ninth, Kokabel, taught the observing of the stars; the fourth, Bariqijael, taught astronomy; the eighth, Ezequeel, taught the observation of the clouds; the third, Araquel, taught the boundaries of the Earth; the seventh, Shamsiel, taught the limiting positions of the Sun; the twentieth, Sariel, taught the limiting positions of the Moon.”

Who were they teaching? Surely not each other. They must have been teaching humans.

He tells they had a physician named Kashdejan, then O’Brien points out that the ancient Irish Tuatha De Danaan called a physician a “Diyan Cecht”, which is the reverse of Kash Dejan (Hebrew reads right to left)! O’Brien also noted this: The Hebrew word EL which we translate as God, is related to the Sumerian EL which meant brightness or shining, the Akkadian ILLU which meant the bright one, the Babylonian ELLU which meant the shining one, the Old Welsh ELLYL which meant a shining being, the Old Irish AILLIL which meant shining, the English ELF which meant a shining being (from the Anglo-Saxon AELF), and the Old Cornish EL which meant an angel! I have shown elsewhere that Old Welsh, Old Irish, and English are all derived from ancient Hebrew.

Enoch described a house that he entered “...I saw before me, in another part, opened doors and through them a larger room which was ablaze with light. In every respect, the inside was so magnificent, and spacious, that I cannot describe it to you. Its floor was brilliantly lit, and above that there were bright lights like planets, and its ceiling, too, was brilliant.” Remember that electrical usage and light bulbs did not exist until the 19th century. And remember that the ancient Kharsag tablet said, speaking of the water reservoir, that “power would be generated by its pressure”. What were these “bright lights like round planets” that were lighting the inside of the rooms?

Enoch said: “At that time, Noah had discovered that the floor of the Lowland Valley was showing signs of sinking, and he was afraid that earthquakes would destroy it. So he left and travelled to the entrance to Eden, and called to his grandfather, Enoch, in a very worried voice, saying: Hear me! Tell me what is happening to the Lowlands which are being badly shaken by earthquakes—I am afraid of being killed.”

The ancient Jewish literature says that Enoch is now called Metatron, the Angel of the Face, and says that God took him so that he could keep an eye-witness record of the Earth’s history and present it in the future. The *Bible* speaks of a time when the books will be opened—apparently Enoch is the one in charge of those books.

Above we learned that Enoch said the Nefilim, the particular ones who got involved with women, arrived on Mt. Hermon during the days of Jared. Previously I show that Jared was born in 3514 BC, according to the documents we are given. *Genesis 5:18* says that Jared was 162 years old when he begot Enoch, and the next verse said he lived 800 more years. Thus the time of Jared was from 3514 to 2552 BC Then, 234 years later, the Flood occurred in 2318. This was actually “A” flood and not necessarily “THE” flood, as there have been several. I believe the Anunnaki must have arrived 600 years before the Flood, in 2918. If you will check my chart called “Basic Framework of Biblical Chronology” you will see that 2918 was the year of Noah’s birth (according to these records), and the number 600 has always been associated with this group! I suspect that the very year the angels decided to rebel and get involved with women, Noah was born, who was “a just man, and perfect in

his generations” (*Gen. 6:9*).

If you would like your own copy of the *Book of Enoch*, it is available from Artisan Sales, P.O. Box 1497, Thousand Oaks, Calif. 91358-0497. They also have many other books on subjects I have been writing about.

Another book they carry is *The Book of Jubilees*. It was written before the time of Christ, as copies were also found among the *Dead Sea Scrolls* at Qumran. It is a commentary on *Genesis* and *Exodus*. Here are some quotes from it:

“And then he [Enoch] was with the angels of God six years of this jubilee, and they showed him all things on Earth and in heaven, the rule of the Sun, and he wrote down all things. And he testified to the Watchmen, those that sinned with the daughters of men; for they were defiled; and Enoch testified against them all.... And it happened, when the sons of the children of men commenced to increase over the face of the whole Earth and daughters were born to them, that the angels of the Lord saw them in one year of this jubilee, that they were beautiful to look upon; and they took unto themselves wives from all them whomever they chose, and they bore them sons, and these were giants.”

Early writers who quoted *The Book of Jubilees* as “sacred scripture” include the *Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs*, Epiphanius, Jerome, Rufinus, Syncellus, Cedrinus, and others.

The *Creation Epic*, the *Flood Epic*, the *Epic of Gilgamesh*, the *Descent of Ishtar to the Nether World*, the Egyptian text of *Seven Lean Years in Egypt*, the *Code of Hammurabi*, and many other complete texts of ancient stories and records are available in the book *The Ancient Near East* by James B. Pritchard, Princeton University Press, 1958. This book also has a large section of photographs. I got my copy for \$1.48 at a used-book store!

Above we saw that Shemjaza was the head of the crew of extra-terrestrials that came to Earth. He was chief of 200 beings that came with him. According to most Christian teachings (those that will even bother to consider Shemjaza), he was the head of the rebellious angels. Yet according to modern information, Shemjaza (Semjasa) was the CREATOR of the Adam and ancestor of the Christ.

#### THE GENEALOGY OF JMMANUEL/EMMANUEL/JESUS/SANANDA:

According to *The Talmud of Jmmanuel* by Judas Iscariot, translated by Isa Rashid and Eduard A. (Billy) Meier (Meier is famous in UFO circles for his beautiful UFO pictures and his contacts with extra-terrestrials), Adam was “the father of one of Earth’s human races, who was begotten by Semjasa, the leader of the celestial sons who were the guardian angels of god, the great ruler of the distant travelers. Semjasa, the celestial son and guardian angel of god, the great ruler of the distant travelers who traversed the expanse of the universe, together with a terrestrial woman, begot Adam, the father of the White human race.

1. Adam took for himself an Earth wife and begot Seth.

2. Seth begot Enos.
3. Enos begot Akjbeel.
4. Akjbeel begot Aruseak.
5. Aruseak begot Kenan.
6. Kenan begot Mahalaleel.
7. Mahalaleel begot Urakjbarameel.
8. Urakjbarameel begot Jared.
9. Jared begot Enoch.
10. Enoch begot Methusalah.
11. Methusalah begot Lamech.
12. Lamech begot Tamjel.
13. Tamjel begot Danel.
14. Danel begot Asael.
15. Asael begot Samsafeel.
16. Samsafeel begot Jomjael.
17. Jomjael begot Turel.
18. Turel begot Hamech.
19. Hamech begot Noah.
20. Noah begot Sem.
21. Sem begot Arpachsad.
22. Arpachsad begot Batraal.
23. Batraal begot Ramuel.
24. Ramuel begot Askeel.
25. Askeel begot Armers.
26. Armers begot Salah.
27. Salah begot Eber.
28. Eber begot Peleg.
29. Peleg begot Regu.
30. Regu begot Serug.
31. Serug begot Araseal.
32. Araseal begot Nahor.
33. Nahor begot Thara.
34. Thara begot Abraham.
35. Abraham begot Jsaak.
36. Jsaak begot Jacob.
37. Jacob begot Juda.
38. Juda begot Ananj.
39. Ananj begot Ertael.
40. Ertael begot Perez.
41. Perez begot Hezron.
42. Hezron begot Ram.
43. Ram begot Amjnadab.
44. Amjnadab begot Savebe.
45. Savebe begot Nahesson.
46. Nahesson begot Sahna.

47. Sahna begot Boas.
48. Boas begot Obed.
49. Obed begot Jesse.
50. Jesse begot Davjd.
51. Davjd begot Solomon.
52. Solomon begot Asa.
53. Asa begot Gadaeel.
54. Gadaeel begot Josaphat.
55. Josaphat begot Jora.
56. Jora begot Armeneel.
57. Armeneel begot Usja.
58. Usja begot Jothan.
59. Jothan begot Gadreel.
60. Gadreel begot Ahas.
61. Ahas begot Jtskja.
62. Jtskja begot Manasee.
63. Manasse begot Amon.
64. Amon begot Josja.
65. Josja begot Jojachjn.
66. Jojachjn begot Sealthjel.
67. Sealthjel begot Jequn.
68. Jequn begot Serubabel.
69. Serubabel begot Abjud.
70. Abjud begot Eljakjm.
71. Eljakjm begot Asor.
72. Asor begot Zadok.
73. Zadok begot Achjm.
74. Achjm begot Eljud.
75. Eljud begot Eleasar.
76. Eleasar begot Matthan.
77. Matthan begot Jacob.
78. Jacob begot Joseph.
79. Joseph was the husband of Mary, the mother of Immanuel, who became pregnant by a distant descendant of the celestial son, Rasiel, who was the guardian angel of the secret.

When Joseph heard of Mary's secret impregnation by a descendant of the celestial sons, from the lineage of Rasiel, behold, he was filled with wrath and thought of leaving Mary, before he would be married to her in front of the people.

While Joseph was thinking in this manner, behold, a guardian angel, sent by the celestial son, Gabriel, who had impregnated Mary, appeared and said:

“Joseph, Mary is betrothed to you and you are her consort; do not leave her, because the fruit of her womb is chosen for a great purpose. Marry her in all candor, so that you may be husband and wife before the people.



“Behold, the impregnation of Mary occurred eleven thousand years after the procreation of Adam through the celestial son, Semjasa, to fulfill the word of god, the ruler of those who traveled from afar, who said through the prophet Isaiah...” etc., “...Behold, god and his followers came from out of the depths of space, delivering themselves from a strong bondage, and creating a new race and home with the early women of this Earth. God deserves the honor of people of Earth, for behold: He is the true originator of the White and of the colored human races of Earth, and to Him honor should be given.”

The rest of the document tells of such things as metallic flying machines that travel to heaven, a coming end of the age in 2,000 years, about the sons created by the celestials are different from the humans who were already on Earth, about Immanuel visiting India, etc.

This same genealogy is given in the Phoenix Journal #2 *And They Called His Name Immanuel—I Am Sananda* with a few differences in the spelling of some of the names.

[*To be continued.*]

## CHAPTER 10

### THE NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT

by Dr. Al Overholt 2/21/98

### THE 'GROUP OF 24' DEVELOPING COUNTRIES CALLS FOR NEW MONETARY SYSTEM

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Sofie Mattsson <sofiem@algonet.se> Sweden Calling, 2/15/98:  
[quoting]

WIESBADEN, Feb. 11\_Following a three-day gathering in Caracas, Venezuela, the G-24 stresses in its declaration the need for reforming the international monetary system and to put capital flows and financial markets under a strict control. The G-24 proposes to set up a joint working group with the G-7 to discuss these matters.

The acting head of the G-24, Venezuelan central bank president Antonio Casas Gonzalez emphasized at a press conference on Monday, that the so-called Asian crisis has already affected the whole world and that a control of the giant international capital flows would be urgently needed. He said, "The world's monetary and financial systems have structural weaknesses that require their substantial reform so they can adapt to the world's new realities." Such disasters as the Asian meltdown, he said, are "systemic" and cannot be prevented unless "there is a new architecture to international financial markets". The G-24 was formed in 1971 and includes Algeria, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Congo, Egypt, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Guatemala, India, Iran, Ivory Coast, Lebanon, Mexico, Nigeria, Pakistan, Peru, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Syria, Trinidad, Tobago, and Venezuela.

The Caracas meeting was also being addressed by IMF head Michel Camdessus, who talked a lot of usual non-sense, but had to admit that, "These are moments of crisis, and our task is to stop it becoming a global catastrophe."

\* \* \*

WIESBADEN, 11 Feb. (EIRNS)\_ "THE MORE THEY INSIST ASIA HAS NO IMPACT, THE WORSE IS THE REALITY," argued a senior fund manager for a financial firm in London. "A case in point: J.P. Morgan Bank. Since January, it has admitted losses of \$600 million in Indonesia, and today it admitted another \$180 million derivative loss from a Korean bank default contract. That's close to \$800 million loss since January and what happens? Morgan stock rises\_or IBM, one of the largest corporations in the world. Today IBM comes out and announces that "Asia impact is far worse than we expected and will hurt results for the entire year." IBM stock rises on the report! Not only are bonds rising on bad news for bonds, stocks too now are rising on bad news for stocks.

"In the next several months," he added, "I am convinced we'll see a collapse in technology stocks in the U.S. and Europe."

The global Asia impact is far worse than anyone could imagine last July, even I. Yet stock markets in almost every G-10 country in recent days hit record highs. This flood of liquidity into the G-10 stock markets creates a new bubble when Asia is not only not over, it hasn't yet begun. Look at the real economy. World shipping rates year-year are down more than 40%. Trade to Asia is plunging. Yet markets rise. This is what I would call a breathtaking 'suckers' rally. "There is also big foreign money flooding into U.S. stocks out of Asia, etc. That is the classic signal for smart money to exit now."

\* \* \*

WIESBADEN, 11 Feb. (EIRNS)\_FROM A PRIVATE DISCUSSION AMONG 12 OF EUROPE'S LEADING PENSION FUND MANAGERS: HONG KONG AND CHINA NEXT TO GO.

According to a report from a recent private meeting of 12 of the largest European fund managers from the U.K. and the rest of Europe overwhelmingly agreed that "the next major shock in Asia will come from Hong Kong and China, regardless how many nice statements come out insisting they will not devalue." This source added, "Most likely they expect collapsing China exports will first force Beijing to devalue the Renminbi. Then the Hong Kong dollar will be forced to drop the dollar peg. At that point the world will have the next big shock from Asia, larger than even any so far. It is uncertain on timing only, maybe a matter of 4-6 months."

"No one of us believed the Asia crisis is over. Nothing real has happened to change the reality. What we have now is a brief respite before the world is into really dire shocks when Hong Kong goes."

\* \* \*

WIESBADEN, 11 Feb. (EIRNS)\_'NOW HOT MONEY IS ROTATING FROM MARKET TO MARKET RAPIDLY TO CREATE THE ILLUSION OF REBOUND,' the same fund manager source told EIR. "This respite might last from now until maybe mid-March at latest. (I hadn't yet had time to brief him on Ides of March thesis of LHL before he said this.\_b.e.) Why do I say mid-March? Because now it has become standard for U.S. companies, when they have bad news, to 'pre-announce' about 3 weeks before final official Quarterly earnings are out, to preempt law suits and try to defuse impact when results finally are out. We will begin to see those 'bad news' for Q1 coming out around mid-March. People in these markets\_so young most of them have never seen a sustained bear market before\_are convinced that Asia and bad news was what happened last quarter of 1997! What we have instead is a kind of slow-motion effect of the Asia collapse of currencies, etc., into the real economy in the U.S. and Europe, which is just now building real momentum. It always lags by 8-9 months, for technical reasons, between a currency devaluation and trade effects.

"This is all a huge liquidity trap being created in G-10 markets now. In the U.S., M3 money supply for the last 13 weeks has been growing by 11% annually! The Fed has made clear it has no intent of raising rates; loosen, loosen is the order of the day from the U.S. to U.K., Germany, France, etc. Now, the stage is being set for a real stock crash in New York and across the markets of Europe. This one will be triggered by collapse of corporate earnings. When that hits, unlike previous market crashes, no amount of lower interest rates by the Fed will stop the slide." [End quoting]

The elite already have their plans set for whatever will happen and you can be sure it is to take control of all assets of the world and do it without losing control of we the people\_but their horrific greed will do them in by killing off each other for top control. Our problem is, we will have to suffer thru their wars while they do this.

## CHAPTER 11

### GERMAIN: "GROWING PAINS" OF THE PLANETARY TRANSITION

2/15/98 SOLTEC

**Good morning, my friend. It is I, Toniose Soltec, come in the Radiant One Light of Creator Source. Be at PEACE.**

**There are those who wish to get messages through at this time. Please allow for the interchange, for there are great inner emotional rewards to be gained in doing so. Find the Inner Knowing and sense the inner-connectedness, and from that vantage point draw upon the certainty and knowingness of that which you hold in your heart as having value. Resist not and allow the energies to flow naturally.**

**We shall not let you fall but, if you insist upon experiencing a fall, neither shall we prevent you from your free-will choice. We shall add unto you our strength when your heart and head are in agreement with your Higher Knowing self.**

**Let go of the past, for it is gone. Find yourself IN THE MOMENT and go forth anew. Allow for the moment and make the most of it.**

**Thank you! I shall stand aside as another wishes to speak.**

2/15/98 VIOLINIO ST. GERMAIN

**Greetings, my friend. It is I, Violinio St. Germain of the Violet Ray, come to commune with you that a much needed message get penned.**

**Yes, and thank you for asking: I DO come in the Light! I come as the representative of the Violet Ray of Transmutation. Your world is in the midst of birthing from one density of experiencing into the next, natural level of evolvment. This type of planetary shift in consciousness presents many unique opportunities and challenges for EVERY being who participates.**

**There shall be the apparent suffering of many who have failed to realize this greater reason for experiencing. But know that all is unfolding as it should in this "schoolroom" environment, for some of the most important lessons that can be offered anywhere in the entire Omniverse are available at this time on Earth-Shan.**

**With the ramping-up of the frequency (and thus energy) of the planetary environment, there is available a new, more expansive level (or cycle) of functioning. Along with this new cycle of "life" experience comes new awarenesses and an overall sense of Inner Connectedness with**

**Creator Source and with ALL life, everywhere. You shall finally FEEL what your Native American brothers have been trying to explain to you since before you stole and decimated their lands.**

**The NON-PHYSICAL senses of physical man shall be brought more fully to the forefront of conscious awareness. They shall become as matter-of-fact as are the presently utilized senses of hearing, sight, taste, touch, and smell.**

**Many are already using these finer, “etheric” senses, and have been for quite some time. For instance, it will become commonplace for ones to know you, not by your physical appearance, but moreso by the electromagnetic light emanations that you each emit, yet you tend to ignore for the most part.**

**You would be correct in surmising that hiding ANYTHING will be difficult under these conditions, so the habits of lying, cheating, etc., would become as visible as are currently your shoes or winter gloves. What do you think this will do to the “cover” of the many leeches and parasites running around on your planet at this time? For those few who may physically survive the continual planetary upshift, it will be quite an uncomfortable environment in which to hold onto those old habits!**

**I, Germain, have been summoned (chosen) as a Guide to help those who are desiring assistance to make this transition as smoothly as can be deemed reasonable. There is generally a great amount of confusion associated with this type of experience for those of you who have chosen to participate in the physical. The confusion is greatly amplified by the apparent lack of general acknowledgement of what is truly unfolding in physical space.**

**I say “apparent” because each being participating at this time can, if they so choose, feel the “tension” in the ethers. There is a general nervousness that has been ever increasing, and the rate of noticeable incremental change is greatly accelerated.**

**It is such that, by the time you ones adjust to the current level of “tension”, it has already shifted and you are finding yourselves in a near constant state of adjusting. This will manifest in many ways. For some it will be physiological pressures such as headaches, muscle spasms, and gastro-intestinal disorders. For others it may be irritableness, nervousness, or feelings of apprehension.**

**Many are feeling somewhat “rushed” as if there is not enough time to get done those things that need to get done. These are all signs and clues to you ones that, indeed, the Great Shift is near. This is the “quickenings”, of sorts, that many are beginning to consciously FEEL as well as see the effects of.**

**It is of utmost importance to shed the ties of past habits, for holding onto those “old ways” will only serve to impede the natural flow of transition.**

**This is the same as what happens when a heavy anchor holds down a wooden boat while the**



waters around it are rising. Eventually the boat gets pulled under, whereas the boat's natural (built-in) tendency was to float with the rising water (that is, the increasing frequency, and thus energy, of the planet).

Or, another way of looking at it is that when you become anchored, you are acting much like an electrical grounding rod. You will create a condition which will cause a "short circuit" of sorts, and thus "burn" you. One can hold onto (and thus float along with) a high-voltage wire, such as feeds your household electricity grid, and as long as there is no path to ground, you will not be hurt. BUT, as soon as any practical ground path exists, electricity can flow through the body. You now have a rapid heating of the waters of the body (97-98% of your body is essentially water) and thus, among other things, you burn.

Holding on to past habits that have deep emotional ties in the physical will only serve to cause great discomfort within as the etheric counterpart to the physical body is (choose your analogy) either "pulled under" as the waters keep rising, or is "grounded out" and thus begins to "burn".

Many of you are not aware of the extent to which you hold onto past worries, doubts, fears, and perceived pains.

You ALL do this to varying degrees. Some of you hold onto these charged events more than others, and due to the strong emotional sensitivity of the female apparatus, the women will in general have a tougher time with letting go of this excess "baggage" than will the men, yet women will also generally be the first ones who begin to recognize and utilize more fully the non-physical senses.

These transitionary times need not be difficult for any. But, as it stands this day, there shall be many who will simply refuse to let go of the baggage and will suffer greatly as they resist the ever increasing energy flow of this change.

The values of the past will be greatly shifted. This is to say that what many of you hold, in your heart, as desirable this day, will not hold any value whatsoever in the times just ahead. The more physically oriented are ones values, the more traumatic this change shall be.

Ones will not lust over the status of a job title. Ones will be greatly honored to serve freely their brethren, for they will see the oneness and unity of Creator Source in everyone, everywhere. Ones will not try to hoard physical "things" for there will be a greater understanding and realization that it is only out of fear-of-lack that you do so now.

These are truly magnificent times in which to be experiencing! There are many who will fight and struggle with this change, and in doing so, they will either tap the Inner Knowing within and "grow with the flow", or they will simply succumb to the emotional exhaustion of fighting change or to the anxiety of trying to understand.

This is where you, as Ground Crew, will have your greatest opportunities to assist your broth-

ers and sisters in need. This is NOT the time to tell them: “I told you so!” Remember that you came here at this time as much to function as teachers of the New Ways as to experience your own lessons of growth. As a teacher, do you laugh in the face of your students’ questions and lack of knowledge, or do you recognize and respect their often hesitant steps toward awakening?

It will be the time to humbly offer them these insights so that they may come to their own level of understanding of what it is that they are experiencing. Much confusion shall exist—it already does, but mostly bubbling at a sub-conscious (not consciously acknowledged) level at this time.

Be not harsh nor forceful. If ones “spit in your face” for trying to help, then be not offended. Simply go on your way and be attentive to offering assistance to others who request same. You will find that, for the most part, people will come to you out of curiosity and ask you why it is that you are so at peace and self assured while all seems to be in chaos around them.

This is the basic reason for having this information come out BEFORE the fact of this Great Shift in consciousness. Another reason is so that ones will know that there truly does exist we Guides of the unseen realms, and by inference, that God has never once left them unattended. Moreover, ones shall then realize that it was THEIR choice to ignore the clues that were there the entire time for them to take note of.

Growth, and the resultant expansion of awareness, is the primary reason for having gone through any experience. There is very little of true lasting value to be gained in the physical outside of this one, basic, non-physical parameter.

The number of dollars that you have in a bank account, or on a sheet that lists your worth (assets), is of ZERO value when compared to the value of some experience that you might go through that causes you to look within and tap an Inner Strength you never realized you had within. This is true growth; this is what you take with you. This is what is real; this is what has lasting value.

Nothing that you have in the physical will leave with you when you release from the physical experience. What will go with you is the acquired knowledge and insights that the challenges of the physical experience caused you to search for and find.

Find peace in knowing that, if you are reading this, it is likely because you have an inner desire to understand. This “active seeking” is the path that will garner you the true value that your inner heart connection longs for with interminable desire.

We, of the Hosts of God, are here to prepare, to the best of our ability, those who desire to expand beyond that which is their present level of growth, for these ones sense what is coming quickly into their reality and wish to maximize their potential to succeed in fulfilling their growth desire.

In doing so, we ALL gain in untold ways, as the invigorating surge of newness springs forth

**out of the decay of the old. Remember that as one grows, we all grow.**

**Our challenge is a formidable one and our reward is beyond the limitations of words, for it spans a multitude of dimensional realities and is not limited to the physical domain. To say the least, both the challenges and the rewards are beyond your purest ideas of passion, bliss, or satisfaction. We are quite thankful to play our part in manifesting this experience of growth. I am Violinio St. Germain. I am keeper of the Violet Flame at this time. I am honored to serve with those of you who have come with the intent to serve your brethren at this time of planetary awakening and transition.**

**Let go of the perceived indiscretions of the past. Forgive those whom you perceive as having “done you wrong”! Those who have “spit in your face” are the ones whom you have come to help! You would be wise NOT to let this fact leave your thoughts.**

**NOW IS THE TIME TO CLEANSE THYSELF OF THE EMOTIONAL GARBAGE THAT YOU SO FOUNDLY CARRY AROUND!**

**In Light and Blessings to you all.**

**SALU!**

## CHAPTER 12

### ILLUMINATI-MASONIC HISTORY CONNECTING IRAQ & ALBERT PIKE

From a reader of *CONTACT*, 2/20/98: [quoting]

Dear Dr. Young:

While reading all the information relative to the coming attack on Iraq, I have yet to see any tie-in with the plan that Albert Pike drew up back in the 1870s.

That plan is covered pretty thoroughly in the two books mentioned at the end of what I have written. As you are probably aware, Pike was a 33rd Degree Mason as well as of the Luciferian Priesthood.

W.D.F.

Medford, OR

P.S. Two of the revolutions, of course, have already occurred: Russian and French. The 3rd one no doubt is planned to be White-Black here in America (my guess).

### WHY THE ATTACK ON IRAQ?

Ex-Confederate General Albert Pike was Sovereign Pontiff of Universal Freemasonry, and Head of the Illuminati during the 1870s. He revised and modernized the ritual of the Black Mass celebrated to emphasize the Luciferian and Satanic victory achieved in the Garden of Eden, and over Christ to end his mission on Earth.

Pike accepted the idea of a One World Government and ultimately became head of the Luciferian Priesthood. Between 1859 and 1871, he worked out the details of a military blueprint, for three world wars, and three major revolutions which he considered would further the conspiracy to its final stage during the twentieth century.

Pike's plan was as simple as it has proved effective. He required that Communism, Naziism, Political Zionism, and other international movements be organized and used to foment the three global wars and three major revolutions. The First World War was to be fought so as to enable the Illuminati to overthrow the powers of the Tzars in Russian and turn that country into the stronghold of Atheistic-Communism. The differences stirred up by agentur of the Illuminati between the British and German Empires were to be used to foment this war. After the war ended, Communism was to be built up and used to destroy other governments and weaken religions. World War II was to be fomented by using the differences between Fascists and Political Zionists. This war was to be fought so that Naziism would be destroyed and the power of Political Zionism increased so that the sovereign state of Israel could be established in Palestine. During WW-II International Communism

was to be built up until it equalled in strength that of united Christendom. At this point it was to be contained and kept in check until required for the final social cataclysm. WW-III is to be fomented by using the differences the agentur of the Illuminati stir up between Political Zionists and the leaders of the Moslem world. The war is to be directed in such a manner that Islam (the Arab World including Mohammedanism) and Political Zionism (including the State of Israel) will destroy themselves while at the same time the remaining nations, once more divided against each other on this issue, will be forced to fight themselves into a state of complete exhaustion physically, mentally, spiritually and economically. On August 15, 1871, Pike told Mazzini that after WW-III is ended, those who aspire to undisputed world domination will provoke the greatest social cataclysm the world has ever known. We quote his own written words (taken from the letter catalogued in the British Museum Library, London, England): "We shall unleash the Nihilist and Atheists, and shall provoke a formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and of the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will be from that moment without compass (direction), anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out in the public view, a manifestation which will result from the general reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and atheism, both conquered and exterminated at the same time."

\* \* \*

The above information gleaned and paraphrased (somewhat) from the two books *Pawns In The Game* and *The Red Fog Over America*, by William Guy Carr, Commander, R.C.N. (Retired)

## CHAPTER 13

### ONWARD, CLINTON SOLDIERS!

From a fax by Eric Margolis, 2/19/98: [quoting]

NEW YORK—Two fascinating events this week. First, presidential spokesman Mike McCurry floated a trial balloon by suggesting the truth about the Lewinsky ‘affair’ was a “complicated story”. McCurry later claimed he “misspoke”. But this was clearly step one in an eventual admission by President Clinton that he did, in spite of all his denials, have an ‘affair’ with the young intern. Second, faced with worldwide criticism over what he hoped to gain by attacking Iraq, President Clinton admitted air strikes, likely to begin next Wednesday or Thursday, would only “seriously diminish” the threats he claims Saddam poses. Call it War-Lite. This tricky Clinton-speak—akin to “I do not NOW have a sexual relationship with Monica Lewinsky”—left everyone scratching their heads. What do weasel words, “seriously diminish”, mean?

Clinton was obviously lowering expectations in case the attack produces nothing more than piles of dead Iraqi civilians and demolished buildings. But is “seriously diminishing” worth the couple of billions of taxpayers dollars the campaign against Iraq is costing, or American lives? Former CIA analyst Tony Cordesman, America’s leading military expert on Iraq, predicted Tuesday air strikes would not eliminate Iraq’s ability to produce chemical or bio weapons. What, he asked, would 4,000 air strikes accomplish that 40,000 failed to do so in 1991? President Husni Mubarek of Egypt warns attacking Iraq will ignite anti-American rage across the Mideast, gravely endanger pro-US regimes in the region, and turn Saddam into a hero. Syria, a foe of Iraq, also opposes strikes. A senior diplomat from an important Arab ally of the US, who knows President Hussein well, tells me: “Saddam is a stupid thug, an embarrassment to the Arab World. But America is behaving as stupidly as Saddam. We can’t sit back any longer and see the Iraqi people tortured. Only Israel benefits from this horrible mess.”

A majority of the permanent members of the UN Security Council opposes attacking Iraq. So do the Pope, the Non-Aligned Nations, a majority of the UN General Assembly, the Arab League. Stripped of the fig-leaf of UN support, the US now claims the attack is justified by “America’s self-interest”. Translation: might makes right. But where is it written only America has the right to police the Mideast? For perspective, consider the following shoe-on-the-other-foot scenario: The Mideast is in Russia’s backyard, not America’s. Russia announces it will no longer tolerate Israel’s ongoing violation of Security Council resolutions to (a.) withdraw from Israeli-occupied Southern Lebanon, and (b.) cease building illegal Jewish settlements on occupied Arab territory. Russia demands Israel open its massive nuclear, chemical and biological weapons facilities to thorough international inspection, something Israel has persistently refused to do, and dismantle missiles with nuclear warheads pointed at Russia. Moscow delivers an ultimatum: either comply with Security Council resolutions and eliminate your weapons of mass destruction—as the US now demands of Iraq—or face air and missile attack.

Outrageous, of course. But this is precisely how many nations, and certainly the Arab World, feel



towards America's threats to further savage Iraq. Besides, once the latest "surgical" bombing is over, Iraq will likely expel all UN weapons inspectors, ending my hope of effective supervision. If he survives, Saddam will proclaim another great victory. Interestingly, Iran, the only nation to actually suffer chemical attack by Iraq, bitterly opposes America's latest crusade. This week, Bahrain joined Saudi Arabia by refusing to allow its air bases to be used to attack Iraq. Only the disco-loving Kuwaitis firmly back the US. Clinton's military half-measures will make Americans feel good, and certainly divert attention from the Lewinsky case, which looks to go critical in the coming weeks. Most revealingly, White House spokesmen now argue the attack is needed to "maintain the credibility of the Clinton Administration". At last. The truth.