

Phoenix Journal

238



By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1	1
THU., APR. 2, 1998	1
[QUOTING:] PART 60, GLOBAL PARASITES	
HOW JEWS RULED AND RUINED TAMMANY HALL	1
CHAPTER 2	9
FRI., APR. 3, 1998	9
TO JAMES ZAKAIB	9
GOD'S CHURCH	11
CHAPTER 3	16
SAT., APR. 4, 1998	16
TWO X SIX: "BUFFALO CHIPS" by Mark I. Pinsky, of the Sentinel Staff	16
CHRISTIAN GATHERING WORRIES SOME JEWS	16
[QUOTING:] PART 61, GLOBAL PARASITES JEW WIRES DIRECT	18
TAMMANY'S GENTILE PUPPETS	18
CHAPTER 4	27
SAT., APR. 4, 1998	27
[QUOTING:] PART 62, GLOBAL PARASITES B'NAI B'RITH LEADER	
DISCUSSES THE JEW	27
DUAL CITIZENSHIP	34
I ARRIVE IN THE 'JEWISH PARADISE'	34
THE KIBBUTZ	34
OUR MARRIAGE CREATED PROBLEMS	35
POLITICAL ZIONISM IS BORN	36
CHAPTER 5	37
SUN., APR. 5, 1998	37
DECLARATION OF WAR	37
WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS AGAINST SWITZERLAND	37
WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS'S FIGHT WILL HURT AMERICA	
by Karl Bruhin	38
WHISKEY KING DECLARES WAR ON SWITZERLAND	40
BUSINESSMEN ALARMED AT BRONFMAN'S SABRE RATTLING	40
WJC UPS ANTE IN HOLOCAUST "WAR" by The Spotlight STAFF	41
BANK REPS ATTEND.....	42
NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT by Dr. Al Overholt 4/5/98	43
JAPANESE ECONOMY ON VERGE OF COLLAPSE: SONY CHIEF	43
NO SIGN OF CONFIDENCE	44
'CATASTROPHIC REPORT'	44
JAPAN IS FACING DOMINO-STYLEBANKRUPTCIES, PREDICTS	
TOKYO SHOKO RESEARCH	44
CHAPTER 6	46
SUN., APR. 5, 1998	46

[QUOTING:] PART 63, GLOBAL PARASITES DR. LEVY, A JEW, ADMITS HIS PEOPLE’S ERROR	46
CHAPTER 7	52
MON., APR. 6, 1998	52
WHEN YOU NEED A LITTLE PERSPECTIVE	52
[QUOTING:] PART 64, GLOBAL PARASITES JEWISH IDEA IN AMERICAN MONETARY AFFAIRS	53
SEPHARDIC JEWS—	61
SECOND CLASS CITIZENS	61
THREE FACES OF ISRAEL	62
CHAPTER 8	65
TUE., APR. 7, 1998	65
[QUOTING:] PART 65, GLOBAL PARASITES JEWISH IDEA MOLDED FEDERAL RESERVE PLAN	65
ISRAEL’S ECONOMY	72
VISITORS TO ISRAEL	73
RELIGION	74
GOD’S CHOSEN PEOPLE	75
CHAPTER 9	77
TUE., APR. 7, 1998	77
PEACE TALKS IN MIDDLE EAST	77
THE PALESTINE MANDATE 1922	78
THE COUNCIL OF THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS	78
CHAPTER 10	84
WED., APR. 8, 1998	84
[QUOTING:] PART 66, GLOBAL PARASITES JEWISH IDEA OF CENTRAL BANK FOR AMERICA	84
CHAPTER 11	94
THU., APR. 9, 1998	94
[QUOTING:] PART 67, GLOBAL PARASITES HOW JEWISH INTERNATIONAL FINANCE FUNCTIONS	94
ISRAEL STIRS PERPETUAL WAR	101
ISRAEL’S ATTACK ON THE U.S.S. LIBERTY	103
CHAPTER 12	106
FRI., APR. 10, 1998	106
FUTURE REVELATIONS:	106
[QUOTING:] PART 68, GLOBAL PARASITES JEWISH POWER AND AMERICA’S MONEY FAMINE	109

CHAPTER 1

REC #2 HATONN

THU, APR. 2, 1998 1:01 P.M. YR. 11, DAY 229

THU., APR. 2, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 60, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL.III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

HOW JEWS RULED AND RUINED TAMMANY HALL

Within the memory even of young men, Tammany Hall has been the synonym of all political trickery, in the vocabulary of popular criticism. Tammany Hall was held up as the worst example of boss rule and political corruption that it was possible to find in either of the parties. Its very name became a stigma.

But even the most unobservant newspaper reader must have observed the gradual fading out of Tammany Hall from public comment, the cessation of the bitter criticism, the entire absence of headlines bristling with ugly charges, and the calling of the hosts of good citizenship to do battle against the grim bossism that maintained its headquarters at the Wigwam.

Why this change? Is it due to the dying out of Tammany Hall as a political force? No, Tammany is still there, as any New York politician will tell you. Is it due, then, to a reform of that organization? No, the Tammany tiger has not changed its stripes. Then, perhaps, this change is due to public sentiment? Not at all. The explanation is to be found along other lines.

There was a time when fearless publications told the truth about Tammany, but *Harper's Weekly* and others which waged fierce war against the Tiger, have either gone out of existence or have fallen under control of the Jews. The silence which has shrouded certain matters must not be noted and set aside without reference to the changed control of the press. There was a time when public bodies like the Citizens' Union organized to oppose Tammany and to keep a volunteer vigil on its activities; these groups have succumbed to Jewish contributions and officership and no longer stand guard.

The outcry against Tammany seemed to be hushed the moment that Tammany patronage fell into the hands of New York Jews, where it now reposes, the Kehillah being the real political center, and Tammany but a distributing station—a sort of organizational “Gentile front” for the more powerful Kehillah. A few Tammany leaders are permitted to strut out in front, but everyone knows that from the Wigwam chiefs the power has departed; it is now to be found in Jewish conferences. Murphy is still the titular head of Tammany, but like a Samson shorn, he is not feared and obeyed as of yore. In fact, the Judaization of Tammany Hall is now complete. Once in a while the Irish, always a match for the Jews, rear their heads

and show battle, but for the most part Jewish money rules and the Tiger lies down.

Tammany Hall was one of the strongest political organizations ever seen in the United States, potent not only in municipal and state politics, but often exercising a decisive influence on national affairs. It was, without exaggeration, *powerful*.

If there is one quality that attracts Jews, it is power. Wherever the seat of power may be, thither they swarm obsequiously. As Tammany was power and the gate of power, it was natural that the Jews of the biggest Jewish city in the world should court it. Doubtless, they were also affected by the incongruity of the fact that in the biggest Jewish city, the most solid political power was non-Jewish. That was a condition which called for correction.

When the German Jewish banker, Schoenberg, came to this country under the name of August Belmont to represent the interests of the Rothschilds, his keen eye at once took in the situation and at once he began to court the favor of Tammany. He became a member and a supporter. It was good business for this Jewish banker, because the funds of the Rothschilds were heavily invested in New York tractions. The properties of city tractions were and to a great extent still are, as in all American cities, at the mercy of the local Tammany power, by whatever name it may be known. Belmont was insinuating himself under the wing of power to protect the investments for which he was responsible.

August Belmont eventually attained the coveted eminence of Grand Sachem of the Tammany Society. The Belmont family for a time represented the sole Jewish banking support of Tammany Hall, but that honor is now divided among many.

In Richard Croker's day, when corruption went hand in hand with power, and power apparently was none the weaker for it, we find that this notorious leader's intimate friend, business partner and political associate was a Jew, Andrew Freedman. Freedman and Croker lived together at the Democratic Club on Fifth Avenue, Tammany politicians even then having become rich enough to despise Fourteenth Avenue. Freedman held the purse strings of the organization, as head of the Committee on Finance, and he was Croker's representative and mouthpiece when the chief went into exile on an over-sea estate.

The most recent Jewish power in Tammany Hall, and one of the most liberal contributors to Tammany campaign funds, is the lawyer, Samuel Untermyer, whose specialty of recent years seems to be to serve as the battering ram of the Jewish power—against interests which it wants destroyed, and whose efforts are usually camouflaged under exaggerated journalistic advertisements as being wholly in the public interest. Mr. Untermyer is not in particularly good humor with Tammany these days, because of the recent defeat of his son, Irving Untermyer, for a judgeship. There was somewhere a slip. The Jews deserted the Wilson ship anyway, apparently seeing what was coming in the way of retribution for the colossal and amazing mismanagement of war business which was principally in their hands; and in the ensuing mix-up, a scion of the house of Untermyer tasted defeat.

Tammany numbers other Jews among its supporters. Nathan Straus, one of the owners of R.H. Macy & Company, has been for years an active member of the organization and one of the rulers of its inner councils.

A Jewish ghetto politician, Henry M. Goldfogle, has represented the Jewish interests in Congress for a

number of years, and expected to continue, but he slipped in the election and has recently been “taken care of” by a city appointment.

There is also Judge Rosalsky who has been implicated in a number of interesting matters which illustrate the completeness of the Jewish network of control in New York City.

One might mention also M.L. Erlanger and Warley Platzek, justices of the supreme court of the state of New York, but if one began a list of the Jewish judiciary of that city, where would one end?

Another Tammanyite is Randolph Guggenheimer, founder of the corporation law firm of Guggenheimer, Untermeyer and Marshall—Untermeyer being the aforesaid grand inquisitor of Gentile activities generally and Marshall being head of the American Jewish Committee and the Kehillah.

It was doubtless necessary for a Jewry that contemplated control of the judiciary as well as special protection for certain powerful Jewish enterprises that are near enough the borderline of the law to merit question—it was necessary to obtain control of the supreme political engine through which favors were disbursed in local politics. And control of such organizations can always be had by money.

Not that the Jews threw themselves entirely into Tammany. The Jew’s natural political home seems to be in the Republican party, for thither he returns after venture elsewhere. But his predilection for the Republican party does not move the Jew to make the mistake of being exclusively the partisan of one group. It is better, as he knows, to control both groups.

As a matter of political fact, strong as is the Jewish element in Tammany, it is still stronger in the ranks of the Republican party, while New York Socialism is completely headed and manned by Jews. This renders it extremely easy for the Jews to swing support in whichever direction they choose, and for Kehillah to fulfill any threat it may make. It also insures that any Jewish candidate on any ticket will be elected. The fluke in the case of young Untermeyer is perhaps not to be entirely explained politically; other causes were doubtless working in that matter.

It is a long time since Ferdinand Levy bore the distinction of being the first Jew in New York to hold a political job. He was only a coroner, and the man who appointed him was only a fire commissioner, but that fire commissioner was Richard Croker. And Levy was solidly backed by the Independent Order of B’nai B’rith, whose success in this matter laid the foundation for more ambitious demands later.

But at the beginning, the Kehillah Jews adopted the ancient policy, not of putting forward their own people, but non-Jews who could be useful to Judah. The difference between pro-Jewish politicians who are not themselves Jews, and politicians of the Jewish race, is that the former in office can sometimes go further than the Jew in office can, without detection. This has been true at least up to this time, but it will probably not be true very long, now that the people’s eyes are being opened. The Jewish officeholder is only standing up for his race, but the “Gentile front” has betrayed the people for the pottage of Jewish favor.

Thus, in the early days of Tammany, indeed until comparatively recent years, we see the “Gentile front” in Tammany offices and basking in the glory of Tammany publicity, but in the background there is always

his “Jewish control”. This also is a formula for citizens who wish to know the meaning of things otherwise unexplainable, “look for the ‘Jewish control’”.

To this end, therefore, the Jews have been strong in all parties, so that whichever way the election went, the Jews would win. In New York it is always the Jewish party that wins. The campaign is staged as an entertainment, a diversion for the people; they are permitted to think and act as if they were really making their own government, but it is always the Jews that win.

And if after having elected their man or a group, obedience is not rendered to the Jewish control, then you speedily hear of “scandals” and “investigations” and “impeachments”, for the removal of the disobedient official. Usually a man with a “past” proves the most obedient instrument, but even a good man can often be tangled up in campaign practices that compromise him.

It has been commonly known that Jewish manipulation of campaign matters has been so skillfully handled that no matter which candidate was elected there was ready made a sufficient amount of evidence to discredit him in case his Jewish masters needed to discredit him. To arrange this is part of the thoroughness of Jewish control. And, of course, the American people have been sufficiently trained to roar against the public official immediately the first Jewish political hound emits its warning bay.

Amazing as is the technique of the Jewish political process, the readiness with which the American people can be counted on to do their part in forwarding the game is still more amazing.

What Mr. Hylan, the present mayor of New York, has done to merit chastisement is scarcely clear to a non-partisan investigator. But the fact that the Jews have set out to “get” him for something is evident on every side.

In the Untermeyer so-called “housing investigation”, the people hauled up were non-Jews, and the result of the whole business has been a stronger Jewish hold than ever on the housing affairs of New York. Jews are exempt from such inquisitions. The choice prey are non-Jewish business houses whose secrets may be forced and whose good name may be stained under cover of a legal procedure. There is such a thing as blackmail so entirely respectable as to be unsuspected.

Governor Sulzer, of New York, was the choice of the Jews. They subscribed money for his campaign, forced it on him, and kept careful account of it. Finally, under pressure of a compelling sense of justice, Sulzer pardoned a non-Jewish valet of an important Jewish New York family, a young man whom a coterie of Jews very prominent in the political, financial and social worlds had contrived to “put away” for a period of 30 years. Sulzer had no option but to pardon young Brandt. But he paid the penalty. He was impeached. The Jews who supported him testified against him and their checks were used to assist his dismissal.

The story of young Brandt hangs heavily over the heads of some of the proudest Jewish names in New York.

Playing on both sides of the political fence, and always retaining a string on the men they elect to office, are two Jewish characteristics which should not fail to be reckoned with. *The Dearborn Independent*, in its recent articles showing the hand of Paul Warburg in the Federal Reserve System, was able to prove by

Mr. Warburg's own words that his firm, Kuhn, Loeb & Company, during the three-cornered fight between Roosevelt, Taft and Wilson, supported all three. The Jewish owners of R. H. Macy & Company, New York, illustrate the same principle; while Nathan Straus looked after affairs at Tammany Hall, his brother and partner, Isador Straus, was one of the most active opponents of Tammany. Were the interests of the two men therefore different? Not at all.

Take the firm of Guggenheimer, Untermeyer and Marshall. This is a notable firm for the part it plays in the people's business. Every community in America has been affected by Louis Marshall's decisions as head of the American Jewish Committee. Untermeyer is the arch-inquisitor for Jewry. Randolph Guggenheimer, the founder of the firm, achieved the foremost influence of any except the Chief in the old Wigwam and was a power to be reckoned with in all matters. But Louis Marshall is a "staunch" Republican and a member of the Republican Club. Here again is the favorite method of including all parties under the capacious wing of the Jewish program.

Hence the popularity of "Fusion" in New York City elections. It has become the fad, but its most notable purpose is to insure the election of a Jew whatever his politics may be. In some Assembly Districts it is impossible to find anyone but a Jew to vote for. When Otto A. Rosalsky, a jurist who was implicated in the Brandt scandal, was re-elected Judge of General Sessions in 1920, he was the "Fusion" candidate on both the Democratic and Republican tickets. It was perhaps fortunate for his candidacy that he was. The point just now is that whenever a candidate may be vulnerable, it is very desirable to forestall a fight upon him by eliminating all opposition before the election. "Fusion" is another matter that should be carefully scrutinized in behalf of American rule of American cities.

By the way things are going in New York, these inter-party and "fusion" expedients may soon be unnecessary, because in any event it will be most difficult to avoid electing a Jew. Of the candidates of all parties for the offices of justice of the supreme court of New York, numbering 26, 14 were Jews. Of the Democratic presidential electors, 13 were Jews. Of the Republican presidential electors, 14 were Jews. Of the Socialist presidential electors 22 were Jews.

The strength of Tammany had exactly the same source as the strength of the Kehillah, namely, in the foreign population; the difference being that the Kehillah had a more compact foreign mass to draw upon. But both the Jewish leaders and the Tammany leaders have always been alertly aware of the fact that their power depended upon an uninterrupted flow of immigration, to supply the losses sustained by the Americanization of the people. It is always the un-Americanized foreigner that makes the best material for the Kehillah's and Tammany's purposes. The Kehillah is based upon the principle of recognizing racial minorities, and Tammany has made a specialty of giving representation of racial minorities in its councils. This was a liberal policy, and was thoroughly American in its original intent (as Tammany was a thoroughly American assemblage at its inception) but it was soon seized upon by the Jews and used to their own ends, and to the eventual ruin of all except Jewish representation. Thus all through the history of immigration activity, Tammany has been on the side of the wide open gate without any restrictions. The lower the type of immigrant, the more easily amenable it is to the ward boss's orders.

Tammany of recent years has been the able seconder of the Kehillah in all efforts to frustrate control of immigration.

The third great influx of immigration into the United States occurred in 1884 and was really the cause

of the beginning of the degeneration of Tammany Hall. The great wave was composed of Russian, Austrian and Hungarian Jews, whose arrival was followed by a memorable period of crime, the marks of which remain to this day. Indeed, the downfall of Richard Croker was a direct result.

At that time the police department and the police courts before which all criminal cases in the city were first brought, were in the hands of Tammany Hall. The result was a partnership between local government and crime which has not been duplicated outside of Semitic countries.

Immigrant Jews of the shadier type organized an association called the Max Hochstim Association which was known during the Lexow Investigation as “The Essex Market Court Gang”. One of its chief rulers was Martin Engel, Tammany leader of the Eighth Assembly District. The “king” of this Jewish district was a man named Solomon who had changed his name to the less revealing one of “Smith”, and who became known as “Silver Dollar Smith” because of the fact that he ruled his little empire from the Silver Dollar Saloon, which gained its name from the silver dollars that were cemented into the floor of his place of business. This saloon was just opposite the Essex Market Court, which was thronged daily by hordes of Yiddish criminals, the bondsmen, false witnesses and lawyers.

Let not the fastidious reader deem it unnecessary to linger longer round the old police court at Essex Market, for out therefrom came a word which has fixed itself in common English speech—the term “shyster”, by which a certain type of lawyer is described. A Clinton street lawyer named Scheuster, whose practices were quite characteristic, made himself very obnoxious to Justice Osborne. Whenever another Yiddish lawyer attempted a shady trick, the judge would openly denounce it as “scheuster practice”, and so it came that the first men in the profession to bear the name “shyster” were the Yiddish lawyers of Essex Market Court.

To make a nasty story brief, the Max Hochstim Association became the first organized White Slaver group in America, and the revelations made by the Lexow Committee are shuddering glimpses into that lowest form of depravity—a coolly conducted, commercialized, consolidated traffic in women. The traffic was made to yield dividends to politicians, to Tammany Jews in particular. The Ghetto became the Red Light district of New York. The first man to undertake the export trade in women with foreign countries, especially South America, was a man who later became a Tammany notable.

The surprising fact is that, although these matters are written in official documents, and although the same matters have been written into the record of every similar investigation which has been made, Jewish leaders persist in denying that the leaders in this particular form of depravity are Jews. When the United States Government made a nation-wide investigation, it found and recorded the same facts. The New York Kehillah came into existence as a defense organization at a time when the exposure of the Jewish White Slave traffic threatened to overwhelm the New York ghetto.

The Max Hochstim Association was not the only organization of its kind. The other was the New York Independent Benevolent Association, which was organized in 1896 by a party of Jewish White slave dealers as they were returning from the funeral of Sam Engel, brother of Martin Engel, Tammany leader of the red light district.

The gangs that formed the backbone of Tammany power in the slum districts were made up of “ca-

dets". Their principal field of operation was the cheap dance halls. Paul Kelly's gang originated in the halls about lower Broadway. Monk Eastman's gang grew strong in the Russian Jewish District below Delancey street. And Kid Twist's gang developed close to a dance hall for Galician Jews on the far East Side. All of these three were Jewish gang leaders. They were slavers as their forbears were in the days of Rome's decline; they were bootleggers before the days of prohibition; and they constituted a strong support of the international narcotic ring which to this day has defied the law by corrupting the officers of the law.

It was to associations like these that the lights of Tammany lent their names. Tim Sullivan was a vice president of the Max Hochstim Association. The name of the Honorable Henry M. Goldfogle also appeared on the picnic announcements.

The exposure which resulted when the White people of New York finally succeeded in getting the forces of the law to function impartially for a little while, caused many of the implicated Jews to change their names. These names are now representative of some of the best Jewish families, whose concealed bar sinister is the fact that the foundation of the family fortune was laid in the red light district. Society, sliced down to its seeds, is a queer growth.

It is due in justice to say that men like Tim Sullivan were not the originators of the Jewish abuses referred to, nor willing participants in the gains therefrom. Tammany would do favors for its friends, at the police court or elsewhere; Tammany had its occasional political upheavals; Tammany believed that they who profited by political spoils should divide with the Wigwam's treasury; but with such traffic as seduction and barter in women, Tammany had never been compromised until the Yiddish invasion of New York and the Judaization of the Wigwam. This much must be said for the Irish and American leaders.

The situation is the same in Boston. An Irish city, its chief political control is in the hands of Jews. The old-time Irish leaders are still permitted to be out front, but the inner power has departed from them. One Boston ward, where once none but Irish lived, now contains only Jews, but the old-time Irish boss retains his seat. This is by favor of the Jews and nothing else.

The same state of facts accounts in large degree for the connection between a man like Tim Sullivan and the Jews. "Tim", as everyone knew him, was leader of a district inhabited by Irish and Germans. Then the Jews came in. And then began the Jews' practice of profiting by the people's dislike of them.

Foreign Jews well know that they are disliked. It is one of their assets which never fails to produce dividends. They choose the part of the city where they desire to live, and a few move in. Their immediate neighbors move out. More Jews move in—more of the others move out. The property nearest the Jews always goes down in value. People will sell at a loss rather than live engulfed in a ghetto.

It was so in Tim Sullivan's district. As the Jews swarmed in, the Irish and Germans fled north. Sullivan stood his ground. It was his old territory, he would not leave it, nor remove his family. He cultivated the new arrivals and made a partnership with the ex-kosher chicken butcher, Martin Engel.

The Jews lived under Sullivan's rule for a time, awaiting the moment when they should know what to do for themselves. The Yiddish flood increased until the district was crowded, and then the Jews demanded representation for themselves. With a premonition that a new force had arisen, Tim Sullivan

played safe and helped the Jews to get recognition—Martin Engel was made leader of the old Eighth. But Sullivan had previously gone to Tammany, or to what remained of the old non-Jewish Tammany, and exacted an understanding that his rule should be left unchanged below Fourteenth street.

From that time forward, in spite of the understanding, Sullivan's power began to wane, principally because he continued to get in deeper and deeper with the Jews. He went into Jewish lines of business. He formed a theatrical partnership with George Kraus, among his enterprises being the Imperial Music Hall, the Dewey Theater, and the traveling Eagle Burlesque Company. Still the old district continued to become crowded and over-crowded and saturated with Yiddish newcomers, for whom neither the name Sullivan nor the traditions of the district had any meaning.

In his closing years, scarcely more than a hanger-on around the former scene of his power, Tim Sullivan bitterly lamented the ease with which he was led into associations that undermined his power.

Croker was destroyed in public confidence by the terrific shock of the exposure attending the Jewish "cadet" activities. Sullivan, equally picturesque, was the slowly shoved-out victim of Jewish infiltration. There were other occurrences and other downfalls, all of which are a part of the real story of Tammany.

Issue of September 24, 1921

[END OF QUOTING]

CHAPTER 2

REC #1 HATONN

FRI, APR. 3, 1998 7:37 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 230

FRI., APR. 3, 1998

TO JAMES ZAKAIB

James has been a friend and compatriot since forever. He has shared a long life, always considered to be a bit “far out” in Spiritual realization, and anytime we write on a subject he is able to give firsthand accounts and send documents of “the time”—always appropriate and never asking for responses or recognition. However, James, you have one VERY INCORRECT sentence at the end of your note to me. You say: “A brother in Christ who has not much longer to live.” WRONG: YOU ARE ALMOST OLD ENOUGH TO **BEGIN** LIVING.

When we refer to wisdom we find that there is truly a brotherhood IN WISDOM. People do what they can to share and look upon God as that which HE is, a part of each of our selves. His letter, [QUOTING:]

Mar. 18, 1998

Dear Commander Hatonn:

When I was much younger, I was involved in my own ministry in my own home and I had taught people for many years that belonging to any church organization will not save you, but that only we in having a direct and living connection with God through His son Immanuel could help and keep us on the road to advancement.

This typed article on who is the church of God was given to people approximately 40 or 50 years ago. Was it, or not, appropriate to teach that the *True Church of God was the faithful soul who had a living connection with God. (Aton)*

I would appreciate an answer in the addressed envelope, please.

Sincerely,

A brother in Christ who has not much longer to live,

James Zakaib [END OF QUOTING]

I am going to do two things: one, offer you the “typed message”; and two, give you friends an address where you can share some notes and letters with our elder brother, here.

James Zakaib

537 Thompson Cr. Rd. #31

Applegate, OR. 97530-9628

[I will personally see to his security.]

Part of the reason I take this step in naming and locating this person is to have you understand that you can live among men, among devils, and still KNOW and in WISDOM choose that which is reality from that which is the schoolroom of learning. Are the words ACTUAL? Never are words “*actual*” because words are but things of man. Concept and intention of soul is that which is expressed as best we can, in our own understanding. Blessed is the man and the child who comes into some understanding and shares that wisdom with any who will hear. In this instance, this man shares volumes of confirmation for that which I offer to you for he has seen and experienced the long journey THROUGH the morass of changes. Thank you, James.

Now, the second reason I offer this is that we have a new little “Daniel” among us. The brotherhood of God is now returning to be upon your place, chelas. How will these angels of God be received? Always the child can only grow in the teachings and stable reality of his guardians. Sometimes I am very hard on living people in this dimension for they never seem to know their journey’s task or the reason for strange coincidences. Some cannot rise above their own entrapments and NONE EVER FEEL WORTHY OF THE GIFTS OF GOD WHICH OFTEN SEEM SO STRANGE AND GIFTLESS.

So, as James sees the end of his journey here, I see a grand and wondrous BIRTHDAY. Daniel is beginning his journey here and I see a wondrous Birthday. You will both find the struggle to be worth the rewards.

We always make our efforts to express in ways that can find understanding capability. In visions sometimes, in words sometimes, in parables—in teachings of guidance which are most often buried. But it is now a time of revelation—OF TRUTH IN CONCEPT. It is also a time of revealing that which has been hidden or lost. It is a time of SEEING GOD for the miracle of Creation which HE IS and for the most Divine Father as near as our breath to ourselves. HE is wondrous and ABSOLUTE Love and acceptance and patience with we children learning our way through experiences. But He is “conditional”. YOU MUST LEARN AND COME TO LIVE—TRUTH, LEST YOU HAVE TO CONTINUE ON UNTIL YOU DO SO.

To the pretenders, this often means to “look pious” and hide what you can of the traits you don’t want seen. But you can’t hide from God, can you? You can go elsewhere to enjoy a “better truth” for self, but what have you usually done in these instances? You have almost always substituted that which you enjoy in the lie—to remove self from confrontation with TRUTH.

However, above and beyond all—YOU MUST DO YOUR OWN CHOOSING AND GOD WILL ALLOW IT, RIGHT OR WRONG, AND OFFER YOU BLESSINGS UPON EVEN THE WRONG CHOICES THAT YOUR LESSONS BE LEARNED IN THE BEST POSSIBLE MANNER FOR THE UNIQUE AND INDIVIDUAL PERSON WHICH YOU **ARE**. Sometimes someone may find it necessary to go forth and experience ANOTHER avenue only to find the proximity of familiarity becomes contempt. People who set themselves up as teachers in the flesh expression pull every view of themselves into judgment. Just as with ones such as Sathya Sai Baba, the “man” in point becomes the whole of the idea in point. No, this is not correct concept. GOD presents the tree as an expression of Himself—and yet, the tree may be dying of parasitic mistletoe. But still, the tree is of God—as is the parasite. Does God love the tree more than the parasite? No. When you understand this one sentence—you may well understand your relationship with God.

You may take the lesson herein offered as a test for mankind or individual intent. YOU ***CAN take responsibility*** AND REMOVE THE PARASITE FROM THE TREE—IF YOU MAKE THE EFFORT. WILL ***YOU*** WATCH A TREE DIE BECAUSE IT “IS NOT MY RESPONSIBILITY” OR WILL YOU GO FORTH AND REMOVE THE KILLING CANCER? IS THIS NOT WHAT YOU ASK OF GOD CONSTANTLY? CAN YOU SEE THAT ***YOU*** MUST REMOVE THE CANCERS OF LIFE PASSAGE? So be it. How much Starthistle have YOU removed to keep it from spreading and killing the livestock? HOW MUCH DO YOU ASK OF GOD WHILE BEING WITH LACK OF THOUGHT OR CARE, ABOUT THE WONDROUS THINGS OF CREATION?

[QUOTING, the article sent by Mr. Zakaib:]

GOD’S CHURCH

BEHOLD I HAVE GRAVEN THEE UPON THE PALMS OF MY HANDS THY WALLS ARE CONTINUALLY BEFORE ME. Isaiah 49: 8-16

FROM THE BEGINNING, FAITHFUL SOULS HAVE CONSTITUTED THE CHURCH ON EARTH. IN EVERY AGE THE LORD HAS HAD HIS WATCHMEN, WHO HAVE BORNE A FAITHFUL TESTIMONY TO THE GENERATION IN WHICH THEY LIVED.

“And I looked, and lo, a Lamb stood on Mount Sion, and with Him a hundred forty and four thousand.” In a hollow square about the throne are clustered those who were living when the Son of man came in power. As they see the Lamb, slain from the foundation of the world, a song of triumph bursts from their lips. Heaven’s arches ring, and wonder of wonders, they whose experiences have seemed so varied, they who have been separated, crushed, degraded, upon whom sin had once placed its terrible hand, find that their voices blend in perfect harmony, and the song they sing is one of such pathos, such depths of joy and gratitude that none others can join with them. Praise rings throughout heaven. Christ’s image and His character are perfectly reflected by this company. From the deepest depths of sin to the pinnacle of Heaven, each as a stone in the Master’s crown, reflects His character, and the one hundred and forty four thousand together complete the circle of perfection.

In addition to this company, who act henceforth as the bodyguard of the King, taking the place which had been vacant since the fall of Satan and his angels, was seen another company composed of those who were martyrs, and those who have been snatched from the pit of ruin. And again there is seen an innumerable company which no man can number, representing every nation, tribe, and tongue. The number which would have peopled the Earth had no sin ever entered, is gathered about the Father and Son. Christ looks upon them, and in spite of the remembrance of the fall, and the pain and sorrow which the plan of salvation cost, when He sees the travail of His soul, He is satisfied. In the midst of His redeemed church the Saviour breaks forth into singing. The thought of sin and sorrow is blotted out. From the nailprints in His hands stream beams of light which is “the hiding of His power”. Heaven bows in adoration, for the victory is gained.

Then it is that Daniel sees the language of the heavens interpreted. The universe is composed of Suns, many of them mightier than our own, and each Sun is the center of a planetary system, and each planet is accompanied by its satellites, a vast circle within a circle, moving in perfect order, performing its allotted

time, making, to the ear of Jehovah, the music of the spheres. The immensity of space is filled with universes, and all revolve about the throne of God. All are held in their orbits by rays of power from His throne of life. Each shines with a light reflected from Him who is the fountain of life. Each is guided in its path by the eye of Him who sits on the throne.

This is the type of God's order for His church upon Earth. The perfect order of the heavenly bodies is a pattern for family and church organization. Each little company should shine as a star. God looks with pleasure upon the clusters of worshipers as they move in perfect order, each bending to the influence of the higher powers. As it is the power of God in the Sun which holds the Earth in its course, so His power, working through the highest organization on Earth, controls those of smaller power. In the family, children should obey parents, and parents should obey God, even as the Earth follows the Sun, and the Sun circles about its center, God's throne. The perfection of this system will characterize the last church, which will have developed the character which was looked for in ancient Israel. God's people are a peculiar people, and their peculiarities will live in the virtues of Christ, which they reflect. This fits them to become a royal priesthood. To Daniel the angel said, "They that be teachers shall shine as the brightness of the firmament." And so the prophet had the privilege of seeing a nation or a company of teachers among the saved, who carried forward the work which His own people might have done. As Christ was a teacher, who spoke with authority which none could resist, so the **remnant church** will be teachers by virtue of the Christ life within them. Those who are taken from the depths of sin will shine as the stars in the firmament.

"But thou, oh Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the Book, even to the time of the end." At that time "many shall run to and fro, and knowledge shall be increased." The increased knowledge of the present generation is marvelous and beyond description. There are no realms of science left unexplored. This is that man may be led to see the wonders of Creation, and so desire to know more of the Creator." As the closing of the *Bible* in the beginning of the 1260 years brought darkness, intellectual and moral, so that the opening of God's Word has led to intellectual as well as moral advancement. From city to city messages fly of swifter wings than carrier pigeons. While man looks on in amazement angels watch with intense interest to see if man will co-operate with them in using these vast facilities to forward the Gospel on the Earth.

God, from the beginning of EARTH'S history, has offered life to that nation which would make His Word the basis of its education. The Jews were lost as a nation because of the failure to train their children according to its sacred truths, and when the Christian Church inherited the promise made to the Israelites, it was upon the same condition that they should teach their children all the statutes of Jehovah. **[H: Ah, but how could the replacing generations realize that Jehovah was no longer THE GOD OF CREATION but had been replaced in MAN'S idolatry by the ADVERSARY of God? The NAME OF GOD had been taken by that Adversary and set forth as a mockery to head the Church of Satan to be borne as well as that of Lucifer to be the very head of the Church of the Adversary. But what's in a name? NOTHING, as long as you KNOW to whom you give honor and reverence for none should WORSHIP any THING and God does not ask, nor does He want, "worship". He wants you to come into TRUTH in Goodness and revere and respect. Respect your enemies and see their folly lest you be swallowed up in ignorance that they present. When you truly honor and respect in all things—you are truly expressing divine Love.]** So the time of the end is the period during which the remnant people will be developed. One great means for their education will be a return to true principles of education. The people will pass safely through the time of trouble which closes

this last prophetic period and will be fortified physically by strict obedience through faith to all the laws of the physical man and mentally they will be made strong by education of faith binding them all together in the love of Christ.

The time of the end period in which we now live, is a time when knowledge shall increase and the worldly wise trust more and more to their own wisdom. The faithful followers of God will separate entirely from worldly education. Now was the time for the truly wise to shine as stars whose light was made more apparent as the darkness of iniquity deepened. It is evident that Daniel's whole attention had been centered on the events which Gabriel, God's historian, had related; and when the final triumph of Truth was given, it was shown that Christ Himself was near the prophet, and angels in heaven were also listening to the records. So closely bound to Earth are these heavenly beings, and so strong are the ties that unite their hearts and interests to man, that when Gabriel ceased speaking, an angel called to Christ, who was again seen on the waters of the stream of time: "How long shall it be to the end of these wonders?" That was the angel's question, and Christ Himself made the answer. Holding up His right hand and His left, unto heaven, He "swore by Him that liveth forever that it shall be for a time, times, and a half".

Angels have waited 6000 years for the completion of the plan. They have watched generation after generation for the final number to be made up, and have seen one century after another roll around, and still the inhabitants of the Earth loitered. What wonder is it that when the end is made known they call out: "How long shall it be to the end?" Daniel had heard this same period mentioned by Gabriel, and now it was repeated by Christ, but he says, "I heard, but I understood not." The prophet's heart was heavy as he followed the history of nations to the end of time, and fearing he should still be left in doubt as to the time for the fulfillment of all he had seen, like Jacob, who in his night of wrestling clung to the angel and pleaded, "Oh my Lord, what shall be the end of these things?" No request yet made by this man of God had been passed by without an answer and neither was he now left in ignorance of the time. Gabriel answered the earnest inquiry in tender tones. Said he: "Go thy ways, Daniel, for the words are sealed till the time of the end." And then it was seen that "till the time of the end" meant the same as "a time, times, and a half", at the end of which period the great persecution should cease. This prophetic period of 1260 years began in 538. The law of God was changed and the Sabbath of the decalogue was trampled under foot of man. Both the law of God and the saints were bound for "a time, times, and a half" by the power which exalteth itself above Jehovah. The persecution tended only to scatter the power of the holy people and at the time of the end both the law of God and the people were restored.

Daniel's work was over. The story of the world was written. His prophecy would stand until the end. He slept with his fathers after more than 70 years of faithful service in the courts of Babylon. Men could find no fault with him except concerning the law of "his" God, and Jehovah called him a "man greatly beloved". In the last days he stands in his lot as a prophet, and the things revealed to him, together with the *Revelation* given to John on Patmos, and the warnings sent of God through the Spirit of Prophecy in the remnant church, will guide the faithful company of believers through the time of trouble, and prepare them for the appearing of Christ in the clouds of heaven.

[END OF QUOTING]

Readers, do you not see that, in all things, prophecy included, PERCEPTION is all you have? Is an Armageddon or an Apocalypse demanded by the historians of perceived "time" or is a disaster the only

way to END a play? But WILL IT BE SO? It does NOT need to be so for the play was written and then REWRITTEN by man in order to have you march to their drum-beat. Don't you see that you don't need "Christ" to appear somehow in a bunch of clouds—CHRIST/GOD *IS THE CLOUDS*. Clouds were made in perfection to hold water and give rain where and when it is appropriate—according to the water in the cloud—for you can have clouds of smoke or debris or whatever. MAN WAS NOT INTENDED TO STAND OR SIT UPON CLOUDS and "CHRIST" is a state of BEING. GOD/CHRIST is LIGHT and LIGHT is everywhere, on, through and under everything. It is not heavier than or lesser than—it IS and it IS the source of LIFE ITSELF. Clouds are but a physical tool to hold water or whatever! Furthermore, you can only "see" and INTERPRET through the MIND. You do not even need eyes to SEE for it is always the MIND which perceives what the eyes "think they see". And if you can only see what some other "MAN" tells you—you only SEE "his" opinion and perception.

You do NOT need an Armageddon of destruction—the very concept is NOT OF GOD. GOD IS LIFE AND CREATION, never destruction or disaster. Can't you go for the Creation of and with GOD and let the Satanic destroyers go their way? Why do you find you have to play their game of sordid destruction?

GOD IS SHOWING YOU THE WAY, IS OFFERING YOU "THE WAY", THE TRUTH AND THE LIFE. WILL YOU NOT ACCEPT IT AND TAKE IT UNTO SELF?

The ending destructions were thrust upon you generation after generation as the Adversary to life chose to bring POWER over you and move your soul into a physical trap. You seem to have to go jump INTO THE TRAP? WHY? Because some "prophet" told you to do so? Is that not as foolish as jumping into a burning building because some idiot tells you to? GOD NEVER TESTS THROUGH NEGATIVE INSTRUCTIONS. If you are "told" by some invisible voice, to go kill someone—IT IS NOT THE VOICE OF GOD! ***GOD CREATES. SATAN, THROUGH MAN, CAN ONLY USE THAT WHICH IS ALREADY CREATED! THIS IS THE SECRET OF HEAVEN AND EARTH AND ALL THAT ABOUNDS IN THE UNIVERSE. YOU CAN, THEREFORE, BECOME CREATOR WITH GOD—OR WALLOW IN THE DESTRUCTION OF THAT WHICH IS PRESENTED BY MANIFEST PHYSICAL ENTRAPMENT.***

My own hands and feet ask "how can we do this thing?"—"How can we conclude this great journey we can see but cannot seem to reach?" Ah, you CREATE THE WAY, my children—YOU MUST CREATE THE WAY FOR ALL IS ILLUSION AND FROM THAT ILLUSION YOU MUST COME TO KNOW THAT YOU MAY CREATE.

Do you EVER destroy anything? No, at best you can only change its presentation. You can't even destroy a piece of wood which might well seem to become gone by, say, burning—but you have only changed it into energy, smoke, particles, etc. However, you are also manifest upon the world as are the ones who are presenting the dastardly play to gain control. YOU MUST CREATE THE WAY TO BUILD AND CONSTRUCT THROUGH THAT WHICH IS!

And what do you USE to do this? ANYTHING THAT "IS". THINGS ARE NOT GOOD OR BAD—THINGS SIMPLY "ARE". TURN WHATEVER IS NEGATIVE INTO THAT WHICH IS POSITIVE FOR YOUR PURPOSES. AND HERE, I DO NOT SPEAK OF ELECTRICITY AS ONE WOULD CONSIDER POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE "CHARGE". ***REACH OUT AND TOUCH SOMEONE—***

WHO CAN FURTHER OUR CREATING. Even Billy Clinton might well LEARN TO KEEP HIS ZIPPER ZIPPED. GOD IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER, CHELAS. HE “EVENS UP” THE PLAYING FIELD IF YOU GIVE HIM A CHANCE!

If a man is not “good” does that mean that that man can’t EVER be good? God wouldn’t have many team players if that were a fact, now would He? You might well judge Billy’s zipper antics, but when you judge the MAN, watch out, brothers. What his intentions might well be at the start is rarely ever what they are at the end of a passage.

Salu.

CHAPTER 3

REC #1 HATONN

SAT, APR. 4, 1998 8:15 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 231

SAT., APR. 4, 1998

TWO X SIX: “BUFFALO CHIPS”

by Mark I. Pinsky, of the *Sentinel Staff*

[QUOTING, *The Orlando Sentinel*, April 3, 1998:]

CHRISTIAN GATHERING WORRIES SOME JEWS

[H: And how is your day? I mean, how is your confused religious attitude? Or, who in heck is who?]

A Christian extravaganza honoring Israel’s 50th anniversary, expected to draw thousands of people to Orlando later this month, is generating controversy within the Jewish community.

Scheduled appearances by “Messianic Jews”—Jews who have converted to Christianity and seek to convert others—have caused some rabbis around the country to denounce the celebration and at least one to cancel his participation.

Rabbi Yechiel Eckstein of Chicago, a strong supporter of ties between Jews and evangelical Christians said he refused an invitation to attend the event because of the prominent role being played by Messianic Jews and those who support evangelizing to Jews.

The Orange County Board of Rabbis has condemned the event, and the Jewish Federation of Central Florida is **mailing copies of a resolution opposing the gathering to 9,000 area Jewish families.**

“Israel’s Jubilee: 50 Years in the Land!” is being touted in promotional materials as “the largest gathering in American history to proclaim Christian love and commitment to Israel and the Jewish people.”

Some of the biggest names in the evangelical movement, such as Orlando’s Benny Hinn and Bill Bright, are to appear at the April 29-May 3 gathering at the Orange County Convention Center, along with such entertainers as Pat Boone and Glen Campbell. **[H: Still think there is no money in Jewish “Evangelism”?]**

Conference participants are expected to issue a statement “condemning anti-Semitism”, saying that any attack on a synagogue, a Jewish-owned business or Jewish home any place in the world will be considered an attack on Christianity” according to one news release. [H: Still

think they haven't also stolen your religion, Christians?]

Cheryl Schang, who is organizing the convention for the Jacksonville-based Christian Alliance for Israel, defends the presence of five Messianic Jews among the gathering's 36 featured speakers.

Nonetheless, Schang said the dispute is "very painful for me. I continue to open my arms to the Jewish community, not to convert them but to love them."

Because of the Jewish community's concern, she said, and "because I have a real understanding of this issue, I have absolutely prohibited proselytizing at this event. This is a Christian [??] event. We're talking about Jesus [**H: The Jewish-taken Messiah of the Illuminati.**] but I have no intention of allowing anyone to shove their beliefs down someone's throat."

Eckstein said it isn't enough that evangelizing to Jews would not be permitted at the gathering. The resolution adopted by local rabbis takes the same position.

"What looks like a lovely celebration honoring the State of Israel **is in reality an attempt to further confuse Jews about evangelical Christianity,**" the resolution states. [**H: Well, readers, it certainly DOES confuse me! It becomes more and more obvious that there is claim to lots of gods hanging around—just pick one. But be careful lest you get confused and tainted in the processing.**] "It would be inappropriate and counter-productive for any legitimate Jewish congregation, school, agency or communal organization to endorse the event.

"This gathering is sponsored by those who are actively seeking the disappearance of Judaism and the Jewish People as we know them. Only when all Jews come to Christ will the evangelicals be satisfied and their agendas fulfilled."

Not all rabbis agree.

According to Rabbi Daniel Lapin, a featured speaker at the jubilee, evangelical Christians provide considerable political support in the United States and millions of **tourism dollars** from pilgrimages to Israel.

"When they hold a celebration at which they undertake to prohibit proselytization, we not only **boycott** the event, we generate a **hurricane of negative publicity and protest,**" the Seattle rabbi said. "Why do we do this? And tell me again how this is supposed to help the future of Jews in America."

Rabbi Gary Perras of Beth Shalom in Jacksonville acknowledges that the presence of Messianic Jews **is a problem** but still plans to attend the gathering and host an exhibit booth.

"I'm saddened that every major Jewish organization doesn't have a booth there," he said. "Eighteen thousand Christians under one roof—**what an opportunity to educate people about Judaism.**"

It is unclear how this unfolding controversy will affect the advertised appearance, either in person or by satellite, of **Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu.**

An editorial published March 27 in the *Jewish Week* of New York urged Netanyahu to “spurn any involvement in this rally”. **[H: Well, now we get down to problems because this Mr. Yahoo went directly to the head honcho Christian Zionist, Jerry Falwell, to raise money and good tidings of great joy on U.S. turf. Now they are going to insult and debase those nice Zionists?]** The issue of Christian evangelism in Israel has been a political hot potato there, the subject of a proposed law severely restricting the activities of missionaries.

The Israeli Embassy in Washington and the Israeli Consulate in Miami would not comment.

[H: I guess, then, neither will I, except to say that THIS ABSOLUTELY PROVES THE TALMUDIC ATTITUDES DIRECTLY HANDED DOWN BY ELDERS TO THE “JEWS”. I DON’T KNOW ABOUT YOU BUT I SHUDDER AS PASSOVER APPROACHES.]

[QUOTING:] PART 61, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL. III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

JEW WIRES DIRECT
TAMMANY’S GENTILE PUPPETS

“I need hardly explain that I do not think Jews ought to insist overmuch on their rights or nationally in a negative sense. They ought to be as much Jews as they can, but ought to be as little as possible of what is merely anti-Christian. For the Jews to try to get a song out of the public schools because it praises Jesus is natural but perhaps hardly wise. I admit that question, however, is an extremely complex and baffling one. Again, the Jews have naturally taken a great interest in this war, but in that case also they ought to choose as far as possible the more tolerant view. Too much hostility to Russia was shown, it seems to me, when some of their spokesmen were fighting over the wording of the Immigration Act. They seemed to be fighting not for a real gain, but simply to rub their political power in America into the Russian mind.” Norman Hapgood.

[H: Oh yes indeed, I do have the answer to this problem from school songs to immigration: WHY don’t you nice Evangelical Jewish-Christians emigrate in mass to Israel, enter the schools and businesses and demand that Jewish songs be removed from all public places? If you would but turn their own tactics back upon them, it would end, for the Sephardic Jewish residents would love to have the Ashkenazis OUT. What a shame that all of this is done in the *name of GOD*. This doesn’t, after all, have anything to do with “God”, does it? This has to do with power, control and MONEY.]

The proposal that non-Jews emigrate from New York City, 500,000 in the first exodus, and 500,000 in the second, to hasten the event which is held to be certain of occurrence, namely, that New York shall become an all-Jewish city, may be a joke; but it is no joke that the Jews themselves discuss and have proposed that the City of New York be separated from the state of New York, and made both a state and city in itself. **[H: I guess my idea above is not so far-fetched after all?]** This would entail three governments—state, county and municipal—whose offices the Jews could parcel out as they pleased.

Besides, it would rid them of Albany. It is a most amazing fact that the state capital, bad as it is, has always been able to defeat the New York Jew in his most ardently pursued quests, as notably, his insistent appeal to abrogate the Sunday law.

Of course, if the non-Jews emigrated from New York, the Jews would soon follow. They are not self-sufficient. If New York could be isolated, Jewish initiative would not suffice to provide enough potatoes for the inhabitants.

It is too trite to say that New York is already in the hands of Jews. But it would be most startling to give a schedule illustrating how completely this is so. The New Yorker himself can scarcely comprehend the extent of his vassalage to the Jew. The average intelligent New Yorker does not know what the Kehillah is, nor yet how it works. Like the child born within the sound of Niagara Falls, the New Yorker takes Jewish supremacy as a matter of course, as the way things should be, and as the way they probably are elsewhere. The New Yorker is thus like a native of the Balkans.

The Hylan administration, ostensibly non-Jewish, is really Jewish, as any New York administration must necessarily be, except there should arise a man whose ambition would be to prove that New York could be better governed if the Jews should be excluded from the government. Well-informed New Yorkers say that the power of Hylan is Hirschfield.

This is a rather peculiar situation to those who do not understand how the Jewish leaders work. Directly you say the Hylan administration is Jewish, it is objected: "But it is the arch-Jewish inquisitor, Untermeyer, who is trying to break down the Hylan administration!" Exactly. That is the game. It's inside and outside that does it. There is power gained in making them and there is power gained in breaking them, and often it is profitable to try both ways with the same man. That is the way Russia went, there were Jews plentifully sprinkled throughout the government of Russia (in spite of the "persecution") and there were Jews outside. Between the two, they got Russia. It is the same in a Texas city today. Four non-Jewish candidates for postmaster were made the center of a political deadlock—up through the deadlock pops a Jew as a compromise candidate for all sections. A sufficient number of Jews were available in that city to keep all the non-Jewish candidates in a deadlock until their own man was trotted out. The "Gentile mind", of course, does not easily realize these turnings and twistings of group conspiracy. And that is why the Jews feel safe, as a rule; they rely on what they call "Gentile stupidity". The Gentile says, "Incredible!" and the traditional Jewish game is incredible, until by mountainous proofs and centuries of illustration the actuality of it is forced home to the mind.

But to return to the New York City government: The police department has its Jewish streak in the higher offices—a Jewish police commissioner who has fortunately escaped thus far the full story of his career. The department of health, where it actually touches the people, is Jewish, although occasionally a distinguished non-Jewish name meets the eye in the roster of the higher officials. The public health is becoming more and more a Jewish monopoly in all our cities. The department of accounts, the board of child welfare, the board of inebriety, the municipal service commission, the board of taxes and assessments are all under the leadership and domination of Jews.

The judiciary becomes increasingly Jewish, litigation is almost overwhelmingly Jewish, and the consequences to the reputation of the courts of justice and the profession of the law are well understood. Real

estate exploitation and speculation is strictly Jewish, the profiteers treating even their own co-nationalists with the utmost cruelty.

In short, New York's most influential press (within New York) is the Yiddish press; New York's real government is the Yiddish Kehillah; New York's real administration of the law is the Yiddish administration; New York's real politics is Jewish. A little more, and New York's official language would be the Yiddish dialect.

In all this Tammany Hall is little more than a name; it is one of the rallying centers which the Jews have left the non-Jew who still interests himself in New York politics. There must be rallying places for the non-Jews, and one or two do not hurt. The Jew has the double advantage in such a matter, for while he claims equality with all, he denies equality with any. That is, any Jew proclaims his right to join any fraternity, or any club, or any society, or any party whose members are chiefly non-Jewish, but where is the Jewish fraternity, or club, or society that admits non-Jewish members? The newspapers carried the report, after a certain occurrence, that hundreds of Jews had offered to join the Knights of Columbus! It was very typical of Jewish character. But let any non-Jew attempt to join the B'nai B'rith or the Hebrew Young Men's Association, or the Menorah Society, or any of the others, he will see how far the principle of equality operates. "We want to be part of yours, but we want our own for ourselves," is the Jewish attitude.

So, politically, the New York Jew has the advantage. He belongs, together with the non-Jew, to organizations like Tammany or the Republican Club—but the non-Jew cannot with him belong to the Kehillah.

It is all so very familiar, the Jew insists on double everywhere. In the Balkans he insists on a double citizenship. He insists on a double protection. He insists on a double standard of education. He insists on all his own religious rights as strenuously as he insists that all Christian majority rights shall be stamped out in this country. He insists that he shall have his Sabbath and that you shall NOT have yours. He wants his own social rights and yours too—but he wants you to have only your own and not his with it. It casts serious doubts on Jewish intelligence that this course should be so seriously pursued, as if on the one hand the humor of the "nerve", and on the other hand the disgusting impudence of it, had never appeared to his consciousness.

In New York, therefore, the Jew politically belongs twice, while all non-Jews belong but once, and it can easily be perceived that this is an advantage.

In the previous article it was rehearsed how Tammany besmirched its name by association with Jews who used the organization as a protection for their traffic in vice. This was in 1894. The revelations were so terrible that in any other community they would have led to a complete abolition of any possible chance of recovery, but as it was never made plain to the people that the traffic in vice was not a sudden appearance of rottenness among Americans, but was the normal activity of an alien racial strain, the moral power of exposure was dissipated. The people were left staggered by what they were allowed to believe about the origin of the horror. People said it was Tammany because the press said it was Tammany and yet people could not understand how it could be Tammany, and so in the midst of hesitancy the fire of reform burned out. It was exactly like these days when we are told that "American business men" abroad are

doing terrible things; yet even while the press declares them to be “American” we cannot understand how Americans could do such things—and we never get the key to the matter, nor see the solution, until we stumble onto the fact that these so-called “Americans” are not Americans at all, but alien Jews. Over in Canada the name “American” is becoming a stigma because it is borne by men who are not Americans. What Canadians point out in the United States as definitely “American” is mostly Jewish, but how are the Canadians to know? The national name suffers. The whole cause of evil is camouflaged and a nation pays the price of the racial group’s misdeeds. There should be some method of protecting this forging of national names.

Thus Tammany became a synonym for what was not characteristically Tammany at all, but what was characteristically Jewish.

The exposure of 1894 disclosed that vice was really a thing of cold blood. Evil that springs from passion and impulse really amounts to far less than is commonly supposed. It is when passion is deliberately cultivated and impulse stimulated, that the great bulk of the world’s social evil occurs. And this stimulation is undertaken in cold blood by those who make profit out of providing the means of gratification—like the old-fashioned bar keepers who served very salty free lunches to stimulate the sale of beer.

This kind of vice is not a thing to be shamed by exposure as can be done with involuntary vice, as it might be called. This cold-blooded merchandising of human weakness was merely a matter of profits, and if business had been interfered with by a Lexow Committee it was rather unfortunate, but good business required that operations be resumed at the earliest possible moment. And so, though the investigations of 1894 were successful and the exposure duly made, it was not to be expected that mere oratory and printer’s ink would suffice to keep the serpent down.

It was only seven years before scandal flamed again throughout the length and breadth of New York, and strangely enough—strange enough in all conscience for “Gentile fronts” of this day and generation to heed—it was found again that the traffic in evil and its ramifications all over the land, and even to foreign countries, was in the hands of Jews. There was no doubt about it. There was even no accident about it. The fact was as continuous as it was colossal.

William Travers Jerome, then Justice of the Court of Special Sessions, made in 1901 a ringing indictment of conditions in the city and used the full power of his court to punish wrongdoers; he even went so far as to specify individuals and political connections—but he did not mention the keyword of it all, which was “Jew”. It was doubtless wise for him that he did not, else he could not have enjoyed the subsequent political career which came to him.

Tammany was defeated in the election of 1901. The defeat was due to the same cause—the stigma of Jew-controlled vice traffic under political protection.

It was at this time that Richard Croker “abdicated”. He was a rich man. He sailed for Ireland, where he became a country squire on his Wantage estate.

Public curiosity was fed the statement that Croker had selected Lewis Nixon to be his successor, but this turn in Tammany’s career is too important to be thus misstated. The truth is that *when Croker left he*

surrendered Tammany to the Jews.

Croker could confirm this if he would talk, if he should be permitted to talk. It is, however, not well to have garrulous old men spilling the secrets of other days. Croker in his age took a bride who is said to be of “Indian descent”, and he has not been much in touch with his family nor the public since.

Lewis Nixon was the convenient and perhaps unconscious “Gentile front”. The real ruler of Tammany in Croker’s stead was Andrew Freeman, mentioned in the former article as Croker’s friend and house mate.

(Judging from the habit of individual Jews to room with baseball players before the baseball scandal, and the result of another Jew’s living with Croker, it might be just as well to keep an eye on those other men who are in positions to do favors or influence legislation, whose close cronies happen to be Jews. Some of these friendships may indeed be perfectly conceived, but there are numerous instances where the plans of the “Jewish friend” are very completely matured through the agency of the “Gentile chum”.)

So, upon the departure of Croker from these shores, we find Tammany under the dictatorship of a Jew who was Croker’s chief influence, if not his absolute master.

But by the time this occurred, it was useless for Tammany to rebel. Tammany men who had noticed the infiltration of Jews and were alarmed by it had consoled themselves with the thought that, at least, the higher offices were immune from Jewish occupation. This consolation served only to permit the filling of the lower offices by Jews, with less protest from the membership. By the time the Jews were ready to permit Croker to “abdicate”, they had permeated every part of the Wigwam and the assumption of supreme control was thus made a simple matter. Croker stepped aside; instantly into his place stepped the Jew, Freedman, operating through Nixon.

It was too late for Tammany to remonstrate. Tammany could not protest against the Wigwam *becoming* Jewish, because the Wigwam already *was* Jewish. To remonstrate then was to ruin Tammany. Becoming reconciled to what seemed to be inevitable, Tammany leaders saw that their only hope of survival came through preserving Jewish support.

Presently even Nixon was relegated to the background and Freedman issued his orders directly. The Jews, however, with great astuteness continued to make much of Nixon, because he was the last thin veil which concealed the change which had come over Tammany and he was valuable to that extent. He was, unwillingly, perhaps, their puppet, but even puppets must be accorded their proper dignity. Nixon was tendered a great reception in 1902, but the influential men on the reception committee were mostly Jews: Andrew Freedman was chairman; then followed the names of Oliver H.P. Belmont, Max F. Ihmson, Samuel Untermeyer, Nathan Straus, Randolph Guggenheimer, Henry M. Goldfogle, Herman Joseph, and others.

On the executive committee of Tammany Hall at this time were Randolph Guggenheimer, Isaac Fromme, Nathan Straus, Henry M. Goldfogle, O.H.P. Belmont, and other Jews.

On the committee on law were Samuel Untermeyer, M. Warlet Platzek, Abraham Levy, Henry W.

Unger, Morris Cukor and Fred B. House.

Andrew Freedman had complete control of the committee on finance that was nominally headed by Lewis Nixon.

Randolph Guggenheimer was president of the municipal council.

Ferdinand Levy was on the committee on resolutions and correspondence.

Jews had so spread themselves as to constitute a controlling group in all the assembly districts that were under tribute to Tammany. In the "Fighting Eighth" district, Martin Engel was leader. His chief aid was "Manny" Eichner, chairman of the Isidor Cohn Association and of the Young Men's Democratic Association. His other assistants, Max J. Porges, Max Levin, and Moe Levy were floor managers of the dances and balls of the Florence Sullivan Association.

In the Tenth district, Simon Steingutt, "Mayor of Second Avenue", was one of the hardest workers in Tammany affairs.

Edward Mandell was the active Jewish Tammany man in the Twelfth district.

In the Eighteenth district, Maurice Blumenthal was one of the principle workers. He devoted his career chiefly to the training of Jewish speakers for the Wigwam.

The Eighteenth district was known as "the Gashouse district", notorious for the Gashouse scandals over padded pay rolls, and here Charley Murphy ruled, his aides being Julius Simon, Edward E. Slumasky, Joseph Schlesinger, Leopold Worms, Hugo Siegel, Alfred B. Marx, Nathan Ferbacher, and other Jews.

And so on through the list. Among the Sachems of the Tammany Society there were to be found the wealthier and more socially exalted Jews.

However, the Jews made their cyclically recurring mistakes. They carried things with too high a hand, and rebellion broke out. It is this Jewish tendency to boast and overdo that has always given the game away. Superficial observers and writers like John Spargo and Norman Hapgood have observed the recurrent periods of protest against Jewish presumption and bumptiousness and have explained them as being recurrent spasms of a vile poison which is supposed to reside in the blood of the Gentiles—the vile poison of anti-Semitism. That, of course, is the conventional Jewish propagandist explanation, and Spargo and Hapgood are merely retelling it. They say it always breaks out after wars. Why after wars? Because in wars the world sees more clearly than at other times the real purpose and personality of the Jew. Thus, it is not anti-Semitism that breaks out—it is Semitism, gross and exaggerated Semitism. And the serum that forms in the social body to encyst and control the germ of Semitism, comes in the form of public exposure and protest. That serum is working now—the serum of publicity, and the Jewish program cannot endure it. Study the history of all things whatsoever into which Jews inject themselves, from summer resorts to empires, and you see the same cycle appearing.

Thus it happened in Tammany Hall—"too much Jew" engendered revolt. Lewis Nixon became aware of his position. As a gentleman of standing and responsibility he could not continue in a position whose

falsity had become clear to him. When he accepted the leadership of Tammany Hall, it was not with a purpose to continue the old order. His understanding was that he was to be left free to restore Tammany to the plane of its former serious purpose and respectable character. He discovered that he was being used as the “respectable Gentile front” behind whose name the Jews expected to carry on the old game. Therefore, in May, 1902, three months after the great reception above mentioned, Nixon resigned as leader of Tammany Hall. Doubtless the reception that was tendered him was for the purpose of inducing him to love the exaltation of his position so much that he would sacrifice its moral obligations.

Nixon accompanied his resignation with a speech in which he protested that ever since he accepted the leadership of Tammany he had been hampered in his every action by a group headed by Andrew Freedman; they dictated the names that were to be placed on the list of Sachems: “When I rebelled, I found that at every turn I would be opposed by this coterie of interferers. I found that all my important acts had to be viséed before they could become effective.” He said he could no longer retain his position and his self-respect. He had to give up one or the other.

With this Mr. Nixon vanished from the scene of Tammany politics.

The resignation of Mr. Nixon had a bad effect on the reputation of Tammany with the public. The plan had been to allow him to serve as long as ordinarily and then replace him with a Jew by means of the usual process of selection. But the resignation and the explanation that accompanied it, showing as it did the Jewish influence in Tammany, made it seem inadvisable to follow with a Jewish leader. So the district leaders were obliged to find another “Gentile front”, only this time one who would prove sufficiently docile. There was enough rankling disfavor against the Jews in the old organization to warrant this observance of appearances, at least.

The dictatorship of Freedman was seen to be a failure, much as the dictatorship of Trotzky is seen to be a failure. A rearrangement of committees automatically eliminated him from control, at the same time the name of Croker was dropped. A triumvirate of leaders was chosen, of whom Charles F. Murphy became and remains the chief. “Boss Murphy” he is called. Mr. Murphy has been an ideal “front”, not attempting to do anything, not attempting to interfere with the Jews doing anything, keeping wisely silent and thereby gaining a reputation for silent wisdom. Mr. Murphy is a millionaire. Those who do the higher Jewish leaders’ bidding get their reward that way; there is no other reward they can hope for; certainly they never have the reward of public confidence and the people’s gratitude.

That is the status of Tammany Hall at the present time. A few of the Old Guard are left at their posts, but they are officers in name only. Tammany is no longer denounced by the public press, but the Jewish leaders of Tammany live daily to a chorus of praise in the Jewish-controlled newspapers of New York. Samuel Untermyer, for example, receives more publicity in New York than does the President of the United States, but it is not discriminating publicity; it does not penetrate to the inner purposes and consequences of his actions.

Those who were the lesser Jewish lieutenants of Tammany a few years ago have now arrived at posts of influence and affluence. Morris Cukor was made president of the municipal service commission, to be succeeded by former State Senator Abraham Kaplan. Fred B. House rose to be a city magistrate. The city marshals are mostly Jewish. Jews predominate in the College of the City of New York. Jews control

the municipal courts, the city magistrates' courts, the city court, the New York state court of appeals, the New York state supreme court. They rule in the departments enumerated in the fore part of this article. The New York judiciary has a distinctly Semitic complexion.

The leadership of the Tammany-controlled districts tells the same story. In the Second, the leader is M. S. Levine; in the Sixth, David Lazarus; in the Eighth, S. Goldenkranz, F. Bauman and S. Salinger; in the Ninth, Mrs. P. Lau, in the Seventeenth, Nathan Burkan—and so on.

The Jewish conquest of Tammany, however, is only one phase of the conquest of New York. The Jewish objective is more than political. Merely to strive that the lucrative and powerful offices of the city shall fall to their people, is not the end in view. New York has been turned into the Red Center of America. There most of the alien treason carried on against the government of the United States has its source. The United States Government has been compelled at times to regard New York as almost alien soil, but even that watchfulness on the part of the national government is relaxed as Jewish influence becomes more potent at Washington. Tammany is a convenient cover for ostensible political activity as the Kehillah is for the more radical racial and anti-American racial activity. The United States Government could not do better than to investigate—through a committee of invulnerable Americans—the Jewish activities of that center. And that there is much to investigate is indicated by the rush of Jews to Washington when it was recently proposed in the United States Senate that such a thing be done.

Issue of October 1, 1921

[END OF QUOTING]

[OTHER QUOTES:]

“After the 1967 War, we Jews were filled with pride that ‘our homeland’ had become so powerful and successful. Then too, we had been filled with the false propaganda that Jews in America were being persecuted. So, between 1967 and 1970, approximately 50,000 American Jews fell for this Zionist propaganda and migrated to Israel. I was one of those suckers.” *Jack Bernstein, 1984.*

Also from Jack Bernstein, *THE LIFE OF AN AMERICAN JEW IN RACIST MARXIST ISRAEL*, 1984, Noontide Press [ISBN: 0-939482-01-0], quoting:

“Before Israel became a state in 1948, Jews world-wide were filled with Zionist propaganda that Israel would be a homeland for all Jews, a refuge for persecuted Jews, a democratic country and the fulfillment of biblical prophecy.

“I am an Ashkenazi Jew who spent the first 25 years of my life in the United States, the country that has given all Jews freedom and the opportunity to prosper—and prosper we Jews did, to the point that one portion of the Jews (the Zionists) have gained a position of political and economic dominance in the U.S.

“To fully understand the story I am about to tell, it is important that you understand what Zionism really is. Zionist propaganda has led the American people to believe that Zionism and Judaism are one and the same and that they are religious in nature. ***THIS IS A BLATANT LIE***. Judaism is a religion; but Zionism is a political movement started mainly by East European (Ashkenazi) Jews who for centuries have been the

main force behind communism/socialism. The ultimate goal of the Zionists is one-world government under the control of the Zionists and the Zionist-oriented Jewish International Bankers. Communism/socialism are merely *tools* to help them accomplish their goal.”

[END OF QUOTING]

Please memorize the above definitions and the remaining writings will make ever so much more sense. Prior to now we have offered the entire message from the above named booklet but it is time for you to get first hand experience presented AGAIN so that confusion is somewhat lessened as to who is what and their intentions regarding you and your world.

Let us have a break, please. Salu.

CHAPTER 4

REC #2 HATONN

SAT, APR. 4, 1998 1:08 P.M. YR. 11, DAY 231

SAT., APR. 4, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 62, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL. III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE

B'NAI B'RITH LEADER DISCUSSES THE JEW

To the pro-Jewish spokesmen who have filled the air with cries of “lies” and “slander”, to those self-appointed guardians of “American ideals” who rule out with rare finality all those who would dare suggest that possibly there is a hidden side of the Jewish Question, it must come as something of a jolt to be reminded that in this series there is scarcely a line that is without high Jewish authority.

The *Protocols* themselves are written for centuries in Jewish authoritative teachings and records. All the plans that have been described from time to time in these articles are written in the fundamental laws of the Jews. And all that the ancients have taught, the modern Jews have reaffirmed.

The writer of these articles has had to take constant counsel of prudence in his selection of material, for the Jews have always counted confidently on the fact that if the whole truth were told in one comprehensive utterance, no one would believe it. Thus, bigots and minds bursting with the discoveries they have made, have never been feared by the Jews. They counted on the incapacity of the non-Jews to believe or receive certain knowledge. They know that facts are not accepted on proof, but only on understanding. Non-Jews cannot understand why human beings should lend themselves to certain courses. They are, however, beginning to understand, and the proof is therefore becoming more significant.

There are yet more important revelations to be made, always following closely the best Jewish sources, and when these revelations are made, it will be impossible for the Jewish leaders to keep silent or to deny. The time is coming for American Jewry to slough off the leadership which has led it and left it in the bog. Leadership knows that. Indeed, it is amazing to discover the number of indications that the attempts made to suppress *The Dearborn Independent* have been made principally *to prevent the Jews reading it*. The leaders do not care how many non-Jews read these articles; but they do not desire their own people to read them. The Jewish leaders do not desire their people's eyes to be opened.

Why? Because, just now, only Jews can truly know whether the statements made in these articles are true or not. Non-Jews may know here and there, as their observations may confirm the printed statements. But informed Jews really *know*. And large numbers of the masses of the Jews really know. When they see the truth in all its relationships in these articles, the hitherto “led” Jew may not be so tractable.

Hence the effort to keep the non-Jewish point of view away from him.

In support of the statements that these articles have been based on Jewish authority, we quote today a series of declarations by one of the most able of the presidents of the B'nai B'rith, Leo N. Levi. Mr. Levi was American-born and died in 1904. He was a lawyer of distinction and attained the presidency of the international Jewish order, B'nai B'rith, in 1900. He took part in the international politics of his people and is credited with collaborating with Secretary of State John Hay on several important matters. The utterances here quoted were for the most made while he was president of B'nai B'rith, but all of them were published the year after his death under B'nai B'rith auspices. There is therefore no question of their Jewishness.

Non-Jewish defenders of the Jewish program have pretended to much indignation because of references that have been made to the Oriental character of certain Jewish manifestations. The references in these articles have been two in number, once regarding Oriental sensuality as it has been introduced to the American stage by Jewish theatrical panderers, and again in quoting Disraeli, the Jew who became premier of Britain, to the effect that the Jews—his people—were “Mosaic Arabs”.

But it never seemed to have occurred to Leo N. Levi to deny the Oriental character of his race. Instead, he asserted it. On page 104 of the B'nai B'rith memorial, he excuses certain social crudities of the Jew on the ground “that hailing originally from the Orient and having been compelled for twenty centuries to live in a society of his own, he has preserved in his tastes much that is characteristically Oriental.” Again on page 116, he excused the multiplicity of religious rites as being due to the fact that the Jew “drew upon his Oriental imagination for a symbolism that appealed to his emotions”. On page 312, he speaks of the Jews’ “Oriental devotion to their parents.” This easy recognition of the fact is commended to those bootlicking editors who, out of the vastness of their ignorance of the Jewish Question, have seen in the reference to Orientalism an “insult” to the Jews and an unfailing indication of anti-Semitism.

The Jewish Question! Ah, that is another point which pro-Jewish spokesmen hasten to deny, but they will be somewhat disturbed by the candor with which true Jewish spokesmen admit the Question.

In a strong passage on page 101, Mr. Levi says:

“If I have dwelt so long upon this subject, it is because I recognize that if the Jew has been denied so much that is rightfully his, he often claims more than is his due. One of these claims, most persistently urged, is that there is no Jewish Question; that a Jew is a citizen like any other citizen and that as long as he abides by the law and does not subject himself to criminal prosecution or civil action, his doings are beyond legitimate inquiry by the public at large.

“This contention on his part would certainly be well based if he claimed nothing further than the right to live in peace, but when he demands social recognition the whole range of his conduct is a legitimate subject of inquiry against which no technical demurrers can be interposed... nor must the Jew be over-sensitive about the inquiry.

“The inconsistencies and the unwisdom exhibited in the consideration of the Jewish Question are not to be found altogether on the side of those who are hostile to the Jews.”

“Since then the refugees from Russia, Galicia and Rumania have raised the Jewish Question to commanding importance. Since then it has dawned on the world that *we are witnessing another exodus which promises soon to change the habitat of the Jews to the Western Hemisphere.*” (Page 59)

“The Jewish Question cannot be solved by tolerance. There are thousands of well-meaning people who take to themselves great credit for exhibiting a spirit of tolerance toward the Jews.” (Page 98)

Mr. Levi also lays down rules for “the study of the Jewish Question”, and he says that if they were followed the result “would be startling at once to the Jews and the general public.” (Page 93) How far present Jewish leadership has departed from that frank and broad view taken by Mr. Levi, is everywhere evident.

Not that Mr. Levi was a critic of his people, but he was a lawyer who was accustomed to weighting facts, and he saw facts that weighed against his people. But he was pro-Jewish even in his most severe observations. He could make an attack on the rabbis, taunting them with the saying that “many of you are ‘rabbis for revenue only’”, but he could also insist on Jewish solidarity and exclusiveness.

In this connection it may be interesting to see how strongly Mr. Levi supports the contention of Jewish leaders (as outlined in *The Dearborn Independent* of October 9 and 16, 1920) that the Jews are a race and not merely a religion, a nation and not merely a church, and that the term “Jew” is biological rather than theological. This is specially commended to the attention of those dim-minded shouters of “religious prejudice”, who come into action whenever the Jewish Question is mentioned. (Of religious prejudice” there are many examples to give in future articles.)

“Certain it is that thus far the race and the religion have been so fused, as it were, that none can say just where the one begins and the other leaves off.” (Page 116)

Attacking the contention of the “liberals” or “reformed Jews” to the effect that “Jew” is the name of a member of religious denomination, and not of a member of a certain race, Mr. Levi says:

“Nothing to my mind is more pregnant with error than this postulate of unreason. (Page 185) It is not true that the Jews are only Jews because of their religion.” (Page 189)

“The Jews are not simply an indiscriminate lot of people who hold to a common belief.” (Page 190)

“A native Eskimo, an American Indian might conscientiously adopt every tenet of the Jewish church, might practice every form and ceremony imposed by the Jewish laws and the Jewish ritual, and as far as the religion is concerned, be a Jew, but yet, no one who will reflect for a moment would class them with the Jews as a people. If the truth were known, a very large percentage of so-called Christians would be found to be believers in the essentials of the Jewish religion, and yet, they are not Jews.

“It requires not only that men should believe in Judaism, but that they should be the descendants in a direct line of that people who enjoyed a temporal government and who owned a country up to the time of the destruction of the second commonwealth.

“That great event took away from the Jews their country and their temporal government; it scattered them over the face of the Earth, *but it did not destroy the national and race idea* which was a part of their nature and of their religion.

“Who shall say, then, that the Jews are no longer a race? ...Blood is the basis and sub-stratum of the race idea, and no people on the face of the globe can lay claim with so much right to purity of blood, and unity of blood, as the Jews.

“If I have reasoned to any purpose, the inquiry of rights in the premises is not to be limited to Jews as exponents of a particular creed, but to the *Jews as a race*.” (Pages 190-191)

“The religion alone does not constitute the people. As I have already maintained, a believer in the Jewish faith does not by reason of that fact become a Jew. On the other hand, however, *a Jew by birth remains a Jew, even though he abjures his religion*.” (Page 200)

This is the view of such men as Justice Brandeis, the Jew who sits on the Supreme Court of the United States. Justice Brandeis says, “Let us all recognize that we Jews are a distinct nationality *of which every Jew, whatever his country, his station, his shade of belief, is necessarily a member*.”

Believing all this, Mr. Levi subscribes to the Jewish law and practice of exclusiveness.

Describing the state of the Jews, Mr. Levi says (page 92): “The Jews have not materially increased or diminished in numbers for 2,000 years. They have made no proselytes to their religion... They have imbibed the arts, the literature and the civilization of successive generations, but have abstained very generally from intermixture of blood... They have infused their blood into that of other peoples but have taken little of other peoples’ into their own.”

As to intermarriage between the Jew and non-Jew, Mr. Levi calls it miscegenation. “In remote countries, sparsely populated, the choice may lie between such marriages and a worse relation.” Those are his words on page 249. He does not advise the worse relation, but he has said quite enough to indicate the Jewish view of the case. He continues:

“It seems clear to me that Jews should avoid marriages with Gentiles and Gentiles with Jews, *upon the same principle that we avoid marrying the insane, the consumptive, the acrofulitic or the Negro*.” (Page 249)

This exclusiveness goes down through all human relations. The Jew has one counsel for non-Jews and another for himself in these matters. Of the non-Jew he demands as a right what he looks down upon as shady privilege. He uses the Ghetto as a club with which to bludgeon the non-Jew for his “bigotry”, when as a fact he chooses the Ghetto for well-defined racial reasons. He condemns the non-Jew for the exclusion of the Jew from certain sections of society, when as a Jew his whole care is to keep himself unspotted from that very society to which he seeks entrance. The Jew insists on breaking down non-Jewish exclusiveness while keeping his own. The non-Jewish world is to be kept sacrosanct. Read the teachings of this enlightened leader of Jewry as published by the B’nai B’rith.

He favors the public school for non-Jewish children, not for Jewish children; they are to be kept separate; they are the choice stock of the Earth:

“Because the government tenders free education, it does not follow that it must be accepted; if education be made compulsory, it does not follow that government schools must be attended... As a citizen I favor free schools, because the education they afford, imperfect as it is, is better than none, and society is benefitted thereby; but as an individual I prefer to pay to support free schools and send my children to more select places.” (Page 253) He speaks of the fact that “all classes of children frequent the public schools” as an argument against Jewish children going there.

“In my judgment, Jewish children should be educated in Jewish schools.” (Page 254) “Not only is it a positive and direct advantage to educate our children as Jews, but it is absolutely necessary to our preservation. Experience has shown that our young people will be weaned from our people if allowed indiscriminately to associate with the Gentiles.” (Page 255)

Discussing the possibility of Jews losing their crudeness, Mr. Levi asks, “How shall we best accomplish that end?” Then he quotes the frequent answer: “Since the exemplars of gentility most abound among the Gentiles, we should associate with them as much as possible, in order to wear our own rudeness away.” He meets the suggestion this way:

“If gentlemen were willing to meet all Jews on a parity because they are Jews, we should doubtless derive much benefit from such association. But, while it is true that no gentleman refuses association with another because that other is a Jew, he will not, as a rule, associate with a Jew unless he be a gentleman. As we are far from being all gentlemen, we cannot reasonably expect to be admitted as a class into good society. So, better keep by ourselves,” concludes Mr. Levi. (Page 260)

That is, Mr. Levi admits the willingness of society to meet Jews on equal terms, as with all others, but not on unequal terms. And this being so, Mr. Levi holds they had better meet as little as possible, they had better keep apart; in the formative years, certainly, Jewish young people should be kept rigidly apart from non-Jews. The exclusiveness of which the Jews complain is their own. The Ghetto is not a corner into which the non-Jews have herded the Semites; the Ghetto is a spot carved out of the community and consecrated to the Chosen People and is therefore the best section of the city in Jewish eyes, the rest being “the Christian quarter”, the area of the heathen. Mr. Levi himself admits on page 220 that there is no prejudice against the Jew in this country.

Certain wild-eyed objectors to the series of studies on the Jewish Question have made the assertion that *The Dearborn Independent* has declared cowardice to be a Jewish trait. That the statement is false as regards this paper does not change the fact that the subject has been generally discussed in and out of army circles. If it ever becomes necessary to discuss it in these studies, the facts will be set forth as far as they are obtainable. But the point just now is that Mr. Levi has had somewhat to say which may repay reading:

“Physical courage has always been an incident, not an element, of Jewish character. It has no independent existence in their make-up, and always depended on something else. With some exceptions this may be said of all Oriental people. The sense and fear of danger is highly developed in them, and there is no

cultivation of that indifference to it which has distinguished the great nations of Western Europe.” (Page 205)

Were a non-Jew to call attention to this difference between the Jews and others, he would be met with the cry of “anti-Semitism” and he would be twitted with the fact that all his relatives may not have served in the war. Loudest to twit him would be those who served in what our soldiers called “the Jewish infantry”, the quartermaster’s corps in the late National Army.

It is to this aversion to danger, however, that Mr. Levi attributes the Jews’ greatness among the nations. Other nations can fight, the Jews can *endure*, and that, he says, is greater. Note his words (the italics are his own):

“Other nations may boast conquests and triumphs born of aggression, but though the fruits of victory have been manifold, they have not been enduring; *and it may be truly said that the nation whose greatness grows out of valor* passes through the stages of discord and degeneracy to decay... In the virtue of endurance I believe the Jews have a safeguard against the decay that has marked the history of all other peoples.”

It appears, therefore, that the draft-dodger, if he can *endure* long enough, may yet come to own the country. **[H: Well, I guess Billy Clinton might make it after all.]**

Jewish leaders have lately tried to minimize as “wild words” the disclosures made by Disraeli with reference to the Jews’ participation in European revolutions. What Disraeli said can be found in his *Coningsby*, or in the quotations made therefrom in *The Dearborn Independent* of December 18, 1920. With reference to the German Revolution of 1848, Disraeli wrote—before it had taken place:

“You never observe a great intellectual movement in Europe in which the Jews do not greatly participate. That mysterious Russian Diplomacy which so alarms Western Europe is organized and principally carried on by Jews. That mighty revolution which is at this moment preparing in Germany, and which will be, in fact, a second and greater Reformation, and of which so little is yet known in England, is entirely developing under the auspices of Jews.”

It is interesting, therefore, to hear Mr. Levi confirming from the American side those significant statements made by Disraeli.

“The revolution of 1848 in Germany, however, influenced a great many highly educated Jews to come to America.” (Page 181) “It is unnecessary to review the events of 1848; suffice it to say, that not a few among the revolutionists were Jews, and that a considerable number of those who were proscribed by the government at home, fled to the United States for safety.” (Page 182) These German Jews are now the arch-financiers of the United States. They found here complete liberty to exploit peoples and nations to the full extent of their powers. They still maintain their connections with Frankfort-on-the-Main, the world capital of International financial Jewry.

With these quotations from the speeches and writings of Leo N. Levi, a famous president of the B’nai B’rith, it would seem to be a fair question as to the reason for the denial and denunciation which have

followed the making of these statements in the course of the series of studies. Leo N. Levi studied the Jewish Question because he knew a Jewish Question to exist. He knew that the Jewish Question was not a non-Jewish creation but appeared wherever Jews began to appear in numbers. They brought it with them. He knew the justice of many of the charges laid against the Jews. He knew the impossibility of disproving them, the futility of shrieking “anti-Semitism” at them. He knew, moreover, that for the Jews to solve the Jewish Question by departing from the peculiar racial traditions of superiority, would be to cease to be Jews. Therefore, he threw his whole influence on the side of the Jews remaining separate, maintaining their tradition of The Chosen Race, looking upon themselves as the coming rulers of the nations, and there he left the Question just about where he found it.

But in the course of his studies he gave other investigators the benefit of his frank statements. He did not put lies into the mouths of his people. He was not endeavoring to maintain himself in position by prejudiced racial appeals. He looked certain facts in the face, made his report, and chose his side. Several times in the course of his argument, his very logic led him up to the point where, logically, he would have to cast aside his Jewish idea of separateness. But with great calmness he discarded the logic and clung to the Jewish tradition. For example:

“The better to facilitate such happiness in every country and in every age, various kinds of organizations have existed as they exist today. The Jews have theirs.

“For many reasons they are exclusive. In theory they should not be so. In our social organizations we should, in deference to the argument which I have already named, admit any congenial and worthy Gentile who honors us with his application. But what may be theoretically correct may be found practically wrong. It certainly is a wrong to exclude a worthy person because he does not happen to be a Jew; but on the other hand, where are you to draw the line?”

This is frankness to a fault. Of course, it is wrong, but the right is impractical! Logic goes by the boards in the face of something stronger. Mr. Levi is not to be blamed for having gone to his tribe. Every man’s place is with this tribe. The criticism belongs to the lick-spittle Gentile Fronts who have no tribe and become hangers-on around the outskirts of Judah, racial mongrels who would be better off if they had one-thousandth of the racial sense which the Jew possesses.

This brief survey of the philosophy which Mr. Levi both lived and taught, and which is shared by the leaders of American Jewry, is in strict agreement with Jewish principles all down the centuries. In his published addresses Mr. Levi does not touch upon all the implications of the separateness which he enjoins upon his nation. Why do they keep by themselves? What is it that keeps them distinct? It is their religion? Very well: let us regard them as a sect of religious recluses and wish them well in their endeavors to keep themselves unspotted of the world. Is it their race? So their leaders teach. Race and nationality are strictly claimed. If this is so, there must be a political outlook. What is it? Palestine? Not that any one can notice. A great deal may be read about it in the newspapers, the newspapers in turn being supplied through the Associated Press with the Jewish Telegraph Agency’s propaganda dispatches; but no one in Palestine notices the Land becoming more Jewish. Jewry’s political outlook is world rule in the material sense. Jewry is an international nation. It is this, and nothing else, which gives significance to its financial, educational, propagandist, revolutionary and immigration programs.

Issue of May 14, 1921.

[END OF QUOTING]

[QUOTING, from *The Life of an American Jew in Racist Marxist Israel*, **1984**, by Jack Bernstein:]
(pp. 4-6)

DUAL CITIZENSHIP

I had no emotional conflict with leaving the U.S. because I was still able to keep my U.S. citizenship and could return to the U.S. at any time. You see, Jews are allowed to be citizens of both Israel and some countries—the U.S. is one of those countries. The U.S. government allows a Jew to be a citizen of both the U.S. AND Israel.

German Americans cannot be citizens of both the U.S. and Germany. Italian Americans cannot be citizens of both the U.S. and Italy. Egyptian Americans cannot be citizens of both the U.S. and Egypt... BUT, a Jewish American can be a citizen of both Israel and the U.S. THIS IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THE POWER THE ZIONIST JEWS HAVE OVER THE U.S. GOVERNMENT.

I ARRIVE IN THE ‘JEWISH PARADISE’

Before leaving for Israel, a Jewish friend of mine had made arrangements for me to stay a few days with her sister, Fawzia Daboiul and her spinster aunt.

After arriving at Lod Airport just outside of Tel Aviv, I took a bus to the home of Miss Daboiul and her aunt. When I saw Fawzia, it was love at first sight. I started calling her ‘Ziva’, her Hebrew name.

Ziva is a *Sephardic* Jewess from Iraq who, like myself, had fallen for the Zionist propaganda and had migrated to Israel. She was employed as a hairdresser.

THE KIBBUTZ

After visiting with Ziva and her aunt for two days, I left to spend 6 months at Kibbutz ‘Ein Hashofet’, one of the well over 150 such communes then operating in Israel. Since then, many more have been started—especially in the territory taken from the Palestinian Arabs. A kibbutz is a farming and sometimes industrial venture.

It is important to explain that Israel’s Kibbutz system is a Marxist idea brought to Israel by the Ashkenazi Jews who migrated to Israel mainly from Poland and Russia. These Jews are part of that bunch of Jews known as the Bolsheviks. Before 1917, they were the force that laid the foundation for the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 in Russia and the start of Communism. (Russia is now known as the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.)

AGAIN, I WANT TO POINT OUT, EVEN EMPHASIZE, THAT IT IS SOME OF THAT SAME BUNCH OF (ASHKENAZI) COMMUNIST/SOCIALIST (BOLSHEVIK) JEWS WHO MIGRATED

TO ISRAEL, GAINED CONTROL OF THE ZIONIST MOVEMENT AND HAVE DOMINATED THE GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL SINCE ITS BEGINNING IN 1948.

Now, back to the Kibbutz:

Prior to 1967, most of the work on the Kibbutzim was done by Jews. But, since the 1967 War, the work has been done by Arabs who are paid a very low wage, and by volunteers from overseas. Members of the kibbutz (all Jews) share all things equally. They receive clothing, food and a small allowance. All profits from the venture go into the kibbutz account for future use. EACH OF THESE KIBBUTZ ARE AFFILIATED WITH ONE OF ISRAEL'S MARXIST PARTIES ranging from SOCIALIST to HARD-CORE COMMUNIST.

The kibbutz I was in was not hard-core communist. Yet, I was happy to leave after four months—two months earlier than originally planned.

During the time I was working on the kibbutz, I carried on a courtship with Ziva. She was one of the reasons I left the kibbutz after only four months—we were to be married.

OUR MARRIAGE CREATED PROBLEMS

The marriage ceremony was held in the Sephardic Synagogue. The ceremony was simple but beautiful. Ziva and I were very happy, but our marriage created serious problems. You see, Ziva is a Sephardic Jewess and I am an Ashkenazi Jew. For an Ashkenazi Jew to marry a Sephardic Jew is frowned upon in Israel by the ruling Ashkenazis. To understand why this is the case, you must realize the difference between the Sephardic and Ashkenazi Jews.

The powerful Zionist propaganda machine has led the American people to believe that a Jew is a Jew—one race of people and that they are 'God's Chosen People'. I will deal with the "God's Chosen People" *LIE* later. **First, it is important for you to understand that Jews are NOT one race of people.**

There are two distinct groups of Jews in the world and they come from two different areas of the world—the Sephardic Jews from the Middle East and North Africa and the Ashkenazi Jews come from Eastern Europe.

The Sephardic is the oldest group and it is they, if any, who are the Jews described in the *Bible* because they lived in the area described in the *Bible*. They are blood relatives to the Arabs—the only difference between them is religion.

The Ashkenazi Jews, who now comprise 90% of the Jews in the world, had a rather strange beginning. According to historians, many of them Jewish, the Ashkenazi Jews came into existence about 1200 years ago. It happened this way:

At the eastern edge of Europe, there lived a tribe of people known as the Khazars. About the year 740 A.D., the Khazar king and his court decided they should adopt a religion for their people. So,

representatives of the three major religions, Christianity, Islam and Judaism, were invited to present their religious doctrines. The Khazars chose Judaism, but it wasn't for religious reasons. If the Khazars had chosen Islam, they would have angered the strong Christian world. If they had chosen Christianity, they would have angered the strong Islamic world. So, they played it safe—they chose Judaism. It wasn't for religious reasons the Khazars chose Judaism; it was for political reasons.

Sometime during the 13th century, the Khazars were driven from their land and they migrated westward with most of them settling in Poland and Russia. These Khazars are now known as Ashkenazi Jews. Because these Khazar (Ashkenazi) Jews merely chose Judaism, they are not really Jews—at the least not blood Jews.

Throughout their history, these Polish and Russian Ashkenazi Jews practiced communism/socialism and worked to have their ideas implemented in these countries. By the late 1800s significant numbers of these communist/socialist Jews were found in Germany, the Balkans and eventually all over Europe.

Because of their interference in the social and governmental affairs of Russia, they became the target of persecution by the Czars. Because of this, migration of these communist-socialist oriented Jews began. Some went to Palestine; some went to Central and South America; and a large number of them came to the U.S.

POLITICAL ZIONISM IS BORN

In 1897, the First Zionist Congress was held in Basle, Switzerland. At this Congress, it was decided to work toward the establishment of a Jewish state and a search for land on which to build this Jewish state began.

Great Britain offered the Zionists land in Africa. This the Zionists rejected—they wanted Palestine!

At the time, Palestine was inhabited by a half a million Palestinian Arabs and a few Palestinian Jews who are blood related and who had lived together in peace for centuries.

With Palestine as their choice for a homeland, European Ashkenazi Jews began migrating to Palestine. As I explained earlier, most were communist/socialist oriented with some of them being radical Bolshevik communists whose aim is world domination. So when you think of Jews, especially as related to Israel, keep in mind that there is a great difference between Sephardic and Ashkenazi Jews. They are not one united people. They are divided socially, politically and especially racially. Now, back to Ziva, a Sephardic Jewess and I an Ashkenazi Jew, and our lives in the so-called democratic country of Israel.

[END OF QUOTING]

It truly does get more and more difficult to argue about this reality, doesn't it? Isn't it a bit silly to continue on and on burying your heads from Truth? It also becomes more and more foolish to continue to lump ALL Jews as the SAME Jews.

May insight strike you! Salu.

CHAPTER 5

REC #1 HATONN

SUN, APR. 5, 1998 7:12 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 232

SUN., APR. 5, 1998

DECLARATION OF WAR WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS AGAINST SWITZERLAND

Ok, world, when your MONEY is thieved directly from your places of security, *WILL YOU LISTEN AND ACT?*

You are into April now and, whether or not YOU know it, you passed a deadline for WAR.

Edgar Bronfman, Booze King of the World *and President of the World Jewish Congress* gave Switzerland until March 31 *to pay the WJC \$3 BILLION or face boycott and seizure of Swiss assets in the United States.*

I'm going to repeat some articles which are appropriate here and far more important than the next Ford writing, so please listen up.

We wrote about this outrageous charge against Switzerland by the Jews to grab off this country who has been your money haven for lo, these years and years. On a "WHIM" Bronfman laughed, one day, and said, "I bet Switzerland has some Nazi money and some Jewish gold stashed and hasn't owned up..." It was outrageous so nobody paid much attention until the nerdniks came in with these claims.

So, what does this have to do with you little people of the U.S. who haven't been able to extract more than a living from these Jews? EVERYTHING! The World Jewish Congress has declared war ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES, AGAINST SWITZERLAND.

Well, for once—for the very first time—the U.S. **and the Swiss** governments made a joint statement and rejected the call of Edgar Bronfman and his WJC for sanctions against the Swiss.

The statement says, in part, that the U.S. and Swiss governments "share a continuing commitment to addressing openly all issues related **to the Holocaust...**". But both governments "believe that calls for sanctions and boycotts from the U.S. and recent echoes for countermeasures from Switzerland are unwarranted and counter-productive."

BUT, "It was unclear how this joint statement would affect the individual actions of New York City and several other jurisdictions that had voiced agreement with Bronfman."

I ALSO WANT TO REMIND YOU THAT LONG BEFORE ANY WW II HOLOCAUST WAS IN QUESTION—***THE JEWS OF GERMANY, POLAND, ETC., DECLARED OUTRIGHT***

ARMED WAR AGAINST GERMANY. When, people, will you stop this insanity?

Again, better than any presentation we have seen, *Spotlight* DARES TO PRINT IT and I give great honor for this daring since they have been under boycott and siege by the Jews for years, even as to open warfare, bombings of their buildings and other properties, and black-listed. THESE GLOBAL PARASITES LIVE OFF THE BLOOD AND SWEAT OF EVERYONE ON THE PLANET, WHILE YOU JUST SCRATCH THE ITCHES THEY LEAVE, AND DIE IN THE PROCESS OF THE POISON THEY LEAVE *EVERYWHERE THEY GO, IN EVERYTHING THEY TOUCH. NOTHING IS TOO OUTRAGEOUS TO DO AND YOU LET THEM DO IT. HOW LONG DO YOU THINK YOUR JEW GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO PROTECT ANYTHING LIKE “YOUR” ASSETS? WELL, THIS TIME IT IS A FACT THAT “THEY” (THE U.S. BRIBERY BOYS AND GIRLS) HAVE FUNDS IN SWITZERLAND FROM PAYOFFS OF GREAT SIZE. PERHAPS THERE WILL BE ATTENTION THIS TIME.*

[QUOTING, *The Spotlight*, Front Page, April 6, 1998:]

WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS’S FIGHT
WILL HURT AMERICA

by Karl Bruhin

BERN—Although the news has been “spiked” by all American newspapers except *The Spotlight*, the World Jewish Congress (WJC) has declared “war” on Switzerland.

The Alpine country was given until March 31 to pay the WJC \$3 Billion or face boycott and seizure *of Swiss assets in the United States, a date which has come and gone.* [H: The information above about a joint agreement was made after *Spotlight* went to press. It doesn’t matter, because the Jews will not STOP until they get their gallons of blood.]

The president of the WJC, booze booster Edgar Bronfman, said that if the Swiss banks did not make this initial payment before March 31 they would be under “**state of total war**”.

This ultimatum recalls the declaration of war made by the same Jewish Congress in 1933 against Germany as a punishment for having elected Adolf Hitler as chancellor. The war declaration was followed by a boycott of Germany **BY THE UNITED STATES.** [H: Israel’s HOMELAND.]

The present declaration follows months of bitter wrangling between the WJC and the Swiss authorities concerning alleged Swiss guilt for its role during WW II and “Jewish deposits” in Swiss banks from WW II. Israel and the WJC claim the deposits amount to hundreds of BILLIONS of dollars. The Swiss say that there is only \$32 million of *unclaimed Jewish money*; moreover the door is open to anyone who can show ownership of any deposits.

When the WJC launched its demands more than a year ago it was convinced the Swiss would cough up at least \$60 BILLION just to keep from being labeled “Nazis and anti-Semites” in the world press. [H: Now readers, let us remember: These Jews are the Nazis (AshkeNAZI) and they are NOT Semites. Do you begin to see what a massive trick has been foisted off on you by these ANTI-CHRISTS? And you can’t even IMAGINE how much these bastards from Hell have stolen from the Arabs and other major nations of the world. They took and destroyed Russia and other

European Nations and are now working into the very fibers of the Asians. It is time to get with it, people, OR IT IS TOO LATE—WHICHEVER YOU CHOOSE.]

Swiss politicians and media followed the lead of the WJC and made promises to restore all the Jewish money that could be found.

The Swiss reminded the WJC that in 1941 the Roosevelt Administration seized billions of Swiss assets allegedly belonging to both Nazis and Jews. Roosevelt had been pressured by the WJC at the time to grab these assets in order to hand them over to Jewish claimants.

The reminder only infuriated Bronfman. He denounced the Swiss as having been pro-Nazi during the war, having financed the National Socialist party and having stolen and kept billions of dollars of Jewish money.

Shaken by the ferocious media campaign which the WJC orchestrated against them, the Swiss government and banks agreed to establish a multi-billion dollar fund for the victims of WW II. This enraged Bronfman still more.

The Swiss politicians and bankers were so intimidated that they discreetly prepared to slip a few billion underneath the table just to keep Bronfman quiet and get on with business. However, they had not counted on the fury of the Swiss populace.

As news of the government capitulation to the WJC's extortion reached the Swiss people, a national outrage erupted. The citizenry said they had been insulted by the WJC, that Switzerland had nothing for which to reproach itself. The Swiss said they did not owe a dime to the WJC or anyone else. Petitions pointed out that any Jewish deposits had been claimed and received long ago. Petitioners suggested the WJC should ask Washington for money.

The charismatic populist leader Christoph Blocher was pressed to organize a referendum on the issue and the Swiss media was deluged with angry letters protesting "Jewish blackmail".

The fury was such that Swiss Jewish leaders distanced themselves from Bronfman's demands.

As if all this upheaval was not enough, a Mossad spy scandal occurred in Bern just as Swiss President Flavio Coti was getting ready to visit Israel in an attempt to reach a deal on the issue.

There, the Swiss got tangled up in Israeli politics. Since the assassination of Premier Yitzhak Rabin, various Israeli factions have been at odds on several major issues, including:

- * Separation of state and religion;
- * The handling of U.S. politicians;
- * Ways to increase the take from such countries as Switzerland, Germany, France, Portugal, Spain

and of course the United States.

It appears certain factions in Israel suspected they would be left out of the Swiss loot and consequently tipped off the Swiss media of a Mossad operation in progress in Bern.

Five Mossad agents were arrested by the Swiss police in the middle of a burglary. They were planting bugs to spy on Swiss residents.

The breach of sovereignty and flagrant contempt for Swiss laws provoked another angry national outcry.

Coti had to cancel his state visit to Israel but the Swiss people were more outraged than ever.irate letter-writers to newspapers branded Coti a Mossad flunky.

The Swiss police who allowed the four Mossad spies to get away are now under investigation for collusion with a foreign power.

Coti, who two weeks earlier was prepared to grovel in Tel Aviv, has now declared that Switzerland will not respond to the WJC's "blackmail and threats".

Even Thomas Borer, appointed by the Swiss government to organize some payoff to the WJC, was forced to admit the WJC assertion that the banks had stolen Jewish money was simply "false".

The Swiss ambassador to the United States, Carlo Jagmetti, who was forced to resign last year because he had reported in a secret diplomatic brief that the WJC was preparing to wage "WAR" against Switzerland, has been vindicated. Jagmetti is a Swiss national hero. The frightened politicians who demanded his resignation to curry favor with Israel are branded traitors.

[END OF QUOTING]

And:

[QUOTING, same source, by Karl Bruhin:]

WHISKEY KING DECLARES WAR ON SWITZERLAND
BUSINESSMEN ALARMED AT BRONFMAN'S
SABRE RATTLING

AS WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS (WJC) DECLARES "WAR", SWISS BANKS ARE LOOKING TO COVER THEIR ASSETS.

The World Jewish Congress's (WJC) war declaration, the public outcry and continuous scandals have left no choice to the Swiss establishment: It's fight or be subjected to extortion.

The two biggest Swiss banks, Credit Swiss and Society of Swiss Bank have merged, and the Union Bank of Switzerland (UBS) has made a strategic alliance with powerful Japanese banks.

At secret board meetings it was agreed that if the WJC succeeded in manipulating the United States into a seizure of Swiss assets in America and a U.S.-inspired world boycott of Switzerland, the Swiss would immediately retaliate in kind with the help of other countries such as Japan and certain oil countries anxious to put a stop to WJC extortion.

For Switzerland the stakes are high. The Swiss government has clearly established that the WJC wants nothing less than control of Swiss finance. Until now Israeli operatives have failed to infiltrate the boards of Swiss banks. The WJC attack appeared an effective way.

Already, Swiss parliamentarians, at the strongest urging of the people, are demanding that the Swiss government cancel all legal and financial co-operation with the Clinton Administration—which has been seen here as a puppet of WJC Chief Edgar Bronfman. The Swiss want to end U.S. Hughes-Thompson participation in the NATO air surveillance system in Switzerland.

Ticino Canton representative Dick Marty, representing the majority of state councilors, demanded that the Swiss parliament put the Clinton Administration on notice that the Swiss are now ready to retaliate across the board unless the sanctions which are already affecting Swiss banks in New York and California are lifted.

Alan Hevesi, the finance director for New York City and a Bronfman operative, has already organized the Jewish boycott of Swiss banks in New York. The boycott aims at preventing U.S. investment in Switzerland and Swiss investment in the United States.

Boycotts and sanctions restricting trade, commerce and investment flows against Swiss institutions has created concern among financial institutions in America. Many U.S. banks are major creditors [?—debtors, more likely] to Swiss banks. If the Swiss decide to recall their loans it is certain to trigger financial upheaval in American financial structures.

The Clinton Administration will be pulled in different directions by some very powerful interests.

Bronfman's fanaticism has already severely riled the old-line American inter-faced financial establishment. Emissaries are quietly talking to the Swiss banks on how they can stop the WJC "greedy excesses".

[END OF QUOTING]

Ok, let's look at what they want:

[QUOTING:]

WJC UPS ANTE IN HOLOCAUST "WAR"
by *The Spotlight STAFF*

A HIGH-PROFILE ZIONIST LEADER HAS RAISED THE STAKES IN HIS ATTACK ON THE SWISS. [H: Please pay attention to who is who.]

NEW YORK—On March 26, a last ditch effort to avert "*total war*" between the World Jewish Congress (WJC) and Switzerland was held here.

Edgar Bronfman, Canadian head of the WJC, summoned the finance managers of New York, Philadelphia, San Francisco and Los Angeles to listen to the demands of the WJC and strategize.

The WJC also summoned:

- * Asst. Sec. of State Stuart Eizenstat;
- * **Paul Volcker**, the WJC pit bulls Israel Singer and Elan Steinberg;
- * Mel Weiss, the lawyer representing the plaintiffs in the class action suit financed by the WJC against the Swiss banks;
- * Representatives from the Israeli Knesset;
- * Matt Fong, California's treasurer and a Republican candidate for the U.S. Senate;
- * Alan Hevesi, finance boss of New York City; and
- * Ed Fagan, another lawyer playing Mr. Nice Guy who will propose a payment of billions, in installments.

The Swiss have also been summoned to hear the ultimatum. Thomas Borer, head of the Swiss Holocaust task force, was interrogated as to why money has not yet been forthcoming.

BANK REPS ATTEND

Credit Swiss and Societe of Swiss Banks sent local managers to the meeting, as is the Union of Swiss Banks [?].

The low level Swiss representation has enraged the WJC. Asked how they fared under such terrible threats, a spokesman for three banks answered: "The Swiss banks will remain serene when facing the threats of sanctions," a statement which further infuriated the WJC and Bronfman.

Bronfman demanded that the Swiss banks cough up an immediate and initial \$3 billion before March 31.

Bronfman upped the ante on March 24. He declared the WJC not only wants \$40 billion from the Swiss, but also demands that Switzerland cough up all German money deposited in Swiss banks.

The WJC claims that Switzerland must pay 10 times the amount of the German deposits because the United States government, which had seized all the German assets in Swiss banks in 1941, had underestimated its size and consequently missed out on seizing all the German assets. The WJC determined—without supporting evidence—that the German assets seized were only a tenth of the real total.

Why would the WJC want the private assets of ordinary Germans who had deposits in Swiss banks going back to the beginning of the century? Because, according to Bronfman, these German assets were all stolen from Jews and therefore should be returned to the WJC.

[END OF QUOTING]

Please remember that the Swiss banks are the **LAST AND ONLY GOLD-BACKED BANKS**. But they need something against which the United States operators for WJC *cannot, or will not, come*.

The reason the Jews are going against the foe in such harsh and unreasonable ways is to get control of those Swiss Banks and knock out the Gold backing and pull down one of the last bastions of great size

against the Federal Reserve-World Bank system of their making. Remember as well, that Paul Volcker moved on up the Elite LADDER after leaving the Federal Reserve! He is a powerhouse in the Bilderbergs and Trilats.

Something else very, very important: The U.S. CANNOT pay its U.N. dues or support the IMF WITHOUT getting massive amounts from the World Bank-Federal Reserve. That little negotiation completed will be THE END OF THE U.S. AS YOU KNOW IT; your debts will be out of sight.

What do “I” think? As always, I find it an opportunity for I KNOW the creative capabilities of GOD. I also know the Achilles’ “heel” of the Adversary.

Be very careful, however, as it is becoming more and more obvious each day that there are plans for Hillary, even if not for Billy. Let’s avoid that avenue of input for the moment.

But NEVER overlook the possibilities of such as Kofi Annan or some of the others caught in the median trap.
Salu.

NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT
by Dr. Al Overholt 4/5/98

Editor’s note: The following New Desk items are contributed through Dr. Al Overholt in support of the above situation. Note how war can be fought just as effectively, if less obviously, on the economic battle field.

JAPANESE ECONOMY ON VERGE
OF COLLAPSE: SONY CHIEF

From *THE GAZETTE*, Kelly Olsen (*Knight Ridder* contributed to this report), *Associated Press*, 4/3/98: [quoting]

TOKYO—Japan’s economy is near collapse, threatening the health of the global economy, the chairman of consumer-electronics giant Sony Corp. warned yesterday.

Norio Ohga made the comments shortly after the Japanese central bank released a survey that shows businesses are increasingly pessimistic about the state of the country’s economy, the world’s second largest.

“The Japanese economy is on the verge of collapsing,” Ohga said. “If the economic situation continues to decline...this will, no doubt, have a damaging effect on the world economy.”

He urged the government to stimulate consumer demand, and said that simply cutting taxes wouldn’t be enough.

NO SIGN OF CONFIDENCE

“Instead, we need to do away with taxes related to purchasing a home, even if only for the short term,” he said.

The Bank of Japan’s quarterly survey said big companies cited sluggish economic growth at home and worries about the impact of Asia’s financial crisis for their deepening pessimism.

The survey said there were no signs that corporate confidence would recover any time soon. Companies blamed the government for not implementing effective economic-stimulus measures. The report surveyed 9,308 companies.

Ohga warned that the robust American economy could take a hit if Japan’s economy continues in its slump. “Even the U.S. economy will not be able to maintain its healthy state,” he warned.

The central bank’s “business-condition diffusion index”—which measures the percentage of companies seeing conditions as improving, minus the percentage seeing conditions as worsening—fell to minus-31 from minus-11 in the previous survey on Dec. 15. That was much worse than the minus-24 reading many analysis had forecast.

‘CATASTROPHIC REPORT’

“I think this is a pretty catastrophic report,” said Russell Jones, chief economist at Lehman Brothers Japan Inc. “Japan is sliding deeper into recession.”

The gloomy report sent Tokyo stock prices spiraling down 3.32 percent.

The Sony chairman’s pessimistic comments are especially significant because the company, which makes television sets, videocassette recorders and PlayStation video games and owns the Columbia and Tri-Star Pictures film studios and the Columbia and Epic record labels, remains one of Japan’s most successful and innovative firms.

Sales last year were \$45.6 billion, up more than 5 percent from 1996, and despite the current domestic malaise, Sony is expected to record healthy earnings and a 50 percent increase in net income in 1998. [End quoting.]

JAPAN IS FACING DOMINO-STYLE BANKRUPTCIES, PREDICTS TOKYO SHOKO RESEARCH

From the INTERNET, courtesy of Sweden Calling, Sofie Mattsson <sofiem@algonet.se>, 4/5/98: [quoting]

According to a report today by Tokyo correspondent Rainer Koehler of *Sueddeutsche Zeitung*, “Now a previously unrealized bankruptcy wave has been revealed. In the just-ended fiscal year, according to Tokyo Shoko Research, the number of business liquidations reached a new record high 17,300. Their

total obligations totalled approximately 13.5 trillion yen (\$100 billion). Tokyo Shoko writes that Japan stands before a ‘beginning of a bankruptcy domino collapse’.” The report predicts a level of bankruptcy debts totalling \$124 billion, ironically just the sum of the recent government “economic stimulus” package revealed last week.

[source: *Daily Telegraph*, April 4:]

“THE DANGER IS A BIG MELTDOWN CAUSING A WORLDWIDE FINANCIAL CRISIS,” said Jeremy Tigue, the manager of the appropriately named Foreign & Colonial Investment Trust, when asked about the Japan crisis by the London {*Daily Telegraph*}.}

[source: *Daily Telegraph*, *Guardian*:]

WIESBADEN, April 4—TONY BLAIR AND HASHIMOTO TRY TO DOWNPLAY JAPAN, ASIA CRISIS. According to the lead article in today’s *London Daily Telegraph*, Tony Blair, speaking before the 2-day London gathering of the Asia-Europe Meeting, ASEM, told the heads of the 15 EU governments and of 10 Asian countries including Japan Prime Minister Hashimoto, “We will stand by Asia through thick and thin.” Blair stressed the “fundamental strengths” of Japan, adding “We do not believe the Japanese economy is on the brink of collapse.” At the same meeting, Hashimoto stated that the economies of Asia are “fundamentally sound. The worst has come and gone.”

In stark contrast, according to the *London “Guardian”* today, President Clinton delivered an unprecedented intervention into Japanese internal factional politics. Following the dramatic recent falls in the Nikkei on news of the threat by Moody’s to downgrade Japan’s AAA sovereign debt rating, and the ongoing political paralysis in Japan, Clinton reportedly told a press conference in Washington, on Friday, that there is “a raging battle” in Japan between businessmen and bureaucrats preventing Hashimoto from applying proper economic measures. “We need to be both respectful but firm in urging the Japanese to take a bold course. The people within the permanent government there, which have always enjoyed great power, have to realize that the strategies that worked in the past are not appropriate to the present.”

[source: *Hong Kong Market News*, April 4, 1998:]

WIESBADEN, April 4—CHINA REPORTEDLY BUILDING HUGE WARCHEST OF U.S. TREASURIES TO DEFEND HONG KONG DOLLAR. According to a report in Ming Pao newspaper carried in *Hong Kong Market News Service*, since this February, the Beijing government has quietly been buying a huge volume of U.S. Treasury securities with its dollar reserves. According to the report, China has increased its U.S. Treasury holdings by \$52 billion over the past two months. Ming Pao quotes an unnamed Beijing official that “if international speculators backed by U.S. capital attack the Hong Kong dollar, China could at any time sell U.S. Treasury bonds in self-defense.”

CHAPTER 6

REC #2 HATONN

SUN, APR. 5, 1998 1:41 P.M. YR. 11, DAY 232

SUN., APR. 5, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 63, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL. III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE

DR. LEVY, A JEW, ADMITS HIS PEOPLE'S ERROR

A Jew of standing, Dr. Oscar Levy, well known in English literary circles and a lover of his people, has had the honesty and the wisdom to meet the Jewish Question with truth and candor. His remarks are printed in this article as an example of the methods by which Jewry can be saved in the estimation of Twentieth Century Civilization.

The circumstances were these: George Pitt-Rivers, of Worcester College, Oxford, wrote a most illuminating brochure entitled, "The World Significance of the Russian Revolution", which was published and sold for two shillings by Basil Blackwell, Oxford. The book is the result of unprejudiced observation and study and agrees with the statements made in *The Dearborn Independent* about the personnel of Bolshevism. The manuscript was sent to Dr. Oscar Levy, as a representative Jew, and Dr. Levy's letter was subsequently published as a preface to the book.

That the reader may understand the tenor of Mr. Pitt-River's book, section XVI, pp.39-41, is here-with given in full, and is followed by Dr. Levy's comments. The italics throughout are intended to remind the reader of remarks on similar lines made in this series:

It is not unnaturally claimed by Western Jews that Russian Jewry, as a whole, is most bitterly opposed to Bolshevism. Now although there is a great measure of truth in this claim, since the prominent Bolsheviks, who are preponderantly Jewish, do not belong to the orthodox Jewish Church, it is yet possible, without laying oneself open to the charge of anti-Semitism, to point to the obvious fact that Jewry, *as a whole*, has, consciously or *unconsciously*, worked for and promoted an international economic, material despotism which, with Puritan as an ally, has tended...

[H: As is so often the case in our work when we have something very important to a subject—there is evidence of tampering. In this case we are to page 180 in this Volume of *International Jew* only to find that the right hand 2/3rds of page 180 has NO PRINT and 181 is totally blank. We have no choice but to take up at the top of 182. We find these gremlins in a lot of things we attempt to make available to you but simply gauge our response to the fact that this information is just about the LAST THING THE JEWS WANT YOU TO HAVE.]

No better title than *The World Significance of the Russian Revolution* could have been chosen, for no event in any age will finally have more significance for our world than this one. We are still too near to see clearly this Revolution, this portentous event, *which was certainly one of the most intimate and therefore least obvious, aims of the world-conflagration, hidden as it was at first by the fire and smoke of national enthusiasms and patriotic antagonisms.*

It was certainly very plucky of you to try and throw some light upon an event which necessarily must still be enveloped in mist and mystery, and I was even somewhat anxious, lest your audacity in treating such a dangerous subject would end in failure, or what is nearly the same, in ephemeral success. No age is so voracious of its printed offspring as ours. There was thus some reason to fear lest you had offered to this modern Kronos only another mouthful of his accustomed nourishment for his immediate consumption.

I was, I am glad to report, agreeably surprised—surprised, though not by the many new facts which you give, and which must surprise all those who take an interest in current events—facts, I believe, which you have carefully and personally collected and selected, not only from books, but from the lips and letters of Russian eye-witnesses and sufferers, from foes as well as from friends of the great Revolution.

What I appreciate more than this new light thrown on a dark subject, more than the conclusion drawn by you from this wealth of facts, is the psychological insight which you display in *detecting the reasons why a movement so extraordinarily bestial and so violently crazy as the Revolution was able to succeed and finally to overcome its adversaries.* For we are confronted with two questions which need answering and which, in my opinion, you have answered in your pamphlet. These questions are: (1) How has the Soviet Government, *admittedly the government of an insignificant minority,* succeeded not only in maintaining but in strengthening its position in Russia after two and a half years of power? and (2) why has the Soviet government, in spite of its outward bestiality and brutal tyranny, succeeded in gaining the sympathies of an increasing number of people in this country?

You rightly recognize that there is an ideology behind it and you clearly diagnose it as an ancient ideology. There is nothing new under the Sun, *it is even nothing new that this Sun rises in the East...*

For Bolshevism is a religion and a faith. How could these half-converted believers ever dream to vanquish the “Truthful” and the “Faithful” of their own creed, these holy crusaders, who had gathered round the Red Standard of the Prophet Karl Marx, and who fought under the daring guidance of *these experienced officers of all latter-day revolutions—the Jews?*

I am touching here on a subject which, to judge from your own pamphlet, is perhaps more interesting to you than any other. In this you are right. *There is no race in the world more enigmatic, more fatal, and therefore more interesting than the Jews.*

Every writer, who, like yourself, is oppressed by the aspect of the present and embarrassed by his anxiety for the future, MUST try to elucidate the Jewish Question and its bearing upon our Age.

For the question of the Jews and their influence on the world past and present, cuts to the root of all things, and should be discussed by every honest thinker, however bristling with difficulties it is, however complex the subject as well as the individuals of the Race may be.

For the Jews, as you are aware, are a sensitive Community, and thus very suspicious of any Gentile

who tries to approach them with a critical mind. They are always inclined—and that on account of their terrible experiences—to denounce anyone who is not with them as against them, as tainted with “medieval” prejudice, as an intolerant Antagonist of their Faith and of their Race.

Nor could or would I deny that there is some evidence, some *prima facie* evidence of this antagonistic attitude in your pamphlet. *You point out, and with fine indignation, the great danger that springs from the prevalence of Jews in finance and industry, and from the preponderance of Jews in rebellion and revolution. You reveal, and with great fervor, the connection between the Collectivism of the immensely rich international Finance—the Democracy of cash values, as you call it—and the international Collectivism of Karl Marx and Trotsky—The Democracy of and by decoy-cries... And all this evil and misery, the economic as well as the political, you trace back to one source, to one “fons et origo malorum”—the Jews.*

Now other Jews may vilify and crucify you for these outspoken views of yours; I myself shall abstain from joining the chorus of condemnation! I shall try to understand your opinions and your feelings, and having once understood them—as I think I have—I can defend you from the unjust attacks of my often too impetuous Race. But first of all, I have to say this: *There is scarcely an event in modern Europe that cannot be traced back to the Jews. Take the Great War that appears to have come to an end, ask yourself what were its causes and its reasons: You will find them in nationalism. You will at once answer that nationalism has nothing to do with the Jews, who, as you have just proved to us, are the inventors of the international idea. But to no less than Bolshevist Ecstasy and Financial Tyranny can National Bigotry (if I may call it so) be finally followed back to a Jewish source—are not they the inventors of the Chosen People Myth, and is not this obsession part and parcel of the political credo of every modern nation, however small and insignificant it may be? And then think of the history of nationalism. It started in our time and as a reaction against Napoleon; Napoleon was the antagonist of the French Revolution; the French Revolution was the consequence of the German Reformation; the German Reformation was based upon a crude Christianity; this kind of Christianity was invented, preached and propagated by the Jews; THEREFORE the Jews have made this war! Please do not think this a joke; it only seems a joke, and behind it there lurks a gigantic truth, and it is this, that all latter-day ideas and movements have originally sprung from a Jewish source, for the simple reason that the Semitic idea has finally conquered and entirely subdued this only apparently irreligious universe of ours.*

...There is no doubt that the Jews regularly go one better or worse than the Gentile in whatever they do; there is no further doubt that *their influence today justifies a very careful scrutiny, and cannot possibly be viewed without serious alarm.* The great question, however, is whether the Jews are conscious or unconscious malefactors. I myself am firmly convinced that they are unconscious ones, but please do not think that I wish to exonerate them on that account. A conscious evildoer has my respect, for he knows at least what is good; an unconscious one—well, he needs the charity of Christ—a charity which is not mine—to be forgiven for not knowing what he is doing. But there is in my firm conviction not the slightest doubt that these revolutionary Jews do not know what they are doing; that they are more unconscious sinners than voluntary evil-doers.

I am glad to see that this is not an original observation of mine, but that you yourself have a very strong foreboding about the Jews being the victims of their own theories and principles. On page 39 of your pamphlet you write: “It may be that the Jews have always been instrumental in bringing about the events

that they most heartily disapprove of; that maybe is the curse of the Wandering Jew.” If I had not the honor, as well as the pleasure, of knowing you personally, if I were not strongly aware of your passionate desire for light and your intense loathing of unfairness, this sentence, and this sentence alone, which tells the truth, will absolve you in my eyes from the odious charge of being a vulgar anti-Semite.

No, you are not a vulgar, you are a very enlightened, critic of our Race. *For there is an anti-Semitism, I hope and trust, which does the Jews more justice than any blind philo-Semitism, than does that merely sentimental “Let-them-all-come Liberalism” which in itself is nothing but the Semitic Ideology over again. And thus you can be just to the Jews, without being “romantic” about them.*

You have noticed with alarm that the *Jewish* elements provide the driving forces for both Communism and capitalism, for the material as well as the spiritual ruin of this world. But then you have at the same time the profound suspicion that the reason of all this extraordinary behavior may be the intense Idealism of the Jew. In this you are perfectly right. The Jew, if caught by an idea, never thinks any more in watertight compartments, as do the Teuton and Anglo-Saxon peoples, whose right cerebral hemisphere never seems to know what its left twin brother is doing; he, the Jew, like the Russian, at once begins to practice what he preaches, he draws the logical conclusion from his tenets, he invariably acts upon his accepted principles. It is from this quality, no doubt, that springs his mysterious force—that force which you no doubt condemn, but which you had to admire even in the Bolsheviks. And we must admire it, whether we are Jews or whether we are Christians, for have not these modern Jews remained true to type, is there no parallel for them in history, do they not go to the bitter end even in our day?

Who stirred up the people during the late war in Germany? Who pretended to have again the truth, that truth about which Pontius Pilate once shrugged his shoulders? Who pleaded for honesty and cleanliness in Politics, *that* honesty which brings a smile to the lips of any experienced Pro-consul of today? Writers, who were mostly Jews: Fried, Fernau, Latzko, Richard Grelling—the author of “J’accuse”. Who was killed and allowed himself to be killed for these very ideas and principles? Men and women of the Jewish Race: Haase, Levine, Luxemburg, Landauer, Kurt Eisner, the Prime Minister of Bavaria. From Moses to Marx, from Isaiah to Eisner, in practice and in theory, in idealism and in materialism, in philosophy and in politics, they are today what they have always been, passionately devoted to their aims and to their purposes, and ready, nay, eager, to shed their last drop of blood for the realization of their visions.

“But these visions are all wrong,” will you reply? “Look where they have led the world to. Think, that they have now had a fair trial of 3,000 years’ standing. How much longer are you going to recommend them to us and to inflict them upon us? And how do you propose to get us out of the morass into which you have launched us, if you do not change the path upon which you have led the world so disastrously astray?”

To this question I have only one answer to give, and it is this: “You are right.” This reproach of yours, which—I feel it for certain—is at the bottom of your anti-Semitism, is only too well justified, and upon this common ground I am quite willing to shake hands with you and defend you against any accusation of promoting Race Hatred: *If you are anti-Semite, I, the Semite, am an anti-Semite too, and a much more fervent one than even you are... We (Jews) have erred, my friend, we have most grievously erred. And if there was truth in our error 3,000, 2,000 nay, 100 years ago, there is now nothing but falseness and madness, a madness that will produce an even greater misery and an every wider anarchy. I confess it to you, openly and sincerely, and with a sorrow, whose depth and pain an*

ancient Psalmist, and only he, could moan into this burning universe of ours. We who have posed as the saviours of the world, we who have even boasted of having given it “the” Saviour; we are today nothing else but the world’s seducers, its destroyers, its incendiaries, its executioners. We who have promised to lead you to a new Heaven, we have finally succeeded in landing you into a new Hell. There has been no progress, least of all moral progress. And it is just our Morality which has prohibited all real progress and—what is worse—which even stands in the way of every future and natural reconstruction in this ruined world of ours. I look at this world, and I shudder at its ghastliness; I shudder all the more as I know the spiritual authors of all this ghastliness.

But its authors themselves, unconscious in this as in all they are doing, know nothing yet of this startling revelation. *While Europe is aflame, while its victims scream, while its dogs howl in the conflagration, and while its very smoke descends in darker and even darker shades upon our Continent, the most unworthy ones endeavor to escape from the burning building, and wish to retire from Europe into Asia, from the somber scene of our disaster into the sunny corner of their Palestine. Their eyes are closed to the miseries, their ears are deaf to the moanings, their heart is hardened to the anarchy of Europe. They only feel their own sorrows, they only bewail their own fate, they only sigh under their own burdens.* They know nothing of their duty to Europe, which looks around in vain for help and guidance, they know nothing even of their own great ancestor to whose heart the appeal of pity was never made in vain. They have become too poor in love, too sick at heart, too tired of battle, and lo, these sons of those who were once the bravest of soldiers are now trying to retire from the trenches to the rear, are now eager to exchange the grim music of the whistling shells with that of the cow-bells and vintage songs in the happy plain of Sharon.

And yet we are not all Financiers, we are not all Bolsheviks, we have not all become Zionists. And yet there is hope, great hope, that this same race which has provided the Evil will likewise succeed in supplying its antidote, its remedy—the Good. It has always been so in the past—was not that fatal Liberalism, which has finally led to Bolshevism—in the very midst of that dark nineteenth century, most strenuously opposed by two enlightened Jews—Friedrich Stahl, the founder of the Conservative Party in Germany, and by Benjamin Disraeli, the leader of the Tory Party in England? *And if these two eminent men had no suspicion yet that their own race and its holy message were at the bottom of that unfortunate upheaval, with which their age was confronted, how eager, how determined, how passionate will be the opposition of the Disraelis of the future, once they have clearly recognized that they are really fighting the tenets of their own people, and that it was their “good”, their “Love”, their “Ideal”, that had launched the world into this Hell of Evil and Hatred. A new “good” as new Love, a true Love, an intelligent Love, a Love that calms and heals and sweetens, will then spring up among the Great in Israel and overcome that sickly Love, that insipid Love, that romantic Love, which has hitherto poisoned all the Strength and all the Nobility of this world. **For Hatred is never overcome by Hatred, it is only overcome by Love, and it wants a new and a gigantic Love to subdue that old and devilish Hatred of today.*** That is our task for the future—a task which will, I am sure, not be shirked by Israel, by that same Israel which has never shirked a task, whether it was for good or whether it was for evil. [H: WANT TO BET!?!]

Yes, there is hope, my friend, for we are still here, our last word is not yet spoken, our last deed is not yet done, our last revolution is not yet made. *This last Revolution, the Revolution that will crown our revolutionaries, will be the revolution against the revolutionaries.* It is bound to come, and it is perhaps upon us now. The great day of reckoning is near. It will pass a judgment upon our ancient faith,

and it will lay the foundation to a new religion. And when that great day has broken, when the values of death and decay are put into the melting pot to be changed into those of power and beauty, then you, my dear Pitt-Rivers, the descendant of an old and distinguished Gentile family, may be assured to find by your side, and as your faithful ally, at least one member of that Jewish Race, which has fought with such fatal success upon all the spiritual battlefields of Europe.

Yours against the Revolution for Life ever flourishing,

OSCAR LEVY,

ROYAL SOCIETIES CLUB,
ST. JAMES STREET,
LONDON, S.W.,
JULY, 1920

Issue of April 30, 1921

[END OF QUOTING]

I believe the wisest thing I can offer you now is a respite. This material is not only difficult to read, it is difficult to write and digest for indigestion is sometimes the result of having to face truth.

We look around and shout: “Who will help?” and, answers flow back but never of SELF. Perhaps Kofi Annan would help? Perhaps, and certainly we shall see, but the adversary never misses a chance, readers. Let me just express how clearly the Adversary stays one step ahead of you. Kofi has had a marriage prior to his current spouse as did she—but she is Jewish, a lawyer from the U.N. focus, and can we actually face FACTS in the face of such a problem? She is Swedish and her uncle, diplomat Raoul Wallenberg, rescued thousands of Jews from Nazi camps before *disappearing* in 1945. Kofi met her, Nane “Lagergren”, while stationed with the U.N. in Geneva in 1981. He was infatuated it is said “not just with her but also with her heritage”.

I salute you who are about to, again, enter the battle. I realize you are tired, a bit tattered and bruised, but you have the BIG MAN on your team, little crew, so do not be faint of heart—just lean on ME. Good afternoon.

CHAPTER 7

REC #1 HATONN

MON, APR. 6, 1998 7:28 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 233

MON., APR. 6, 1998

WHEN YOU NEED A LITTLE PERSPECTIVE

Little Crow:

Every thought you have, every thing you do, every breath you take affects the universe. It goes out into the universe and each and every thing is touched by it and affected by it...Isn't that an amazement! I am affecting the universe with my mere breath. With just my breath I am affecting the universe.

Stop and think about this for just a minute and you will see life and YOURSELF differently perhaps.

It is very difficult to find any “good” headlines in any paper or on any news and therefore we note subject matter to make sense of it in any measure at all. We find that Milken is back at what destroyed the U.S. economy in the first place as to derivatives, etc. We find Japan ready to fold under bankruptcies and China planning to sell U.S. Bonds while you STILL have nothing with which to pay a single debt except that of interest accrued to the Federal Reserve for more and more purchase of more and more debt. This is the story of the world.

Moreover, the Jews are after the destruction of Switzerland by claiming more and more billions of dollars for nothing save the trouble of being alive.

You now have PROOF that even your Vice President Gore is involved in microwave mind-control programs against you people and actual subcommittees in Washington are meeting about it—while hiding behind Monica’s skirts and Billy’s zipper.

Can’t we stop and write about these things? Well, everyone is paddling as fast as they can and we can’t seem to touch more than the tid-bits that fall off the run-away train as it tries to make the curves. WE MUST OFFER YOU FIRST THAT WHICH ALLOWS YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT WHICH FLOWS “AFTER” THE GROUNDWORK AND WORKING “PLAN” HAS SHACKLED YOU TO TODAY’S UNFOLDING. We are simply doing the best we can for there is much to do other than writing these messages and turning out this paper.

I must remind you that if you don’t know where you’re going, you are already there and more input is worthless. If you want to get somewhere and solve a problem, you must first know where the destination is and what the basic problem is upon which the confusion is based. Then you must gather your information so that all the problems can be confronted and brought into order and direction, get a vehicle in

which to make your journey—and know what you are doing and where you are going. Remember that you can tunnel through a mountain with a teaspoon if you work at it long enough. Well, we don't have time for teaspoons so let's find out what we have and how best to confront the obstacles. To do that and come to right conclusions we have to look at how Antichrist undid you. If you want to zip up a zipper you have to run the pull right back up the zipper which is unzipped. In other words, you have to light some backfires—strategically. Any old fire in the forest will only make for more destruction.

Since your economy is NOT GOOD as you are told, face it; then you have to see what tore down your economy. You aren't going to get the major steps from Henry Ford so let us also face that fact. But the Federal Reserve was put into play to rob you before these articles were written, and our best ploy, like grades in school, is to take it in the line of events happening.

With this prelog let us move on:

[QUOTING:] PART 64, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL.III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

JEWISH IDEA IN AMERICAN MONETARY AFFAIRS

Mr. Brisbane says that Jewish bankers exercise their large measure of control because they are abler than the other bankers. It was very good of Mr. Brisbane to say so, and it adds to the sum of his weekly, almost daily, worship at the Jewish shrine, but it is scarcely true. Jewish bankers do not yet control the United States, and the principal reason they do not is that they are not abler than the other bankers. Doubtless they seek control; doubtless they have almost grasped it on several occasions, but not yet.

Nevertheless they form such a formidable force, such a political problem, that the mere fact of their failing to top the column of control is not so reassuring as it sounds.

The great Jewish banking houses of the United States are foreign importations, as perhaps everyone knows. Most of them are sufficiently recent to be considered in their immigrant status, while the thought of them as aliens is stimulated by their retention of overseas connections. It is this international quality of the Jewish banking group which largely accounts for Jewish financial power. There is team play, intimate understandings, and while there is a margin of competition among themselves (as at golf) there is also a wiping out of that margin when it comes to a contest between Jewish and "Gentile" capital.

Four conspicuous contemporary names in Jewish-American finance are Belmont, Schiff, Warburg and Kahn. All of them, even the most recent, are of foreign origin.

August Belmont was the earliest and arrived in America in 1837 as the American representative of the Rothschilds in whose offices he had been raised. His birthplace was that great center of Jewish international finance, Frankfort-on-the-Main. He became the founder of the Belmont family in America, which has largely forgotten its Jewish origin. Politics was a part of his concern in this country, and during the critical time from 1860 to 1872 he was chairman of the National Democratic Committee. His management of the

Rothschild interests was exceedingly profitable to that house, although the operations in which he engaged were quite simple compared with the operations of the present day.

Jacob Schiff is another Jewish financier who was given to the world by Frankfort-on-the-Main. He entered the United States in 1865, after having passed his apprenticeship in the office of his father, who was also an agent of the Rothschilds. The name Schiff runs a long way back without change, unlike the name of Rothschild. Originally named Bauer, this family of financiers took a new name from the red shield which adorned their house in the Jewish section of Frankfort and thus became “Rot-schild”. Commonly the last syllable is pronounced as if it were “child”; it is “schild”, shield. An epoch-making family in itself, it has trained hundreds of agents and apprentices, of whom Jacob H. Schiff was one. He became one of the principal channels through which German-Jewish capital flowed into American undertakings, and his agency in these matters gave him a place in many important departments of American business, especially railroads, banks, insurance companies and telegraph companies. He married Theresa Loeb, and in due time came to be head of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company.

Mr. Schiff, too, was interested in politics with a Jewish angle, and was perhaps the moving force in the campaign which forced Congress and the President to break off treaty relations with Russia, then a friendly nation, on a strictly Jewish question which had been skillfully given an American aspect. Mr. Schiff was of inestimable assistance to Japan in the war against Russia, but is understood to have been disappointed by Japan’s shrewdness in preventing too high a return being made for that assistance.

Associated with Mr. Schiff in Kuhn, Loeb & Company is Otto Herman Kahn, who is probably more international than were either of the two gentlemen mentioned above and is more constantly engaged in dabbling in mysterious matters of an international nature. This characteristic may be accounted for, however, by his experience of many countries. He was born in Germany and is also a product of the Frankfort-on-the-Main school of finance, having had connection with the Frankfort Jewish house of Speyer.

Of just how many countries Mr. Kahn has been a citizen is a question not easy to determine here because of the doubt that was recently cast upon his American citizenship by a protest against his being permitted to cast his vote last year and by his failure—the announced cause being physical in disposition—to cast his vote. If Mr. Kahn is a citizen of the United States (a status that will be readily proclaimed upon proof that he is), that probably increases the number of his citizenships to three. He was a German citizen by birth, and served in the German Army. And in 1914, in August, at the time of the outbreak of the European War, when efforts were being made, which afterward succeeded, to put Paul M. Warburg, a member of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, on the Federal Reserve Board, Mr. Warburg testified that at that time Mr. Kahn was not a citizen of the United States.

Senator Bristow: “How many of these partners are American citizens, or are they all American citizens?”

Mr. Warburg: “They are all American citizens except Mr. Kahn.” (P. 7, Senate Hearings, August 1, 1914.)

Senator Bristow: “Now, the members of your firm, are they all American citizens except Mr. Kahn?”

M. Warburg: “Except Mr. Kahn, yes.”

Senator Bristow: “Was Mr. Kahn ever an American citizen?”

Mr. Warburg: “No.”

Senator Bristow: "He never was?"

Mr. Warburg: "No; he is a British subject."

The Chairman: "He lives in England, does he not?"

Mr. Warburg: "No. At one time he thought he would move to Europe, and that was when the question arose of his standing for Parliament; then he changed his mind and moved back to the United States."

Senator Bristow: "He was at one time a candidate, or a prospective candidate for Parliament, was he not?"

Mr. Warburg: "No, he was not, but there was talk about it; it had been suggested, and he had it in his mind. Something had been written about it in the papers." (P. 76, Senate Hearings, August 3, 1914.)

So, that if Mr. Kahn is a citizen of the United States now, which as a matter of fact has been disputed, then he has been a citizen of three countries, Germany and Great Britain being the other two.

Mr. Kahn, by the way, is one of those Jews whose adoption of another form of faith brings no denunciation whatever from the Jews themselves. A most peculiar circumstance! But doubtless not inexplicable. Mr. Kahn is not called a "renegade Jew" nor any of the other nasty names heaped upon Jewish converts to Christianity, because he does not deserve them. They would not fit him. He is not renegade. And he never was regarded for a moment by Jacob H. Schiff as anything but a Jew, else that "Prince of Israel" would not have chosen him to remain in America and run the business of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, at a time when it seemed undesirable to put the junior Schiff in full charge of it.

Doubtless it was Mr. Kahn's desire, just at the time Jacob Schiff made his wishes known, to go to England and stand for Parliament.

But from New York he fulfills, probably as well as he could from London, those mysterious missions which frequently take him to the Continent, at which times he makes what are regarded as certain authoritative decisions, though just *whose* decisions it is not always possible to say. In Paris particularly, and at points east thereof, Mr. Kahn has been established in the position of spokesman of the American Financial Hierarchy, which, of course, he is not. But he undoubtedly is the spokesman of some group, possibly the group which so ably put through the Jewish program at the Peace Conference, the group that impressed Eastern Europe with the feeling that the United States of America was a very powerful Semitic empire. Mr. Kahn's trips abroad are usually unheralded, but their results richly repay observation.

A fourth member of the Jewish financial group in America (which is the form of statement which Mr. Chaim Weizmann would sanction, rather than to say "Jewish-American financiers") is Mr. Paul Warburg, to whose testimony we have just alluded.

Mr. Warburg is the most recent of all. He was born in Germany in 1868; he came to the United States in 1902; he became an American citizen in 1911. He came to the United States for the express purpose of reforming our financial system, and it is hardly possible to understand fully the system in operation today without reference to Paul Warburg. He is a man of very fine mind, a money-maker, but something more—a shrewd student of the systems by which money is made. There are two types engaged in the mere work of money-making which is better described as "money-getting", without reference to production; one type grubs away under whatever system obtains, regarding it as fixed as the solar system; another type is sufficiently detached to see the system as an artifice which may be mended, remodelled or supplanted

altogether. Paul Warburg, scion of a long line of German Jewish bankers, is of the latter type. He is not content with the fact that the cash-register fills itself with money; he wants also to know how the cash-register works, and whether it can be worked. He is thus a student of money and of the number of ways in which it can be manipulated.

Perhaps it will be best to let him tell his own story as far as he goes. When he told it to the Committee on Banking and Currency of the United States Senate in executive session, there was some dispute as to whether the proceedings should be recorded by the stenographer. It was finally agreed that notes should be made but should not be divulged. The testimony was printed "in confidence" on August 5, 1914, and nominally "made public" on August 12.

The Warburgs are one of the international families whose importance was not realized until the war, and would not have been realized then if their internationalism had not been so apparent. It was an interesting spectacle to see brothers occupying important places of counsel on either side of the great struggle.

Paul Warburg learned the rudiments of banking in his father's bank at Hamburg, Germany, studying the over-sea trade which is the foundation of that city's business. The banking house of Warburg in Hamburg dates from 1796.

"After that I went to England, where I stayed for two years, first in the banking and discount firm of Samuel Montague & Company, and after that I took the opportunity of staying two months in the office of a stockbroker in order to learn that part of the business.

"After that I went to France, where I stayed in a French bank, so that..."

The Chairman: "What French bank was that?"

Mr. Warburg: "It is the Russian bank for foreign trade, which has an agency in Paris.

"And after that I went back to Hamburg and worked there again for a year, I think.

"Then I went round to India, China and Japan.

"And then I came to this country for the first time in 1893. I stayed here only a short time then, and went back to Hamburg, and then became a partner of the firm in Hamburg."

The Chairman: "How long were you in Hamburg then in the banking business?"

Mr. Warburg: "Until 1902... And then I moved over here to this country to become a partner of Kuhn, Loeb & Company."

"I explained in the curriculum which I gave you, Mr. Chairman, that by marriage I am related to members of the firm, the late Mr. Loeb having been my father-in-law, which brought about a desire on the part of the family to bring me over here... I ought to say that I got married in this country in 1895 and that I have been in this country every year since, for several months... That is the history of my banking educa-

tion.”

It will be recalled that Jacob H. Schiff also married a daughter of Mr. Loeb, so that Mr. Warburg married the sister of Mrs. Jacob H. Schiff. Felix Warburg, Paul’s brother, who is also in the firm, married Mr. Schiff’s daughter.

Mr. Warburg immediately cast a critical eye upon the state of financial affairs in the United States and it is significant of the grasp he already had on such matters that he found the country rather behind the times.

He conceived the ambition—the very daring ambition—of taking hold of the United States’ monetary system and making it what he thought it ought to be.

This alone would make him a remarkable man. It illustrates very well that detached point of view which the Jew is more fitted to take than any other man perhaps. He sees countries and systems with the same freedom from intimate bias with which another man would view assorted fish upon a market stall. Most of the world is engaged in doing its work and indulging its national, racial, domestic and social affections and inclinations; a small minority stands in the background and watches the entire mass at its unconscious maneuvers, and studies it as an observer studies a hive of bees. The man at work has no time, except for his job. One man, standing back and studying 1,000 men at work, is able to see how he might utilize their labor or possess himself of a first toll on their production. Doubtless there must be men to stand at a sufficient distance from things to get a correct idea of their interrelationship, and doubtless such an attitude may be made of great service to the race, but doubtless it has also contributed to the selfish manipulation of natural and social processes.

Mr. Warburg testified: “When I came here I was at once impressed by the lack of system, by the old-fashioned nature of the system that prevailed here; and I got immediately into one of those periods of high interest rates, where call money went up to 25 and 100 per cent; and I wrote an article on the subject then and there for my own benefit.

“I was not here three weeks before I was trying to explain to myself the roots of the evil. I showed the article to a few friends but I kept it in my desk, because I did not want to be one of those who try to inform and educate the country after they have been here for a month or so; and I kept that article until the end of 1906, shortly before the panic, when those conditions arose again, and when one newspaper wanted for an issue at the end of the year an article dealing with the conditions in our country.

“Then I took out that article and touched it up and brought it up to date; and that was the first article of mine that was published. It was called *Defects and Needs of Our Banking System*.

“That was, however, the first time that I know of that the question of the discount system and the concentration of reserves was really brought out; and I got a great many encouraging letters asking me to go on and explain my ideas.”

Mr. Warburg was perfectly willing to talk to the committee about himself, but not about Kuhn, Loeb & Company, his firm.

“I cannot discuss the affairs of my firm nor my partners,” he said, “nor be asked to criticize acts of my

partners, either to approve them or in any other way,” but eventually he did tell a number of things which students of American financial affairs have considered interesting. Of which more later.

On page 77 of the testimony, more personal matters appear:

Senator Bristow: “When did you become a citizen of the United States, Mr. Warburg?”

Mr. Warburg: “1911. Did I not answer that?”

Senator Bristow: “Perhaps so. Did you intend to become a citizen when you came to the United States in 1902?”

Mr. Warburg: “I had no definite intentions then, because some of the reasons that brought me over here were family reasons. That had a good deal to do with my first coming here; and I was not sure at all that I would stay here when I came.”

Senator Bristow: “When did you decide to become a citizen of the United States?”

Mr. Warburg: “In 1908, when I took out my papers.”

Senator Bristow: “When you took out your first papers? You took out your second papers, then, in 1911?”

Mr. Warburg: “Yes.”

Senator Bristow: “You made your declaration in 1908; that is when you decided to become an American citizen?”

Mr. Warburg: “Yes.”

Senator Bristow: “Why did you wait as long as you did after you came to this country, before deciding to become a citizen of this country?”

Mr. Warburg: “I think that a man that does not come here as an immigrant; a man who has had, if you may call it such, a prominent position in his own country, will not give up his nationality so easily as a man who comes over here knowing that he does not care for his own country at all. I had been a very loyal citizen of my own country; and I think that a man who hesitates in giving up his own nationality and taking a new one, is apt to be more loyal to his new country when he does change his nationality than a man who gives up his old country more lightly.”

Senator Bristow: “Yes.”

Mr. Warburg: “I may add this: That a thing which had a great deal of influence on my making up my mind to remain in this country and work here, and become a part and parcel of this country, was that monetary reform work, for I felt I had a distinct duty to perform here; and I thought I could do that; and in fact I have been working on it since 1906 or 1907.

“Then I felt that it was the right thing for me to become an American citizen and work here and throw in my lot definitely with this country.”

Senator Bristow: “When you became an American citizen; and the motive which induced you to become an American citizen was, then, as I understand it, largely with a view of laboring to bring about a reform of the American monetary system?”

Mr. Warburg: “Well, you put it nearly exclusively on that. I think a man wants to feel that he is going to do some useful work in his country, that he has a mission to perform, and that is what happened to me. Moreover, I had been long enough in this country then to have thoroughly taken root and feel that I was a part and parcel of it.”

Senator Bristow: “Yes. When did you first become active in promoting the monetary reforms in the United States?”

Mr. Warburg: “1906.”

Senator Bristow: "Were you connected with the Monetary Commission?"

Mr. Warburg: "No, not directly..."

Senator Bristow: "Were you consulted in regard to the report of the Monetary Commission in any way?"

Mr. Warburg: "Yes, Senator Aldrich consulted with me about details, and I gave him my advice freely."

Senator Bristow: "And in regard to the bill which was prepared by Senator Aldrich in connection with the commission, were you consulted in regard to that?"

Mr. Warburg: "Yes."

Senator Bristow: "What part did you have in the preparation of that bill, directly or indirectly?"

Mr. Warburg: "Well, only that I gave the best advice that I could give."

Most readers will recall that the name of "Aldrich" was, a few years ago, the synonym for the money power in government. Senator Aldrich was an able man and a tireless worker. His character for thoroughness and industry did more than anything else to disabuse the popular mind of the notion that such men were mere "tools of the money interest", or engaged in their work out of lust for gain, or out of sheer pleasure in legislating against the interests of the people. Senator Aldrich led on tariff and financial matters because he understood them; and he understood them by tireless study of them; and, therefore, he was the master of other men who had not paid the price of knowledge. But, he understood these matters from the standpoint of the business interests only. He was sincerely desirous of the prosperity of the country, but that prosperity was written in banking balances. Fifteen years ago it might not have been possible to judge him thus calmly because then he represented, in the public mind, more than any individual does today, the concentrated power of the financial group. Their prosperity was his first care, possibly because he believed that their prosperity was also the country's.

It was such a man, then, that came to Mr. Warburg for advice. The labors of Senator Aldrich comprise many volumes of difficult material and Senator Aldrich's appeal to Mr. Warburg was a very high compliment to the quality of the latter's mind and financial experience—this, of course, assuming that Mr. Warburg's counsel was not forced upon the Aldrich committee by the New York money interests.

In his testimony, Mr. Warburg did not tell all. The omission, however, was supplied by an article in *Leslie's Weekly* in 1916, the author being B. C. Forbes.

It is a story of which *Current Opinion* said: "It reads like the opening in a chilling shocker."

[H: Please pay very close attention to this next:]

It appears that the conferences between Mr. Warburg and Senator Aldrich took place on an isolated island off the coast of Georgia—Jekyll Island. Included in the party, besides Senator Aldrich and Mr. Warburg, were two New York bankers and the then Assistant Treasurer of the United States. The mysteriousness of it all was well brought out by Mr. Forbes:

"Picture a party of the nation's greatest bankers stealing out of New York on a private railroad car under cover of darkness, stealthily hieing hundreds of miles south, embarking on a mysterious launch, sneaking out to an island deserted by all but a few servants, living there a full week under such rigid secrecy that the name of not one of them was once mentioned lest the servitors learn their identity and disclose to

the world this strangest, most secret episode in the history of American Finance. **[H: Is it just possible, citizens, that the very “Act” resulting is unlawful for having been done totally “off-shore”?]**

“The utmost secrecy was enjoined upon all. The public must not glean a hint of what was to be done. Senator Aldrich notified each one to go quietly into a private car which the railroad had received orders to draw up at an unfrequented platform. Drawn blinds balked any peering eyes that might be around. Off the party set. New York’s ubiquitous reporters had been foiled. So far so good. After bowling along the railroad hour after hour into southern country, the order was given to prepare to disembark.

“Stepping from the car when the station had been well cleared of travelers, the members of the expedition embarked in a small boat. Silence reigned, for the boatmen must not find out how distinguished were their passengers.

“In due time they drew up at another deserted pier. They were at Jekyll Island, off Georgia. The island was entirely unpeopled save for half a dozen servants.

“‘The servants must under no circumstances learn who we are,’ cautioned Senator Aldrich.

“‘What can we do to fool them?’ asked another member of the group. The problem was discussed.

“‘I have it,’ cried one. ‘Let’s all call each other by our first names. Don’t ever let us mention our last names.’

“It was so agreed.

“The dignified veteran Senator Aldrich, king of Rhode Island and a power second to none in the United States Senate, became just ‘Nelson’; and the quiet, scholarly member of the powerful international banking firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, became ‘Paul’.

“Nelson had meanwhile confided to Harry, Frank, Paul and Piatt that he was to keep them locked up on Jekyll Island, cut off from the rest of the world, until they had evolved and compiled a scientific currency system for the United States, a system that would embody all that was best in Europe, yet so modeled that it could serve a country measuring thousands where European countries measured only hundreds of miles.’

Mr. Forbes does not omit to write this further description of Mr. Warburg’s condition at the time:

“...unable then to speak idiomatic English with perfect freedom and without an accent, an alien not naturalized.”

Mr. Forbes also wrote: “Here is a German-American, but the sort of one that makes the hyphen look like a badge of honor.”

That was in 1916. Hyphens went out of fashion, though not entirely out of use, soon after.

Thus far the story of Paul Warburg.

Issue of June 18, 1921

[END OF QUOTING]

Also from Jack Bernstein, *THE LIFE OF AN AMERICAN JEW IN RACIST MARXIST ISRAEL*, 1984, Noontide Press, ISBN: 0-939482-01-0:

Continuing directly from the prior writing from this booklet:

[QUOTING, at page 8:]

SEPHARDIC JEWS—
SECOND CLASS CITIZENS

For the first three years of our marriage, it was necessary for us to live with Ziva's aunt. This was because of the critical housing shortage in Israel and because of racism. Housing is allotted as follows:

- * Ashkenazi Jews who have lived in Israel for many years are given first choice.
- * Second in line are Ashkenazi Jews from Europe—especially if they are married or marry an Israeli-born Ashkenazi Jew.
- * The next favored are Ashkenazi Jews from the U.S.—especially if they marry an Israeli-born Ashkenazi.
- * Sephardic Jews have the next choice of whatever housing is left.
- * At the bottom of the list are Moslems, Druze and Christians.

Even though I was an Ashkenazi Jew from the U.S., I was placed lower on the list for housing because I had married a Sephardic Jewess.

Being denied housing was my second experience of the intense racism that exists in Israel. From the very beginning of my arrival in Israel, many slurs were yelled at me. We American Jews were merely being tolerated.

Because Israel, to survive, must depend on gifts of American Taxpayer's money, donations from American Jews and sale of worthless Israeli Bonds in America, there is jealousy among the elite Israeli Ashkenazi Jews toward American Jews, even if the American Jews are also Ashkenazi. Many times I was told, "go home!" and, "We want your money, but not you."

However, there was a portion of the American Jews who were welcome and given favored treatment. They were the card-carrying communist Jews.

Of the 50,000 American Jews who, like myself, had migrated to Israel between 1967 and 1970, about 20%, 10,000 of them were Marxist oriented with a great number of them actual card-carrying communists. They were welcomed by the Israeli authorities and local Ashkenazim and were given favored treatment—housing, jobs and social life. It must be noted that besides coming from the U.S., a great number of communist Jews were migrating to Israel from Chile, Argentina and South Africa.

Of the 50,000 who had migrated to Israel during that time, 80% of us eventually returned to the U.S. The 20% who remained were those who were card-carrying communist or sympathetic to Marxism.

THREE FACES OF ISRAEL

From what I have told you so far, you must have the idea that Israel is a Marxist (socialist/communist) country. This would be correct. But, Israel has three faces: communism, fascism and democracy.

The Ashkenazi Jews who migrated to Israel from Russia brought with them the ideology of socialism/communism and have put into practice much of that ideology.

The Ashkenazi Jews who migrated to Israel from Germany, while sympathetic to communism and supporting it, tend to favor the practices of Nazi-style fascism. During World War II, in Germany these elite Zionist Ashkenazi Jews worked closely with Hitler's Gestapo in persecuting the lower class German Jews and delivering them to concentration camps. Now living in Israel, these elite Zionist Jews, who were well trained in Nazi-style fascism and favor it, have imposed many facets of fascism on Israel.

To give the impression that Israel is a democracy, members of the Knesset (Israel's Congress) are elected—an odd type of election. This is where Israel's so-called democracy stops. It doesn't make any difference which party wins an election, the LIKUD or LABOR, the elite Zionist Jews rule in a dictatorial manner—giving favors to the elite clique and brutally suppressing any dissent.

In the Zionist-communist scheme of world domination, it is Israel's role to continually stir up trouble in the Mideast. Since wars are a big part of this scheme of aggression, it is only natural that, from early childhood on, Israeli youth are trained mentally and physically for war. For instance:

Israel has its equivalent of Hitler's youth group. It is the Gadna: and ALL high school and junior high students are required to participate—boys and girls. Like Hitler's youth group, the youth in Israel's Gadna are dressed in khaki uniforms. They take training and engage in para-military exercises.

Even at play, guns and thoughts of war are present. When on a picnic, instead of taking along baseball or soccer equipment, they take sub-machine guns and assault rifles and practice shooting and playing military games.

Once graduated from high school, all young boys are required to serve 3 years in the army (2 years for girls) or 4 years in the navy or air force (3 for girls). Ultra-orthodox religious Jews are exempt from military services.

Once out of the service, a number of the ex-service people join the Shin Bet, the equivalent of Hitler's Gestapo. Like the Gestapo, they engage in repressing anyone who acts or speaks out against the Marxist/Fascist government of Zionist-dominated Israel.

Like in Nazi Germany, all people in Israel are required to carry identity booklets called 'Teudat Zehut' in Hebrew.

One day I changed jackets and forgot to take out my ID booklet when I went down town in Tel Aviv. A police officer approached me and asked for my 'Teudat Zehut'. I told him I had left it in my other jacket.

Because I didn't have my ID booklet with me, I was taken to the police station.

At the police station, the desk sergeant informed me that for not having my ID booklet with me, I could be jailed for up to 16 days without even being taken before a judge. All that was necessary is for the Police Lieutenant to sign a 'Remand Order'.

I asked permission to make a telephone call to my wife and tell her to bring my ID booklet to the station. The Sergeant allowed me two hours to have my 'Teudat Zehut' produced. I called my wife and she brought my 'Teudat Zehut'—arriving just minutes before the 2-hour deadline expired. If she had been late in arriving, I would have been jailed for 16 days for not having my ID booklet with me.

This is just one indication that Israel is a 'Police State' and not a democracy.

Concerning Nazism/Fascism, please let me clear a point. Germans are an admirable people—I dare say even great. But in Germany, the general population were victims of the Nazis who through cunning and brutality gained power.

In Germany, the average Jews were victims of the Zionist elite who worked **hand in hand with the Nazis**. Many of those same Zionist Jews who, in Germany, had worked with the Nazis, came to Israel and joined hands with the Zionist/Communist Jews from Poland and Russia. It is the two faces of communism and Nazi-style fascism that rule Israel. Democracy is merely an illusion. **[H: Exactly like in the U.S.A.]**

Regarding the tie between the elite Ashkenazi Jews and the Nazis, take a look at the word 'ASHKENAZI'—LOOK AGAIN: 'AshkeNAZI'.

Interesting isn't it?

There is great confusion regarding the relationship of fascism to communism.

Fascism is national socialism.

Communism is international socialism.

[END OF QUOTING]

I am going to remind you of something VERY, VERY IMPORTANT: **IN THE U.S. CONGRESS ADORNING THE MASSIVE WALL BEHIND THE PODIUM ARE TWO FACIL. THESE ARE "THE" SYMBOLS OF FASCISM. THIS IS IN YOUR U.S.A. CONGRESS!**

MAY THE LIFE YOU SAVE, BE YOUR OWN!

Salu and good morning. “Think”, citizens, for you are among your LAST DAYS of FREEDOM to “THINK”!

CHAPTER 8

REC #1 HATONN

TUE, APR. 7, 1998 7:04 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 234

TUE., APR. 7, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 65, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL.III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

JEWISH IDEA MOLDED FEDERAL RESERVE PLAN

The last view the reader had of Paul M. Warburg in the preceding article was as “an alien not naturalized” secretly closeted with Senator Nelson W. Aldrich and a party of bankers on an obscure island off the southeastern coast of the United States, all the members of the party concealing their identity even from the servants by calling each other by their first names.

That conference in its ultimate results was of the utmost importance to the United States, for then and there were formulated those fiscal devices, those financial methods, those “monetary reforms” which have exerted an influence on every citizen, rich and poor, of the Republic.

Much history was made in that little trip. It irresistibly calls to memory that other trip made in 1915—almost two years before America’s entry into the war—by Bernard M. Baruch. As readers of *The Dearborn Independent* of November 27, 1920, will recall, Mr. Baruch had been financial backer of the Plattsburg camp, and in his testimony he said he thought that General Wood would admit this. Then, “I went off on a long trip, and it was while on this trip that I felt there ought to be some mobilization of the industries, and I was thinking about the scheme that practically was put into effect and was working when I was chairman of the board. When I came back from that trip I asked for an interview with the President. The president listened very attentively and graciously as he always does.” Mr. Baruch was an authority on the President’s demeanor, for there was a long period in 1917 and 1918 during which he called at the White House every afternoon.

Two momentous trips in our recent history, both of them signalized and given their principal meaning by the presence of Jews. Not that there should not have been Jews in either case; to insist upon their total exclusion would be going too far. The Jew as a citizen, bearing his part, is one matter; the Jew as a master, directing the national show, is quite another thing. It is by no means agreed that Barney Baruch was the only man in the United States who could have run this nation’s war business. That is the explanation made of the high place he took—that he was the *only* man who could do it. Nonsense! If that be so, let us close up the nation and hand the keys over to the New York Kehillah. Mr. Baruch could say, “I probably had more power than any other man did in the war; doubtless that is true”, but he had that power because he was for the time the head and front of the Jewish group for war purposes.

If the explanation of Jewish mastery at critical moments were “brains”, well and good, but if it were, it would be more evident to the people; brains do not need to be advertised, they advertise themselves. There is another reason.

The British public recently awoke to the fact that not Lloyd George but Mr. Montagu and Sir Alfred Mond were in charge of the recent negotiations over the German indemnities. These gentlemen are both Jews, one of them of German descent. Of all the British Empire are they the only two men to advise the premier in a great crisis? If they are, why is it? The Montagus, we know, control the silver of the world; Sir Alfred Mond, we know, turned the very neat trick of keeping the sign of the Cross off the war memorials raised to the soldiers of the empire; their Jewishness always so-apparent. Both financiers, both the close advisers of the premier, as Baruch to Wilson, so they to Lloyd George.

Apparently there are no Anglo-Saxons on either side of the sea capable of managing these deep matters, if we are to judge from the war administrations—those that have passed off the stage and those that still linger. Lloyd George, for once stung to the quick by the criticism of the British public of his tendency to closet himself with Jews when confronted with a crucial question, retorted bitterly—with what? With the old outworn Jewish propagandist boast, that it all became people who sang Jewish psalms in church to rag the race that wrote them! A most illuminating defense! The world would give a good deal for a true psalm from Sir Alfred Mond, Mr. Montagu, or even Sir Philip Sassoon, who is soon to become the premier’s son-in-law.

In our own history, Barney Baruch boldly claims his place; he unhesitatingly asserts that he had more power than any man in the war. If Allenby in Palestine needed a locomotive, if the Americans in Russia needed clothing, if the munition mills needed copper—it was Baruch who gave or withheld the word.

Mr. Warburg, being of somewhat finer grain, probably due to his having less than Mr. Baruch of the rough experience of “the Street”, does not make the claim that he is the chief factor in the present monetary system of the United States, nor does *The Dearborn Independent* undertake to make it for him lest the cry of “anti-Semitism” wax wrathful again; but fortunately the fact is amply attested by a Jew whose knowledge of the matter is unquestionable.

Readers have doubtless become aware by this time that for a non-Jew to say that a certain Jew is a most important factor in any field is to be guilty of anti-Semitism, while for the Jew or a “Gentile front” to say it is perfectly proper. It is a rather odd etiquette in which simple minds sometimes become confused.

Professor E.R.A. Seligman, of Columbia University, is the sponsor of this great honor for Mr. Warburg. What Professor Seligman says is of such importance, both as to its source and its subject, that quotation is justified: (the italics in all cases are ours.)

“It is in a general way known to the public that Mr. Warburg was in some way connected with the passage of the Federal Reserve Act, and his appointment to this present responsible position on the Federal Reserve Board was acclaimed on all sides with a rare degree of approval and congratulation; but I fancy *it is known only to a very few how great is the indebtedness of the United States to Mr. Warburg. For it may be stated without fear of contradiction that in its fundamental features the Federal Reserve Act is the work of Mr. Warburg more than of any other man in the country...*

“When the Aldrich commission was appointed it was not long before Senator Aldrich—to his credit be it said—was won over by Mr. Warburg to the adoption of these two fundamental features. The Aldrich Bill differed in some important particulars from the present law. The concession *in the shape of the twelve regional banks that had to be made for political reasons is, in the opinion of Mr. Warburg as well as of the writer of this introduction, a mistake*, for it will probably, to some extent at least, weaken the good results which would otherwise have followed. On the other hand, the existence of a Federal Reserve Board creates, in everything but in name, a **real central bank**; and it depends largely upon the wisdom with which the board exercises its great powers as to whether we shall be able to secure most of the advantages of a central bank without any of its dangers....

“In many minor respects also the Federal Reserve Act differs from the Aldrich Bill; but in the two fundamentals of combined reserves and of a discount policy, the Federal Reserve Act has frankly accepted the principles of the Aldrich Bill; *and these principles, as has been stated, were the creation of Mr. Warburg and of Mr. Warburg alone.*

“...It must not be forgotten *that Mr. Warburg had a practical object in view. In formulating his plans and in advancing slightly varying suggestions from time to time, it was incumbent on him to remember that the education of the country must be gradual and that a large part of the task was to break down prejudices and remove suspicions. His plans therefore contain all sorts of elaborate suggestions designed to guard the public against fancied dangers and to persuade the country that the general scheme was at all practicable. It was the hope of Mr. Warburg that with the lapse of time it might be possible to eliminate from the law not a few clauses which were inserted, largely at his suggestion, for educational purposes.*

“As it was my privilege to say to President Wilson when originally urging the appointment of Mr. Warburg on the Federal Reserve Board, at a time when the political prejudice against New York bankers ran very high, England also, three-quarters of a century ago, had a practical banker who was virtually responsible for the idea contained in Peel’s Bank Act of 1840. Mr. Samuel Jones Lloyd was honored as a consequence by the British Government and was made Lord Overstone. The United States was equally fortunate in having with it a Lord Overstone....

“The Federal Reserve Act will be associated in history with the name of Paul M. Warburg...”
(pp. 387-390, Vol. 4, No.4, *Proceedings of the Academy of Political Science*, Columbia University)

It surely cannot be considered invidious for *The Dearborn Independent* thus to introduce to the people of the United States a gentleman whose influence upon the country is so vital. Just how vital can be understood only by those who have studied the puzzle of a country filled with the good things of life, and still unable to use them or to share them because of a kink in the pipeline called “money”.

But that Mr. Warburg himself is not entirely unaware of his position is indicated on page 56 of his testimony quoted last week. Mr. Warburg had just told the Senate Committee that he was making a heavy financial sacrifice to accept the position on the Federal Reserve Board offered him by President Wilson, and into the fitness of which appointment the Senate was carefully inquiring:

Senator Reed: “May I ask what your motive is, or your reason for making that sacrifice?”

Mr. Warburg: “My motive is that I have, as you know, taken a keen interest in this monetary reform since I have been in this country.

“I have had the success which comes to few people, of starting an idea and starting it so that the whole country has taken it up and it has taken some tangible form.”

Professor Seligman advises us of the strategy that was used to get the whole country to take up Mr. Warburg’s idea, and of the fact that some of the items inserted to appease the public might easily be removed when the public shall have become accustomed to Mr. Warburg and the Federal Reserve Board; but Mr. Warburg adds another hint, to the effect that you can do some things by administration which you cannot do by organization.

For example: Mr. Warburg wanted *ONLY ONE CENTRAL BANK* which should be the sole arbiter of finance in the United States. The United States Government would have almost nothing to do save to make the money and stand back of it; the bankers of the United States, and the people thereof would have nothing to do except what they were told; the one central bank would be the real financial governing authority. **[H: And, pray tell, what else do you NOW HAVE?]**

When asked by Senator Bristow to state the fundamental difference between the Aldrich plan and the present Federal Reserve plan, Mr. Warburg replied:

“Well, the Aldrich Bill brings the whole system into one unit, while this deals with 12 units, and unites them again into the Federal Reserve Board. It is a little bit complicated, which objection, however, *can be overcome in an administrative way*, and in that respect I freely criticized the bill before it was passed.”

There is evidently, then, a method of administration for which severe critics might even use the word “manipulation”, by which the plain provisions of a banking law, whatever they may be, may be, if not evaded, then somewhat adapted.

This idea is brought to mind by a more colloquial expression of Mr. Warburg’s to be found in his address on “bank acceptances” delivered in 1919:

“In this connection I am reminded of a story I once heard concerning a man belonging to a species now soon to be extinct and to be found by our children in Webster’s dictionary only, the ‘bartender’. A man of this profession, in pre-historic times, was abandoning his position and was turning over his cash-register to his successor. ‘Please show me how it works,’ said the new-comer. ‘*I will show you how it works,*’ said the other, ‘*but I won’t show you how to work it.*’”

The politics of Mr. Warburg and the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company formed part of the inquiry, and Mr. Warburg made some interesting revelations, which illustrate the oft-repeated statement that it is part of Jewish policy—perhaps of large financial firms generally—to attach themselves to both parties so that certain interests may be the winners regardless of which party is defeated.

Senator Pomerene: “What are your politics?”

Senator Nelson: “No; we have not raised that before this committee.”

Senator Reed: "It has not been raised here, but I should like to know."

Senator Pomerene: "It has been raised before the Senate."

Senator Reed: "I will say why I should like to know."

Senator Pomerene: "Well, I have no objection to saying what is in my mind."

The Chairman: "I will say that I do not know what Mr. Warburg's politics are."

Senator Pomerene: "Well, I did not."

Senator Shafroth: "I do not know and I do not care to know."

Senator Pomerene: "I heard the statement made that the entire board was Democratic, and I had understood that Mr. Warburg was a Republican, or had been, in his affiliations."

Mr. Warburg: "Well, so I was, and my sympathies were entirely, in the early campaign, for Mr. Taft against Mr. Roosevelt in the first fight. When later on Mr. Roosevelt became President Wilson's opponent my sympathies went with Mr. Wilson..."

Senator Reed: "Well, you would count yourself a Republican, generally speaking?"

Mr. Warburg: "I would."

Senator Bristow: "It has been variously reported in the newspapers that you and your partners directly and indirectly contributed very largely to Mr. Wilson's campaign funds."

Mr. Warburg: "Well, my partners—there is a very peculiar condition—no; I do not think any one of them contributed largely at all; there may have been moderate contributions. My brother, for instance, contributed to Mr. Taft's campaign."

Senator Bristow: "Just what would you consider a moderate contribution to a presidential campaign?"

Mr. Warburg: "Well, that depends who the man is who contributes, but I think anything below \$10,000 or \$5,000 would not be an extravagant contribution, so far as that should be...."

(Examination resumed another day.)

Senator Bristow: "Now, Mr. Warburg, when we closed Saturday some Senator asked you in regard to political contributions, and I understood you to say that you contributed to Mr. Wilson's campaign."

Mr. Warburg: "No; my letter says that I offered to contribute, but it was too late. I came back to this country only a few days before the campaign closed."

Senator Bristow: "So that you did not make any contribution?"

Mr. Warburg: "I did not make any contribution; no."

Senator Bristow: "Did any members of your firm make contributions to Mr. Wilson's campaign?"

Mr. Warburg: "I think that is a matter of record. Mr. Schiff contributed. I would not otherwise discuss the contributions of my partners, if it was not a matter of record. I think Mr. Schiff was the only one who contributed in our firm."

Senator Bristow: "And you stated that your brother had contributed to Mr. Taft's campaign, as I understand it?"

Mr. Warburg: "I did. But again, I do not want to go into a discussion of my partners' affairs, and I shall stick to that pretty strictly, or we will never get through."

Senator Bristow: "I understood you also to say that no members of your firm contributed to Mr. Roosevelt's campaign."

Mr. Warburg: "I did not say that."

Senator Bristow: "Oh! Did any members of the firm do that?"

Mr. Warburg: "My answer would please you probably, but I shall not answer that, but will

repeat that I will not discuss my partners' affairs."

Senator Bristow: "Yes. I understood you to say Saturday that you were a Republican, but when Mr. Roosevelt became a candidate, *you then became a sympathizer with Mr. Wilson and supported him?*"

Mr. Warburg: "Yes."

Senator Bristow: "*And I was interested to know whether any member of your firm supported Mr. Roosevelt.*"

Mr. Warburg: "*It is a matter of record that there are.*"

Senator Bristow: "*That there are some of them who did?*"

Mr. Warburg: "*Oh, yes.*"

Senator Bristow: "Will you please indicate—or do you care to indicate—what members of your firm supported Mr. Roosevelt in that campaign?"

Mr. Warburg: "No sir; I shall have to go on the principle that I cannot disclose the business of a member of my firm."

The result was this: that in a three-cornered fight between the candidates, Roosevelt, Taft and Wilson, the men who constituted the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, chief Jewish financial institution of the United States, distributed their support among all three. Schiff for Wilson; Felix Warburg for Taft; and an unknown for Roosevelt—was that unknown Mr. Kahn? In any case, Wilson won, and the above examination relates to a member of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company receiving an important appointment which gave him large power over the finances of the United States.

The point of not discussing the affairs of Kuhn, Loeb & Company was frequently made by Mr. Warburg.

"I cannot discuss the affairs of the firm nor my partners, nor be asked to criticize acts of my partners, either to approve them or in any other way. I would like to say that before we come to the point where I would feel that I should not answer any question," said Mr. Warburg.

The principle of this objection was conceded by the Senate Committee, but that it ought to serve as a blanket injunction against a number of pertinent inquiries was doubted.

Senator Bristow: "But you are a partner in this firm, and have you not had something to do with its operations and its management?"

Mr. Warburg: "Yes."

Senator Bristow: "Doesn't that not go to show your general views and practices as a financier and as a citizen and as a businessman?"

Mr. Warburg: "Yes; but you have got to take them individually. I cannot permit my firm to be drawn into this discussion."

Senator Bristow: "But how can you divest yourself from your firm when you have been one of the managers of the firm?"

Mr. Warburg: "I shall divest myself of the firm."

Senator Bristow: "If the firm has done something that I might think was improper—to illustrate, being called upon to say whether or not I approve your nomination to this responsible position—have I not a right to know what your attitude was in regard to that transaction which your firm performed?"

Mr. Warburg: “Well, inasmuch as my answer there might be a criticism of my firm, I would beg to be excused, and I would leave it to the committee to draw its own conclusions...”

In examining Mr. Warburg about the handling of \$100,000,000 *Southern Pacific securities*, the same difficulty was experienced; Mr. Warburg objected, “but we are getting here again into the transactions of my firm!”

To which Senator Bristow retorted: “Ah! But when you participated in the profits of the transaction, is it not a part of your business life?”

Mr. Warburg: “Certainly it is a part of my business life, and there is no reason why I should not be proud of it. But as a matter of principle I think we should not get into a discussion of the business of my firm.”

Senator Bristow: “Did you get any of the profits that came from the handling of this \$100,000,000?”

Mr. Warburg: “You may take it that whatever my firm did I got my profits—my share in the profits.”

Senator Bristow: “Your share in the profits. Now, without being specific, I take it for granted that this was quite material; that that was quite a material interest in size; that is, that you are one of the important members of the firm.”

Mr. Warburg: “I am one of the important members of the firm.”

Senator Bristow: “Yes, I think the testimony and the report here show that you are the third important member—or the second, which is it?—of the firm.”

Mr. Warburg: “We are not numbered.”

Senator Bristow: “You are not; all right.”

Mr. Warburg: “There is Mr. Jacob H. Schiff who is the senior.”

Senator Bristow: “Yes.”

Mr. Warburg: “and the others rank very much alike.”

Senator Bristow: “Yes. We may take it for granted, then, that whatever profits accrued to your firm in the handling of this business here since you became a member of it, you participated in the profits as one of the partners?”

Mr. Warburg: “Yes, sir.”

Senator Bristow: “Yes. So I will assume then, of course, that you participated in the marketing of \$113,000,000 of Union Pacific, and so on.”

The responsibilities of a member of the Federal Reserve Board, especially such a member as Paul M. Warburg would be (for it was recognized that because of his purpose and connections he would become a dominating factor), were very great, especially at the time when the appointment was being considered. They are as important now, of course, but in a different way; it is not now a question of military safety. This thought was evidently in the mind of the senators, as the following shows:

Senator Hitchcock: “Mr. Warburg, *one of the important functions of the board is to guard the gold supply of the country*, and it has been thought that *it is very important to have men on the board who had at heart ONLY the interests of the United States*, and had no foreign interests or alliances. You have said that you proposed to divest yourself altogether of your bank-

ing connections in Germany. Have you any other interests in Europe?”

“No, not to speak of,” said Mr. Warburg. “I may have very unimportant things, like everybody has, but I could dispose of those; it would not amount to anything.”

Senator Hitchcock: “Nothing in the line of banking?”

Mr. Warburg: “No.”

A few moments later the chairman, Senator Owen, said—(the date was August 1, 1914)—“We are on the eve of a great European war, and the organization of this board is of great national importance.”

At this time, Mr. Warburg was a member of the Hamburg firm. He testified (p.7), “I am going to leave my Hamburg firm, though the law does not require me to do so.”

A part of the German firm of his father and brothers, a part of the American firm to which he and his brother were related by marital as well as financial ties, Mr. Warburg repeatedly said he would break off all business relationships so that he, like Caesar’s wife (to quote himself), should be above suspicion.

Issue of June 25, 1921.

[END OF QUOTING]

Also from Jack Bernstein, *THE LIFE OF AN AMERICAN JEW IN RACIST MARXIST ISRAEL*, 1984, Noontide Press, ISBN: 0-939482-01-0:

Continuing directly from the prior writing from this booklet:

[QUOTING, pages 12-16:]

ISRAEL’S ECONOMY

Economically, Israel is bankrupt. Of course, this could have been predicted because Israel’s economic structure is based on socialism. Whenever a government of a state and its citizens spend more money than the value of the goods produced, economic bankruptcy will result.

If it were not for aid from America, Israel’s economy would have collapsed long ago. Israel is a “welfare state” in every sense of the word—it is America’s most favored welfare recipient.

While America’s farmers, small businessmen and laborers are struggling to survive, the U.S. Government, dominated by Zionist Jews, are draining the pockets and purses of American taxpayers to support Israel’s socialist economy and war machine.

Since the Israeli government knows, and the favored Zionists know, that the Zionist pressure in America will ensure that America will keep sending them massive amounts of money, the Israeli government and its favored citizens spend money like drunken sailors. This practice leads to inflation and eventually to an economic collapse.

Comparing Israel to drunken sailors is unfair to sailors. Sailors spend their own money—Israel spends money it gets from America.

Because Israel is a welfare state depending mainly on American aid for survival, it is on a down-hill slide. In 1982, Israel's inflation rate was 130%. In 1983, it was 200%, and this year (1984) it is expected to exceed 400%. That means a hamburger that cost \$1.00 last January will have risen to \$5.00 by the end of December. History shows that no nation mired in economic problems as Israel has become, has ever avoided an economic collapse.

Only with a massive increase in American financial aid can an economic collapse be averted—even then, this solution would only be temporary.

Regarding the destructive tendencies of socialism, there are circumstances that allow a country to successfully provide social programs to help its people.

It is possible in a country that has sufficient financial resource and where its citizens are deeply religious and considerate of their fellowmen. **None of this exists in Israel.**

Even in countries where conditions are ideal there lingers a danger.

Since the government of the country provides for the needs of its citizens, most of these citizens have a tendency to lose incentive to work hard; and a country with a complacent citizenry is easily conquered.

VISITORS TO ISRAEL

Tourism is one of Israel's main sources of income. The largest group of visitors are American Jews. But, there are also many American Christians who want to visit the holy shrines and to see the land of "God's Chosen People". These Christians come away very impressed and filled with religious fervor.

While in Israel, Jews and Gentiles alike are carefully watched so that they do not stray and happen to see the sordid side of Israel—the true Israel. Like in Soviet Russia and other communist countries, visitors to Israel are taken on carefully planned guided tours. They are shown the religious sites, the universities, the lush orchards, the technical accomplishments, the arts, and to stir sympathy, they are taken to visit the Holocaust Museum.

But, kept from the eyes of the tourists are the ghettos, the prisons where political prisoners, mostly Arabs and Sephardic Jews, are subjected to the most inhumane forms of torture. The tourists do not see the widespread crime activities and the corruption and cooperation between organized crime bosses and government and police officials. The tourists do not learn of the true inner workings of Israel's Marxist/Fascist government; nor do they see Israel's racism.

I met one American tourist who couldn't help telling me about the wonderful religious feeling she had from just being in Israel—the Holy Land. I remarked to her, "Just try giving a *Bible* to a local Jew and you will see how much religion and religious freedom there is in Israel. If seen by the police, you will be arrested."

Regarding the Holocaust Museum, I cannot help but comment:

THE HOLOCAUST MAY NOT HAVE HAPPENED—IF—

1. If the Zionist leadership in Germany had not cooperated with the Nazis.
2. If the Zionists, world-wide, had not persuaded various countries to refuse to accept Jews from Germany. The Zionists in America persuaded President Roosevelt to shut the door and not allow Jewish refugees into America before the war when there was still a chance for Jews to leave Germany. **[H: Of course, here, we know that this would only focus on such as Sephardic Jews. Ashkenazi Zionists were always allowed into the U.S., even without papers.]**

It must be added that many people, including Jews, question whether or not the Holocaust happened as portrayed by the Zionist propagandists—at the least not to the extent the Zionists claim.

RELIGION

The land on which the present state of Israel has been built, formerly Palestine, was once walked upon by Moses, Jesus and Mohammed.

Since Palestine was the site of many religions and has many religious sites, it is rightfully referred to as the HOLY LAND. So, one would think that Palestine, now Israel, would tend to have an air of holiness about it.

When Palestinian Moslems and Palestinian Jews occupied Palestine, there was a religious aura. But, since the Zionists took over the area and set up the state of Israel, it is one of the most sinful nations in the world where only about **5% of the Jews are religious**. It is interesting to note that those who are strongly religious are Arab Moslems and Arab Christians who make up a small minority in Israel. **[H: Well, brethren, that's just the way it IS when you have Satan's *chosen people*.]**

Israeli laws suppress all religion. For instance, it is against the law to try and convert a Jew to another religion even if the Jew is an atheist or humanist.

A Christian is permitted to preach the gospel in a church building, but for the clergy or anyone to even tell anyone about teachings in the *Bible* outside the church building will bring a 5-year prison sentence.

For a Christian to give a *Bible* or other religious article to a Jew will also bring a 5-year sentence. Even an act of kindness by a Christian toward a Jew, such as giving a gift of food, can be interpreted as trying to convert the Jew to Christianity and can bring a 5-year prison sentence.

This same law of religious suppression applies to those of the Islamic faith who, in an act of kindness, give a gift of any kind to a Jew. A 5- year prison sentence can result.

The treatment of religious Jews is touchy for the ruling Zionists. World-wide, Jews and non-Jews view Israel as a land where Jews may practice their religion without persecution. Therefore, the Zionists do not

dare risk suppressing Judaism for fear of arousing world opinion against them. So, the ruling Zionists merely tolerate the religious practices of the small minority of religious Jews in Israel.

GOD'S CHOSEN PEOPLE

The American people have been led to believe that Jews are “God’s Chosen People”. This MYTH was started by a small group of Jews. A few Jewish leaders took excerpts from the *Bible* and interpreted them to mean that God designated them as “Chosen People”. But, isn’t it odd that it is not the religious Jews who claim to be “God’s Chosen People”? It is the atheistic non-believing Jews who claim that honor.

Leading the cry, “We are God’s Chosen People” are the Zionist/Marxist (Ashkenazi) Jews who for political purposes chose Judaism and *who don’t have a drop of biblical Jewish blood in them.*

One Israeli religious Jew said it well, “At one time we Jews were chosen by God to be His messengers. But, long ago we forfeited that right.”

Anyone who has read the *Bible* with an open mind knows that God gave Jews of that time special favors. But, it was in the form of covenants. In these covenants were CONDITIONS [H: NOT “UN-CONDITIONAL”.]—the CONDITIONS were that God demanded the Jews obey HIS word. *Time after time the Jews broke the covenants. They rejected God and turned to adoring mammon. It doesn’t take a biblical scholar to realize that long ago even the real Jews LOST THE RIGHT OF BEING GOD’S CHOSEN PEOPLE.*

In comparing the degree to which the followers of the three major religions practice their beliefs, I make this observation:

JUDAISM: Few Jews, Sephardic or Ashkenazi, are religious. This is true in America, in Israel and world-wide.

CHRISTIANITY: The Christian religion has felt the influence of Jewish meddling and infiltration (especially in America) resulting in confusion and bickering between the various Christian denominations. This has led to a “luke-warm” attitude among most Christians toward their religion. *There is evidence to prove that Jews, or one of their many fronts, have started many of the Christian denominations and thus dominate doctrine.*

ISLAM: Moslems, who follow the teachings of Islam, are by far the most fervent of the three major religions in following their religious beliefs.

The Judeo-Christian ethic we hear so much about in America is a **BIG JOKE**—the result of an intense Zionist propaganda campaign.

I’ll toss in one last thought about the “God’s Chosen People” MYTH: *God said, “Beware of those who call themselves Jews and are not, for they lie.” Could it be the Ashkenazi Jews are the people to whom God was referring?*

[H: Amen! and “right on”!]

[END OF QUOTING]

I know, it is difficult to believe, but EASY TO SEE when you have access to INFORMATION. So, what has basically happened?

When the evil people of such as Sodom and Gomorrah (Adam and Zeboiim as far as Lasha; these were the sons of Ham) disappeared after the Great Cleansing—have simply returned, and it didn’t take LONG, FRIENDS. They then took over such as Palestine (because of prophecy) and have now moved to their new WORLD and Homeland, the U.S.A. and parts West. And yes indeed, IT, THE ANNIHILATION, WILL COME UPON YOU AGAIN! IT IS CERTAIN AND YOU ARE NOW IN THE TIME OF THE GREAT PURIFICATION!

Salu, and by the way, if your own future concerns you—GOOD.

Aton.

CHAPTER 9

REC #2 HATONN

TUE, APR. 7, 1998 1:25 P.M. YR. 11, DAY 234

TUE., APR. 7, 1998

PEACE TALKS IN MIDDLE EAST

A document has been forwarded to me by Calvin Burgin which is so important I feel it necessary to have an extra typing session today—to make sure it gets into the paper and out to you people.

You are going to witness some things of what I would consider to be high crimes and should be brought before the world court—not a Middle Eastern conference between the “Hads” and the “Haddees”.

The information is valid and comes directly from the Yale Law School which surely has a reputation of being high on the list of good universities.

The subject deals with Palestine and the Council of the League of Nations, 1922.

Now, consider this—there is nothing in any country as binding as a TREATY and what is this Mandate if not some kind of a Treaty?

We realize that the League of Nations was created from the Treaty of Versailles in 1919 to promote world peace and cooperation, but was then dissolved in April 1946 (how handy), by action of its 21st assembly, but what have we?

Well, we have one thing FOR SURE. There was a starting up of the United Nations a few decades LATER and a very QUICK ordering of the establishment, by the Permanent Council of the U.N., of THE STATE OF ISRAEL. Do we hereby, then, determine that none of the mandates by the League of Nations longer hold water or law? Israel has broken EVERY MANDATE of every set of rules she has touched. The Zionists have likewise destroyed every nation wherein they gained a foothold and then a throat-grip.

Perhaps you who “can’t stand Arafat” and the Palestinians—had better look again AT WHY, POSSIBLY, THERE ARE CLAIMS OF WRONGDOING AGAINST ISRAEL. THEN, LOOK AT SYRIA AND THEN AT LEBANON—AND SEE WHAT YOU FIND. THEN, AMERICANS—LOOK AT YOURSELVES! YOU ARE THE AMERICAN UNITED STATES OF ISRAEL. MAY GOD HAVE MERCY.

Just as the Zionist Antichrist has tossed out the League of Nations, so too did THEY TOSS OUT THE VERY CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. YOU KNOW, THOSE NICE STATES WHICH UNITED TO FORM A PERFECT UNION WITHIN A REPUBLIC.

I actually wonder if the Palestinians even remember there were mandates laid forth through the League of

Nations, and if NOT, PERHAPS SOMEONE COULD REMIND THEM?

[QUOTING:]

THE PALESTINE MANDATE 1922
THE COUNCIL OF THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS

Whereas the Principal Allied Powers have agreed, for the purpose of giving effect to the provisions of *Article 22* of the Covenant of the League of Nations, to entrust to a Mandatory selected by the said Powers the administration of the *territory of Palestine*, which formerly belonged to the Turkish Empire, within such boundaries as may be fixed by them; and

Whereas the Principal Allied Powers have also agreed that the Mandatory should be responsible for putting into effect the declaration originally made on November 2nd, 1917, by the Government of His Britannic Majesty, and adopted by the said Powers, in favor of the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, it being clearly understood that nothing should be done which might prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country; and

Whereas recognition has thereby been given to the historical connection of the Jewish people with Palestine and to the grounds for reconstituting their national home in that country; and

Whereas the Principal Allied Powers have selected His Britannic Majesty as the Mandatory for Palestine; and

Whereas the mandate in respect of Palestine has been formulated in the following terms and submitted to the Council of the League for approval; and

Whereas His Britannic Majesty has accepted the mandate in respect of Palestine and undertaken to exercise it on behalf of the League of Nations in conformity with the following provisions; and

Whereas by the afore-mentioned *Article 22* (paragraph 8), it is provided that the degree of authority, control or administration to be exercised by the Mandatory, not having been previously agreed upon by the Members of the League, shall be explicitly defined by the Council of the League of Nations.

Confirming the said Mandate, defines its terms as follows:

ARTICLE 1. The Mandatory shall have full powers of legislation and of administration, save as they may be limited by the terms of this mandate.

ARTICLE 2. The Mandatory shall be responsible for placing the country under such political, administrative and economic conditions as will secure the establishment of the Jewish national home, as laid down in the preamble, and the development of self-governing institutions, and also for safeguarding the civil and religious rights of all the inhabitants of Palestine, irrespective of race and religion.

ARTICLE 3. The Mandatory shall, so far as circumstances permit, encourage local autonomy.

ARTICLE 4. An appropriate Jewish agency shall be recognized as a public body for the purpose of advising and co-operating with the Administration of Palestine in such economic, social and other matters as may affect the establishment of the Jewish national home and the interests of the Jewish population in Palestine, and, subject always to the control of the Administration to assist and take part in the development of the country.

The Zionist organization, so long as its organization and constitution are in the opinion of the Mandatory appropriate, shall be recognized as such agency. It shall take steps in consultation with His Britannic Majesty's Government to secure the co-operation of all Jews who are willing to assist in the establishment of the Jewish national home.

ARTICLE 5. The Mandatory shall be responsible for seeing that no Palestine territory shall be ceded or leased to, or in any way placed under the control of the Government of any foreign Power.

ARTICLE 6. The Administration of Palestine, while ensuring that the rights and position of other sections of the population are not prejudiced, shall facilitate Jewish immigration under suitable conditions and shall encourage, in co-operation with the Jewish agency referred to in Article 4, close settlement by Jews on the land, including State lands and waste lands not required for public purposes.

ARTICLE 7. The Administration of Palestine shall be responsible for enacting a nationality law. There shall be included in this law provisions framed so as to facilitate the acquisition of Palestinian citizenship by Jews who take up their permanent residence in Palestine.

ARTICLE 8. The privileges and immunities of foreigners, including the benefits of consular jurisdiction and protection as formerly enjoyed by Capitulation or usage in the Ottoman Empire, shall not be applicable in Palestine.

Unless the Powers whose nationals enjoyed the afore-mentioned privileges and immunities on August 1st, 1914, shall have previously renounced the right to their re-establishment, or shall have agreed to their non-application for a specified period, these privileges and immunities shall, at the expiration of the mandate, be immediately reestablished in their entirety or with such modifications as may have been agreed upon between the Powers concerned.

ARTICLE 9. The Mandatory shall be responsible for seeing that the judicial system established in Palestine shall assure to foreigners, as well as to natives, a complete guarantee of their rights.

Respect for the personal status of the various peoples and communities and for their religious interests shall be fully guaranteed. In particular, the control and administration of Wakfs (yup, 'swattit sez) shall be exercised in accordance with religious law and the disposition of the founders.

ARTICLE 10. Pending the making of special extradition agreements relating to Palestine, the extradition treaties in force between the Mandatory and other foreign Powers shall apply to Palestine.

ARTICLE 11. The Administration of Palestine shall take all necessary measures to safeguard the interests

of the community in connection with the development of the country, and, subject to any international obligations accepted by the Mandatory, shall have full power to provide for public ownership or control of any of the natural resources of the country or of the public works, services and utilities established or to be established therein. It shall introduce a land system appropriate to the needs of the country, having regard, among other things, to the desirability of promoting the close settlement and intensive cultivation of the land.

The Administration may arrange with the Jewish agency mentioned in Article 4 to construct or operate, upon fair and equitable terms, any public works, services and utilities, and to develop any of the natural resources of the country, in so far as these matters are not directly undertaken by the Administration. Any such arrangements shall provide that no profits distributed by such agency, directly or indirectly, shall exceed a reasonable rate of interest on the capital, and any further profits shall be utilized by it for the benefit of the country in a manner approved by the Administration.

ARTICLE 12. The Mandatory shall be entrusted with the control of the foreign relations of Palestine and the right to issue exequaturs (yup) to consuls appointed by foreign Powers. He shall also be entitled to afford diplomatic and consular protection to citizens of Palestine when outside its territorial limits.

ARTICLE 13. All responsibility in connection with the Holy Places and religious buildings or sites in Palestine, including that of preserving existing rights and of securing free access to the Holy places, religious buildings and sites and the free exercise of worship, while ensuring the requirements of public order and decorum, is assumed by the Mandatory, who shall be responsible solely to the League of Nations in all matters connected herewith, provided that nothing in this article shall prevent the Mandatory from entering into such arrangements as he may deem reasonable with the Administration for the purpose of carrying the provisions of this article into effect; and provided also that nothing in this mandate shall be construed as conferring upon the Mandatory authority to interfere with the fabric or the management of purely Moslem sacred shrines, the immunities of which are guaranteed.

ARTICLE 14. A special commission shall be appointed by the Mandatory to study, define and determine the rights and claims in connection with the Holy Places and the rights and claims relating to the different religious communities in Palestine. The method of nomination, the composition and the functions of this Commission shall be submitted to the Council of the League for its approval, and the Commission shall not be appointed or enter upon its functions without the approval of the Council.

ARTICLE 15. The Mandatory shall see that complete freedom of conscience and the free exercise of all forms of worship, subject only to the maintenance of public order and morals, are ensured to all. No discrimination of any kind shall be made between the inhabitants of Palestine on the ground of race, religion or language. No person shall be excluded from Palestine on the sole ground of his religious belief.

The right of each community to maintain its own schools for the education of its own members in its own language, while conforming to such educational requirements of a general nature as the Administration may impose, shall not be denied or impaired.

ARTICLE 16. The Mandatory shall be responsible for exercising such supervision over religious or eleemosynary bodies of all faiths in Palestine as may be required for the maintenance of public order and

good government. Subject to such supervision, no measures shall be taken in Palestine to obstruct or interfere with the enterprise of such bodies or to discriminate against any representative or member of them on the ground of his religion or nationality.

ARTICLE 17. The Administration of Palestine may organize on a voluntary basis the forces necessary to the preservation of peace and order, and also for the defence of the country, subject, however, to the supervision of the Mandatory, but shall not use them for purposes other than those above specified save with the consent of the Mandatory. Except for such purposes, no military, naval or air forces shall be raised or maintained by the Administration of Palestine.

Nothing in this article shall preclude the Administration of Palestine from contributing to the cost of the maintenance of the forces of the Mandatory in Palestine.

The Mandatory shall be entitled at all times to use the roads, railways and ports of Palestine for the movement of armed forces and the carriage of fuel and supplies.

ARTICLE 18. The Mandatory shall see that there is no discrimination in Palestine against the nationals of any State Member of the League of Nations (including companies incorporated under its laws) as compared with those of the Mandatory of any foreign State in matters concerning taxation, commerce or navigation, the exercise of industries or professions, or in the treatment of merchant vessels or civil aircraft. Similarly, there shall be no discrimination in Palestine against goods originating in or destined for any of the said States, and there shall be freedom of transit under equitable conditions across the mandated area.

Subject as aforesaid and to the other provisions of this mandate, the Administration of Palestine may, on the advice of the Mandatory, impose such taxes and customs duties as it may consider necessary, and take such steps as it may think best to promote the development of the natural resources of the country and to safeguard the interests of the population. It may also, on the advice of the mandatory, conclude a special customs agreement with any State the territory of which in 1914 was wholly included in Asiatic Turkey or Arabia.

ARTICLE 19. The Mandatory shall adhere on behalf of the Administration of Palestine to any general international conventions already existing, or which may be concluded hereafter with the approval of the League of Nations, respecting the slave traffic, the traffic in arms and ammunition, or the traffic in drugs, or relating to commercial equality, freedom of transit and navigation, aerial navigation and postal, telegraphic and wireless communication or literary, artistic or industrial property.

ARTICLE 20. The Mandatory Shall co-operate on behalf of the Administration of Palestine, so far as religious, social and other conditions may permit, in the execution of any common policy adopted by the League of Nations for preventing and combating disease, including diseases of plants and animals.

ARTICLE 21. The Mandatory shall secure the enactment within twelve months from his date, and shall ensure the execution of a Law of Antiquities based on the following rules. This law shall ensure equality of treatment in the matter of excavations and archaeological research to the nations of all States Members of the League of Nations.

(1) "Antiquity" means any construction or any product of human activity earlier than the year 1700 A.D.

(2) The law for the protection of antiquities shall proceed by encouragement rather than by threat.

Any person who, having discovered an antiquity without being furnished with the authorization referred to in paragraph 5, reports the same to an official of the competent Department, shall be rewarded according to the value of the discovery.

(3) No antiquity may be disposed of except to the competent Department, unless this Department renounces the acquisition of any such antiquity.

No antiquity may leave the country without an export licence from the said Department.

(4) Any person who maliciously or negligently destroys or damages an antiquity shall be liable to a penalty to be fixed.

(5) No clearing of ground or digging with the object of finding antiquities shall be permitted, under penalty of fine, except to persons authorized by the competent Department.

(6) Equitable terms shall be fixed for expropriation, temporary or permanent, of lands which might be of historical or archaeological interest.

(7) Authorization to excavate shall only be granted to persons who show sufficient guarantees of archaeological experience. The Administration of Palestine shall not, in granting these authorizations, act in such a way as to exclude scholars of any nation without good grounds.

(8) The proceeds of excavations may be divided between the excavator and the competent Department in a proportion fixed by that Department. If division seems impossible for scientific reasons, the excavator shall receive a fair indemnity in lieu of a part of the find.

ARTICLE 22. English, Arabic and Hebrew shall be the official languages of Palestine. Any statement or inscription in Arabic on stamps or money in Palestine shall be repeated in Hebrew and any statement or inscription in Hebrew shall be repeated in Arabic.

ARTICLE 23. The Administration of Palestine shall recognize the holy days of the respective communities in Palestine as legal days of rest for the members of such communities.

ARTICLE 24. The Mandatory shall make to the Council of the League of Nations an annual report to the satisfaction of the Council as to the measures taken during the year to carry out the provisions of the mandate. Copies of all laws and regulations promulgated or issued during the year shall be communicated with the report.

ARTICLE 25. In the territories lying between the Jordan and the eastern boundary of Palestine as ultimately determined, the Mandatory shall be entitled, with the consent of the Council of the League of Nations, to postpone or withhold application of such provisions of this mandate as he may consider

inapplicable to the existing local conditions, and to make such provision for the administration of the provisions of the mandate such dispute, if it cannot be settled by negotiation, shall be submitted to the Permanent Court of International Justice provided for by Article 14 of the Covenant of the League of Nations.

ARTICLE 26. The Mandatory agrees that, if any dispute whatever should arise between the Mandatory and another member of the League of Nations relating to the interpretation or the application of the provisions of the mandate, such dispute, if it cannot be settled by negotiation, shall be submitted to the Permanent Court of International Justice provided for by Article 14 of the Covenant of the League of Nations.

ARTICLE 27. The consent of the Council of the League of Nations is required for any modification of the terms of this mandate.

ARTICLE 28. In the event of the termination of the mandate hereby conferred upon the Mandatory, the Council of the League of Nations shall make such arrangements as may be deemed necessary for safeguarding in perpetuity, under guarantee of the League, the rights secured by Articles 13 and 14, and shall use its influence for securing, under the guarantee of the League, that the Government of Palestine will fully honour the financial obligations legitimately incurred by the Administration of Palestine during the period of the mandate, including the rights of public servants to pensions or gratuities.

The present instrument shall be deposited in original in the archives of the League of Nations and certified copies shall be forwarded by the Secretary-General of the League of Nations to all members of the League.

Done at London the twenty-fourth day of July, one thousand nine hundred and twenty-two.

[END OF QUOTING]

CHAPTER 10

REC #1 HATONN

WED, APR. 8, 1998 7:14 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 235

WED., APR. 8, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 66, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL.III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

JEWISH IDEA OF CENTRAL BANK FOR AMERICA

According to his own statements and the facts, Paul M. Warburg set out to reform the monetary system of the United States, and did so. He had the success which comes to few men, of coming an alien to the United States, connecting himself with the principal Jewish financial firm here, and immediately floating certain banking ideas which have been pushed and manipulated and variously adapted until they have eventuated in what is known as the Federal Reserve System.

When Professor Seligman wrote in the Proceedings of the Academy of Political Science that “the Federal Reserve Act will be associated in history with the name of Paul Warburg”, a Jewish banker from Germany, he wrote the truth. But whether that association will be such as to bring the measure of renown which Professor Seligman implies, the future will reveal.

What the people of the United States do not understand and never have understood is that while the Federal Reserve *Act* was governmental, the whole Federal Reserve *System is private*. It is an officially created private banking system.

Examine the first thousand persons you meet on the street, and 999 will tell you that the Federal Reserve System is a device whereby the United States Government went into the banking business for the benefit of the people. They have an idea that, like the Post Office and the Customs House, a Federal Reserve Bank is a part of the Government’s official machinery.

[H: TODAY EVERYTHING WORKS THROUGH GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS WHICH ARE ALWAYS PADDED GREATLY, AND INEFFICIENTLY RUN AT THE HIGHEST COST POSSIBLE. OUT OF THIS COMES \$600 TOILET SEATS FOR A MILITARY AIRCRAFT, \$1,200 TACK HAMMERS, ETC., AND MULTI-TRILLION \$\$\$ BUDGETS PER YEAR FOR YOU TAXPAYERS TO COUGH UP THE MONEY. THIS IN THE FACE OF CONTINUING LAYOFFS FROM THESE VERY INDUSTRIES. “BUT, THE ECONOMY IS GOOD!” YOU PROCLAIM. WHAT ECONOMY? WHERE? THE BANKS HAVE YOU IN FORECLOSURE—IF “THAT” IS THE ECONOMY OF WHICH YOU BOAST.

Mr. Cohen, your Sec. of Defense, gets up and demands more military base closures—to free up money for more technical weapons, lest you go to war with obsolete technology. Wow, and what WAR IS THAT, THAT HE SPEAKS OF? OF COURSE, WE FORGET, THE ISRAELI WAR. THEY ALREADY HAVE ALL THE NEW TECHNOLOGY AND HAVE, IN FACT, SOLD IT AND THE IDEAS TO ANY OTHER NATION WHO WILL PAY THE PRICE, AND SPECIFICALLY TO CHINA WITH YOUR NEWEST FIGHTING AIRCRAFT. “EVERYONE” NOW HAS AN ARSENAL OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS! GOOD PARENTS YOU HAVE, U.S.A. OH YES INDEED, YOU ARE NOW RECOGNIZED AS THE HOMELAND OF ISRAEL! BONAFIDE AND REGISTERED WITH THE NOACHIDE LAWS AS YOUR NEW NATIONAL RELIGION. YOU SLEPT QUITE A WHILE, AMERICA.]

It is natural to feel that this mistaken view has been encouraged by most of the men who are competent to write for the public on this question. Take up the standard encyclopedias, and while you find no misstatements of fact in them, you will find no direct statement that the Federal Reserve System is a private banking system; the impression carried away by the lay reader is that it is a part of the Government.

The Federal Reserve System is a system of private banks, the creation of a banking aristocracy within an already existing autocracy, whereby a great proportion of banking independence was lost, and whereby it was made possible for speculative financiers to centralize great sums of money for their own purposes, beneficial or not.

That this System was useful in the artificial conditions created by war—useful, that is, for a Government that cannot manage its own business and finances and, like a prodigal son, is always wanting money, and wanting it when it wants it—it has proved, either by reason of its inherent faults or by mishandling, its inadequacy to the problems of peace. It has sadly failed of its promise, and is now under serious question.

Mr. Warburg’s scheme succeeded just in time to take care of war conditions, he was placed on the Federal Reserve Board in order to manage his system in practice, and though he was full of ideas then as to how banking could be assisted, he is disappointingly silent now as to how the people can be relieved.

However, this is not a discussion of the Federal Reserve System. General condemnation of it would be stupid. But it is bound to come up for discussion one day, and the discussion will become much freer when people understand that it is a system of privately owned banks, to which have been delegated certain extraordinary privileges, and that it has created a class system within the banking world which constitutes a new order.

Mr. Warburg, it will be remembered, wanted only one central bank. But, because of political considerations, as Professor Seligman tells us, twelve were decided upon. An examination of Mr. Warburg’s printed discussions of the subject shows that he at one time considered four, then eight. Eventually, twelve were established. The reason was that one central bank, which naturally would be set up in New York, would give a suspicious country the impression that it was only a new scheme to keep the nation’s money flowing to New York. As shown by Professor Seligman, quoted in the last number, Mr. Warburg was not averse to granting anything that would allay popular suspicion without vitiating the real plan.

So, while admitting to the Senators who examined him as to his fitness for membership on the Federal

Reserve Board—the Board which fixed the policies of the banks of the Federal Reserve System and told them what to do—that he did not like the 12 district banks idea, he said that his objections to it could “be overcome in an administrative way”. That is, the 12 banks could be so handled that the effect would be the same as if there were only one central bank, presumably at New York.

And that is about the way it has resulted, and that will be found to be one of the reasons for the present situation of the country.

There is no lack of money in New York today. Motion picture ventures are being financed into the millions. A big grain-selling pool, nursed into existence and counseled by Bernard M. Baruch, has no hesitancy whatever in planning for a \$199,000,000 corporation. Loew, the Jewish theatrical man, had no difficulty in opening 20 new theaters this year.

But go into the agricultural states, where the real wealth of the country is in the ground and in the granaries, and you cannot find money for the farmer.

It is a situation which none can deny and which few can explain, because the explanation is not to be found along natural lines. Natural conditions are always easiest to explain. Unnatural conditions wear an air of mystery. Here is the United States, the richest country in the world, containing at the present hour the greatest bulk of wealth to be found anywhere on earth—real, ready, available, usable wealth; and yet it is tied up tight, and cannot move in its legitimate channels because of manipulation which is going on as regards money.

Money is the last mystery for the popular mind to penetrate, and when it succeeds in getting “on the inside” it will discover that the mystery is not in money at all, but in its manipulation, the things which are done “in an administrative way”.

The United States has never had a President who gave evidence of understanding this matter at all. Our Presidents have always had to take their views from financiers. Money is the most public quantity in the country; it is the most federalized and governmentalized thing in the country; and yet, in the present situation, the United States Government has hardly anything to do with it, except to use various means to get it, just as the people have to get it, from those who control it.

The Money Question, properly solved, is the end of the Jewish Question and every other question of mundane nature.

Mr. Warburg is of the opinion that different rates of interest ought to obtain in different parts of the country. That they have always obtained in different parts of the same state we have always known, but the reason for it has not been discovered. The city grocer can get money from his bank at a lower rate than the farmer in the next country can get it from his bank. Why the agricultural rate of interest has been higher than any other (when money is obtainable; it is not obtainable now) is a question to which no literary nor oratorical financier has ever publicly addressed himself. It is like the fact of the private business nature of the Federal Reserve System—very important, but no authority thinks it worth while to state. The agricultural rate of interest is of great importance, but to discuss it would involve first an admission, and that apparently is not desirable.

In comparing the present Federal Reserve law with the proposed Aldrich Bill, Mr. Warburg said:

Mr. Warburg: "...I think that this present law has the advantage of dealing with the entire country and giving them different rates of discount, whereas, as Senator Aldrich's bill was drawn, it would have been very difficult to do that, as it provided for one uniform rate for the whole country, which I thought was rather a mistake."

Senator Bristow: "That is, you can charge a higher rate of interest in one section of the country under the present law, than you charge in another section, while under the Aldrich plan it would have been a uniform rate."

Mr. Warburg: "That is correct."

That is a point worth clearing up. If Mr. Warburg, having educated the bankers, will now turn his attention to the people, and make it clear why one class in the country can get money for business that is not productive of real wealth, while another class engaged in the production of real wealth is treated as outside the interest of banking altogether; if he can make it clear also why money is sold to one class or one section of the country at one price, while to another class and in another section it is sold at a different price, he will be adding to the people's grasp of these matters.

This suggestion is seriously intended. Mr. Warburg has the style, the pedagogical patience, the grasp of the subject which would make him an admirable public teacher of these matters.

What he has already done was planned from the point of view of the interest of the professional financier. It is readily granted that Mr. Warburg desired to organize American finances into a more pliable system. Doubtless in some respects he has wrought important improvements. But he had always the banking house in mind, and he dealt with paper. Now, if taking up a position outside those special interests, he would address himself to the wider interests of the people—not assuming that those interests always run through a banking house—he would do still more than he has yet done to justify his feeling that he really had a mission in coming to this country.

Mr. Warburg is not at all shocked by the idea that the Federal Reserve System is really a new kind of private banking control, because in his European experience he saw that all the central banks were private affairs.

In his essay on "American and European Banking Methods and Bank Legislation Compared", Mr. Warburg says: (the italics are added)

"It may also be interesting to note that, *contrary to a widespread idea, the central banks of Europe are, as a rule, not owned by the governments.* As a matter of fact, neither the English, French, nor German Government owns any stock in the central bank of its country. *The Bank of England is run entirely as a private corporation,* the stockholders electing the board of directors, who rotate in holding the presidency. In France the government appoints the governor and some of the directors. In Germany the government appoints the president and a supervisory board of five members, while the stockholders elect the board of directors."

And again, in his discussion of the Owen-Glass Bill, Mr. Warburg says:

“The Monetary Commission’s plan proceeded on the theory of the Bank of England, which leaves the management entirely in the hands of business men without giving the government any part in the management or control. The strong argument in favor of this theory is that central banking, like any other banking, is based on ‘sound credit’; that the judging of credits is a matter of business which should be left in the hands of business men, *and that the government should be kept out of business.* The Owen-Glass Bill proceeds, in this respect, more on the lines of the Banque de France and the German Reichsbank, the presidents and boards of which to a certain extent are appointed by the government. **[H: Watch the language: “to a certain extent” and know, the appointments are ALWAYS Jews.]** *These central banks, while legally private corporations, are semi-governmental organs inasmuch as they are permitted to issue the notes of the nation* **[H: Indeed, even Federal Reserve Notes which is your actual ONLY currency.]**—particularly where there are elastic note issues, as in almost all countries except England—and inasmuch as *they are the custodians of practically the entire metallic reserves of the country and the keepers of the government funds.* Moreover, in questions of national policy *the government must rely on the willing and loyal co-operation of these central organs.*”

That is a very illuminating passage. It will be well worth the reader’s time, especially the reader who has always been puzzled by financial matters, to turn over in his mind the facts here given by a great Jewish financial expert about the central bank idea. Observe the phrases:

(a) “without giving the government any part in the management or control.”

(b) “these central banks, while legally private corporations ... are permitted to issue the notes of the nation.”

(c) “they are custodians of practically the entire metallic reserves of the nation and the keepers of the government funds.”

(d) “in questions of national policy, the government must rely on the willing and loyal co-operation of these central organs.”

[H: GO BACK: read AGAIN! This is also HOW Bush and Bunch got the whole of the unlimited Super-Fund using contract 3392 (Peru) run through the system without anyone knowing. It is also, since the amounts were valued by the Federal Reserve Bank, why you KNOW that the Federal Reserve is the responsible payout party, for when they took over the banks and government money, etc., they also, whether or not they like it, took over all debts in conjunction with the Treasury of the U.S. obtained prior to the Federal Reserve Act. Whether or not the “Treasury” Department accepts or denies such claims—they are legally binding and the ones to which we refer ARE BACKED BY GOLD which, as you see, the Federal Reserve ALSO CONTROLS! Now with the Federal Reserve being actually a branch of the BIG CENTRAL BANK IN THE SKY, the IMF and World Bank have to make up what the Fed. Res. can’t pay. And, yes you could, if you so chose to do so, bring the whole of the lot to a screeching halt. It is not as simple as saying it doesn’t exist or it doesn’t work or we “can’t”. These documents have been USED

and USED A LOT—so they are valid enough. The government just doesn't want to work with the parties involved and doesn't have to. That doesn't make them invalid but better proves their intrinsic invaluability.

In fact, if you-the-people claimed your legal portion as offered to you BY RUSSELL HERMAN upon his death—you could salvage this nice country of yours. But, do NOT come to us asking how to do it—V.K. Durham has written until she turned blue over it and we have offered the same information as PUBLIC NOTICES.]

It is not now a question whether these things are right or wrong; it is merely a question of understanding that they constitute the fact.

It is specially notable that in paragraph (d) it is a fair deduction that in questions of national policy, the government will simply have to depend not only on the patriotism but also to an extent on the permission and counsel of the financial organizations. That is a fair interpretation. Questions of national policy are, by this method, rendered dependent upon the financial corporations. **[H: Most of which you will find were NEVER formally incorporated at all. A great argument you will hear about payoff of anything on these notes, which are now “contracts” legally entered and certified, is that “Congress hasn't approved payment of interest.” BS, they approved the interest rates WHEN THEY ACCEPTED THE NOTES AS DEBT—HOOK, LINE AND SINKER. THIS OLD BS IS JUST MORE OF THE SAME. CONGRESS APPROVED THE DEBT—PERIOD—AND NOW IT FALLS TO THE TREASURY, FEDERAL RESERVE, IMF, AND WORLD CENTRAL BANK—ALL NOW BELONGING TO THE JEWISH CARTEL OF CONTROLLERS.]**

Let that point be clear, quite regardless of the question whether or not this is the way national policies should be determined.

Mr. Warburg said that he believed in a certain amount of government control—but not too much. He said: “In strengthening the government control, the Owen-Glass Bill therefore moved in the right direction; but it went too far and fell into the other and even more dangerous extreme.”

The “more dangerous extreme” was, of course, the larger measure of government supervision provided for, and the establishment of a number of Federal Reserve Banks out in the country.

Mr. Warburg thinks he understands the psychology of America. In this respect he reminds one of the reports of Mr. von Bernstorff and Captain Boy-Ed of what the Americans were likely to do or not to do. In the *Political Science Quarterly* of December, 1920, Mr. Warburg tells how, on a then recent visit to Europe, he was asked by men of all countries what the United States was going to do. He assured them that America was a little tired just then, but that she would come round all right. And then, harking back to his efforts of placing his monetary system on the Americans, he said:

“I asked them to be patient with us until after the election, and *I cited to them our experiences with monetary reform*. I reminded them how the Aldrich plan had failed because, at that time, a Republican President had lost control of a Congress ruled by a Democratic majority; how the Democrats in their platform damned this plan and any central banking system; and how, *once in full power, the National*

Reserve Association was evolved, not to say camouflaged, by them into the Federal Reserve System.”

[H: Clever? You bet! Do I recall that when it was time to PASS this bill, it was passed in a small room with only a mere handful of Jewish Congressmen in attendance—ON CHRISTMAS EVE—IN THE NIGHT—WHILE CONGRESS WAS IN RECESS!?!]

Remembering this play before the public, and the play behind the scenes, this “camouflaging”, as Mr. Warburg says, of one thing into another, he undertook to assure his friends in Europe that regardless of what the political platforms said, the United States would do substantially what Europe hoped it would. Mr. Warburg’s basis for that belief was, as he said, his experience with the way the central bank idea went through in spite of the advertised objection of all parties. He believes that with Americans it is possible to get what you want if you just play the game skillfully. His experience with monetary reform seems to have fathered that belief in him.

Politicians may be necessary pawns to play in the game, but as members of the government Mr. Warburg does not want them in banking. They are not bankers, he says; they don’t understand; the banking is nothing for a government man to meddle with. He may be good enough for the Government of the United States; he is not good enough for banking.

“In our country,” says Mr. Warburg, referring to the United States, “with every untrained amateur a candidate for any office, *where friendship or help in a presidential campaign, financial or political, has always given a claim for political preferment*, where the bids for votes and public favor are ever present in the politician’s mind... There can be no doubt but that, as drawn at present (1913), with two cabinet officers members of the Federal Reserve Board, and with the vast power vested in the latter, the Owens-Glass Bill would bring about direct government management.” **[H: Well, what the heck do you have a government to do?]**

And that, of course, in Mr. Warburg’s mind, is not only “dangerous”, but “fatal”.

Mr. Warburg had almost his whole will in the matter. And what is the result?

Turn to the testimony of Bernard M. Baruch, when he was examined with reference to the charge that certain men close to President Wilson had profited to the extent of \$60,000,000 on stock market operations which they entered into on the strength of advance information of what the President was to say in **his next war note—the famous “leak” investigation**, as it was called; one of the several investigations in which Mr. Baruch was closely questioned.

In that investigation Mr. Baruch was laboring to show that he had not been in telephone communication with Washington, especially with certain men who were supposed to have shared the profits of the deals. The time was December 1916. Mr. Warburg was then safely settled **on the Federal Reserve Board**, which he had **kept quite safe** from Government intrusion.

The Chairman: “Of course the records of the telephone company here, the slips, will show the persons with whom you talked.”

Mr. Baruch: “Do you wish me to say, sir? I will state who they are.”

The Chairman: “Yes, I think you might.”

Mr. Baruch: “I called up two persons; one, Mr. Warburg, whom I did not get, and one, Secretary McAdoo, whom I did get—both in reference to the same matter. Would you like to know the matter?”

The Chairman: “Yes, I think it is fair that you should state it.”

Mr. Baruch: “I called up the Secretary, because someone suggested to me—*asked me to suggest an officer for the Federal Reserve Bank*, and I called him up in reference to that, and discussed the matter with him, I think, *two or three times*, but it was suggested to me that I make the suggestion, and I did so.” (pp. 570-575)

Mr. Campbell: “Mr. Baruch, who asked you for a suggestion for an appointee for the Federal Reserve Bank here?”

Mr. Baruch: “Mr. E.M. House.”

Mr. Campbell: “Did Mr. House tell you to call Mr. McAdoo up and make the recommendation?”

Mr. Baruch: “I will tell you exactly how it occurred: *Mr. House called me up* and said that there was a vacancy on the Federal Reserve Board, and he said, ‘I don’t know anything about those fellows down there, and I would like you to make a suggestion.’ *And I suggested the name*, which he thought was a very good one, and he said to me, ‘I wish you would call up the Secretary and tell him.’ I said, ‘I do not see the necessity; I will tell you.’ ‘No,’ he said, ‘I would prefer you to call him up.’” (p. 575)

There we have an example of the Federal Reserve “kept out of politics”, kept away from government management which would not only be “dangerous”, but “fatal”.

[H: Please note the the Federal Reserve is NEVER AUDITED AND NEVER HAS TO ANSWER TO ANYONE. IF YOU THINK THAT GREENSPAN’S LITTLE CHATS TO SUB-COMMITTEES OR CONGRESS IS A CHECK-POINT, THEN YOU HAD BETTER LISTEN MORE CLOSELY. IF ANYTHING, GREENSPAN COMES IN, TELLS WHAT HE IS GOING TO DO—AND THEN MAY OR MAY NOT DO IT—BUT HE ANSWERS TO NO ONE IN THE GOVERNMENT ELECTED BY YOU-THE-PEOPLE. NOT BEING PROPERLY INCORPORATED, THE WHOLE BUNCH HAS TO ANSWER TO NO ONE, ANY WHERE. THEN THEY GO FORTH AND MANIPULATE THE TINY LITTLE REPRESENTATIVE “MARKET” AND YOU GO APE. Perhaps you deserve whatever YOU GET—but the whole of the world does not deserve what you have brought to bear upon it!]

Barney Baruch, the New York stock plunger, who never owned a bank in his life, was called up by Colonel E.M. House, the arch-politician of the Wilson Administration, and thus the great Federal Reserve Board was supplied another member.

A telephone call kept within a narrow **Jewish circle and settled by a word from one Jewish stock dealer—that, in practical operation, was Mr. Warburg’s great monetary reform.** Mr. Baruch calling up Mr. Warburg to give the name of the next appointee of the Federal Reserve Board, and calling up Mr. McAdoo, secretary of the United States TREASURY, and set in motion to do it by Colonel E.M. House—is it **any wonder the Jewish mystery in the American war government grows more and more amazing?**

But, as Mr. Warburg has written—”Friendship or help in a *presidential campaign*, financial or political, has always given a claim to political preferment.” And, as Mr. Warburg urges, this is a country “with every untrained amateur a candidate for office”, and naturally, with such men comprising the government, they must be kept at a safe distance from monetary affairs.

As if to illustrate the ignorance thus charged, along comes Mr. Baruch, who quotes Colonel House as saying, “I don’t know anything about those fellows down there and I would like you to make a suggestion.” It is permissible to doubt that Mr. Baruch correctly quotes Colonel House. It is permissible to doubt that all that Colonel House confessed was his ignorance about “those fellows”. There was a good understanding between these two men, too good an understanding for the alleged telephone conversation to be taken strictly at its face value. It is possibly quite true that Mr. House is not a financier. Certainly, Mr. Wilson was not. In the long roll of Presidents only a handful have been, and those who have been have been regarded as most drastic in their proposals.

But this whole matter of ignorance, as charged by Mr. Warburg, sounds like an echo of the *Protocols*:

“The administrators chosen by us from the masses will not be persons trained for government, and consequently they will easily become pawns in our game, played by *our learned and talented counsellors, specialists educated from early childhood to administer world affairs.*”

In the Twentieth Protocol, wherein the great financial plan of world subversion and control is disclosed, there is another mention of the rulers’ ignorance of financial problems.

It is a coincidence that, while he does not use the term “ignorance”, Mr. Warburg is quite outspoken concerning the benighted state in which he found this country, and he is also outspoken about the “untrained amateurs” who are candidates for every office. These, he says, are not fitted to take part in the control of monetary affairs. But Mr. Warburg is. He says so. He admits that it was his ambition from the moment he came here an alien Jewish-German banker, to change our financial affairs more to his liking. More than that, he has succeeded; he has succeeded, he himself says, more than most men do in a lifetime; he has succeeded, Professor Seligman said, to such an extent that throughout history the name of Paul M. Warburg and that of the Federal Reserve System shall be united.

Issue of July 2, 1921

[END OF QUOTING]

Readers, I am sorry. You HAD all this information soon enough to do something about this dastardly business. You then had a chance several years ago to fix it via Russell Herman. You ignored both. Where

do you go from here? Perhaps you should get the bad news from Alan Greenspan and Mr. Wolfenson of the big World Bank in that sky far away.

Do you REALLY expect the very same Jewish attorneys who have taken over your Congress and Judicial Systems to fix it now? Oh, I don't think so! They just set up Billy-boy to have more zipper problems than he can handle and move right past him.

Can you vote in somebody else who can do something? NO, the elections are more FIXED than are the Banks. And no, the bubble doesn't have to burst "for them"—they run the presses for the currency AND DETERMINE WHO LIVES AND WHO DIES.

So, what do we do? Well, no one even comes forward to help US GET BACK THE GOLD GREEN STOLE. We can't fight all the battles against the myriads of rats they find on the gold barges to save them and make crime pay. So what else do we get in the face of that law? Well, Mr. Abbott tells the *Associated Press* that Doris Ekker claims to be Jesus Christ; it hits the international news—and wow, the judge tosses out the whole case—whatever Doris Ekker has to do WITH YOUR GOLD. Do we plan to meet in La-La Land or the institution for the insane?

One of your respected Gurus once said to Green that these writings are "crazy rantings from an insane mind". ARE THEY? LOOK AGAIN.

Good morning.

CHAPTER 11

REC #1 HATONN

THU, APR. 9, 1998 6:54 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 236

THU., APR. 9, 1998

[QUOTING:] PART 67, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL.III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

HOW JEWISH INTERNATIONAL FINANCE FUNCTIONS

“Such has been the development of international bankers that they can no longer be regarded in their professional capacity as the nationals of any country, entitled to do business under their own government’s supervision exclusively. They are really world citizens, with world-wide interests, and as such ought to be made amenable to some form of supranational control.”

George Pattullo,
in *Saturday Evening Post*

Not only did the Jewish financial firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company use far-sighted prudence in splitting its political support—one Warburg supporting Wilson, another Warburg supporting Taft and an unnamed member of the firm supporting Roosevelt, all at one time, as Paul M. Warburg testified—but it split its activities in several other ways also.

The international interests of the Jews comprising this firm are worthy of note. The influence which forced the United States to repudiate a commercial treaty with Russia while Russia was a friendly country (1911), and thus to compel all business between the United States and Russia to pass through German-Jewish hands, was generated by Jacob H. Schiff. Russia seems to have been the country on which he chose to focus his activities. The full story is told in *The Dearborn Independent* of January 15, 1921, under the title, “Taft Once Tried to Resist the Jews—and Failed”, and is reprinted in Volume II of the booklets containing this series.

Mr. Schiff’s activity consisted in forcing the Congress of the United States to do a thing that was repugnant to the reason and conscience of President Taft, and which he personally refused to do or to recommend. Mr. Schiff left the White House in great anger with the threat, “This means war.” It did not mean as much war as it might have, for President Taft acquiesced gracefully in the Jewish victory and has since been extremely laudatory of them on the public platform.

Mr. Schiff’s firm also helped finance the Japanese war against Russia, and in return desired Japan as a Jewish ally. The wily Japanese, however, saw the game and kept their relations with Mr. Schiff to purely

business matters. Which fact is well worth bearing in mind when reading the widespread propaganda for war with Japan. If you will give particular attention, you will observe that the same interests which are just now engaged in most loudly “defending” the Jew, are most active in spreading anti-Japanese sentiments in this country.

The Japanese war with Russia, however, enabled Mr. Schiff to advance his plan to undermine the Russian Empire, as it has now been accomplished by Jewish Bolshevism. With funds provided by him, the basic principles of what is now known as Bolshevism were sown among the Russian prisoners of war in Japan, who were sent back as apostles of destruction. **Then followed the horrible murder of Nicholas Romanoff, Czar of Russia, with his wife, his crippled son, and his young daughters, the full tale of which has now been told by the Jew who managed the crime. [H: They don’t even tell the truth when they tell the truth! Certainly the Bolshevik (Jew) intent was to kill them and *somebody(s)* got killed.]**

For the part he played in destroying Russia, Mr. Schiff was wildly hailed in New York the night the news came that the Emperor had abdicated.

Meanwhile, the Jew who was “to take the Czar’s job” (as the common New York ghetto phrase ran, weeks before the event) had left New York to be in waiting.

This Jew was passed out of the United States at the request of a very high American personage whose subservience to the Jews was one of the marvels of the past seven years. Halted by the British, this Jew was released from their toils at the request of a very high American personage. And thus, the Jewish Bolshevik Revolution in Russia, the program of which was made in America, was set in operation without a hitch.

This whole firm is German Jewish, its members having originated in Germany. It had German connections. How far it maintained those connections through all subsequent events is a separate question.

Mr. Otto Kahn’s allotted portion of the world seems to be Great Britain and France. Mr. Kahn is of German origin, like the rest of the firm, but he has not publicly shown such concern for Germany as have the other members. Mr. Schiff was once very active for the settlement of a peace on the basis of a victorious Germany. Mr. Paul M. Warburg also had interests, discussion of which is postponed for the present. But Mr. Kahn succeeded, through the connivance of American authority and the excessive repression of the newspapers, in conveying the impression that by some species of occult separatism he was not “German-minded”.

Therefore Mr. Kahn flits lightly everywhere—except Germany. He is sufficiently French to be able to tell in the first column on the first page of *Le Matin* on what terms America will do business with Europe, and he speaks as one having authority. He is sufficiently British to have thought of standing for the British Parliament, when an unfortunate event made it necessary for him to remain in the United States. Mr. Kahn sometimes flits farther East into the more Jewish portions of Europe, and his comings and goings are marked by certain changes with which his name remains most ostentatiously disconnected.

Mr. Kahn has very recently been telling France on what terms the United States will help her. There

apparently being no other spokesman, Mr. Kahn's word is accepted as authority. France is one of the most Judaized countries in the world, the haunt of International Jewish financiers who exercise their power (thus saving France the trouble of passing laws) to keep the emigrant Jew out of France; so that France presents the spectacle of being Judaized by Jewish finance and not by immigrant Semitic hordes, and is thus a fit platform from which Mr. Otto Herman Kahn may utter his pronouncements.

In his last declaration to France, Mr. Kahn prepares her to expect little by stating that "America is a country of immense resources; but the actual money which the people have at their disposal is comparatively limited." True enough. It was a member of Mr. Kahn's firm who invented a monetary system which was promised to keep *money* in more equal relation to *wealth*.

But as he goes on telling what America will and will not do (the American people knowing nothing about it meanwhile) Mr. Kahn discovers with great enthusiasm a place where he thinks American capital can be placed, namely, "*In the development of the vast and immensely rich colonial empire of France.*"

And pray where is that? Any Frenchman would tell you, "In Syria." Syria—ah!—that part of the East where the natives are loudly complaining that the Jews are driving them out contrary to every written and moral law. The Jewish powers have already succeeded in getting French troops over there; bad blood has been caused between France and Great Britain; the Jews on both sides are playing for the middle; and here is Mr. Otto Kahn himself pledging American capital to the development of the French colonial empire! Talk to any Syrian who knows his country's present status, and he will interpret Mr. Kahn's words very vividly.

One of the nicest bits of work Mr. Kahn has done is to denounce "pro-German propaganda" which he says has exasperated Americans in favor of France. Next to committing the United States to an undying admiration for Briand, this is really his finest bit. Especially, with Partner Paul playing the German sympathy string! It is a great international orchestra, this Jewish financial firm; it can play The Star Spangled Banner, Die Wacht am Rhein, the Marseillaise, and God Save the King in one harmonious rendering, paying obsequious attention to the prejudices of each.

Next come the Warburgs. Their interest is, of course, in Germany. Paul stated in his testimony given at the beginning of the World War that he had interests in Hamburg and would dispose of them. The war came on. The Jewish government in the United States was augmented. Mr. Warburg was no mean figure, as previous articles have shown.

The Warburgs are three in number. Felix M. is the other one in America. He appears but slightly in public affairs although he is a member of the American Jewish Committee and of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company. His retiring habit, however, does not argue lack of consequence. He was of sufficient consequence, Jewishly, to have bestowed upon him a sort of honorary rabbinical degree of "Haber" which entitles him to be known as "Haber Rabbi Baruch Ben Moshe". He is the only Jew in America upon whom the title has ever been conferred.

Max Warburg represents the family in its native land. Max Warburg had as much to do with the German war government as his family and financial colleagues in America had to do with the United States war government. As has been recounted in the press the world over, the brother from America and the brother from Germany both met at Paris as government representatives in determining the peace. There

were so many Jews in the German delegation that it was known by the term “kosher”, also as “the Warburg delegation”, and there were so many Jews in the American delegation that the delegates from the minor countries of Europe looked upon the United States **as a Jewish country which through unheard-of generosity had elected a non-Jew as its President.** [H: No, **THAT** little trick was to fool all of you-the-citizens of the U.S. all the time.]

Max Warburg is an interesting character also as regards the establishment of Bolshevism in Russia. The Jews had several objectives in the war, and one of them was “get Russia”. To this end the German Jews worked very assiduously. Because Russia was a member of the Allies, the work of German Jews was made the easier. But the fact that Russia was an ally made no difference with the Jews who were resident in Allied countries. Win or lose, Russia must be destroyed. It is the testimony of history that it was not so much the German military prowess as the Jewish intrigue that accomplished the downfall of that empire.

In this work Max Warburg was a factor. His bank is noted in a dispatch published by the United States Government as being one whence funds were forwarded to Trotsky for use in destroying Russia. Always against Russia, not for German reasons, but for Jewish reasons, which in this particular instance coincided. Warburg and Trotzky—against Russia!

Poor John Spargo, who ought to know better, denies all this—while every American who comes back from Russia, even those who went over there pro-Bolshevik, yes, and returned Jews themselves, proclaim it.

The crushing fact is that Bolshevism is not only Jewish in Russia, and in America, but it is Jewish in the higher regions of Jewry where better things ought to exist. Take Walter Rathenau, a German Jew on the plane of the Warburgs. Rathenau was the inventor of the Bolshevik system of centralization of industry, material and money. The Soviet Government asked Rathenau directly for the plans, and received them directly from him. Max Warburg’s bank held the money; Walter Rathenau’s mind held the plans—which makes it a pertinent question: If Bolshevism can be so Jewish outside of Russia, what hinders it being Jewish inside Russia?

It is a most significant fact that, as in Washington, the most constant and privileged visitors to the White House were Jews, so in Berlin the only private telephone wire to the Kaiser was owned by Walter Rathenau. Not even the Crown Prince could reach the Kaiser except through the ordinary telephone connections. It was the same in London. It was the same in Paris. It was the same in Petrograd—in Russia which so “persecuted” the race that controlled it then and controls it now.

Now, this sketchy outline of the internationalism of the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company is not offered as the result of keen research, for the facts are found on the very surface of the matter, for anyone to see. What is revealed by research is this: whether Mr. Schiff’s interest in Russia had underground features which affected the welfare of the nations; whether Mr. Kahn’s flitting missions here and there, which he made with great freedom during the war, were wholly taken up with the business announced in the public notices; and whether Mr. Warburg, whose interest in Germany has not abated, to judge from his recent utterances, was able to retain complete neutrality of mind during the war. These are questions of value. Obviously, they are not easy to answer. But they can be answered.

It was a family enterprise, this international campaign. Jacob Schiff swore to destroy Russia. Paul M. Warburg was his brother-in-law; Felix Warburg was his son-in-law. Max Warburg, of Hamburg, banker of the Bolsheviks, was thus brother-in-law to Jacob Schiff's wife and daughter.

Speaking of the far-sighted manner in which the house of Kuhn, Loeb & Company disposes itself over world affairs, there is also the curious fact that in this Jewish firm is one who goes to a Christian church—a most heinous thing for a Jew to do. Split three ways in American politics and as many ways as international matters require, we find this firm split two ways with regard to religion. Mr. Kahn professes—at least he attends—a Christian church and is accounted an adherent of it. Yet he is not ostracized. His name is not taboo. The Jews do not curse him. He is not denounced as a renegade. The Jews have not buried him out of mind, as they do others who desert the faith.

This presents a strange situation when it is considered. Not to recount again the horror and reprehension and active antagonism with which Jews view such a desertion, suffice it to say that there is no greater marvel than that of Jacob H. Schiff retaining in the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company a “renegade” Jew. He could not have done it; every fiber of his intensely Jewish nature would have rebelled against it. Yet there it is!

Without going further into this ingenious system of covering all vital points from one center, enough has been said to show one busy Jewish financial firm with which political matters, national and international, is almost a profession. The family of Warburg high in the controlling group of two countries, and enemy countries at that. The family of Warburg high in the negotiations of world peace and the discussions of a League of Nations. The family of Warburg now advising the world, from both sides of the Earth, what to do next. It was probably with more reason than the general public surmised that a New York paper printed during the Peace Conference an article headed, “Watch the Warburgs”!

The fact seems to be that, as Mr. Pattullo is quoted as saying at the head of this article, the international financiers have been so engrossed in world money that the sense of national responsibility sometimes becomes blurred in their minds. They desire everything—war, negotiations and peace—**to be conducted in such a way as to react favorably on the money market. For that is their market; money is what they buy and sell. And because money has no fixed price, it is a market which offers the widest opportunity for the trickster and swindler. One cannot play such tricks with stone or corn or metals, but with money as the commodity everything is possible.**

Mr. Warburg is already very much interested about the treatment to be accorded foreign securities in the next war. Readers of the daily newspapers may recall that recently a demand was made for the gold in the Reichsbank, which was resisted on the ground that the Reichsbank, although the central bank of Germany, *was really a private concern*—just as Paul Warburg said it was and just as he had insisted that our own Federal Reserve System should be, and which it is. There is a far-sighted wisdom in that, with a view to possible defeat in war.

Mr. Warburg is apparently quite disapproving of the treatment accorded alien enemy property “by some countries”. He quotes a French banker throughout—nationality not stated—and drives home his point. The French banker used as an illustration a possible war between England and France (this was only last year) and said that the bankers in each country would proceed to withdraw their mutual balances

and securities, for fear of confiscation, and that such a course would precipitate a panic.

To which Mr. Warburg adds: "I think that our bankers ought carefully to study this very serious question. We have nothing to gain and much to lose by joining in a policy of dis-regarding the rights of private property. We shall probably, in the course of time, become the largest owners of foreign securities and properties, which would become endangered in case we were drawn into war. To me, however, it is of greater interest that nothing be done that might stand in the way of making the United States the gold reserve country of the world..."

Such talk passes with too little scrutiny. It bears a strong reflection of recent events which should not be overlooked. Moreover, it presents a grandiose vision which is supposed to command instant agreement because of its appeal to superficial national pride and selfish ambition.

If what Mr. Warburg says is an intimation that the International Jews are planning to move their money market to the United States, it is safe to say that the United States does not want it. We have the warning of history as to what this would mean. It has meant that in turn Spain, Venice, Great Britain or Germany received the blame and suspicion of the world for what the Jewish financiers have done. It is a most important consideration that most of the national animosities that exist today arose out of resentment against what the Jewish money power did under the camouflage of national names. "The British did this," "the Germans did this," when it was the **International Jew who did it**, the nations being but the marked spaces on his checker board.

Today, around the world the blaming word is heard, "The United States did this. If it were not for the United States the world would be in better shape. The Americans are a sordid, greedy, cruel people." Why? Because the Jewish money power is largely centered here and is making money out of both our immunity and Europe's distress, playing one against the other; and because so many of the so-called "American business men" abroad today are not Americans at all—they are Jews, and in many cases as misrepresentative of their own race as they are of the Americans.

The United States does not want the transfer of All-Judaan to this soil. We do not desire to stand as a gold god above the nations. We would serve the nations, and we would protect them, but we would do both on the basis of real values, not in the name or under the sign of gold.

On the one hand Mr. Warburg recites pitiful facts about Germany in order to raise sympathy for her, and on the other hand he stimulates the gold lust of the United States. The plight of Germany is entirely due to the forces from which the United States has only narrowly escaped; and to harken to the international Jewish plans for the rehabilitation of Germany is to be in danger of approving plans which will fasten Jewish domination more strongly on that unhappy country than it is now. Germany has paid dearly for her Jews. The Warburg voice that speaks for her would seem indeed to be the voice of Jacob, but the hand that proposes financial dealings is that of Esau.

The internationalism of the Warburgs is no longer in doubt, and cannot be denied. Felix Warburg hung on to the Hamburg connection longer than did Paul, but the breakage of either was probably perfunctory. At the same time that Felix left the Hamburg firm of his brother, Max, a Mr. Stern also left the Frankfurt firm of Stern, and both became very active on the Allies side, taking sides against the German nation as

lustily as anyone could. “Impossible!” say those who fancy that a German Jew is a German. Not at all impossible; the Jew’s loyalty is to the Jewish nation; what the Jew himself refers to as his “cover nationality” may count or not as he himself elects.

This statement is always met with frothing wrath by the Jews’ “gentile fronts” in the purchased pro-Jewish press. But here is an example: Do you remember “The Beast of Berlin”, that lurid piece of war propaganda? You did not, perhaps, know that its producer was a German Jew, Carl Laemmle. His German birth did not prevent him making money out of his film, and his film does not prevent him annually going back in state to his birthplace. This year he goes accompanied by Abe Stern, his treasurer; Lee Kohlmar, his director; and Harry Reichenbach—a list of names duplicable in any movie group.

Messrs. Stern and Warburg, of Frankfort and Hamburg, respectively, and away from home perhaps only temporarily, were not concerned about the fate of the “Huns”, but they were immensely concerned about the fate of Jewish money power in Germany.

To indicate how blind the public has been to the inter-allied Jewish character of much of the world’s important international financial activity, note this from the *Living Age* earlier in the year:

“According to the *Svensk Handelstiding*, the recent American loan of \$5,000,000 to Norway was really the outcome of an agreement between the Hamburg firm of Warburg & Company and the New York bankers, Kuhn and Loeb. It is regarded as a significant sign of the times that a German firm should be responsible for an American loan to a neutral country. The conditions subject to which this money was borrowed, are not regarded as very favorable to Norway, and no marked effect on the rate of exchange between the two countries has followed.”

Note, in the light of all the statements made about Kuhn, Loeb & Company, and the Warburgs in particular, the assumption in the above quotation that the transaction was really between a German and an American firm. It was principally an arrangement between the Warburgs themselves in family counsel. But the loan will pass in Norway as “*an American loan*”, and the fact that the terms of the loan “*are not regarded as very favorable to Norway*” will react upon Scandinavian opinion of this country. It goes without saying that “*no market effect on the rate of exchange between the two countries has followed*”, for that would not be the object of such a loan. The dislocation of exchange is not unprofitable.

It would be most interesting to know in how far Kuhn, Loeb & Company has endeavored to readjust the rate of exchange.

During the war, Kuhn, Loeb & Company made a loan to the city of Paris. Considerable German comment was occasioned by this—naturally. And it is very well worthy of record that in the city of Hamburg, where Max Warburg does business, the chief of police issued this order:

“Further mention in the press of loans made by the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Company to the city of Paris, and unfavorable comments thereon, are forbidden.”

The following story is vouched for as reliable, and if in one or two minor details it does not represent

the exact fact, it is a trustworthy illustration of how certain things were done.

“A Jewish international banking corporation bought up the mining and other similar concessions of Jugo-Slavia, and consequently the policy pushed at the Peace Conference was that which was most convenient for that group. An understanding on the Fiume question was in progress between Wilson and Nitti. Certain concessions had been agreed upon and Wilson was willing to negotiate, when Oscar Straus and one of the Warburgs appeared on the scene. Wilson changed his attitude over night and afterward insisted on the Jugo-Slavia solution of the problem. The way in which concessions had been bought through that territory was a disgrace, and observers expected that it would play an important part at the Peace Conference.”

The financiers are not the only International Jews in the world. The revolutionary Jews, of all countries and none, are international also. They have seized upon the idea of Christian internationalism, which means amity between nations, and have used it as a weapon with which to weaken nationality. They know as well as anyone that there can be no internationalism except on the basis of strong nationalism, but they count on “cover words” to advance their plan.

Enough transpired between the lower and higher Jewish groups of every large center during the war to render it imperative that Jewry confess, repent and repudiate the madness that has ruled it, or else boldly assert and espouse it before the world.

Certainly enough has transpired to render it desirable that the American people look again into the purposes of those Jews who were instrumental in reorganizing our financial system at a most critical time in the world’s history.

Max Warburg was apparently strong enough to suppress German discussion of his brother’s activity in America. The Warburgs at present resident in America must suffer it, therefore, that American comment be made as full as need be.

Issue of July 9, 1921.

[END OF QUOTING]

Also from Jack Bernstein, *THE LIFE OF AN AMERICAN JEW IN RACIST MARXIST ISRAEL*, 1984, Noontide Press, ISBN: 0-939482-01-0:

Continuing directly from the prior writing from this booklet:

[QUOTING, pages 16-21:]

ISRAEL STIRS PERPETUAL WAR

In the Holy Land, it would seem that there would be peace. Instead, war and preparation for war **is ever present.**

The Israeli military machine is recognized as the fourth most powerful in the world. From the stand-

point of the amount of planes, tanks and other fighting equipment, plus the fact that it is of the latest design, does make the military machine of Israel very powerful. But, in the army, serious weaknesses have developed.

Before exploring the weaknesses of Israel's army, let's briefly review each of the wars in which Israel has been involved—an average of one every 8 years since it became a state in 1948.

1948 WAR: Just after Israel had declared itself a state, Palestinians and other Arabs attacked the Israeli Army which had been formed out of the terrorist groups, the Irgun and Stern Gang. The reason for the attack by the Palestinians was to try and regain their homes which, through murder and terrorism, the Zionists had confiscated. The Palestinians had been a peaceful people and were not trained in the art of warfare, while the Ashkenazi Zionists Jews who had migrated from Soviet Russia, Poland and Germany had more knowledge of tactical warfare.

In addition, Zionists had built up a large amount of arms which they had purchased from the U.S. and communist countries and had illegally smuggled into the area. The Arabs were defeated and in the process, Israel conquered more Palestinian territory.

1956 WAR: Egypt owned the land through which the Suez Canal flows. Egypt's President Nasser declared his intention of taking over operation of the canal from England. This would have hurt England's colonial empire. So, England along with France and Israel conspired to attack Egypt.

With Egypt nearly subdued, America's President Eisenhower stepped in and ordered England, France and Israel to withdraw. At the time, the United States was still militarily strong enough to back up Eisenhower's order, so England, France and Israel did withdraw. This was the only time during U.S.-Israeli relations that a U.S. president put the interests of America ahead of Israel's interests.

1967 WAR: Tension was mounting between Egypt and Israel over territory located between the two countries—the Sinai and Gaza Strip.

To help Israel get an unfair advantage, the Soviet Union resorted to trickery: Soviet diplomats in Egypt told President Nasser to threaten war but not attack. Then Soviet diplomats told Israel's leaders to threaten war and then go ahead and attack. This act of treachery enabled Israel to attack while Egypt was “off guard” and destroy Egypt's military capacity in six days.

It has been the goal of Israel's leaders to take over all the land between the Nile and Euphrates Rivers. Besides the Sinai and Gaza Strip which Israel intended to take from Egypt during the war, they desired the West Bank which was part of Jordan, and the Golan Heights which was part of Syria. So during the 1967 War, Israel resorted to trickery.

Israel had the technical equipment to intercept radio messages and change these messages (boil them) and then send them on to their destination. During the war, Israel intercepted messages from Egypt to Jordan and Syria and changed the messages, tricking Jordan and Syria into entering the war. The Arab countries were defeated and Israel took a big step toward its goal of conquest by occupying the Golan Heights and West Bank as well as the Sinai and Gaza Strip.

Even though Israel's attack on Egypt is called the 1967 War and often referred to as the Six-day War, it can hardly be called a war. Egypt, the most powerful Arab nation at that time, didn't have a chance to fight. Trickery on the part of the Soviet Union and Israel as I have explained, rendered the so-called 1967 War nothing more than a treacherous act of terrorism for which the Soviets and Israel are famous.

ISRAEL'S ATTACK ON THE U.S.S. LIBERTY

During the 1967 War, one of the most daring acts of treachery was committed. ***It was the attack on an American ship, the U.S.S. LIBERTY***, by America's so-called friend, Israel.

During Israel's treacherous attack on Egypt, the *U.S.S. LIBERTY*, an American surveillance ship, was cruising off the coast of Israel monitoring the progress of the attack.

Realizing the *U.S.S. LIBERTY* was learning and recording Israel's acts of treachery, Israeli leaders decided to "get rid" of the evidence. Israeli planes and torpedo boats attacked the *LIBERTY* with the obvious intent of sinking it. If the ship was sunk, thus destroying the evidence, Israel then could blame Egypt for the attack—and the Zionist-controlled news media in America would have given the LIE as FACT with the intent of turning the American people against Egypt. But, fortunately—bravery on the part of the *LIBERTY* crew kept the ship afloat. Israel failed in its act of treachery against America, its ally, but during the attack, Israel killed 34 crew members of the *LIBERTY* and wounded 171.

Israel claimed the attack on the *LIBERTY* was a case of mistaken identity. But, reports by the surviving crew and other evidence proved the attack by Israel *was intentional*.

Facts about the attack on the *U.S.S. LIBERTY* were conveniently omitted by the Zionist-controlled American news media. All that the Zionists told the American people was that Israel had sunk an American ship, but that it was a case of mistaken identity.

An investigation by the U.S. Government was subdued and the matter quickly forgotten. This is a good example of the tremendous **influence the Zionists have over the U.S. Government**.

The cover-up of the whole scandalous affair by the U.S. Government could not have succeeded if the news media had done its duty and reported the facts surrounding the attack. It is a good example of the **power of the Zionist-controlled news media in America to distort or withhold important news from the American people**.

1973 WAR: The treachery on the part of Israel and the Soviets that led to the 1967 War embittered the Arab people and immediately they began arming with the intent of retaliating for this Israeli treachery and to try and take back the land that Israel had taken from them. By 1973 they were prepared.

Even though several Arab countries were preparing militarily, they were trying to peacefully work out a solution with Israel. But, Israel was assured that their Zionist brethren in America would use their power over the U.S. Government to make sure that the U.S. would support Israel. So, Israel's leaders continued to maintain a belligerent attitude.

Realizing the hopelessness of further negotiating with Israel's leaders, Egypt along with Jordan, Syria and Iraq attacked Israel—and they were winning.

With Israel facing defeat, the powerful Zionists in America pulled the strings of their power over the U.S. Government and the U.S. Government officials obeyed their Zionist masters. Massive amounts of U.S. military equipment and arms were **airlifted at taxpayer's expense** to Israel to bolster the retreating Israeli forces.

This U.S. aid enabled Israel to turn the tide and emerge victorious. Yes, Israel was saved, but so much U.S. military equipment was airlifted to Israel at that time that the U.S. armed forces were left in short supply and in a weakened position.

U.S. Government officials are so much under the influence of the Zionists that they had alerted the 82nd Airborne Division stationed at Ft. Bragg, N.C. and U.S. troops stationed in Germany for the purpose of being sent to aid Israel, if necessary. **[H: So, you STILL HAVE re-run after re-run, don't you?]**

It is pretty sad that to please the Zionist power in America, U.S. Government officials are willing to sacrifice the lives of American boys to save Zionist/Marxist Israel.

Young men already in the U.S. armed forces and their parents, grandparents, brother and sisters should especially be concerned about Israeli-precipitated wars and attempts to involve the U.S. in these wars, because if necessary, to save Israel in one of their acts of aggression, the U.S. Government will bow to the American Zionists and send American boys into combat—the boys will have no choice but to fight on the side of Zionist/Marxist Israel.

IF AMERICAN BOYS DIE IN A FUTURE MIDEAST WAR, YOU WILL KNOW THAT THOSE RESPONSIBLE WILL BE THE ZIONIST JEWS IN AMERICA AND THE WEAKSPINED POLITICIANS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. WHO BOW TO THEIR ZIONIST LORDS. [H: !!!!!]

[END OF QUOTING]

And here you sit again with your military power drained away from the U.S. while the Zionists TAKE OVER YOUR NATION, INCH BY INCH—THEY ALREADY HAVE YOUR PROPERTY, YOUR MONEY AND YOUR INFORMATION RESOURCES. You are sitting in the sea waiting for WHAT in Iraq? Nothing is happening in Iraq except a VERY SMOOTH-RUNNING INSPECTION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY WITH NOTHING BEING FOUND, MUCH THE LESS, WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION—**SO, HAD AGAIN, AMERICA—BY YOUR ZIONIST ISRAELI BUDDIES.** IS THIS STUPIDITY OR WHAT?

What do you do? Well, I guess you just stand around and sing the old Western folk song: "*You Left Me Standing at Satan's Altar*". Or how about "*I Have Tears In My Ears From Lying On My Back Crying Over You!*" Oh yes, it is a song in your ridiculous reality of IMPORTANT THINGS. Just hang a few more variously colored ribbons around the trees and on your persons—and somehow it is fine to kill, maim, murder, steal and lie. Good Luck, America! I KNOW God and HE IS NOT SMILING ON

YOU. THE ZIONIST SHARKS ARE EATING YOU ALIVE AND YOU DON'T EVEN NOTICE
YOU HAVE NO MORE BLOOD TO LET.

Salu and good morning.

CHAPTER 12

REC #1 HATONN

FRI., APR. 10, 1998 7:30 A.M. YR. 11, DAY 237

FRI., APR. 10, 1998

“And just how will it be, Sir?” I am asked with tongue-in-cheek humor. And I knelt down in humble whisper and said unto my children: “You don’t really want to know, do you?” And back to me, with glee, comes: “Oh no, we just want to know about ‘here’—here where we are in the U.S.!” And, “After that, you can tell us about the world!”

“Is God going to really clean His kitchen?” “No, man will clean his own kitchen,” I said to them who were now quite excited to get some bit of fortune-telling pried from the never-ending brick wall set forth by a teacher to allow students to learn, first, the truth, so that they would prepare properly for the things that always come as a civilization is changed, prophecies are fulfilled or changed, and as cleansing of lies from truth begins to BE HEARD and utilized.

So, in mid-Jan. to the end of Jan., 1987 we wrote a novel little tale called *Sipapu Odyssey*, by Dorushka Maerd (Doris’ Dream). Just a little story with which no one without the circle could even begin to “handle” for the concept was too big, yet was too infinitely tiny as to shock the minds of this day watching it all unfold.

Rather than send you readers to do your homework today, as a reminder of that which has been offered before:

[QUOTING, From *Sipapu Odyssey*, pg. 64:]

FUTURE REVELATIONS:

John, too, was accompanied by projected visual holograms which made an individual have the feeling of actual participation within the scene itself. As he spoke, appropriate visualizations moved with his verbal illusions. **[H: This was just a “movie treatment” of a story Doris was asked to write and others would finish as appropriate. She was “no writer” and protested LOUDLY while Little Crow nagged and prodded.]**

He said he would simply be giving a description of things as directly handed down to him, without personal comment. He then launched into his teachings:

“And it is said there shall be winds, and there shall be, in the time when it is winter; and the trees shall

bow down their boughs, and the winds shall sting with the cold; and there shall be great suffering among the people and they shall fall down and cry for mercy.

“And there shall be a mighty earthquake and it shall split in twain the country of North America, and it shall be as nothing the world has known before, for it shall be that there shall be a great part of the great land of the north continent go down and a great sea shall form within her center part from the Dominion of Canada into the Gulf of Mexico.

“And there shall be great ocean liners, liners which shall travel within its waters which will be propelled by solar energy of the next age. But with this, they shall be unable to travel east to west or from west to east, through what is now the Atlantic Ocean for it shall have a mountain range which has been thrown up from the bottom of the Atlantic; and it shall be extended into the air to the altitude of ten thousand feet and it shall be the City of old, for it was the Light of the world. She went down amid a great shock and a great wave; and it shall be that she shall come up the same way as she went down.

“And the west side shall be as the sheer side of granite, and it shall be without foothold; and the way shall be as the eagle flies from the place which is Upper Virginia three hundred miles due east; and at this point it shall be one thousand and eight hundred feet from the waters; and not an entrance through the land shall there be to the east, for it is not for them which are to be the remnants, to communicate by water; for it shall be with a new science, and a new method shall be given unto them. For there is not a place which is that shall remain the same in its present state.

“And not a person shall be left which is not prepared for that which shall be. And there are many called but few are chosen: for there are none which have been chosen which have not been carefully prepared; and they have been unto themselves true, and they have given credit where credit is due. And now it is given unto them to be the seed of the new Civilization which shall come upon the Earth.

“And within the time which is left before this shall come upon the Earth, it shall be that many will be called: and they shall doubt; and they shall fear; and they shall faint; and they shall fall by the way; and they shall be in no wise, wise, for it is given unto man to fear that which he does not understand—and for that does he wait. **[H: Wow, and here we are doing these very things! Every day in every way while we rush about trying to gain an edge on a fellow human being, denying God and generally, searching, finding, and then cutting the throat that belongs unto self—while choosing up new gurus and less demanding teachers and prophets. Is Dharma, then, a prophet? A seer? A fortune teller? Surely, she is psychic? No, and if she would be, she would not speak of it. Even without that focus she is charged with lying, evil, fearmongering, and generally, is sitting on the top of the adversary’s “take-out” lists.**

My suggestion is, however, that ties not be cut and damage not be deliberately thrust onto these, my people, for **ONCE GONE FROM OUR CONSCIOUSNESS, YOU ARE NOT WELCOME BACK FOR TRUST WILL HAVE BEEN BROKEN. YOU DELIBERATELY MAKE CHOICES AND THOSE CHOICES ARE HONORED! WE MOST CERTAINLY ARE NOT YOUR WHIPPING BOYS OR FORGIVENESS GURUS OF SOME KIND. God IS forgiveness; WE HAVE NOTHING TO FORGIVE ONE WAY OR ANOTHER AS REGARDS ANY-ONE OR THEIR ACTIONS. A better point should be: “Will the Universe FORGIVE me my**

errors and transgressions?” Everything you do, every breath you take, every thought you think—effects and affects the whole of the manifest Universe as well as all that is not manifest in your recognized perceptions.]

“And it is said there shall be winds, and there shall be the winds, and they shall be as none the Earth has known; and they shall be as the winds from the sea and from the land all rolled into one great tempest. And they shall be as the winds of the North and the South and East and the West, and they shall tear that which is in their path and they shall be as the reaper who mows down that which is in his path. And they shall sing with the bitterness of the cold. And they shall be as the elements of the Earth, for they shall contain both rain and wind; and the hail shall be as big as bird eggs, and it shall split that which it hits. **[H: Try on Alabama, Mississippi and Georgia TODAY! And you haven’t even yet begun. Two-hundred-sixty-mile-an-hour winds with incredible devastation.]**

“And it shall be that the suffering shall be great upon the Earth, for it is given unto man to know suffering. And he has not known such suffering before, and when it is come upon him, he shall fall down and shall cry for mercy.

“And it shall be that the winds too shall be great upon the Earth—they shall blow east, west, north and south and not a place shall there be upon the Earth which shall escape the winds which bloweth; and when this tribulation has come upon the Earth, it shall be that there shall be many who have kept within the law.

“And with the coming of winds and belching of fire from within the Earth there shall be—MORE!”

John continued by telling of how things would be. He said that no one would be responsible **for the words of another nor would any man take upon himself that which would be done by another. Each entity would be responsible for his own. He said man had lost his identity with the Father God which had sent him, and that if he would not awaken and return to the Father, he would surely perish.**

John spoke on for a very long time giving illustration after illustration. He concluded by saying it was time to talk more about the present.

[END OF QUOTING]

I also suggest you get the book and study hard the pages starting at 68, PRESENT REVELATIONS.

And who am I? Well, I am Gyeorgos (Yeorgos of the tale), and I AM ATON. Are YOU smug enough to think this has not happened before? Oh lambs, you live in Dreamlands of fantasy in your worldly form manifest in unreality.

May we please move on, Dharma, that we may finish Vol. III of Mr. Ford’s presentations for you-the-people.

[QUOTING:] PART 68, *GLOBAL PARASITES*

FROM *THE INTERNATIONAL JEW*, VOL.III, JEWISH INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE.

JEWISH POWER AND
AMERICA'S MONEY FAMINE

The international Jewish banker who has no country but plays them all against one another, and the International Jewish proletariat that roams from land to land in search of a peculiar type of economic opportunity, are not figments of the imagination except to the non-Jew who prefers a lazy laxity of mind.

Of these classes of Jews, one or both are at the heart of the problems that disturb the world today. The immigration problem is Jewish. The money question is Jewish. The tie-up of world politics is Jewish. The terms of the Peace Treaty are Jewish. The diplomacy of the world is Jewish. The moral question in movies and theaters is Jewish. The mystery of the illicit liquor business is Jewish.

These facts are unfortunate as well as unpleasant, for the Jew, and it is squarely up to him to deal with the facts, and not waste time in trying to destroy those who define the facts. These facts are interpreted by the Jew and the anti-Semite with strange extremes of blindness. The Jew never gets the world's point of view at all; he always gets the anti-Semite's point of view; and the anti-Semite is equally at fault in always getting the Jew's point of view. What both need is to get society's point of view, which is the one being set forth in this present series of articles.

To say that the immigration problem is Jewish does not mean that Jews must be prohibited entry to any country; it means that they must become rooted to a country in loyal citizenship, as no doubt some are, and as no doubt most are not. To say that the money question is Jewish does not mean that Jews must get out of finance; it means that they must rid finance of the Jewish idea which has always been to use money to get a strangle-hold on men and business concerns, instead of using finance to help general business. To say that the tie-up of world politics is Jewish does not mean that Jews, as human beings, are to be denied a voice in affairs; it means that they must give up trying to make the world revolve around the Jewish nation as its axis. To describe the influence of the Jew on the theater is not to demand that he leave the theater, but it is to demand that he rid the theater of his idea that sensualism is entertaining.

The Jewish Question is first for the Jews to solve; if not, the world will have to solve it for them. They may stay in business, say the theater, for example, if they will cease spoiling the theater; if they do not cease, the theater will be taken away from them just as certainly as that day follows night. The world has been patient and the world will be fair, but the world knows the limit of imposition.

[H: Oh yes, readers, the world WILL COME BACK TO GOD CREATOR—AND ABOUT THAT, THERE IS NO QUESTION.]

Just a word about Satan and the world. Who will undo that which is done? The very ones who have set forth to destroy the physical expression of being. I have told you many times before and I will repeat it again: THERE ARE SOME THINGS WHICH ARE DONE WHICH ARE NOT ACCEPTABLE TO SATAN HIMSELF BY WHATEVER NAME YOU CALL THE DARK ENTITY OF NEGATIVE EXPRESSION OF LIFE. YOU JUST "THINK" EVERYTHING EVIL AND ATROCIOUS IS ACCEPTED BY THAT ENERGY BEING. NO, YOU ARE WRONG,

WRONG, WRONG. Lucifer, Satan, the Devil, whatever you choose to call the opposite “meaning” of Goodly, Godly, Right, WILL NOT MUCH LONGER TOLERATE THE UPHEAVAL AND MISUSE OF HIS DOMAIN—THE PHYSICAL EXPRESSION OF HUMAN. SHOCKED? WOW, WHERE HAVE YOU BEEN? You do not leave the messing in your own nest to an isolation of living. The evil you do is evil upon all beings and things in the manifest world. So, what good is a world of physical attraction and manifestation if it be DESTROYED?

You live in a prison of perception if you believe that GOD of Creation casts down horrendous things upon you as punishment of some kind. You, and your bully allies, pull the negative experiences upon selves. NEITHER GOD, NOR BROTHER HOST, WILL HAVE TO TOUCH A HAIR OF ANY NEGATIVE-EXPERIENCING HEAD—FOR THE VERY USERS OF THE MANIFEST WORLD WILL SEE TO THE DESTRUCTION OF THE DESTROYERS. GOD WILL SET LIMITS AS TO WHO ALL MAY BE DAMAGED IN SUCH BATTLES AND WARS—BUT OTHERWISE, WE CREATE AND WE DO NOT DESTROY. Moreover the great banditos of goodness and fairness will fall on their own swords which were used in a format to destroy and garner unto themselves the wealth and property of all others. It WILL come to pass and it will be simply through a return unto the senders, the venom of their own manufacture.

Even in money-flow you have the same realization of HOW a thing works. These misfits of selfishness have brought forth a measure of money only recognized by themselves, but foisted off on mankind to have to utilize. It is based on NOTHING—it is conjured theft. So, when the turnabout comes, the assets stolen will simply be exposed for their valueless properties while the right-thinking people of humanity create a “value-backed” measure of wealth to be utilized properly by ALL. It is so simple in concept as to boggle your minds at this time in your realization.

Surprise, Surprise: LUCIFER was not an EVIL son; he was and is a PHYSICAL EXPRESSION OF SPIRITUAL MAN IN MANIFESTATION. EVIL COMES FROM THE “MAN” IN EXPRESSION—NOT LUCIFER OR SATAN OR SOME OTHER “DEVIL”. AND GUESS WHAT?!: THROUGH THE SAME ENTITIES, ABSENT GOD/CHRIST/GOODNESS/POSITIVE EXPRESSION, WILL COME THE DISASTERS AND DEVASTATIONS. HOW DO YOU THINK THE PROPHETS KNEW THE WAY IT WOULD BE? THEY WERE TOLD BY THE VERY DESTROYERS THEMSELVES HOW THE “PLAN” WOULD BE. I DO SINCERELY SUGGEST YOU PONDER *THIS CONCEPT QUITE CLEARLY.*]

It is not the true Jewishness of the Jew, nor yet the nationalism of the Jew that is on trial, but his anti-national internationalism. A true Mosaic Jew—not a *Talmud* Jew—would be a good citizen. A nationalist Jew would at least be logical. But an international Jew has proved an abomination, because his internationalism is focused on his own racial nationalism which in turn is founded on his ingrained belief that the rest of humanity is inferior to him and, by right, his prey. Jewish leaders may indulge in all the platitudes they possess, the fact which they cannot deny is that the Jew has for centuries regarded the “goyim” as beneath him, and legitimately his spoil.

[H: Neithr God, nor Lucifer-Satan, wrote the *Talmud*, as a for instance. MEN wrote the book of instructions which Lucifer no more blesses than he blesses the evil men do or bear in their

hearts. Remember, you are destroying the very world of Manifest energy forms. Is this some new concept? Gosh no, it was birthed with the original thought of GOD CREATOR. But the big chief antiChrist of SPIRITUAL being will discipline his PRETEND followers. Don't you see it? This is Lucifer's lessons in transition, NOT MINE.

Another note: The RAPTURE you are promised by the "good guys" is but another lie and Lucifer did not make the LIE—man made the lie and thus death is the ending, not LIFE. This is neither Lucifer's doing nor is it Satan's—it rests wholly on the backs and minds of the deceivers now calling themselves Zionists. If this is a new concept for you readers, I hope you study the FACTS of it very well indeed. The "devil" doesn't make you do anything—YOU make you do whatever it is you do—period.]

The internationalism of the Jew is confessed everywhere by him. Listen to a German banker and imagine the slow, oily voice in which he said:

"We are international bankers. Germany lost the war? What of it? That is an affair of the army. We are international bankers."

And that was the attitude of every international Jewish banker during the war. The nations were in strife? What of it? It was like a Dempsey-Carpentier bout in New Jersey, or a baseball game in Chicago—an affair of the fighters—"We are international bankers."

A nation is being hamstrung by artificial exchange rates; another by the sucking of money out of its channels of trade; what of it to the international banker? He has his own game to play. Hard times bring more plums tumbling off the tree into the baskets of the international bankers than does any other kind of times. Wars and panics are the Jewish international bankers' harvests.

Citizens wake up with a start to find that even the White nations are hardly allowed to see each other nowadays except through Jewish eyes. When the United States supposedly speaks to France, through whom does she speak? All that France sees is Otto H. Kahn! Why must a Jew represent the United States of America to France? When France supposedly speaks to the United States, through whom is it done? Through Viviani, Jewish in every thought and method. Now they are talking of sending Millerand over, another Jew. Britain sends Lord Reading. Germany sent Dr. Dernberg. And to other countries the United States sent Morgenthau, Strauss, Warburg, and lesser Jewlings.

It comes with something of a shock to learn that Foch is coming to the United States. We have not seen a Frenchman since Joffre visited us. It is good to see men of the White race come across the sea as if to reassure us that White men still live in those countries. **[H: Here, "White" only represents original people—and a similar statement about any other "color" or race would have the same MEANING. You will find that in representation of even the Native Americans—Jews represent them. In Japanese or South African "Blacks"—Jews represent them in every facet of their living.]** The business of the Peace Conference was done by Jews—has it come to a point where international diplomacy is to become a Jewish monopoly also? **Must the special conversations between France, Britain and the United States be held through Jewish interpreters, while Anglo-Saxons and true Frenchmen do the routine embassy work—or shall it be possible for the non-Jewish nations to see one**

another occasionally through non-Jewish representatives? **[H: Sorry, there are hardly any non-Jewish States remaining!]**

[H: Where the Jews and “White” people find the most difficulty of all is that no matter what shade of white the Jew might claim, he claims his “whiteness” and then lies his way into power—so that no other races or creeds or colors—can distinguish “White” from “Jew”. These modern self-styled so-called “Jews” then intermarry and you can’t tell anyone from anyone else. Therefore, through the actions of these misfit grubbers of the world the entire world comes to hate the “Whites” because they can’t tell one grubber from another. THIS IS GOING TO GET SO MANY “WHITE” PEOPLE UNJUSTLY MURDERED AS TO SHOCK YOUR BEINGS. YOU ARE NOT ONLY, WHITE PEOPLE, NOT SUPERIOR IN ANY WAY, BUT YOU ARE MARKED FOR DEATH.

The “INTENT” is not to rid Lucifer, or even Satan, from the world—the full intent of the Higher-Energies is to rid the world of these slime-bags who have used the gifts of human expression to destroy that which Lucifer claimed in abundance and wonderment for his own. FATHER GOD told this young rascal that it would merit him the whirlwind to bring his people to manifest form and that they would claim their own disastrous ways upon the Earth or any planet upon which MAN CAN DWELL. Lucifer gets a lot of compassion from we who have experienced and KNOW TRUTH—for his lessons are great in the ability of a human form to usurp even that which is Lucifer’s “gifts” from God Creator in his own experience. Lucifer has to clean his own house now and in such a way as to restrict these outrageous mutations from swallowing up anything again. That means total annihilation, doesn’t it? Well, chilluns, that is not our problem—that rests with the do-ers but when THAT GOD cleans house, it is without compassion, remorse, pity or any fundamental cause of LOVE—it will be with a vengeance KNOWN BEFORE and now COME AGAIN.]

Internationalism is not a Jewish conviction, but a Jewish business device. It is most profitable. In diplomacy and at the immigrant station, internationalism pays. Jews interpret nation to nation in the high rites of special conversations between governments; Jewish interpreters swarm at the ports of every country also, where the poor swarm in. It was stated in the House of Lords the other day that most of the trouble in Palestine was caused by Jewish interpreters. It was charged that the Jewish administration added an extra language to the official list in order to make Jewish interpreters indispensable.

Go through the government of the United States, where the income tax secrets are kept, where the Federal Reserve secrets are kept, where the State Department secrets are kept—and you will find Jews sitting at the very spot where International Jewry desires them to sit, and where nothing is kept from their knowledge.

Go abroad and come back to your country, and a Jew will open the gate to let you in, or close it to keep you out—as he chooses.

“Will you be going to Detroit while you are here?” asked a Jewish government agent of a gentleman entering the country on a visit a few weeks ago.

“I may go to Detroit,” was the reply.

“Well, you go to the damned *Dearborn Independent* and tell them a Jew let you into this country,” said the government agent.

What the visitor replied is known, but had better not be quoted. The American Jewish Committee might shriek that the people were being incited to pogroms.

The incident, however, is but a sample of what is occurring every day. The truth about the Jewish Question in the United States is perhaps the one form of truth that cannot be indiscriminately told.

The international Jewish bankers regard themselves as in similar fashion “letting” the nations do this or that, regarding the nations not as fatherlands but as customers—and as customers in the Jewish sense. If an army wins or loses, if a government succeeds or fails, what of it? That is their affair—“We are international bankers,” and we win, whoever loses.

For international Jewish bankers, the war is not over. The period of actual hostilities and the emergencies of the nations were but the opening of the trade. The ready cash was skimmed in then—all the cash the world had. True, some of it had to be distributed among the people as war wages and bonuses, in order to keep the struggle going, but this was soon recovered through the means of high prices, artificial scarcities and the orgy of extravagance deliberately organized and stimulated among the people. That phase over, the money disappeared.

Is there any more tragic joke than that diligently disseminated in this country—“The United States has more gold than any other country in the world”? Where is it? How long since you have seen a piece of gold? Where is all this gold—is it locked up in the Treasury of the United States Government? Why, that government is in debt, desperately trying to economize, cannot pay a soldier a bonus because the finances of the country cannot stand it! Where is that gold? It may be *in* the United States, but it does not belong *to* the United States.

The American farmer, and those American industries which were not “wise” to the tricks of international Jewish bankers, and who were nipped by small loans, are wondering where all this money is. Furthermore, Europe, suffering from every possible lack, is looking to us and wondering where the money is.

This dispatch in a London paper may throw light on the matter: (italics added)

“It is learned today that new gold shipments aggregating \$2,800,000 are consigned to Kuhn, Loeb & Company, New York, making nearly \$129,000,000 imported by that firm since the movement started. In responsible banking circles the belief is expressed that some of the *German* coin recently imported by the firm is *from Russia, instead of Germany*, as generally supposed.”

The Jewish international banking system may be easily described. First, there is the international Jewish headquarters. This was in Germany. It had ramifications in Russia, Italy, France, Great Britain and the South American states. (South American Jewry is very menacing.) Germany and Russia were the two

countries scheduled for punishment by the International Jewish bankers because these two countries were most aware of the Jew. They have been punished; that job is done.

Jewish political headquarters, as related to the internal affairs of the Jews, was also located in Germany, but the headquarters dealing with the “goyim” was in France. Statements have been made that the political center of Jewry has been transplanted to the United States. But these statements have been made by American Jews whose wish may have been father to the thought. During the Wilson Administration it was possible for a Jew to think and to hope this, but affairs have slightly changed. The ousting of American Jews from the Zionist movement at the behest of Eastern Jews indicates that if the political center of world Jewry has shifted to the United States, the *power is still in the hands of aliens* resident here. The center is still in Jewry; the United States is merely a square on Jewry’s world checker-board.

But, wherever the financial and political world centers may be, each country is separately handled. In every country—the United States, Mexico and the republics of South America; in France, England, Italy, Germany, Austria—yes, and in Japan—there is an international Jewish banking firm which stands at the head of the group for that country. Thus, the chief Jewish firm in the United States is Kuhn, Loeb & Company, of which one of the members is Paul M. Warburg, brother of M. Warburg & Company, of Hamburg; and another member of which is Otto H. Kahn, resident successively of Germany, Great Britain and the United States, and self-appointed financial spokesman for the United States to France and Great Britain. Great Britain and France seldom see a special American spokesman who is not a Jew. That may be the reason why they reciprocate by sending Jews to us, thinking perhaps that we prefer them.

Paul M. Warburg was the inventor, perfecter and director of the Federal Reserve System of the United States. He is not the only Jew in the Federal Reserve System, but he was the chief Jew there. His mind counted for a great deal. There were others in the war government, of course; Bernard M. Baruch; Eugene Meyer, Jr.; Hoover’s regiment of Jews; Felix Frankfurter; Julius Rosenwald—hundreds of them, and everywhere; but the financial group alone is receiving our attention just now, and they are not so notably successful in getting the country out of financial difficulty as they were in other lines of effort.

The Federal Reserve System may not be a bad system, in spite of the fact that it yields government monetary functions to private financial corporations, but there are all sorts of testimony that it has been badly manipulated. Mr. Warburg, the reader will remember, spoke about certain things being “overcome in an administrative way”, showing that there was a certain amount of “play” or loose motion in the system which could be manipulated either way. The fact remains that the country went swimmingly through the war by reason of the assistance of the System, and is coming very lamely through the Peace, as the result, monetary experts say, of the hindrance of the same System. Mr. Warburg, whose name was so prominently connected with the advertisement of the glory of the System, must also stand being mentioned in connection with the criticism.

Whatever money we are said to have as the per capita in the United States, it is a false statement. The money *per capita* should always be figured on the basis of the money *in circulation*. Less than half of it, as a rule. The rest is being juggled.

Whatever the *gold* in the country, the *wealth* is still greater. There is more wealth in the United States than there is gold in the world. One year’s products of the farms of the United States exceeds in money

value all the gold in the world.

[H: Another interruption to bring a point home to you: What has happened to all those farms and that wealth? What about the tobacco farmers in the South? What is happening to your land as it is gobbled up by the Government and BIG business? Well, it isn't so great as it may seem to those who steal it or manipulate it or whatever. Remember the prophecies handed down by Lucifer about what will be happening to and through "his" people—remember the plagues, the winds, the famines, etc.? Well, that which is sowed will be reaped, and if thorns and thistles are sown or allowed the taking of the land—you may well suffer, but the usurpers will lose that land to crops and thus, to their own riches.

I quote a recent small article from a Florida paper—but it could be anywhere U.S.A. in these days. The thistles and weeds are taking over the farm-lands and grazing pastures. Smut and disease are taking your grain crops as well. But let us "just" look at the weed problem—and oh yes indeed, there IS a major and devastating problem in that arena:

[QUOTING:]

AURORA, Colo.: Interior Secretary Bruce Babbitt called Wednesday for a national strategy to control the spread of powerful weeds that choke native plants and grasses, destroy grazing land and dry up wetlands.

At a seminar co-sponsored by the Bureau of Land Management, Babbitt said the unwanted plants have caused BILLIONS IN DAMAGE TO FARMS AND FORESTS.

Babbitt said the United States is losing 5,000 acres a day to "invasive, alien species", including *LEAFY SPURGE*, *STARTHISTLE* and *KNAPWEED*.

In some states, including Oregon and North Dakota, patches of ranch land have been rendered worthless.

[END OF QUOTING]

Do you city folk think this is some kind of a silly complaint? No way, friends, right here on "our farm" the Starthistle has TAKEN THE LAND. All the roadways and byways are covered with this Starthistle and nothing else can grow in its shadow. It puts down three-foot roots and spreads like dandelions in the breeze. It takes hold with EVERY seed and thrives in ALL KINDS OF CLIMATES AND WATER CIRCUMSTANCES! The "stickers" catch in live-stock throats as they graze or eat and kills the animal. So, those land-grabbers are literally doing in themselves as Lucifer turns the nasty deeds and things back upon the perpetrators.]

Yet, under our present system, the burgeoning bulk of the country's *wealth* must *pass through the narrow neck of Money*. And the *Money* must pass through the *still narrower neck of Gold*. And the controller of the Gold, under our present system, controls the world. There is more wealth than there is money; there is more money than there is gold; money exists at the pleasure of gold; wealth moves at the

pleasure of money. Whoever sits at the neck of money, opening or closing as he will, controls the movement of the world's wealth. And the world's prosperity depends on the movement of that wealth. When wealth stands still and does not pass from hand to hand, the world's circulation has stopped; the world becomes economically sick. **[H: LONG AGO you ceased any relationship to GOLD. The corporation (private) called Federal Reserve pumps out notes as fast as they can run their presses day and night—with absolutely no value upon which to base a single cent of the production. Then they take the worthless notes and loan them to nations, yours and everyone's, and back to you where you pay incredible interest payments for the privilege of having some of their totally valueless trash. There is a whole world being thrust under your American "DOLLAR" system of worthless illusion. Fine, you think you need the mark of the beast before you are "had"? Well, look at that worthless currency and the "nothing" backing of same, and you will see the mark of the beast. You cannot function in the world without this worthless garbage. These notes are the Antichrist's (the beast's) tattoos on your heads and hands. They plan, fully, to phase out even the notes.]**

The scarcity of cash in hand has led to Credit. Credit is a form of barter. It is a form of dealing by which many transactions are carried on, only the final one being cleared in money. It is a device which has its dangers, in spite of the efforts of apologists to exploit its advantages. But one thing the system of Credit indubitably does—it allows the money masters to hang on to the *Cash*. When the world is caught, *it is caught with paper*, not with Cash. The Cash is always in the hands of those who extol the advantage of the Credit System. Who holds money holds power, and will hold it, until real barter or *real money* comes in fashion again.

In 1919-1920, according to one of the best monetary authorities in the United States, the total shrinkage in values of the products of our fields, mines, factories, mills and forests represented a sum greater than the total gold supply of the world. It runs as high as the total amount of Liberty Bonds outstanding.

People say, "Well, the prices were too high." Certainly they were too high, but who and what made them too high? It was the generosity with which money was supplied by the private Federal Reserve System. There was plenty of money. People say, "Well, the shrinkage is only in paper values; the real value of the product is still there." Certainly, but when you live under a system in which "real" value and "money" value are so intimately intertwined that it affects your bread and butter, the tenure of your farm, and the steadiness of your job, it is pretty hard to separate the two. Moreover, when your prosperity was due to the readiness of a group of men to let out money, and your adversity is due to the unwillingness of the same group, and your own welfare and your country's welfare is thus see-sawed up and down without any reference to natural law but solely upon determinations taken in committee rooms, you naturally inquire. "Who is doing this? Where is all the money gone? Who is holding it? Here is the wealth of the country; here is the need of the country; where is the money to transfer the wealth to the need? Every condition remains as it was, except money." **[H: Note the wisdom of these observations. This was written a decade prior to the confiscation of gold from you-the-people to feed the need of a bankrupt nation and still the bankruptcy continued as you became wards of the State of the Federal Reserve System. Then gold was exchanged for mere pennies in value, and after that, as soon as it could be arranged without your notice, the GOLD STANDARD was simply REMOVED. Taxes do NOT go to pay the costs of government—they go to pay the BANKS of the SYSTEM for the right to go deeper and deeper into debt with the Masters supreme.]**

We have a Federal Reserve System which still is benefiting by the assistance of its perfecter and director, Paul M. Warburg. And what is the condition in the United States?

Some of the biggest industrial institutions in the country now in the hands of creditors' committees.

Farmers being sold out by the hundreds, their horses bring about \$3 each.

Cotton and wool enough to clothe the nation, spoiling in the hands of the men who raised it and cannot dispose of it.

Every line of business, railroading, newspaper publishing, store-keeping, manufacturing, agriculture, building, in depression. Why? For lack of money.

Where is the money? This is the country that is supposed to be the financial center of the world—*where is the money?*

It is in New York. The Federal Reserve System, which Mr. Warburg desired to head up in one central bank, has just about turned out that way. *The money is in New York.* Here is the charge made to the governor of the Federal Reserve Board by a responsible public official who knows:

While there is a scarcity of money for the producing sections of the West and Northwest, the South and southwest, "we find that individual banks in New York City are borrowing from the Reserve System, in a number of cases, MORE than \$100,000,000 each; and sometimes as much as \$145,000,000 is loaned there to a single bank—*twice as much* as some of the Reserve Banks have been lending recently to ALL the member banks in their districts.

One bank in New York borrowed \$134,000,000, *or \$20,000,000 more* than the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City was advancing to 1,091 member banks in that Reserve District which covers the states of Kansas, Nebraska, Colorado, Wyoming, and parts of Missouri, Oklahoma and New Mexico.

At the same time, another New York bank was borrowing from the Federal Reserve Bank about \$40,000,000, which was *more than the aggregate loans which the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis was lending to its 1,000 member banks in the great states of Minnesota, North and South Dakota, Montana and part of Wisconsin.* **[H: But NOBODY audits or even challenges the Federal Reserve System.]**

Another New York bank borrowed from the Federal Reserve Bank a sum which was *greater by \$30,000,000 than the Federal Reserve Bank at Dallas was lending to all the banks in Texas, Louisiana and Oklahoma.*

Still another New York bank got a loan which *equaled the total loans allowed by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis to the 569 member banks of that very important district*, which includes the whole state of Arkansas, parts of Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Tennessee and Mississippi, and the larger part of Missouri.

Take the Fifth Federal Reserve District, served by the Federal Reserve Bank at Richmond, Virginia: one New York bank was able to borrow from the New York Reserve Bank *more* than the Richmond Reserve Bank would lend to all its member banks in Maryland, Virginia, North and South Carolina and the larger part of West Virginia.

That is the situation. The twelve regional banks, which were supposed to make money serve all parts of the country equally, have apparently been “overcome in an administrative way” to such an extent that the New York Federal Reserve Bank is to all intents and purposes the Central Bank of the United States, and serves the speculative part of the country with millions, while the productive part of the country is permitted to wilt with paltry thousands.

When it can occur that four New York banks can borrow from the New York Federal Reserve Bank as much money as the banks of 21 states were able to borrow from the five Federal Reserve Banks of St. Louis, Kansas City, Minneapolis, Dallas and Richmond—there would seem to be need of explanation somewhere. **[H: And a good hard look at just who owned and operated the Banks as well as the Federal Reserve.]**

Where did the money loaned in New York come from? It came from those parts of the country where money was scarcest. In May, 1920, the word went out over telephones—“The tie-up will come on the 15th.” And it came. Credit was stopped. Payment was pressed. A stream of money, literally squeezed out of the producing sections of the country, began to roll toward New York. Otherwise those giant loans just recorded would have been impossible. It was pressure, Federal Reserve pressure, politely known as deflation, and that is the way it worked. The banks of the West were squeezed dry that the banks of New York might overflow.

“The money was withdrawn from legitimate business in various parts of the country to be loaned at fancy rates in Wall Street,” says the official referred to above.

The speculative banks, it has been discovered, were able to borrow money at six per cent, which money they loaned at as high as 20, 25 and 30 per cent.

Federal Reserve deflation created a scarcity which speculative banks utilized. The Federal Reserve policy took the money out; New York banks borrowed the money thus taken out, and loaned it at tremendous rates—rates which people paid to stave off the ruin caused by the moneyless conditions which the ill-measured deflation process brought on.

And all this time the Federal Reserve System was in the best financial condition of its whole career. In December, 1920, it had 45 per cent of its reserves, which was a higher reserve than it had in December, 1919. But at this writing (July, 1921) the reserve has reached 60 per cent.

The money is in New York. Go out through the agricultural states, and you will not find it. Go into the districts of silent factories and you will not find it. It is in New York. The Warburg Federal Reserve has deflated the country. A System that was intended to equalize the ups and downs of financial weather has been used “in an administrative” way to deplete the country of money. **[H: Shades of deja vu? It accomplished EXACTLY what it was intended to do so you were simply had again—AND AGAIN—AND NOW, AGAIN; ONLY WHEN IT GOES THIS TIME, IT “REALLY” GOES.]**

The Federal Reserve “Idea” was doubtless right; if it had not been, it could not have been established. **[H: Oh, WOW, Mr. Ford.]** But it has been manipulated. It has not been a “federal” reserve; it has been a private reserve. It has been operated in the interest of bankers and not of everyone in general. Capable of being used to carry the country gradually back to a natural flow of business and to a neutral level of prices, it was used to bludgeon business at a critical time and to bludgeon it in such a way that money-lenders profited when producers suffered.

If that is the fact, there is no American banker but will say that the method was wrong; economically wrong, logically wrong, commercially wrong, if not criminally wrong.

Today the Federal Reserve boasts of its own reserve as if that were a sign of national economic health. With the country struggling to live, the Federal Reserve ought to be low, not high. The height which the reserve has reached is a measure of the depth of the country’s depression.

If the Federal Reserve would let out a part of that flood of money—a high financial authority suggests that less than 10 per cent would do it—it would be like an infusion of blood into the nation’s veins.

Kuhn, Loeb & Company, the Speyers and the other Jewish money-lenders have money for Mexico, Norway, Germany, and all sorts of commercial companies being organized to do business overseas, and it is American money. The Warburg Federal Reserve system has been badly misused, badly manipulated, and the country is suffering from it.

Still, the people know not what to do. Money is still a mystery. Banking is still sacrosanct. What would be perfectly apparent if done in ordinary business intercourse with a \$5 bill, is exceedingly complicated when the sum is five millions and the parties are (1) country banks, (2) Federal Reserve banks and (3) Wall Street speculative institutions. Yet they are only Tom, Dick and Harry with a \$5 bill, after all.

The matter is somewhat affected by the gags that are placed on many men competent to criticize. High officials are more or less tied up, by campaign contributions in which all financial concerns have an interest. Legislative officials are, too many of them, indebted to these same interests. A schedule of the private debts of some of the men who have aspired to the Presidency in the last eight years would be very illuminating—almost as illuminating as a schedule of the names of Jews at whose homes they stayed while on journeys through the country. Men who are thus tied up with the present financial system cannot say what in their minds they know.

It is all illustrated in the testimony of T. Cushing Daniel before a committee of Congress. It shows to what an extent the power of this private corporation called the central bank can reach:

“When going through the Bank of England I presented a letter which I had from Secretary Hay, and the official of the bank was very polite. He took me through the bank and when we got back to the reception room I asked him if he would allow me to put a few leading questions to him. He said he would, and I asked him if he would give me a statement of the Bank of England. ‘We do not issue statements.’ ‘Does not the House of Parliament sometimes call on you for some statement as to the condition of the bank?’ ‘NO, sir; they do not call on us.’ ‘How is it that some of these revolutionists, so-called, do not get up in the House of Commons and raise the devil to

know something about what is going on down here? That would be the condition in our country.’
‘*Oh, most of them are large borrowers from the bank and we have no difficulty with them.*’
(laughter.)”

Issue of July 16, 1921.

[END OF QUOTING, END OF VOLUME III]

And most of you still ask what went wrong and, “Why didn’t we know?” I don’t know. This very information was available in 1920-21. The *Protocols* and other instruction books for the taking of a world—were available long before the last millennium change. Why indeed, didn’t YOU KNOW?

Salu—and by the way—HAVE A NICE DAY FOR WHO KNOWS, IT COULD BE OUR LAST!