


INFO WARS

THE MAGAZINE
VOL. 2 ISSUE 4 | DEC. 2013 | GLOBAL

NEWS, REVIEWS, EVENTS, INTERVIEWS, TRUTH.



Harvard Study Finds Fluoride Lowers IQ - Published in Federal Gov't Journal




REUTERS

INFOWARS HAS BEEN PUBLISHING THIS INFORMATION FOR YEARS, BUT MOST OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC MAY ALREADY BE SUFFERING FROM THE CHEMICAL-INDUCED COMA CAUSED BY THE FLUORIDATION OF OUR DRINKING WATER. SOME MAY EVEN BE TOO DAMAGED TO REALIZE THEY ARE BEING POISONED DAILY.

WE CHOOSE TO TAKE BACK OUR WATER AND OUR LIVES. THAT'S WHY WE OFFER THE BEST STAINLESS STEEL, GRAVITY-FED FILTERS ON THE MARKET THAT REMOVE FLUORIDE AND GLYPHOSATE. PROPUR IS USED AND RECOMMENDED BY ALEX JONES AND THE INFOWARS STAFF, WHICH IS WHY WE ARE THE LARGEST DISTRIBUTOR OF PROPUR WATER FILTRATION SYSTEMS AND WHY WE EXTEND THE BEST SELECTION AND LOWEST PRICES ANYWHERE GUARANTEED TO YOU AND YOUR FAMILY.

JOIN US IN THE WAR AGAINST THE GLOBALIST
SOFT-KILL CHEMICAL WEAPONS IN YOUR TAP WATER.
TAKE BACK YOUR FREEDOM. TAKE BACK YOUR HEALTH.

DON'T CONTINUE TO DRINK TOXIC WASTE THAT PUTS
YOUR LIFE AT RISK.



FILTERS AND SYSTEMS
AVAILABLE @

**INFO
WARS**

STORE.COM

1.888.253.3139



AM/FM LIGHT TEMP COMPASS CLOCK ALARM SIREN SOLAR DYNAMO

INFOWARS RADIO SOLUTIONS

Voyager

KA500

KA888

Perfect for camping, travel,
or any emergency situation.

INFOWARS SHOP.COM



WANT YOUR VOICE HEARD?

WRITE TO INFOWARS & GET THE CHANCE TO BE FEATURED IN UPCOMING ISSUES OF INFOWARS MAGAZINE

SEND US YOUR
THOUGHTS,
COMMENTS &
ARTWORK.

letters@infowars.com • twitter.com/infowarsmag • facebook.com/infowarsmagazine • graphics@infowars.com
address: P.O. Box 19549 Austin, TX 78760

Table of Contents



ARTICLES

- | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| 10 | WILL AMERICANS PUT UP MILITARY TRAINED TO ATTACK THEM? | 44 | FORMER NSA HEAD: GOVERNMENT PRIVACY SAME AS PERSONAL |
| | ADAN SALAZAR | | STEVE WATSON |
| 18 | U.N. PEACE KEEPERS GO ON OFFENSIVE IN AFRICA | 51 | SCHOOL TEST TEACHES KIDS TO OBEY GOVERNMENT COMMANDS |
| | KURT NIMMO | | STEVE WATSON |
| 20 | MILITARY LEADERS ASKED IF THEY WILL DISARM AMERICANS | 58 | GAY ACTIVIST CALLS FOR MANDATORY ABORTIONS |
| | PAUL JOSEPH WATSON & KIT DANIELS | | PAUL JOSEPH WATSON |
| 22 | "BYE BYE JAPAN" | 60 | SCHOOL PLACES CHILDREN UNDER CONSTANT SURVEILLANCE |
| | ANTHONY GUCCIARDI | | KIT DANIELS |
| 37 | OBAMA'S SECRET TREATY WILL MERGE AMERICA INTO GLOBAL ECONOMY | 61 | DHS STEALTH SPY GRID BLANKETS SEATTLE |
| | MICHAEL SNYDER | | ANTHONY GUCCIARDI, MIKAEL THALEN & PAUL JOSEPH WATSON |
| 42 | E.U. SET TO MONITOR "INTOLERANT" CITIZENS | | |
| | PAUL JOSEPH WATSON | | |

**ON
THE
COVER**



written by: **Kurt Nimmo**

46

**FEAR DRIVES JOURNALISTS
INTO SELF-CENSORSHIP**



written by: **Kurt Nimmo**

52

**PROPAGANDA, PSY-OPS &
COINTELPRO**




written by: **Paul Joseph Watson
& Alex Jones**

64

**FEDS DEPLOY NATIONAL SPY
SYSTEM OF MICROPHONES**

2013

THE YEAR MAINSTREAM MEDIA DIED



One year ago, we feared the death of our nation at the hands of the globalist banksters. Unsatisfied with the simple conquest of national powers and political monopoly, they tightened their grip around the fleshy throat of the vulnerable public. We took to the streets, the courtrooms, the airwaves, and the internet to shake the sleeping giant that was our dying republic out of its consumerist coma, and our country heard us. She fights through the groggy haze of false-narrative opiates to wake up and see the hope. We watch the liberty movement grow stronger each day as the propaganda peddlers of the near-extinct mainstream media pants for breath and believability on a steady diet of government life support. It is only a matter of time and circumstance until all that is left of the dinosaur media are bones left for academics to pick through.



**CENTER
POSTER
PG. 34**



**SUBMIT
YOUR
LETTERS,
COMMENTS
& ART**

LETTERS@INFOWARS.COM
P.O. BOX 19549 AUSTIN, TX 78760

This present crisis in consciousness has been getting to me. I'm not sure if it's the computerized autonomy, the brainwashing, or the lack of respect that people are showing towards themselves and others around them. The water has boiled, the pot is steaming, our souls are screaming. Who out here is awake, alert, or even concerned with anything else other than themselves? Without hesitation I can answer 2% of the masses. The distractions are plenty and resolve from the self-made issues seem to be fleeting. To list some of the distractions without getting into specifics or in any particular order of importance we have; entertainment, cellphones, eyephones, sports (man's soap opera), politics, cars, clothes, jewelry, religion, and of course the envy of others. Can we be expected to have free-thought with any true creativity if we are bred to live in servility and obedience?

— Doug J.

You asked for art — here is music. My songs are free at reverbNation.com/ronkewin. Here are songs you may like: "Welcome to the Prison Planet," "FrankenFood," "Monsanto Gets Justice," "Who Does Our Army Work For," and "We the People."

— Rob K.

Hi Infowars Magazine, What I'm tired of is all the satanic music, that many kids admire. And they look up to the "artists." We have the same stuff on the charts over here in Denmark that you guys do. For example Rick Ross who talks about drug-raping women. But I have some much better alternatives, for example MC Jin. A Christian rapper who's now out of the spotlight. Because he had too much personality for the industry.


— Claus L.

I want to share my experience with dropping off copies of the mag at Starbucks this A.M. I brought 5 copies and put one on a couple tables and then 3 next to the community books. Almost instantly, a middle aged woman picked up a copy and took it with her to a table and began reading it — actually reading it — while she drank her coffee! SCORE!!!! It literally gave me goosebumps to know that I may have freed someone's mind. Not a minute later, the raging trendy liberal picks up a copy, takes one look at Prozac the Killer Clown and says, "Ohh my gaaawwd, look at THIS! LOOOK at this." and promptly handed it to the manager who promptly threw it away. I was happy that the trendy lady didn't notice the other 4 copies that were hanging around. Perhaps the next cover should just be all black with "Forbidden Information" on the front like the bumper sticker? I also finally received my iodine and it's actually delicious — tastes like honey. I will report back my results.


— Ben L.



Seen on the 7thAve subway
in Brooklyn, NYC.
-Jackie T.




Spreading the scary truth on
"hallows eve." - Anonymous



Seen on the 7thAve subway
in Brooklyn, NYC.
-Jackie T.

FAN PICTURES



Chicago street graphics.
- Gary P.

Infowars Sites

www.infowars.com

Home of the #1 Internet news show in the world.

www.prisonplanet.com

Reporters on the scene, articles, and special coverage.

www.prisonplanet.tv

Get access to all the channels: The Alex Jones Show, Nightly News, Exclusive Video Reports, 60+ Movies and Documentaries, Books, and more.

www.planetinfowars.com

Instantly connect to what's most important to you. Follow your friends, experts, favorite celebrities, and breaking news.

www.infowarsshop.com

Check out Infowars gear, exclusive Alex Jones Movies, Infowars Magazine, health and wellness, preparedness products, and more.

Social Sites:

Facebook.com/AlexanderEmerickJones
Twitter: @RealAlexJones
Youtube.com/TheAlexJonesChannel

graphics@infowars.com • letters@infowars.com • [twitter: @infowarsmag](https://twitter.com/infowarsmag) • [facebook: infowarsmagazine](https://facebook.com/infowarsmagazine)

visit us on the main social network:
facebook/infowarsmagazine

Credits

A Short Story of Us:

Infowars Magazine was founded as a monthly publication in 2012 as the answer to the eminent threat of internet censorship. The first issue debuted in September 2012, bringing the fight for the Infowar to a new front, the changing landscape of print media. Over 100,000 copies are distributed world-wide each month, spreading truth journalism with the passion of grassroots publication and the permanence of physical print. Our distribution points across the globe continue to grow and our subscriptions increase as people like you take the Infowar to the streets, providing magazines to stores, restaurants, businesses, and neighborhoods. Take a stand against tyranny and join the front lines in the fight for freedom. Subscribe today, advertise with us, or order in bulk to become a micro-distributor.

About Alex Jones:

The Alex Jones Show is a nationally syndicated news/talk show based out of Austin, TX. The show is syndicated by the Genesis Communication Network on over 60 AM and FM radio stations across the United States, and has gained a large internet based audience. You can listen to the show on demand over the internet, either when the show is on the air (Mon-Fri, 11:00 a.m.—2:00 p.m. CST and Sunday from 4:00.—6:00 PM CST) or you can stream a feed of the latest show at www.infowars.com/show.

Publisher
Alex Jones

Managing Editor
John McEntire

Art Director
Molly Rogers

Graphics Design
Molly Rogers
Rob Reeger
Carter Watkins

Contributing Writers
Alex Jones
Paul Joseph Watson
Kurt Nimmo
Steve Watson
Kit Daniels
Adan Salazar
Anthony Gucciardi
Mikael Thalen
Michael Snyder

Project Manager
Tim Fruge

Contributing Artist
Anthony Freda

Editorial Assistants
Kit Daniels
Kelen McBreen

SUBSCRIPTIONS and bulk orders are available online at www.infowarsstore.com or through customer service at 1-888-253-3139 • **ADVERTISING** inquiries can be directed to 512-646-4414 or advertising@infowars.com • **INFORMATION** requests, whether general or editorial, can be found by contacting letters@infowars.com • **Infowars Magazine** is published by Free Speech Systems, LLC. 12 times a year with a circulation of 100,000 copies. All content within this magazine is copyright of Free Speech Systems, LLC. To receive a free electronic version of the magazine, become an Infowars Insider by signing up at www.infowars.com/newletter • **PERMISSION** to reprint original Infowars articles in whole or in part is gladly granted, provided full credit is given. Some articles are copyrighted by our contributing writers, so check to see if copyright-free status applies. The articles appearing within this publication reflect the opinions and attitudes of their respective authors and not necessarily those of the publisher, advertisers, or editorial team.

for more information:

www.infowars.com, infowarsstore.com, letters@infowars.com, or call 1.888.253.3139

THE VERY WORD "SECRECY" IS REPUGNANT IN A FREE AND OPEN SOCIETY; AND WE ARE AS A PEOPLE INHERENTLY AND HISTORICALLY OPPOSED TO SECRET SOCIETIES, TO SECRET OATHS, AND TO SECRET PROCEEDINGS. WE DECIDED LONG AGO THAT THE DANGERS OF EXCESSIVE AND UNWARRANTED CONCEALMENT OF PERTINENT FACTS FAR OUTWEIGHED THE DANGERS WHICH ARE CITED TO JUSTIFY IT. EVEN TODAY, THERE IS LITTLE VALUE IN OPPOSING THE THREAT OF A CLOSED SOCIETY BY IMITATING ITS ARBITRARY RESTRICTIONS. EVEN TODAY, THERE IS LITTLE VALUE IN INSURING THE SURVIVAL OF OUR NATION IF OUR TRADITIONS DO NOT SURVIVE WITH IT. AND THERE IS VERY GRAVE DANGER THAT AN ANNOUNCED NEED FOR INCREASED SECURITY WILL BE SEIZED UPON BY THOSE ANXIOUS TO EXPAND ITS MEANING TO THE VERY LIMITS OF OFFICIAL CENSORSHIP AND CONCEALMENT ... FOR WE ARE OPPOSED AROUND THE WORLD BY A MONOLITHIC AND RUTHLESS CONSPIRACY THAT RELIES PRIMARILY ON COVERT MEANS FOR EXPANDING ITS SPHERE OF INFLUENCE ... IT IS A SYSTEM WHICH HAS CONSCRIPTED VAST HUMAN AND MATERIAL RESOURCES INTO THE BUILDING OF A TIGHTLY KNIT, HIGHLY EFFICIENT MACHINE THAT COMBINES MILITARY, DIPLOMATIC, INTELLIGENCE, ECONOMIC, SCIENTIFIC, AND POLITICAL OPERATIONS. ITS PREPARATIONS ARE CONCEALED, NOT PUBLISHED. ITS MISTAKES ARE BURIED, NOT HEADLINED. ITS DISSENTERS ARE SILENCED, NOT PRAISED. NO EXPENDITURE IS QUESTIONED. NO RUMOR IS PRINTED. NO SECRET IS REVEALED ... NO PRESIDENT SHOULD FEAR PUBLIC SCRUTINY OF HIS PROGRAM. FOR FROM THAT SCRUTINY COMES UNDERSTANDING; AND FROM THAT UNDERSTANDING COMES SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION. AND BOTH ARE NECESSARY ... FOR I HAVE COMPLETE CONFIDENCE IN THE RESPONSE AND DEDICATION OF OUR CITIZENS WHENEVER THEY ARE FULLY INFORMED ... WITHOUT DEBATE, WITHOUT CRITICISM, NO ADMINISTRATION AND NO COUNTRY CAN SUCCEED—AND NO REPUBLIC CAN SURVIVE ... AND THAT IS WHY OUR PRESS WAS PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT — THE ONLY BUSINESS IN AMERICA SPECIFICALLY PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTION — NOT PRIMARILY TO AMUSE AND ENTERTAIN, NOT TO EMPHASIZE THE TRIVIAL AND THE SENTIMENTAL, NOT TO SIMPLY "GIVE THE PUBLIC WHAT IT WANTS" — BUT TO INFORM, TO AROUSE, TO REFLECT, TO STATE OUR DANGERS AND OUR OPPORTUNITIES, TO INDICATE OUR CRISES AND OUR CHOICES, TO LEAD, MOLD, EDUCATE, AND SOMETIMES EVEN ANGER PUBLIC OPINION. THIS MEANS GREATER COVERAGE AND ANALYSIS OF INTERNATIONAL NEWS — FOR IT IS NO LONGER FAR AWAY AND FOREIGN, BUT CLOSE AT HAND AND LOCAL. IT MEANS GREATER ATTENTION TO IMPROVED UNDERSTANDING OF THE NEWS AS WELL AS IMPROVED TRANSMISSION. AND IT MEANS, FINALLY, THAT GOVERNMENT AT ALL LEVELS, MUST MEET ITS OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE FULLEST POSSIBLE INFORMATION OUTSIDE THE NARROWEST LIMITS OF NATIONAL SECURITY ... AND SO IT IS TO THE PRINTING PRESS — TO THE RECORDER OF MAN'S DEEDS, THE KEEPER OF HIS CONSCIENCE, THE COURIER OF HIS NEWS — THAT WE LOOK FOR STRENGTH AND ASSISTANCE, CONFIDENT THAT WITH YOUR HELP MAN WILL BE WHAT HE WAS BORN TO BE: FREE AND INDEPENDENT.

— PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY TO THE AMERICAN
NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION, APRIL 27, 1961

QUOTE OF THE MONTH

Will Americans Put Up With the Military Being Trained to Attack Them?

The Answer May Unfortunately Be a Resounding “Yes”

written by: **Adan Salazar**



As evidence mounts that the U.S. military is being trained to engage citizens domestically, the lack of outcry from the American people has been astounding.

Exactly why the military is undergoing training in this regard is still unclear. It could be related to a potential welfare state collapse, which could be triggered by cuts to food stamps or social security benefits. According to the Social Security Administration, “9 out of 10 individuals age 65 and older receive Social Security benefits.” The arms buildup could also be in response to the slow motion financial collapse, which can only be accelerated through the implementation of Obamacare and which banks have already been warned to prepare for.

Another possibility is that the military is gearing up for another type of staged false flag event, which is desperately needed to relieve Obama’s flagging approval rating, and which will in turn help further instill fear in Americans and keep them looking to government for solutions. A gun

confiscation offensive targeting “bitter clingers,” tea party conservatives, or “conspiracy theorists” who support the Second Amendment is also not completely out of the picture, as one Military Policeman in Arizona recently confirmed to Infowars.

No matter the explanation, a few things are clear. Ammunition, lethal and nonlethal firearms, and riot gear are being stockpiled at an alarming rate, along with checkpoints, military vehicles, and armed personnel for federal buildings. Confirmed rumors that the military is weeding out several generals and enlistees using a “litmus test” to gauge whether or not they will fire upon American citizens, in addition to using shooting range targets depicting armed pregnant women, children, and elderly citizens in residential settings, and domestic training exercises and army manuals, only exacerbate worries that the government is preparing for imminent civil unrest.

While Infowars and others

have diligently documented these unprecedented developments, the sleeping giant that is the American people has thus far failed to offer a reciprocal response through protest, activism, or other means. The question must be asked: will Americans put up with their own countrymen attacking them? All signs unfortunately point to a resounding “yes.”

Americans already have complete apathy towards eugenicist practices carried out by the likes of Planned Parenthood in the form of abortion, sterilization, and other artificially induced procedures.

Americans are already putting up with cops that conduct proctology exams on the side of the road and forced colonoscopies inside hospitals.

Americans already put up with bureaucrats repeatedly and unapologetically lying to them on a near daily basis.

Americans already put up with a government that ships arms and funds to foreign mercenaries, such as the al-Qaeda offshoot Jabhat al-Nusra in Syria and other parts of the world, while they simultaneously promote gun control



AMMUNITION, LETHAL AND NONLETHAL FIREARMS, AND RIOT GEAR ARE BEING STOCKPILED AT AN ALARMING RATE, ALONG WITH CHECKPOINTS, MILITARY VEHICLES, AND ARMED PERSONNEL FOR FEDERAL BUILDINGS.

within their own borders.

Americans already put up with a federally funded domestic spy network and tech software which ubiquitously monitors and logs conversations, phone calls, texts, emails, and web pages visited.

Americans already put up with degrading so-called security procedures, like the TSA sticking hands down their pants, snapping naked x-ray photos of them and grabbing their genitals, all the while gradually moving outside the scope of airports.

Americans already put up with massive wealth redistribution schemes, like Obamacare which, under the guise of wanting to keep everyone healthy, is actually set up to annihilate the middle class through increased taxation.

Indeed, it seems Americans know no limit when it comes to allowing the federal government to further erase liberties in the name of safety, activities which far exceed what America's forebearers initiated a revolt over. A domestic standing army aimed at the American people can only be stopped if enough people are awake to it.

Something I missed? The conversation continues on Twitter with the hashtag: #AmericansAlreadyPutUpWith, where everyone is urged to describe other ways in which the American people have stood down while their rights and liberties were slowly stripped away.

REARVIEW CAMERA



REARVIEW

RECORD ▶ AUDIO/VIDEO OF
WHAT LIES AHEAD

INFOWARSSTORE.COM 1.888.253.3139

1.888.253.3139

Have a Tasty Christmas!



Consider your health this Christmas
www.infowarshealth.com



SURVIVAL SEED VAULT

- Non-GMO
- Non-Hybrid
- Open Pollinated



- 20 VARIETIES OF HARDY HEIRLOOM SURVIVAL SEEDS PASSED DOWN FROM OUR FOREFATHERS.
- SURVIVAL SEEDS RATED FOR 5+ YEARS OF STORAGE AT 75F. LONGER AT LOWER TEMPERATURES.
- NO HYBRIDS, GMOS, OR OUTDATED SURVIVAL SEEDS.
- ALL HARVESTED SEEDS ARE REUSABLE.
- EACH SEED BANK IS HAND-INSPECTED AND PACKED TO ENSURE QUALITY.
- INCLUDES DETAILED SURVIVAL SEED SAVING GUIDE.

1.888.253.3139

INFOWARSSTORE.COM

DRINK DIFFERENT

PORTABLE, LIGHTWEIGHT, AND
REMOVES THE GLOBALIST
CHEMICAL WEAPON FLUORIDE

INFOWARSSTORE.COM

1.888.253.3139



GET THE GEAR

HATS
BEANIES
BRACELETS
T-SHIRTS
& MORE.

A woman with long blonde hair is sitting on a staircase with red and yellow steps. She is wearing a black t-shirt with a 'We the People' logo, dark pants, and high-heeled sandals. The background is a collage of American flags and cursive text.

INFOWARSSTORE.COM 1.888.253.3139

TUNE IN

FIND THE FREE PODCAST AND VIDEOS AT
INFOWARS.COM/SHOW



New Line The Old Way

COMING SOON to INFOWARSSTORE.COM



CUSTOM ROASTED / SHADE GROWN / 100% ORGANIC / ARABICA / FAIR TRADE

AVAILABLE IN TWO VARIETIES



COFFEE / 1.888.253.3139
AVAILABLE @ **INFO WARS STORE.COM**

**PRINT JOURNALISM IS FAST
BECOMING A DISTANT MEMORY
AS THE ESTABLISHMENT PRESS
RAPIDLY LOSES ITS AUDIENCE TO
INDEPENDENT MEDIA OUTLETS ON
THE INTERNET.**



written by: **Paul Joseph Watson**

New Media Wars: The Empire Strikes Back

Establishment Seeks to Assimilate or Eliminate Independent Media

The dinosaur media is in terminal decline, but it will not go down without a fight, which is why the establishment is relying on a number of different techniques to remain relevant while strangling its competition. Recent polls show that trust in mainstream media is hovering at record lows. Print journalism is fast becoming a distant memory as the establishment press rapidly loses its audience to independent media outlets on the Internet.

Far from accepting its fate meekly, the system has rolled out the big guns in a desperate bid to either eliminate or assimilate the burgeoning alternative press. The latest example is buried within the secretive Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement (TPP) and concerns “intellectual property enforcement.” Put simply, the agreement will mandate ISPs to remove online content without the need for a burden of proof or any kind of legal process, greasing the skids for aggressive and disproportionate censorship-driven takedowns.

“If instituted, the TPP’s IP regime would trample over individual rights and free expression, as well as ride roughshod over the intellectual and creative commons,” reads a Wikileaks statement. “If you read, write, publish, think, listen, dance, sing, or invent; if you farm or consume food; if you’re ill now or might one day be ill, the TPP has you in its crosshairs.”

Another way the mainstream media is trying to strangle alternative voices that might challenge its narrative is by phasing out or banning article comments altogether. Studies confirm that article comment sections — for better or worse — are heavily swaying public opinion.

Popular Science and BoingBoing.net recently announced their decision to kill comment sections on their website. *The New York Times* also recently indicated that it is scaling back comments and removing them from some articles altogether. As an excellent Daily Tech piece highlights, *Popular Science* admitted that its decision to pull the comment section was in order to preach a “scientific doctrine” on global warming without being challenged. The move to kill off comments altogether comes after a largely failed attempt on behalf of major companies and governments around the world to hire armies of paid employees to troll comments by disseminating pro-establishment positions in a bid to shift popular perceptions.

In 2010, the Canadian government announced it would, “monitor online chatter about political issues and correct what it perceives as misinformation.” Turkey, Israel, and China are just some of the governments openly hiring paid trolls to steer public opinion, while the Pentagon announced earlier this year that it is stepping up its public relations efforts in order to counter

scandals about the U.S. government emerging on huge, but non-establishment, news outlets like the Drudge Report.

In 2011, it was also revealed that the U.S. government, “contracted HBGary Federal for the development of software which could create multiple fake social media profiles to manipulate and sway public opinion on controversial issues by promoting propaganda.” Another way the establishment media will look to reassert itself is by posing as alternative media — funding news outlets that purport to be independent yet are backed by government or corporate cash.

Large mainstream news organizations like ITN are busy creating offshoot platforms that present themselves as “citizen journalism” yet are ultimately bankrolled and controlled by the establishment media itself. Meanwhile, large news organizations that initially operated somewhat outside of the influence of the establishment media, like *The Huffington Post*, are being quickly swallowed up by giant corporations like AOL.

The mainstream media will attempt to use all of these methods and more to either supplant or destroy independent voices that are not controlled by the establishment, which is why independent media needs to be more watchful than ever if the Internet is to remain a bastion of free speech and continue to provide a genuine alternative to the corporate press.

United Nations “Peacekeepers” Will Now Go on the Offensive in Africa

Fresh Blood for the Ongoing Move to Pilfer Africa’s Resources.

A RAMPED UP U.N. MILITARY POSTURE NOT ONLY THREATENS THE SOVEREIGNTY OF AFRICANS, BUT OTHER PEOPLE WHO MIGHT RESIST THE GEOPOLITICAL MACHINATIONS OF THE ELITE.

Blue helmets will take military action against militias in the war-torn Congo, *The Washington Times* reported late last week. They will no longer simply stand on the sidelines, but will take an active role in the warfare between rival tribal groups. “To be a peacekeeper doesn’t mean you need to be passive,” General Carlos Alberto dos Santos Cruz told the newspaper. “To be a peacekeeper, you need to take action. The way to protect the civilians is to take action. If you see the history of atrocities here, it justifies action.”

Formerly, the globalist organization did its best to present a neutral presence as favored governments and handpicked proxies engaged in military action. Stakes in the Democratic Republic of Congo, however, are high. It is a mineral rich area where much of the world’s coltan is mined. Coltan, short for columbite–tantalite and known industrially as tantalite, is used to manufacture tantalum capacitors used in electronics, especially cell phones.

The CIA has worked behind the scenes for decades in the Congo. It began with the assassination of the country’s first prime minister after independence from Belgium, the socialist Patrice Lumumba. The United Nations worked hand-in-glove with the United States and the CIA to destabilize the Congo and set it up for the bloody conflict that continues there today. Since the establishment of Africom, the U.S. Africa Command, and the importation of al-Qaeda and other CIA-created terror groups in northern Africa, a renewed emphasis has been placed on stepped-up balkanization and pacification of the continent.

Congolese “minerals are vital to maintaining U.S. military dominance, economic prosperity, and consumer satisfaction,” write Dena Montague and Frida Berrigan. “Because the United States does not have a domestic supply of many essential minerals, the U.S. government identifies sources of strategic minerals, particularly in Third World countries, then encourages U.S. corporations to invest in and facilitate production of the needed materials.”

In fact, the U.S. government is owned and operated by the global elite and their transnational corporations and bankster institutions, not other

way around. As should be readily apparent, a once affluent American middle class, thanks to decades of prosperity, financed the expansion of the U.S. military and its proxies into resource rich areas. The U.S. has consistently acted as an enforcer for the global financial and corporate elite.

Montague and Berrigan continue, "Tantalum, also referred to as coltan, is a particularly valuable resource — used to make mobile phones, night vision goggles, fiber optics, and capacitors (the component that maintains

the electrical charge in computer chips). In fact, a global shortage of coltan caused a wave of parental panic in the United States [in] Christmas [of 2000] when it resulted in the scarcity of the popular PlayStation 2. The Democratic Republic of the Congo holds 80 percent of the world's coltan reserves, more than 60 percent of the world's cobalt, and the world's largest supply of high-grade copper."

A ramped up U.N. military posture not only threatens the sovereignty of Africans, but other people who might

resist the geopolitical machinations of the elite. The U.S. military, while still a potent force on the world stage, has weakened considerably in the wake of more than a decade of military adventure, primarily in Iraq and Afghanistan. A fresh infusion of "peacekeepers" will be needed to enforce the next phase of globalist domination as China makes serious moves on the continent and the western global elite move to counter it.





Former Navy SEAL Ben Smith warns that the Obama administration is asking top brass in the military if they would be comfortable with disarming U.S. citizens, a litmus test that includes gauging whether they would be prepared to order NCOs to fire on Americans. Smith provoked headlines last week when he appeared on Fox News to assert that the federal government was trying to provoke veterans into violence as an excuse to implement martial law.

Speaking exclusively on the "Alex Jones Show," Smith stated, "Going back to the beginning of this administration, I've had

friends within the community talking about how they were brought in and questioned with people from more towards the top side and the questioning ... where it was pointing was do you feel comfortable disarming American citizens," adding that this was one of the many "funny things" occurring within the military.

When Smith was asked by radio host Alex Jones if this line of questioning included a litmus test whereby military officers were asked if they would fire on U.S. citizens, he responded in the affirmative. Smith said the litmus test was related to the recent firing of top military commanders Navy Vice Admiral

Tim Giardina and Major General Michael Carey. Smith linked the premise to former Obama advisor Van Jones, who was forced to resign in September 2009 after his links with a Marxist organization were revealed. "You drop the radical pose for the radical ends," said Smith, paraphrasing Van Jones.

The idea of U.S. soldiers being asked if they will fire on American citizens during a crisis or mass disarmament program has emerged from several different sources over the last two decades.

In January, 2009 Nobel Peace Prize nominee Jim Garrow claimed he was told by a top military veteran that the Obama administration's

Military Leaders Asked if They Will Disarm Americans

Litmus Test Includes Question of Whether Top Brass Are Comfortable With Ordering NCOs to Fire on U.S. Citizens

written by: **Paul Joseph Watson & Kit Daniels**

“litmus test” for new military leaders is whether or not they will obey an order to fire on U.S. Citizens. The survey was subsequently leaked because many of the Marines who took it were shocked by the tone of the question.

Concerns over U.S. troops being given orders to fire on American citizens in the event of mass gun confiscation first arose in May 1994 when hundreds of Marines at 29 Palms, California, were given a survey as part of an academic project by Navy Lieutenant Commander Ernest Guy Cunningham which asked the Marines if they would “fire upon U.S. citizens who refuse or resist confiscation of firearms banned by the United States government.”

Infowars also received and confirmed the identity and authenticity of a video shot in September 2013 by a member of the U.S. Army military police in Arizona, showing an army commander briefing the MPs on their new command structure under the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security for domestic operations with the National Guard. The MP began recording the exchange after being shocked to hear that they were now under FEMA control.

In the video, you can clearly hear the commander discuss the suspension of the

Constitution for martial law and gun confiscations in America. In essence, the military police is to provide security for FEMA while the agency confiscates our guns during a government-declared domestic crisis. “They did that in Katrina, right,” the commander said. “They just go on and take away people’s guns.”

This is yet another piece of the larger pattern of demonization of gun owners, libertarians, conservatives, Christians, and anyone who will not bow down to enslavement by a hijacked government occupied by ruthless tyrants who desire only total control.

The hijacked federal government has been meticulously preparing for martial law at a breakneck speed. Last year, a leaked U.S. Army manual entitled *FM 3-39.40 Internment and Resettlement Operations* outlined the responsibilities of army “PSYOP” officers to indoctrinate “political activists” into having an “appreciation of U.S. policies” while they are detained at detention camps within America.

Another training manual demonized Americans who embrace individual liberties as potential “extremists” and even referred to the Founding Fathers as examples of “extremists” in history. The *Washington U.S. Army Civil Disturbance Operations Manual* from 2006 broke down how military assets on U.S. soil


will be used to confiscate firearms, put down riots, and even kill Americans. This manual listed weapons to be used against American “dissidents,” including “anti-riot” grenades, and emphasized that “warning shots will not be fired.”

Earlier this year, Law Enforcement Targets, Inc., a provider of shooting targets to DHS and other federal agencies, sold a line of realistic-looking, “non-traditional” targets of pregnant women, children, and the elderly. One target in particular depicted a pregnant woman standing inside a nursery. These targets are designed to condition law enforcement into shooting these American “threats” without hesitation.

In addition to buying shooting targets of Americans, DHS also bought two billion rounds of ammunition for domestic operations last year. This excessive amount, enough to sustain the war in Iraq for 24 years, will ensure the rapid expansion of the police state during the civil chaos caused by the likely economic collapse of America.

We’ve already seen a police state takeover after the Boston Bombings, when armored police went door-to-door to enter and search homes without a warrant. The hijacked federal government is simply shedding our constitutional republic and purging what remains

THE IDEA OF U.S. SOLDIERS BEING ASKED IF THEY WILL FIRE ON AMERICAN CITIZENS DURING A CRISIS OR MASS DISARMAMENT PROGRAM HAS EMERGED FROM SEVERAL DIFFERENT SOURCES OVER THE LAST TWO DECADES.



Award-winning scientist David Suzuki has gone on record in a public talk posted online early November saying that in the event of another seven or above earthquake, which he claims has about a 95 percent chance of occurring over the next three years, it would mean a complete evacuation of North America and destruction of Japan. “I have seen a paper which says that if in fact the fourth plant goes under in an earthquake and those rods are exposed, it’s bye bye Japan, and everybody on the west coast of North America should evacuate,” Suzuki said.

As a recipient of 16 significant academic awards and a host of the popular CBC Television program entitled “The Nature of Things,” Suzuki was a headline speaker at the “Letting in the Light” scientific symposium that was focused around water ecology at the University of Alberta. Instead of simply discussing marine or freshwater ecosystems, Suzuki began issuing a very serious warning regarding the future of Fukushima and its overall predicted consequences for the entire planet. Specifically speaking to the nature of Fukushima’s ticking time bomb, Suzuki began the breakdown of the plant’s numerous threats with stating the very real concept

that Fukushima is perhaps the largest threat to both humanity and the planet that we face in the immediate future.

“Fukushima is the most terrifying situation I can imagine,” he said before delving into the issue. “Three out of the four plants were destroyed in the earthquake and in the tsunami. The fourth one has been so badly damaged that the fear is, if there’s another earthquake of a seven or above, that that building will go and then all hell breaks loose ... And the probability of a seven or above earthquake in the next three years is over 95 percent.”

It is that 95 percent chance of another seven or

'Bye Bye Japan'

Award-Winning Scientist Says Another 7.0 Earthquake Hitting Fukushima Would Mean U.S. Evacuations & No More Japan

written by: **Anthony Gucciardi**

above earthquake within the next three years that signals a completely dark scenario, but, as right as Suzuki is on this entire issue, he is also forgetting another threat — TEPCO's mission to launch their cleanup operation of the Fukushima site. Specifically, their move to begin the extraction of fuel rods from the fourth reactor at the plant. It is here where we also see yet another looming danger in regards to the cleanup process that is expected to ultimately take decades: the possibility of two rods colliding and generating a massive release of radiation as a result.

Experts like Dr. Helen Caldicott have spoken to the media on this subject in the past, stating

that "two rods could touch each other in this process, which has been done before, and there could be a fission reaction and a very large release of radiation."

Ultimately, the fact of the matter is that the Fukushima plant is teetering on the equivalent of nuclear life support, and the notoriously incompetent plant operators at TEPCO are about to go in and perform surgery. In the event that Suzuki is right and another earthquake hits within the next three years before TEPCO is able to perform a cleanup (which may very well be even more dangerous), leading to a complete meltdown, it would absolutely lead to a radioactive disaster

internationally — well beyond Japan and even the United States.

But don't count on your government health bodies to inform you of the risk or even tell you how you can better prepare yourself. Instead, they will likely start shutting off their radiation counters once again and raising the allowable limits of radiation in the food supply. For now, it is up to us to prepare ourselves and our family — making an effort to also spread the word and call on the public to demand action be taken in Fukushima under the guidance of top independent scientists.

SURVIVAL SHIELD
TRUE NASCENT IODINE
ALSO KNOWN AS ATOMIC IODINE

ARE YOU PREPARED?

THE #1 PRODUCT RECOMMENDED BY ALEX JONES


"The Only Option I Trust for My Family."
Alex Jones

Learn About the Incredible Properties of at infowarslife.com

INFOWARS L I F E +

ORDER BY PHONE: 1 . 8 8 8 . 2 5 3 . 3 1 3 9
MAIL ORDER: P.O. BOX 19549 AUSTIN TX 78760

not your *typical* stocking stuffer



because it's the *thought* that counts.

visit infowarsstore.com today


Preparedness Products • Health & Wellness • DVDs • Books • Infowars Gear
and so much more...

1.888.253.3139

1.888.253.3139

THE POWER OF SEAWEED

NATURAL DIETARY SUPPLEMENT



BOOSTS THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

PROMOTES HEALTHY THYROID GLAND

PURCHASE AT INFOWARSSTORE.COM

INFOWARS T-SHIRTS

GET THE GEAR!

BECAUSE THERE IS A WAR ON FOR YOUR MIND



1.888.253.3139

INFOWARSSTORE.COM

ALWAYS
have access to

SAFE

*Drinking
Water*

**INFO
WARS**

STORE.COM

*or
Call* | 888.253.3139


LifeStraw®



The Death Throes of Media Control

Mainstream Media Is Losing the War for Your Mind

written by: **Kit Daniels**



The Constitution describes a government that is now extinct. Instead of a constitutional republic of limited powers, we now have a bureaucratic oligarchy of unlimited powers vested to over 250 unelected heads of various federal agencies. The Founding Fathers understood that such an unlimited government will continuously create regulations which leech on the productivity of the American people until there is nothing left, leaving the country completely destroyed. That is why they wrote the Constitution as a framework to put limits on the exercise of political power, yet we are now living under the very scenario which they had then feared.

This descent into tyranny really began to accelerate in the 1920s and '30s when Congress started granting executive, legislative, and judicial power to regulatory commissions which are largely unchecked and unchallenged by Congress, the courts, and the American people. But why didn't Americans at the time stand up against this? In those decades, politicians, bankers, and media moguls began to suppress organized resistance to their agenda by "manufacturing" consent from Americans through propaganda techniques developed during World War I.

At the time, Walter Lippmann, a journalist and political commentator, declared that in order to "advance" democracy, it was vital to persuade Americans to agree to things that they did not want. This "advancement" of democracy leads away from the limited constitutional republic that our founders created, which is precisely what the elite intended. Unlimited government requires that the population relinquish control over their own affairs and be kept disorganized and distracted through purposeful deception.

Lippmann and others developed the view that only the elite had the intellect and rationality to decide the public's best interests. To them, the public, the overwhelming majority of the American people, were simply too dumb to manage their own affairs and therefore must be led like cattle towards the future world envisioned by those in control.

Not considered the decision-makers, the American people are meant to be mere spectators in society, only participating on occasion during political elections to decide which one of the elites will "represent" them. The mainstream media, serving the elite, works to control the public's mind by keeping them distracted with meaningless issues that encourage in-fighting amongst themselves while the establishment gains more ground without adversity.

Mass entertainment, such as sitcoms and sports, also allow Americans to divert their attention away from reality to the benefit of the elite. The left-right paradigm, another tool of the establishment, oversimplifies the public's perception of the world so that they falsely believe that everything is simply black and white, one extreme against the other, red vs. blue. While stuck in the paradigm, people are led to believe that their opponents only exist on the opposite side of the spectrum and are completely unaware of the real power

structure towering above.

The purpose of all of this is to keep individuals from organizing under a common cause against the establishment. As long as they are isolated and fighting amongst themselves, individuals will not resist tyranny even when they can do so successfully. Yet the elite has not been completely successful in keeping everyone separated and under control.

As we've recently seen from the Ron Paul campaign and other popular liberty movements, a new era of organized resistance is emerging. Americans who desire real change are discovering that there are others just like them willing to stand up for the truth. We only have to look at the continuous ratings decline of cable news networks and the plummeting Congressional approval rating to see that this resistance is working.

In September, Nielsen Media Research revealed that MSNBC's viewership declined during the third quarter of 2013, with total day ratings down 27 percent and primetime ratings down 39 percent. The network's signature program, "The Rachel Maddow Show," drew its lowest-rated quarter ever in that period.

Another news network, CNN, recorded its lowest ratings in 20 years in 2012. During a panel discussion that year at a trade show for cable television, Steve Scully, a C-Span producer, asked CNN anchor John King to describe CNN's audience. "Well, fewer people at the moment, which is part of our challenge," King said according to *The Washington Examiner*. "You can laugh all you want ... It's a challenge."

Although the network made a rebound this year and is consistently posting higher ratings than MSNBC, Mediabistro.com reported recently that CNN has been struggling as of late. The web site also reported in August that Fox News had the highest ratings in cable news, but the network suffered from year-over-year losses in viewership. Furthermore, Mediabistro.com stated that the network posted its lowest rating in the age 25 to 54 demographic since 2001 during that month.

An even more telling trend is the decline in believability ratings for all of these networks. Pew Research Center revealed that from 2010 to 2012, CNN's rating dropped from 65 percent to 58 percent, MSNBC went from 60 percent to 50 percent and Fox News fell from 56 percent to 49 percent. Since 2002, these ratings have been declining steadily, with only





WHILE STUCK IN THE PARADIGM, PEOPLE ARE LED TO BELIEVE THAT THEIR OPPONENTS ONLY EXIST ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE SPECTRUM AND ARE COMPLETELY UNAWARE OF THE REAL POWER STRUCTURE TOWERING ABOVE.

a tiny uptick in 2008 which has since been lost.

Congress is also viewed poorly in the public's eye. Real Clear Politics reported that the Congressional job approval rating is now in the single-digits at 9 percent as of this writing and the disapproval rating is at an unprecedented 85.1 percent.

Despite the elite's attempts to control

the public's mind through mass media and other avenues of entertainment, people are beginning to think for themselves rather than accept lies and misinformation without question. Americans are waking up from their slumber induced by deception and those in power are being held accountable for their lies.

Welcome to America, Now Bend Over

Police Terrorize Public With Warrantless Anal Probes in
Freedom-Eviscerating “War on Drugs” Fallout

written by:
Steve Watson

When the animated series *South Park* lampooned the TSA, it did so by suggesting that screeners routinely demand that Americans passing through airports must submit to anal examinations. The exaggerated humor served to highlight a serious point – that TSA agents everywhere are violating Americans’ privacy and constitutional rights by groping their genitals and searching inside their underwear. A disturbing series of recent cases suggests that this exact thing is now happening for real in the U.S. – except it isn’t confined to airports, it is being carried out by police on the streets and by doctors in hospitals at the behest of law enforcement officials.

When the animated series *South Park* lampooned the TSA, it did so by suggesting that screeners routinely demand that Americans passing through airports must submit to anal examinations. The exaggerated humor served to highlight a serious point — that TSA agents everywhere are violating Americans’ privacy and constitutional rights by groping their genitals and searching inside their underwear. A disturbing series of recent cases suggests that this exact thing is now happening for real in the U.S. — except it isn’t confined to airports, it is being carried out by police on the streets and by doctors in hospitals at the behest of law enforcement officials.

The stories have centered on New Mexico, where not one, not two, but three cases of law enforcement officials enforcing humiliating and demeaning anal probes and body cavity searches without consent have been reported in recent weeks. By far the most appalling of these cases was that of David Eckert, who was subjected to 14 hours of medical probes at the hands of doctors following orders from deputies with the Hidalgo County Sheriff’s Office and police officers with the City of Deming.

Eckert’s crime? He did not come to a complete stop at a stop sign. After pulling Eckert over, officers approached with a drug sniffing dog which alerted to a possible odor on the seat of his car. The officers then suggested Eckert was “clenching his buttocks” and that

they had probable cause to have him examined. While a doctor at one emergency room refused to go along with the “unethical” process, physicians at the Gila Regional Medical Center in Silver City agreed to carry out the anal exams, happy that the police had secured a “search warrant” from a judge.

The medical report released as part of the case indicates that police ordered doctors to carry out no less than eight anal and abdominal searches, consisting of two x-rays, two anal penetration examinations by hand, three enemas, forcing Eckert to defecate in front of doctors and police each time, and finally a full colonoscopy, involving insertion of a camera into his anus, rectum, colon, and large intestine. At no point were any drugs discovered. Eckert never gave consent for any of the procedures to be carried out and was vocally resistant throughout. The police and doctors involved are now facing a hefty lawsuit with the possibility of those involved being sacked and banned from law enforcement and the medicine practice respectively.

While Eckert’s attorneys are questioning whether a judge even has the authority to issue such a warrant to search inside a person’s body, what is not under any doubt is the fact that the horrific medical procedures were carried out in a different county than the one in which the said warrant had apparent jurisdiction. Some of the procedures were also undertaken in the hours after the warrant had expired.



CHEBBI

“This is like something out of a science fiction film, anal probing by government officials and public employees,” said Eckert’s attorney, Shannon Kennedy, who is arguing that there was not sufficient probable cause in the case. “If the officers in Hidalgo County and the City of Deming are seeking warrants for anal cavity searches based on how they’re standing, and the warrant allows doctors at the Gila Hospital of Horrors to go in and do enemas and colonoscopies without consent, then anyone can be seized, and that’s why the public needs to know about this,” the attorney added.

But this was not the end of the story. Eckert’s attorney has uncovered another case almost identical to his ordeal, involving a second man being forcefully anally probed at the hands of the same police department and the same doctors. After not using his blinker at a junction, Timothy Young was pulled over by cops in Lordsburg, New Mexico, and subjected to a search involving the very same drug sniffing dog that featured in Eckert’s ordeal. When the dog again alerted, Young was shipped off to the Gila Regional Medical Center and subjected to anal exams and x-rays of his stomach, without giving his consent. The

examinations again turned up nothing, and it again turned out that the “search warrant” obtained by the cops was issued in a different county to where the medical exams took place.

The attorney in Eckert’s case also discovered that that the drug sniffing dog’s certification expired in 2011 and was never renewed. The law states that drug dogs must be re-certified every year. “We have done public requests to find anything that would show this dog has been trained. We have evidence that this dog has had false alerts in the past,” Kennedy said.

Following intense media coverage, a third person from New Mexico, this



time a woman, has come forward with a similar story. Representing the woman, who wishes to remain anonymous, the ACLU states that federal border patrol agents pressed their fingers into her vagina and anus without consent and without a warrant, following a drug dog alerting to her at a Port of Entry from Juarez, Mexico, into El Paso, Texas.

The agents are said to have stripped searched the woman at their facility, asked her to spread her genitalia and to cough. Female agents also allegedly pressed their fingers into her vagina looking for drugs, but turned up nothing. Medical records examined by the ACLU revealed that the woman did not consent to the strip search or having her private parts sexually molested. The woman's ordeal then continued as the agents delivered her to the University Medical Center of El Paso.

"First, medical staff observed her making a bowel movement, and no drugs were found at that point," said Laura Schaur Ives, Legal Director for the New Mexico Chapter of the ACLU. "They then took an x-ray, but it did not reveal any contraband. They then did a cavity search and they probed her vagina and her anus, they described in the medical records as bi-manual — two handed. Finally, they did a cat scan. Again, they found nothing."

These cases indicate that police are conducting these procedure as a matter of routine when a drug sniffing dog reacts to anyone they stop. The Americans in these cases have been treated worse than prisoners would be in maximum security detention facilities, with total disregard for the Fourth Amendment, which is supposed to protect against unreasonable searches and seizures.

It should also be noted that a prominent study from 2011 found that only 44 percent of all canine "alerts" turn up any contraband. The analysis conducted by the *Chicago Tribune* also found that in Chicago, the number of false "alerts" jumped to 73 percent when police were confronting a Latino suspect. While this may mean that dogs are being purposefully manipulated into reacting


by discriminatory cops, a further study published in the January 2011 edition of the scientific journal *Animal Cognition* found that dogs are overwhelmingly influenced by their handlers' belief that drugs may be present. The author of the study, Lisa Lit, noted that this aspect "might be as important — or even more important — than the sensitivity of a dog's nose" in such cases.

The three cases described above are far from isolated incidents. In July of this year, two Houston women, made headlines when Texas Department of Transportation (DPS) troopers performed embarrassing body cavity searches in plain view on both women along a Houston highway. The justification for examining both the women's anuses and vaginas was "an odor of marijuana" in their vehicle. In a dash-cam video of the incident, the trooper is heard telling one of the young women "we're about to get up close and personal with your womanly parts." After the search was concluded the women complained of "severe and continuing pain and discomfort."

Even more disturbingly, the trooper did not change her gloves between cavity searches, a blatant health and safety violation. The two women have filed a federal lawsuit against the DPS and are currently in litigation. While the senior trooper was later fired, the trooper that followed the order to conduct the cavity searches was reinstated to duty, following a short suspension, after a grand jury failed to indict her on any wrongdoings.

In an almost exact replica of this case, a trooper named Kelley Helleson from North Texas was charged with two counts of sexual assault and two counts of official oppression by a Dallas County grand jury back in March, after carrying out a search of the body cavities — front and back — of two women along an exit ramp of the Bush Turnpike in Irving.

The women, Angel Dobbs, 38, and her niece, Ashley Dobbs, 24, were stopped after one of them threw a cigarette out of their car window. Another trooper on the scene, David Farrell, was charged with theft after one of the women complained that he stole her prescription bottle of



the painkiller hydrocodone. The lawsuit also says Helleson failed to properly explain the extent of the search, telling the women “not to worry about” why she was putting on blue latex gloves. DPS Director Steve McCraw, who oversaw both the cases described above, is accused in the second lawsuit of failing to address a “long standing pattern of police misconduct involving unlawful strip searches, cavity searches, and the like...”

These ordeals, which amount to a gross violation of civil rights, make the TSA’s airport groping shenanigans seem somewhat tame in comparison. In numerous other reported cases, while police are stopping short of full on anal and vaginal searches, they are still terrorizing people in the streets by searching inside their underwear, in plain view of the public. Police are also routinely stopping drivers and demanding blood, urine, and even DNA samples without proper authorization.

The common factor in all of these cases is that police are using the suspicion of a presence of narcotics as justification for conducting blatantly unconstitutional searches. Unfortunately, this is the horrific fallout of the over hyped “War on Drugs” that the government and the media have been drilling into the minds of law enforcement officials for over 20 years.

Prominent non-governmental organization Transform Drug Policy Foundation summarizes the erosion of human rights in the face of a manufactured threat, “Like the War on Terror, the War on Drugs is framed as a response to an exceptional, existential threat to our health, our security, and indeed the very fabric of society. The ‘addiction to narcotic drugs’ is portrayed as an ‘evil’ the international community has a moral duty to ‘combat’ because it is a ‘danger of incalculable gravity’ that warrants a series of (otherwise publicly unacceptable) extraordinary measures. This is not an exaggeration of the political rhetoric. This crusading language has created a political climate in which drug war policy and enforcement are not required to meet human rights norms.”

In addition to the bill of rights, every

form of dignity is being degraded by design, as the phony drug war is used by state and federal law enforcement personnel as a pretext to eviscerate privacy, raid private property, and now, as we have seen, to literally rape Americans on the streets.

In their influential examination of the “War on Drugs” researchers Jay Stanley and Barry Steinhardt note, “In recent years — in no small part as the result of the failed ‘War on Drugs’ — Fourth Amendment principles have been steadily eroding. The circumstances under which police and other government officials may conduct warrantless searches has been rapidly expanding. The courts have allowed for increased surveillance and searches on the nation’s highways and at our ‘borders’ (the legal definition of which actually extends hundreds of miles inland from the actual border). And despite the Constitution’s plain language covering ‘persons’ and ‘effects,’ the courts have increasingly allowed for warrantless searches when we are outside of our homes and ‘in public.’ Here the courts have increasingly found we have no ‘reasonable expectation’ of privacy and that therefore the Fourth Amendment does not apply.”

If the “War on Drugs” were a legitimate operation it would have long ago proven an unmitigated failure. However, it is a fake initiative, as underscored by information publicly released as part of FBI probes and Congressional investigations in the mid to late ’90s, documenting the fact that U.S. federal agencies, including the CIA, have been shipping in vast quantities of illegal narcotics for decades with big banks laundering the ill gotten gains. Until these flagrant violations of the law are properly addressed, Americans and anyone visiting America needs to be aware that when traveling they must be wary of encountering law enforcement officials who will disregard their inalienable rights without hesitation and bypass any concern for causing public humiliation or physical and emotional damage to those they encounter.

**THE AMERICANS
IN THESE CASES
HAVE BEEN
TREATED WORSE
THAN PRISONERS
WOULD BE IN
MAXIMUM
SECURITY
DETENTION
FACILITIES, WITH
TOTAL DISREGARD
FOR THE FOURTH
AMENDMENT,
WHICH IS
SUPPOSED TO
PROTECT AGAINST
UNREASONABLE
SEARCHES AND
SEIZURES.**

...ed over Milwaukee police strip searches

Grand
for alle



Hospital bills man for rectal search he was forced to undergo by police

THE DAILY CALLER



Horror: Police force man to undergo invasive anal operation

X-Rays
Rectal Finger
Enemas

CAUGHT ON CAMERA

WOMEN SUE OVER BODY CAVITY SEARCH

KHCUTV

10:03 82°



kyon LAWSUIT: FORCED COLONOSCOPY BY POLICE

PRESS TV

New Mexico police force anal probe

S Facing Claims Of Another
dside Cavity Search



US
CTOR


Jury indicts Philly cop for sex-cop
alleged illegal strip search

0450 7:38
2/19/200

05:47

Welcome to
America!

NO
W
B
E
N
D
O
V
E
R



MailOnline

Home | U.K. News | Sport | U.S. Showbiz | Females
Politics | Most read | News

**Routine traffic stop results in
hour anal cavity search at hos
driver who 'failed to halt at sto**
David Eckert was pulled over for rolling through a
New Mexico

College that Eckert appeared to be 'clenching
he was hiding drugs in his anus
obtained a search warrant and took him to
ring county



**Four MPD Officers Charged In St
Violations**



CAUGHT ON CAMERA

This is one of the most important political issues facing our nation here at the end of 2013, and yet you hear next to nothing about this treaty on the mainstream news.

written by:
Michael Snyder

Obama's Secret Treaty Will Merge America Into the Emerging One World Economic System

Did you know that the Obama administration is negotiating a super secret "trade agreement" that is so sensitive that he isn't even allowing members of Congress to see it? The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) is being called the "NAFTA of the Pacific" and "NAFTA on steroids," but the truth is that it is so much more than just a trade agreement. This treaty has 29 chapters, but only 5 of them have to do with trade.

Most Americans don't realize this, but this treaty will fundamentally change our laws regarding Internet freedom, healthcare, the trading of derivatives, copyright issues, food safety, environmental standards, civil liberties, and so much more. It will also merge the United States far more deeply into the emerging one world economic system. Initially, twelve nations will be a party to this treaty including the United States, Mexico, Canada, Japan, Australia, Brunei, Chile, Malaysia, New Zealand, Peru, Singapore, and Vietnam. Together, those nations represent approximately 40 percent of global GDP. It is hoped that additional nations such as the Philippines, Thailand, and Colombia will join the treaty later on.

There are some very good reasons why

Obama does not want the American people to know anything about what is in this treaty. This agreement will impose very strict Internet copyright rules on the American people, it will ban all "Buy American" laws, it will give Wall Street banks much more freedom to trade risky derivatives, and it will force even more domestic manufacturing offshore. It contains a whole host of things that Obama would be unable to get through Congress on his own, but he is hoping to spring this on Congress at the last minute and get them to agree to this "free trade agreement" before they realize all of the things that are contained in it.

The secrecy surrounding these treaty negotiations have really been unprecedented. The following is an excerpt from a recent article by Kurt Nimmo:

"Since the beginning of the TPP negotiations, the process of drafting and negotiating the treaty's chapters has been shrouded in an unprecedented level of secrecy," Wikileaks notes in a statement on the release of the TPP draft. "Access to drafts of the TPP chapters is shielded from the general public. Members of the U.S. Congress are only able to view selected portions

OBAMA IS TRYING TO MAKE A GIANT END-RUN AROUND CONGRESS ON DOZENS OF DIFFERENT ISSUES THAT ARE ADDRESSED BY THIS TREATY.


THE UNITED STATES HAS
RUN A TRADE DEFICIT OF
MORE THAN **\$8 TRILLION**
WITH THE REST OF THE
WORLD SINCE 1975.


\$-8,000,000,000,000

Back in 1950, more than **80 percent** of all men in the United States had jobs. Today, less than **65 percent** of all men in the United States have jobs.

Back in 1985, our trade deficit with China was approximately **\$6 million** (million with a little "m") for the entire year. In 2012, our trade deficit with China was **\$315 billion**. That was the largest trade deficit that one nation has had with another nation in the history of the world.

According to the Economic Policy Institute, America is losing **half a million** jobs to China every single year.





There are less Americans working in manufacturing today than there was in 1950 even though the population of the country has more than **doubled** since then.

The United States has lost more than **56,000** manufacturing facilities since 2001.

Back in the year 2000, there were more than **17 million** Americans working in manufacturing. Now there are less than **12 million**.

When NAFTA was pushed through Congress in 1993, the United States had a trade surplus with Mexico of **\$1.6 billion**. By 2010, we had a trade deficit with Mexico of **\$61.6 billion**.



According to Professor Alan Blinder of Princeton University, **40 million more** U.S. jobs could be sent offshore over the next two decades if current trends continue.

of treaty-related documents in highly restrictive conditions and under strict supervision. It has been previously revealed that only three individuals in each TPP nation have access to the full text of the agreement, while 600 'trade advisers' — lobbyists guarding the interests of large U.S. corporations such as Chevron, Halliburton, Monsanto, and Walmart — are granted privileged access to crucial sections of the treaty text."

And Obama reportedly is seeking "trade promotion authority" which would give him the ability to sign this treaty before Congress even votes on it. Aaron Klein of WND writes:

"Normally free-trade agreements must be authorized by a majority of the House and Senate, usually in lengthy proceedings.

"However, the White House is seeking what is known as "trade promotion authority" which would fast track approval of the TPP by requiring Congress to vote on the likely lengthy trade agreement within 90 days and without any amendments.

“The authority also allows Obama to sign the agreement before Congress even has a chance to vote on it, with lawmakers getting only a quick post-facto vote.”

This is so insidious that it is hard to find the words to describe it. In essence, Obama is trying to make a giant end-run around Congress on dozens of different issues that are addressed by this treaty. Fortunately, there are at least some members of Congress that are waking up to this. Earlier in November, a small group of Republicans and a small group of Democrats both sent Obama a letter condemning this “free trade” agreement reported on by *The Huffington Post*:

“Separate groups of House Republicans and Democrats on Tuesday condemned the Obama administration’s proposed sweeping free trade agreement with 11 Pacific nations, known as the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

“Strongly worded letters to President Barack Obama Tuesday were signed by hardline tea partiers, true-blue progressives, and moderate, corporate-friendly lawmakers in both parties, indicating political trouble for a trade deal the

administration had hoped to seal by year end.”

This is one of the most important political issues facing our nation here at the end of 2013, and yet you hear next to nothing about this treaty on the mainstream news. If this treaty is approved, the United States will be permanently bound by the provisions of this treaty and will never be able to change them unless all of the other countries agree, according to *The Nation*.

“Countries would be obliged to conform all their domestic laws and regulations to the TPP’s rules — in effect, a corporate *coup d’état*. The proposed pact would limit even how governments can spend their tax dollars. Buy America and other Buy Local procurement preferences that invest in the U.S. economy would be banned, and “sweat-free,” human rights, or environmental conditions on government contracts could be challenged. If the TPP comes to fruition, its retrograde rules could be altered only if all countries agreed, regardless of domestic election outcomes or changes in public opinion. And unlike much domestic legislation, the TPP would have no expiration date.”

Are you starting to understand just how dangerous this treaty is? Let me give you just one example of how this treaty could directly affect you. Do you remember SOPA? There was a huge public backlash when the very strict Internet copyright provisions of SOPA were revealed to the public, and the American people loudly expressed their displeasure to members of Congress, but now the provisions of SOPA are back. Most of them have reportedly been very quietly inserted into this treaty. If this treaty is enacted, those provisions will become law and the American people will not be able to do a thing about it. And according to an article in *The New York Times*, there are all sorts of other disturbing things that have been slipped into this treaty.

“And yet another leak revealed that the deal would include even more expansive incentives to relocate domestic manufacturing offshore than were included in NAFTA — a deal that drained millions of manufacturing jobs from the American economy.

“The agreement would also be a boon for Wall Street and its campaign to water down



regulations put in place after the 2008 financial crisis. Among other things, it would practically forbid bans on risky financial products, including the toxic derivatives that helped cause the crisis in the first place.”

Are you starting to grasp why the Obama administration is so determined to keep this treaty such a secret?

In addition, this “free trade” agreement will push the ongoing deindustrialization of America into overdrive. Every year, we buy hundreds of billions of dollars of more stuff from the rest of the world than they buy from us. Tens of thousands of American businesses have been lost as a result, and millions of good jobs have been shipped overseas. If you are not familiar with our “trade deficit,” you really should be. It is one of the issues at the very heart of our economic problems. Slowly merging our economy with the rest of the planet has been absolutely disastrous for America. Just consider the following statistics:

1) Overall, the United States has run a trade deficit of more than \$8 trillion with the rest of the world since 1975.

2) The United States has lost more than 56,000 manufacturing facilities since 2001.

3) Back in the year 2000, there were more than 17 million Americans working in manufacturing. Now there are less than 12 million.

4) There are less Americans working in manufacturing today than there was in 1950 even though the population of the country has more than doubled since then.

5) Back in 1950, more than 80 percent of all men in the United States had jobs. Today, less than 65 percent of all men in the United States have jobs.

6) When NAFTA was pushed through Congress in 1993, the United States had a trade surplus with Mexico of \$1.6 billion. By 2010, we had a trade deficit with Mexico of \$61.6 billion.

7) Back in 1985, our trade deficit with China was approximately \$6 million (million with a little “m”) for the entire year. In 2012, our trade deficit with China was \$315 billion. That was the largest trade deficit that one nation has had with another nation in the history of the world.

8) According to the Economic Policy Institute, America is losing half a million jobs to China

every single year.

9) According to Professor Alan Blinder of Princeton University, 40 million more U.S. jobs could be sent offshore over the next two decades if current trends continue.

Once upon a time, our great manufacturing cities were the envy of the entire planet. In fact, at one time Detroit actually had the highest per capita income in the United States. But now, Detroit is a rotting, decaying, festering hellhole that is completely bankrupt. And there are dozens of other formerly great manufacturing cities that are heading down the exact same path. These “free trade” agreements are neither “free” nor “fair” when you really examine them, and they are absolutely eviscerating the middle class.

Please urge your representatives in Congress to block the Trans-Pacific Partnership. If this treaty does get approved, it is going to make a lot of our problems a whole lot worse.

*This article first appeared on
TheEconomicCollapseBlog.com.*



European Union Set to Monitor “Intolerant” Citizens

Controlling Social Behavior: Proposal Could Ban Criticism of Islam and Feminism

written by: **Paul Joseph Watson**

A frightening proposal currently being considered by the European Parliament would direct governments to monitor citizens deemed “intolerant” and could even lead to a ban on all criticism of Islam and feminism. The European Framework National Statute for the Promotion of Tolerance, which was drafted by the European Council on Tolerance and Reconciliation, an NGO based in Paris, was presented to the European Parliament’s Civil Liberties committee in September and is thought to be on the verge of implementation.

According to the Gatestone Institute, the Statute represents an “unparalleled threat to free speech” and would have the impact of “effectively shutting down the right to free speech in Europe” by banning “all critical scrutiny of Islam and Islamic Sharia law, a key objective of Muslim activist groups for more than two decades.” The main purpose of the Statute is aimed at eliminating “anti-feminism” and “Islamophobia,” according to the document, which means that any criticism of feminist political doctrines or the Muslim religion would be considered hate speech.

Section 4 of the document states that, “There is no need to be tolerant to the intolerant. This is especially important as far as freedom of expression is concerned.” In other words, stamping out “intolerance” trumps the free speech rights of millions of European citizens. “Faith-based groups and

schools, adherents of a particular religion, or even just parents who want to teach their children certain moral values would all be put under general suspicion of being intolerant,” warns civil rights watchdog European Dignity Watch.

The proposal dictates that “Members of vulnerable and disadvantaged groups are entitled to a special protection, additional to the general protection that has to be provided by the Government to every person within the State.” This basically mandates that the free speech rights of European citizens need to be revoked in order to secure the “additional” rights of minority groups to not be offended by “intolerant” remarks, which include holding such groups to “ridicule,” a definition which would presumably outlaw satire.

The framework calls for the doctrine to be implemented by “a special administrative unit” in each of the E.U.’s 28 member states that would “operate within the Ministry of Justice” and have the power of “penal sanctions.” In addition, a “National Tolerance Monitoring Commission” would also be created to “promote tolerance.”

“The principles of freedom of contract and the freedom to live according to one’s personal moral views are in danger of being superseded by a newly developed concept of ‘equality.’ It would undermine freedom and self-determination for all Europeans and subject the private life of citizens to legal uncertainty and the control of bureaucrats,” states European


Dignity Watch. “It is about governmental control of social behavior of citizens. These tendencies begin to give the impression of long-passed totalitarian ideas and constitute an unprecedented attack on citizens’ rights.”

The proposal also caters for the re-education of individuals deemed intolerant. “Juveniles convicted of committing crimes ... will be required to undergo a rehabilitation program designed to instill in them a culture of tolerance,” states the document. Schools from the elementary level upwards will also be mandated by governments to “introduce courses encouraging students to accept diversity and promoting a climate of tolerance as regards the qualities and cultures of others.” The proposal also calls on citizens to be brainwashed into “tolerance” via mass media, with governments ensuring that television networks “devote a prescribed percentage of their program to promoting a climate of tolerance.”

The program is not only an alarming threat to free speech but is also deliciously ironic in light of complaints by major E.U. powers about NSA monitoring of citizens and world leaders. Given the E.U.’s history in this context, it is highly probable that they will adopt the recommendations wholesale. Back in 2001, the E.U. announced that it had the power to outlaw criticism of itself when the European Court of Justice ruled that the EU could “lawfully suppress political criticism of its institutions and of leading figures.”

LEARN ABOUT THE INCREDIBLE PROPERTIES OF *True Nascent Iodine*

also known as atomic iodine



*Number One Product
Recommended by Alex Jones*

1.888.253.3139

Former NSA Head Argues Government Secrecy Is the Same as Personal Privacy

Says Americans Have No Right to Know What the NSA Is Doing

In a debate with one of the leading reporters to have been involved in the Edward Snowden leaks, former NSA head Michael Hayden argued, ridiculously, that government secrecy is akin to an individual's private privacy, and so the American public should not be privy to the actions of the NSA. Hayden was debating Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist, blogger, and best-selling author Barton Gellman at the Sanford School of Public Policy at Duke University in an event titled "Leakers or Whistleblowers? National Security Reporting in the Digital Age."

When Gellman began to question Hayden's assertions, calling them misleading, Hayden became noticeably uncomfortable and even angry, at one point shouting over the top of Gellman. In an egregious claim, Hayden stated, "There are necessary secrets. Our society recognizes privacy or secrecy for a variety of institutions. We do priest/penitent. We do lawyer/client. We do husband/wife. I mean, we recognize that for the greater good, some things need to be kept out of the public domain. Those are more in the sense of personal privacy, but privacy to an individual is what secrecy is to a state. Both are necessary, and both can be abused. Fully aware, alright, that both can be abused."

Essentially, Hayden is claiming that the inalienable right of an individual to privacy, guaranteed to him or her under the Constitution, can be extended to government agencies, including the NSA — a patently absurd claim, particularly if the government is using secrecy as a cover for potentially illegal activity. Hayden also renewed his personal hate campaign against Edward Snowden, claiming that Snowden accepted a role at the NSA with a "preconceived notion" that the agency was doing wrong and that he must expose it, rather than because he "discovered something which offended him."

"The agencies weren't doing anything illegal. They


weren't doing anything unconstitutional. They were just doing things that offended him." Hayden stated. Hayden has previously made this claim several times without backing it up, going as far as floating a conspiracy theory that Snowden was likely engaged in a "sustained, long-term campaign" to take information from the NSA, with the contractor moving from job to job to gain more access. Meanwhile, back in reality, all public profiles of Snowden suggest that the exact opposite is true, that he was simply a patriot working for the government who discovered the NSA was engaged in a mass spying campaign against Americans.

Gellman, a former *Washington Post* reporter, was one of the only journalists to have had initial access to Snowden's material, along with Glenn Greenwald and Laura Poitras. He led the revelations on the NSA's mass spying program known as PRISM. Hayden referred to Gellman's actions as "the most destructive" in the whole affair, saying that the information was directly useful to terrorists targeting America. "Many legitimate intelligence targets — we learned two days ago that Yahoo and Google are ... were the internet providers of choice for terrorists world wide."

Hmmm, so al-Qaeda uses Yahoo email. Really? And even if it does, good, that means they should be easily monitored.

Close to an hour into the debate Hayden lost it completely and began shouting at Gellman, stating "This was the most productive source of communications intelligence that NSA had. Your privacy was not involved — other than the fact that you use Google or Yahoo — but your emails, your accounts, were not the ones being accessed." When Gellman attempted to interject, Hayden bawled "No, no. I chose my word ... No! I chose my words carefully. Your accounts were not being accessed."

In his response, Gellman noted that the NSA collects tens



HAYDEN HAS PREVIOUSLY MADE IT KNOWN THAT HE BELIEVES SNOWDEN TO BE WORSE THAN ANY AMERICAN “TRAITOR” EVER, INCLUDING BENEDICT ARNOLD, FOR BLOWING THE WHISTLE ON GOVERNMENT SPYING.

of millions of communications including those of innocent Americans and that it is not the place of the NSA to assume that Americans should be happy with this, or that it should be kept secret.

Hayden has previously made it known that he believes Snowden to be worse than any American “traitor” ever, including Benedict Arnold, for blowing the whistle on government spying. He has said that he does not see Snowden as a whistleblower because he has not “exposed any wrongdoing” by government. As we detailed in an earlier article on Infowars.com, Hayden has publicly declared that he would love to see Snowden placed on a U.S. government kill list. Hayden has also described the former NSA contractor

as a “morally arrogant defector” who will likely become an alcoholic.

Of course, it was Hayden who was overseeing warrantless wiretapping programs during his tenure at the NSA. He was the one in charge of spying on millions of Americans’ communications, relying on a truly twisted version of the law under the PATRIOT Act as cover for his actions. Hayden was also the man who seriously argued that there was no mention of “probable cause” in the Fourth Amendment, insisting that only “reasonable search and seizure” was required in violating the privacy of Americans.

Sorry, who is the “morally arrogant traitor” again?

Fear Drives Journalists to Cower Into Self-Censorship

The Global Elite Shapes Flow of Information Through Intimidation of Journalists

The Stasi surveillance state, exemplified by NSA snooping, has very little if anything to do with terrorists. Like all apparatuses of the totalitarian state, panopticon surveillance focuses on protecting and maintaining the national security state's monopoly of political power. Police state surveillance is designed to facilitate the early discovery of opposition and decisively eliminate it before substantive change or reform occurs. In addition to eliminating political opposition — the Occupy Wall Street movement serves as a prime example — the Stasi state is designed to intimidate and moderate expressions of political opinion.

It can be persuasively argued that this is the reason the establishment media obsessively dwells on the NSA story and its associated memes. The establishment

media consistently portrays former NSA analyst Edward Snowden in a negative light and characterizes him as a “leaker” of state secrets. This narrative and a deluge of revelations about the depth and severity of government surveillance have produced behavior on the part of journalists and others previously observed in communist and socialist authoritarian states.

“The question of the harms caused by widespread surveillance in democracies, like the surveillance being conducted by the U.S. National Security Agency, is under-explored,” explains PEN America, an organization dedicated to freedom of expression. A survey conducted recently by the group and published in a paper titled “Chilling Effects: NSA Surveillance Drives U.S. Writers to Self-Censor” reveals that 85 percent of writers “are worried about government surveillance of

THE CURRENT ATMOSPHERE, ACCORDING TO ONE RESPONDENT, IS REMINISCENT OF THE REPRESSIVE CLIMATE PREVALENT IN THE FORMER SOVIET UNION.

all [redacted] in Africa
ne [redacted] and Cox
ted [redacted] experience prior [redacted] in 13,
— [redacted] the young [redacted] from
may [redacted] to a dr [redacted] at
K [redacted] inducted [redacted] U.S.

e [redacted] did not
n the [redacted] expected to
tanks in the steamy Sahara desert
nothing larger than an 80-millimeter
r. [redacted] for am-
us c [redacted] Marine
n S [redacted] na.
the [redacted] was that [redacted] out
any [redacted] sum
Ni [redacted] had been
r the [redacted] color [redacted] ring the
s or [redacted] to con [redacted] not just
in [redacted] r "dry run."
ely [redacted] is later, [redacted] could
to an abrupt end for Cox but another
the struggle to stay alive behind

ly [redacted] thro
st for the ne [redacted] ths.
Nov. 8, 1942 [redacted] ny E went in
11 miles a [redacted] begin an assa
east of Fre [redacted] been. After
ship Texas [redacted] er, a sma [redacted]
carrier and [redacted] troyers d [redacted]
zi bomber [redacted] threatened
on force, Cox said, the Americans
ntered very little enemy fire while
ashore about 56 miles north of
lanca.

ee [redacted] the
hed [redacted] in early 14 [redacted] they
p a [redacted] for the Al [redacted] gains
tra [redacted] of a
nil [redacted] from
her [redacted]
ratio [redacted] much
ot if [redacted] large

me [redacted]
on the mor [redacted] arch [redacted]
ast, the am [redacted] aine [redacted]
caught slight [redacted] co. [redacted]
he course of th [redacted] c [redacted]
among parts [redacted] az [redacted]
a Germ [redacted] high [redacted]
he Americ [redacted] g

Following their capture, the first step for
Cox and his fellow prisoners was a German
at [redacted] noted that Ge [redacted]
[redacted] unded [redacted]
of their own [redacted] aid s [redacted]
he also saw C [redacted] hops [redacted]
to American [redacted] es [redacted] ak
ttes in half se [redacted] d [redacted]
e captured [redacted]

[redacted] would hos [redacted]
[redacted] 20-25 miles [redacted]
for the next five days on rations consisting
of English biscuits and one drink of water a
day.

"And [redacted] ted li [redacted]
former POW [redacted] d [redacted]
Upon ma [redacted] a sma [redacted] on the
[redacted] sta [redacted] oners
[redacted] s en [redacted]
to Tunis, [redacted] re [redacted] nearly
three weeks [redacted] ho [redacted]
building with [redacted] bou [redacted]
them for 10-11 hours each night.

Because Allied intelligence in Tunis was
"A-1," according to Cox, American fighter
plane [redacted] mpts by the (G)
[redacted] oners to T [redacted]
nd place [redacted] n name
p across [redacted] rrone.
[redacted]
he fourth and [redacted] at [redacted]
POWs out [redacted] the [redacted]
he pris [redacted] a co [redacted]
sh [redacted] load [redacted]


Ag [redacted]
tellig [redacted] said, Amer [redacted] British
fichte [redacted] ended the [redacted] tran
[redacted] Mediterr [redacted] forced
[redacted] es down [redacted] derne,
[redacted] the Germ [redacted] their
pris [redacted] he planes [redacted] d them
over [redacted] 's Italian [redacted]

The prisoners were shuffled around on
the Sicilian island, eating 150 grams of
bread a day with water boiled over greens.
[redacted] n sta [redacted] a were [redacted]
[redacted] lied [redacted] to [redacted]
finally [redacted] lied [redacted] to [redacted]
Messina to the Italian [redacted] end
of another American [redacted]

At a prison camp [redacted] in
foothills [redacted] than [redacted] the [redacted]
to [redacted] s [redacted] [redacted]
[redacted] it lade [redacted] [redacted]
of cheese each day. Cox, who arrived in
Africa weighing about 150 pounds, lost 25-44
pounds, became very weak and "was
[redacted] all the time.

pt. 1943, with the war going badly
for the Italian Fascists and the Germans
coming to take up the fight against the
POWs managed to pick on
[redacted] attempt with [redacted] "patients"
on the outside.
[redacted] some reason, Cox said, the Yugoslav
[redacted] s did not carry out their attack
[redacted] the prison camp as [redacted] ap-
planned and many prisoners were killed or
wounded while rushing the main gate.

[redacted] open [redacted]
[redacted] ren- [redacted]
[redacted] n of [redacted] s outside the
[redacted]
[redacted] spent [redacted] s and [redacted] by
[redacted] had, [redacted] es [redacted] as they
[redacted] the [redacted] e, trying to
[redacted] while [redacted] the [redacted] little
lines [redacted] they [redacted] they among



Naughty
G. Washington
Tom Jefferson
Alex Jones

Nice
H. Kissinger
Rockefeller
Barry Sotero
A. Hitler

Americans and 73 percent of writers have never been as worried about privacy rights and freedom of the press as they are today.”

According to the survey, writers and journalists are reluctant to research and write about the American Stasi state apparatus and controversial political topics. “I have felt that even to comment on the Snowden case in an email would flag my email as worthy of being looked at,” one respondent said. “I have made a conscious, deliberate choice to avoid certain conversation topics in electronic emails out of concern that those communications may be surveilled,” said another.

The current atmosphere, according to one respondent, is reminiscent of the repressive climate prevalent in the former Soviet Union. Certain precautions by writers today “remind me of my days as Moscow Bureau Chief of [a major news outlet] under Communism, when to communicate with dissidents and refuseniks we had to avoid substantive phone conversations, meet in person in public, etc. It’s not a good feeling to have reporters’ work in your own

country’s capital resemble ours in Moscow in the bad old days.”


Private conversation in the public space to avoid telephone eavesdropping may have worked in the “bad old days” of the Soviet Union, but surveillance technology now makes this nearly impossible in America, as Infowars has reported. “The ability to record street conversations is merely one special feature of the Intellistreets lighting system, which is linked back to a central data hub via a ubiquitous wi-fi connection,” writes Paul Joseph Watson. “The ‘smart’ street lights can also act as surveillance cameras, make loudspeaker security announcements (See Something, Say Something), as well as track “RFID equipped staff,” which could be any of us given the increasing amount of clothing and products which are RFID tagged.”

PEN has called on the government “to take immediate steps to restore public confidence that private communications remain private and protected,” a call that will naturally fall on deaf ears. Senator Dianne Feinstein, the chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee,

and other members of Congress stand behind the ongoing effort by the NSA to fully implement a Stasi state surveillance panopticon.

In response to public outrage, Feinstein, the intelligence committees, and members of Congress have put forth legislation designed to “paint a veneer of transparency over still deeply secret programs,” reports the Electronic Frontier Foundation. Congress is busily at work constructing what amounts to a “NSA entrenchment bill” — not serious reform of police state surveillance. Congress is certainly not following its oath to defend and protect the Constitution.

Inculcating fear and cowing an independent intelligentsia is high on the to-do list of the national security state. Short of establishing an American version of Glavlit, the Soviet arm of administrative censorship imposed on the press, the ruling globalist elite are moving to shape the flow of information in part through intimidation of journalists by creating an atmosphere of fear and suspicion.



Reductive Nouns

Directions: Make each sentence less wordy by replacing the underlined phrase. Write the sentence on the line.

1. The job of a president is not easy.
The president's job is not easy.

2. The people of a nation do not always agree.
The nation's people do not always agree.

3. The choices of the president affect everyone.
The president's choices affect everyone.

4. He makes sure the laws of the country are fair.
The president makes sure the laws of the country are fair.

5. The commands of government officials must be followed.
The government's commands must be followed.

6. The wants of an individual are less important than the wants of the community.
The individual's wants are less important than the wants of the community.

Directions: Write a paragraph describing a person or place. Use the words in the box to make your writing smooth and clear.

School Test Teaches Kids “Commands of Government Officials Must Be Obeyed by All”

Indoctrination Papers Produced by Global Education Corporation as Part of “No Child Left Behind” Program

A parent of a 10 year old was shocked to discover a grammar and writing test paper that their child brought home from school reads more like document from an authoritarian country such as China. The parent sent a portion of the test paper to Infowars, revealing that it contains sentences such as “The commands of government officials must be obeyed by all.” The paper uses such sentences and asks school children to replace certain words in order to make the sentence contain a possessive noun.

Others within the paper include:

“The job of a president is not easy.”

“He makes sure the laws of the country are fair.”

“The wants of an individual are less important than the needs of a nation.”

Upon further investigation it appears that the paper is part of a set produced by Pearson Education, a global corporation that provides education publishing and assessment services to schools in the U.S. and the rest of the world. Pearson is the world’s largest for-profit education business. The particular sentence about everyone obeying government commands appears in other Pearson papers, such as one of their fifth grade grammar tests.

According to the company’s Wikipedia page and its website, Pearson owns leading educational media brands including Addison-Wesley, BBC Active, Bug Club, eCollege, Fronter, Longman, MyEnglishLab, Penguin Readers, Prentice Hall, Poptropica, and Financial Times Press. Pearson is part of Pearson PLC, which also owns Penguin Books and the *Financial Times*. In 2010, Pearson also negotiated a five-year, \$32 million contract with the New York State Department of Education to design tests for

students in grades four through eight.

Some have criticized the company’s test papers. Last year papers designed for NYSED were found to contain over 30 errors. Writing for *The New York Times*, Gail Collins noted, “We have turned school testing into a huge corporate profit center, led by Pearson, for whom \$32 million is actually pretty small potatoes. Pearson has a five-year testing contract with Texas that’s costing the state taxpayers nearly half of a billion dollars.”

Collins outlines the fact that Pearson is being contracted under the controversial No Child Left Behind program set up by the government in 2001, “This is the part of education reform nobody told you about. You heard about accountability and choice and innovation, but when No Child Left Behind was passed 11 years ago, do you recall anybody mentioning that it would provide monster profits for the private business sector?” Collins continues, “[Pearson’s] lobbyists include the guy who served as the top White House liaison with Congress on drafting the No Child Left Behind law. It has its own nonprofit foundation that sends state education commissioners on free trips overseas to contemplate school reform.”

Ah, all becomes clear. Government contracted education papers telling children that they must obey the commands of the government. Nice. Along with enforcing government mandated rules such as banning packed lunches, this will be seen by many as yet another example of how the nanny state is encroaching via the public education system. It’s a concept also being promoted by the mass media. Earlier this year, MSNBC ran a segment pushing the notion that kids belong to the “collective,” and that the “idea that kids belong to their parents or kids belong to their families” should be eliminated.



Keeping the Barbarians from Coming Together: Propaganda, Psy-Ops & COINTELPRO

written by: **Kurt Nimmo**

During the tumultuous Benghazi hearings, we discovered that the CIA had collaborated with the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence to feed highly massaged talking points to the corporate media. “We had some new members on the committee, and we knew the press would be very aggressive on this, so we didn’t want any of them to make mistakes,” Representative C.A. Dutch Ruppersberger, a Maryland Democrat, explained in May, 2013.¹ “We didn’t want to jeopardize sources and methods, and we didn’t want to tip off the bad guys. That’s all.”

When Ruppersberger said the intelligence committee was concerned about the press making mistakes, what he meant to say was the government wanted to make certain the media abided by the official narrative. In the process, he disclosed how the government dictates news stories to a corporate media that normally behaves as a trustworthy propaganda conduit. During the feeding frenzy that is partisan politics in Washington, Republicans eager to tarnish the Obama administration and gain political yardage revealed to the public that David H. Petraeus, then CIA director, had engaged in 48 hours of intense editing of data on the Benghazi attack, intending to make the agency look good. The whitewash provided a rare glimpse of how the art of government propaganda management works. The event reformulation and narrative editing processes were also revealed during incidents such as the Boston bombing when the official story sustained numerous revisions.

In July, John Hudson, writing for *Foreign Policy*, repeated the myth that U.S. law prevented the government from broadcasting programming to the American public.² In a strict sense, Hudson is correct. Unlike the former Soviet Union or Iran, the United States government does not maintain an official media agency like Pravda or the

Islamic Republic News Agency. Instead, the government controls the media in an unofficial and more or less covert fashion. Beginning in the late 1940s, the CIA organized Operation Mockingbird and “began a systematic infiltration of the corporate media, a process that often included direct takeover of major news outlets,” writes Alex Constantine.³ “In the 1950s, outlays for global propaganda climbed to a full third of the CIA’s covert operations budget. Some 3,000 salaried and contract CIA employees were eventually engaged in propaganda efforts. The cost of disinforming the world cost American taxpayers an estimated \$265 million a year by 1978, a budget larger than the combined expenditures of Reuters, UPI, and the AP news syndicates.”

Hudson notes a policy change instituted in July of 2013 that removed restrictions on several of the CIA’s overseas propaganda operations, including Voice of America and Radio Free Europe. Exploiting the Red Scare and the anti-communist crusade of the 1950s, the CIA established a number of large scale propaganda efforts aimed at foreign nations. “Blessed with secrecy and lack of congressional oversight, CIA operations became corrupt almost immediately,” writes James W. Douglass.⁴ “Using propaganda stations like Voice of

America and Radio Free Europe, the CIA felt justified in manipulating the public for its own good. The broadcasts were so patently false that for a time it was illegal to publish transcripts of them in the U.S.”

Smith-Mundt: Psychological Warfare Unbound

No longer. In 2012, an amendment inserted in a defense authorization bill reversed the current ban on “domestic dissemination” of propaganda material produced by the State Department and the independent Broadcasting Board of Governors. The change neutralized the Smith-Mundt Act of 1948 and Foreign Relations Authorization Act in 1987 that had protected Americans from exposure to patently false disinformation produced by the United States government. “The bill’s supporters say the informational material used overseas to influence foreign audiences is too good to not use at home, and that new techniques are needed to help fight al-Qaeda, a borderless enemy whose own propaganda reaches Americans online,” investigative journalist Michael Hastings wrote for BuzzFeed on May 18, 2012.⁵ (Hastings died in early 2013 under suspicious circumstances). The amendment “removes the protection for Americans,” a Pentagon

official told BuzzFeed. “It removes oversight from the people who want to put out this information. There are no checks and balances. No one knows if the information is accurate, partially accurate, or entirely false.”

The Pentagon has spent hundreds of millions of dollars since the onset of the War on Terror to produce “poorly tracked marketing and propaganda campaigns that military leaders like to call ‘information operations,’ the modern equivalent of psychological warfare,” Tom Vanden Brook and Ray Locker reported for *USA Today* in February, 2012.⁶ The operation aimed at the American public is straight out of the Pentagon’s counterinsurgency manual, a guide to U.S. military policy in Afghanistan that instructs commanders to “aggressively use” information operations intended to sway local populations and “admit mistakes quickly,” according to Brook and Locker.

Brook and Locker were subsequently

targeted by the Pentagon for reporting on the its illegal marketing and propaganda campaigns. Bogus Twitter and Facebook accounts were created in their names.

The information warfare tactic extended to Wikipedia and numerous blog entries and comments. Moreover, two websites, TomVandenBrook.com and RayLocker.com, were established by persons unknown. “If the websites were created using federal funds, it could violate federal law prohibiting the production of propaganda for domestic consumption,” Gregory Korte wrote for *USA Today* on April 19, 2012.⁷

In addition to employing psychological operations against journalists and the American people, the U.S. military use information warfare against members of Congress. Under orders from Lt. General William Caldwell, the U.S. Army deployed psy-op warfare against senators and other high level VIPs visiting Afghanistan. “My job

in psy-ops is to play with people’s heads, to get the enemy to behave the way we want them to behave,” said Lt. Colonel Michael Holmes, the leader of an information operations unit at Camp Eggers in Kabul, Afghanistan, according to Michael Hastings.⁸

In March 2011, the American Civil Liberties Union cited the Hastings story in a letter sent to the Senate.⁹ The ACLU mentioned the Smith-Mundt Act of 1948 prohibiting the use of government funded propaganda within the United States. “Though expressly directed at State Department programs created in the Act, this language was interpreted by Congress and the DoD to apply government-wide, as DoD had no independent authority to conduct international information operations,” the organization pointed out. “Congress more directly banned propaganda efforts directed at U.S. audiences with appropriations riders,” but despite these prohibitions the “DoD has repeatedly



engaged in intelligence collection activities targeting U.S. persons and information operations targeting U.S. audiences since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.”

The following year, however, the government decided to legalize the sort of psychological operations and propaganda campaigns expressly forbidden under Smith-Mundt, thus making the ACLU’s protest little more than a moot point.

Beyond Psychological Warfare: Direct Attacks by the State on Dissent

Along with widespread informational and psychological warfare, the national security state also directly attacked political groups. Organized government attacks against organized political opposition has a long and dated history, beginning soon after the founding of the republic, specifically with the passage of the Alien and Sedition Acts in 1798 by the Federalists. It has gained critical momentum in the modern era.

During the First World War, the government created the Bureau of Investigation, predecessor to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and appointed J. Edgar Hoover as its head. Hoover’s Bureau of Investigation, with the assistance of police and the military — described as a “citizens auxiliary” — conducted direct action mass raids against the anti-war movement of the time, according to documents released by the Church Committee in the 1970s. The Bureau, specifically designed as a national political police force, “rounded up some 50,000 men without warrants of sufficient probable cause for arrest” for the crime of opposing the First World War.¹⁰

In 1920, Attorney General A. Mitchell Palmer conducted a massive program in 33 cities rounding up over 10,000 people. The Church Committee Report cites “the abuses of due process of law incident to the raids.”¹¹ According to Robert Preston, Jr., the Palmer Raids involved “indiscriminate arrests of the innocent with the guilty, unlawful seizures by federal detectives” and other violations of constitutional rights.¹² The Church Committee “found federal agents guilty of using third-degree tortures, making illegal searches and arrests, and using agents provocateurs.” Palmer and Hoover found no evidence of a proposed Bolshevik revolution

as claimed. Despite this, a large number of the rounded up suspects continued to be held without trial.


The Second World War brought a new and frightening wave of government terrorism against political opponents. President Franklin D. Roosevelt in 1940 issued a memorandum giving the FBI the power to use warrantless wiretaps against suspected subversives, that is to say activists opposed to U.S. involvement in the war. FDR not only unleashed the FBI on activists, but concerned citizens as well. After delivering a speech on national defense in 1940, FDR had his press secretary, Stephen Early, send Hoover the names of 128 people who had sent telegrams to the White House criticizing the address. “The President thought you might like to look them over,” Early told Hoover.¹³

Following the Second World War, the government engineered the immensely profitable (for the military-industrial complex) Cold War and Red Scare. In 1956, the FBI established COINTELPRO, short for Counter Intelligence Program. COINTELPRO was ostensibly contrived to counter communist subversion, but as numerous documents reveal, the program focused almost exclusively on domestic opposition to government policies.

The Church Committee noted that COINTELPRO “had no conceivable rational relationship to either national security or violent activity. The unexpressed major premise of much of COINTELPRO is that the Bureau has a role in maintaining the existing social order, and that its efforts should be aimed toward combating those who threaten that order.”¹⁴ “This is a rough, tough, dirty business, and dangerous,” former Assistant to Director Hoover, William C. Sullivan, told the Church Committee.¹⁵ “No holds were barred.”

This “rough, tough, dirty business” included infiltration of political groups, psychological warfare, legal harassment, and extralegal force and violence. “The FBI and police threatened, instigated, and conducted break-ins, vandalism, assaults, and beatings. The object was to frighten dissidents and disrupt their movements,” write Mike Cassidy and Will Miller.¹⁶ “They used secret and systematic methods of fraud and force, far beyond mere surveillance, to sabotage constitutionally protected political activity. The purpose of the program was, in FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover’s own words, to ‘expose, disrupt, misdirect,

**ORGANIZED GOVERNMENT
ATTACKS AGAINST ORGANIZED
POLITICAL OPPOSITION HAS
A LONG AND DATED HISTORY,
BEGINNING SOON AFTER THE
FOUNDING OF THE REPUBLIC,
SPECIFICALLY WITH THE
PASSAGE OF THE ALIEN AND
SEDITION ACTS IN 1798 BY THE
FEDERALISTS.**



discredit, and otherwise neutralize' specific groups and individuals."

After the Church Committee exposed COINTELPRO, the government claimed it had dismantled the program. However, in the 1980s, the Reagan administration legalized the tactics by signing Executive Order 12333. "There is every reason to believe that even what was not legalized is still going on as well. Lest we forget, Lt. Colonel Oliver North funded and orchestrated from the White House basement break-ins and other 'dirty tricks' to defeat congressional critics of U.S. policy in Central America and to neutralize grassroots protest. Special Prosecutor Walsh found evidence that North and Richard Secord (architect of the 1960s covert actions in Cambodia) used Iran-Contra funds to harass the Christic Institute, a church-funded public interest group specializing in exposing government misconduct," Cassidy and Miller continue.

In addition, North worked with FEMA to develop contingency plans for suspending the Constitution, establishing martial law, and holding political dissidents in concentration camps. Following the false flag attacks of September 11, 2001, the government has worked tirelessly to fine tune plans designed to impose martial law. It has also worked to federalize and militarize law enforcement around the country.

Brian Glick argues that COINTELPRO is a permanent feature of the national security state. "The record of the past 50 years reveals a pattern of continuous domestic covert action," Glick wrote in the 1990s.¹⁷ "Its use has been documented in each of the last nine administrations, Democratic as well as Republican. FBI testimony shows 'COINTELPRO tactics' already in full swing during the presidencies of Democrats Franklin

Delano Roosevelt and Harry Truman. COINTELPRO itself, while initiated under Eisenhower, grew from one program to six under the Democratic administrations of Kennedy and Johnson ... After COINTELPRO was exposed [by the Church Committee], similar programs continued under other names during the Carter years, as well as under Nixon, Ford, and Reagan. They have outlived J. Edgar Hoover and remained in place under all of his successors."

Cass Sunstein: "Conspiracy Theories"

Cass Sunstein's white paper, "Conspiracy Theories," serves as an exclamation point in the latest chapter of a long history of government tyranny against citizens who organize in opposition to the government and its policies.¹⁸ Sunstein argues that individuals and groups deviating from the official government narrative on a number of political issues are a national security threat. Sunstein, who would become administrator of the White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, formulates "a plan for the government to infiltrate conspiracy groups in order to undermine them via postings on chat rooms and social networks, as well as real meetings, according to a recently uncovered article Sunstein wrote for the *Journal of Political Philosophy*," writes Paul Joseph Watson.¹⁹

Sunstein's call for authoritarian action against government critics — including outright censorship in addition to the established tactics mentioned above — reveals that COINTELPRO has indeed outlived Hoover. "Some conspiracy theories create serious risks. They do not merely undermine democratic debate; in extreme cases, they

create or fuel violence," writes Sunstein. "Even if only a small fraction of adherents to a particular conspiracy theory act on the basis of their beliefs, that small fraction may be enough to cause serious harms."

Sunstein's analysis dovetails with that of the Department of Homeland Security. In its now infamous report on "rightwing extremism," the DHS insists members of the constitutionalist movement — including Libertarians and advocates of the Second Amendment — are not only violent, but also virulent racists, a conclusion provided pre-packaged by the Anti-Defamation League and the Southern Poverty Law Center.

If realized, Cass Sunstein's call for outright censorship and the absurd proposal to impose fines and taxes on people who hold political views contrary to those of the established political order will naturally result in a redoubling of political activity on the part of the truth movement, a growing movement specifically mentioned as attracting "kooks" according to Sunstein. When faced with a strong and determined political opposition, government invariably turns to more extreme methods to enforce its will. History repeatedly demonstrates that when propaganda and psychological operations are no longer effective, the state invariably turns to more brutal and illegal methods of dissuading political opposition to its rule.

Sources used for this article:

1) Scott Wilson and Karen DeYoung, "Petraeus's role in drafting Benghazi talking points raises questions," *The Washington Post*, May 21, 2013, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/petraeuss-role-in-drafting-benghazi-talking-points-raises-questions/2013/05/21/>



db19f352-c165-11e2-ab60-67bba7be7813_story.html

2) John Hudson, "U.S. Repeals Propaganda Ban, Spreads Government-Made News to Americans," *Foreign Policy*, July 14, 2013, http://thecable.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/07/12/us_backs_off_propaganda_ban_spreads_government_made_news_to_americans

3) Alex Constantine, "Tales from the Crypt: The Depraved Spies and Moguls of the CIA's Operation Mockingbird," *MindNet Journal*, Vol. 1, No. 18, 1996, <http://www.whale.to/b/constantine2.html>

4) Len Hart, "Cold War Origins of the CIA Holocaust," review of *JFK and the Unspeakable: Why He Died and Why It Matters* by James W. Douglass, *LewRockwell.com*, <http://archive.lewrockwell.com/rep/cold-war-origins-cia-holocaust.html>

5) Michael Hastings, "Congressmen Seek To Lift Propaganda Ban," *BuzzFeed*, May 18, 2012, <http://www.buzzfeed.com/mhastings/congressmen-seek-to-lift-propaganda-ban>

6) Tom Vanden Brook and Ray Locker, "U.S. 'info ops' programs dubious, costly," *USA Today*, February 29, 2012, <http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/military/story/2012-02-29/afghanistan-iraq-military-information-operations-usa-today-investigation/53295472/1>

7) Gregory Korte, "Misinformation

campaign targets USA TODAY reporter, editor," *USA Today*, April 19, 2012, <http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/washington/story/2012-04-19/vanden-brook-locker-propaganda/54419654/1>

8) Michael Hastings, "Another Runaway General: Army Deploys Psy-Ops on U.S. Senators," *Rolling Stone*, February 23, 2011, <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/another-runaway-general-army-deploys-psy-ops-on-u-s-senators-20110223>

9) The American Civil Liberties Union to the U.S. Senate, February 28, 2011.

10) The Federation of American Scientists, "American Revolution to World War II," Chapter 3, <http://www.fas.org/irp/ops/ci/docs/ci1/ch3a.htm>

11) Cited by Paul Wolf, "COINTELPRO: The Untold American Story," Presented to U.N. High Commissioner for Human Rights Mary Robinson at the World Conference Against Racism in Durban, South Africa by the members of the Congressional Black Caucus attending the conference: Donna Christianson, John Conyers, Eddie Bernice Johnson, Barbara Lee, Sheila Jackson Lee, Cynthia McKinney, and Diane Watson, September 1, 2001, http://www.whale.to/b/wolf_coin.html#The_Legacy_of_COINTELPRO_

12) Robert Preston. Jr., *Aliens and Dissenters: Federal Suppression of Radicals, 1903-1933*, Champaign: University of Illinois Press. 1994.

13) "FBI: Hoover's Political Spying for Presidents," *Time Magazine*, December 15, 1975.

14) Letter from historian Howard Zinn filed as Appendix A to the Plaintiffs' "Motion for Justice" filed in the Bari/Cherney civil rights suit against the FBI and Oakland Police. Letter is addressed to Dennis Cunningham, lead counsel.

15) James E. Moliterno, *The American Legal Profession in Crisis: Resistance and Responses to Change*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013, 118.

16) Mike Cassidy and Will Miller, "A Short History of FBI COINTELPRO," *Albion Monitor*, May 26, 1999, <http://www.albionmonitor.com/9905a/jbcointelpro.html>

17) Brain Glick, "COINTELPRO in the 1990s, excerpted from the book *War At Home*," *Third World Traveler*, http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Third_World_US/COINTELPRO90s_WAH.html

18) Cass R. Sunstein and Adrian Vermeule, "Conspiracy Theories," *Harvard Law School*, January 15, 2008, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1084585.

19) Paul Joseph Watson, "Obama Information Czar Outlined Plan For Government To Infiltrate Conspiracy Groups," *Prison Planet.com*, January 14, 2010, <http://www.infowars.com/obama-information-czar-outlined-plan-for-government-to-infiltrate-conspiracy-groups/>

Gay Activist Calls for Mandatory Abortion

“There’s Too Many People on the Planet!”

written by: **Paul Joseph Watson**



During an appearance on an Australian television show, a prominent gay activist called for abortion to be made mandatory for 30 years in order to reduce global population. Author and media pundit Dan Savage was asked by an audience member, “Which so-called dangerous idea do you each think would have the greatest potential to change the world for the better if

it were implemented?”

“Population control. There’s too many god***n people on the planet!” responded Savage as the audience applauded. “You know, I’m pro-choice. I believe that women should have the right to control their bodies. Sometimes in my darker moments I’m anti-choice.” He continued, “I think abortion should be mandatory for about 30 years. That’s a dangerous idea. She wanted a

dangerous idea. So throw a chair at me.”

Savage’s previous exploits include vowing to inseminate his husband for God, wishing that “the Republicans were all f***ing dead,” and expressing the desire that a political candidate “should be dragged behind a pickup truck until there’s nothing left but the rope.” Savage’s favored policy of mandatory abortion is already in place in Communist China, where women who flout the country’s

infamous one child policy and cannot pay huge fines are kidnapped off the street by government goons, beaten up, dragged off to state hospitals, and subjected to horrific forced abortions.

A recent case which attracted media attention involved a woman who had her home raided in the middle of the night by government officials before she was abducted, taken to a hospital, and forcibly injected with an abortion-inducing drug, terminating her baby which was just three months from being born. Babies are even forcibly aborted at nine months old, dying in the arms of their mother, while others are thrown in buckets while they are still alive. Activists who speak out against forced abortion in China are also persecuted by the government.

News network CNN, in addition to billionaire Ted Turner and other elitists, along with a whole host of pressure groups committed to pushing for population control, have all advocated the one child policy. In his 1977 book *Ecoscience*, current senior White House science advisor John P. Holdren floated the idea of forced abortions and compulsory sterilization, amongst a raft of other draconian population control measures, all of which would be carried out by a “Planetary Regime.”

During a 2011 speech at Sichuan University, Vice President Joe Biden told an audience that he “fully understands” China’s one child policy. Aside from Savage’s call for draconian policies of forced abortion, the supposed threat posed by overpopulation itself is a myth. The U.N.’s own figures clearly indicate that population is set to stabilize in 2020 and then drop dramatically after 2050.

As *The Economist* reported, “Fertility is falling and families are shrinking in places — such as Brazil, Indonesia, and even parts of India — that people think of as teeming with children. As our briefing shows, the fertility rate of half the world is now 2.1 or

less—the magic number that is consistent with a stable population and is usually called ‘the replacement rate of fertility.’ Sometime between 2020 and 2050 the world’s fertility rate will fall below the global replacement rate.” Warnings about the threat posed by overpopulation are fundamentally flawed. In reality, underpopulation will be seen as the biggest danger to human prosperity in the latter half of the 21st century.

As Slate’s Jeff Wise documents, due to falling fertility rates, the population of Western Europe is set to shrink from 460 million to just 350 million by the end of the century. Meanwhile, Russia and China could lose half their population. Germany’s birthrate has sunk to just 1.36, with Italy’s at 1.4 and Spain at 1.48, thanks to a natural phenomenon called “demographic transition,” which is the shift from high death rates and high birthrates to low death rates and low birthrates.

Human population is expected to peak at 9 billion from 2050 to 2070, and then it will start its decline. “In the long term — on the order of centuries — we could be looking at the literal extinction of humanity,” writes Wise, pointing to a 2008 International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis report. Not only is Dan Savage’s call for mandatory abortion completely offensive and an insult to women in China and other countries who have been subjected to the horror of forced abortion, it’s justification to reduce global population is factually and scientifically bankrupt.

**WARNINGS ABOUT THE THREAT
POSED BY OVERPOPULATION
ARE FUNDAMENTALLY FLAWED.
IN REALITY, UNDERPOPULATION
WILL BE SEEN AS THE
BIGGEST DANGER TO HUMAN
PROSPERITY IN THE LATTER
HALF OF THE 21ST CENTURY.**

School's New Spy System Places Children Under Complete Surveillance

"Gunshot Detectors" in Classrooms Surpass Big Brother in 1984 and the Real East German Stasi

written by:
Kit Daniels

In a stinging similarity to the telescreens of 1984, schoolchildren will soon be monitored in real-time through surveillance sensors mounted inside the classrooms of a charter school in Oakland, California. SST, Inc., a Bay Area technology firm, is currently installing its ShotSpotter "gunshot detector" system inside the school, which will allow the company's employees to observe the classrooms through multiple computer screens, according to the San Francisco Gate.

"People who are in a position to know that it's a real gunshot aren't thinking about calling 911," SST CEO Ralph Clark told the *San Jose Mercury News*. "They're thinking about running for cover, hiding themselves ... The people who aren't directly in harm's way really don't know whether it's a gunshot or not." Yet as we reported back in August, these "gunshot detectors" are fully capable of recording conversations and are reportedly better at doing so than actually detecting gunshots.

One such detector recorded a street argument in New Bedford, Massachusetts, which was later connected to a criminal trial and the local district attorney, Sam Sutter, thought the warrantless recording would be admissible in court. "In my view legally," Sutter said to *The New York Times*, "what is said and picked up by the ShotSpotter recording does not have the expectation of privacy because it's said out in public, and so I think that will turn out to be admissible evidence."

When it comes to their stated task of detecting gunshots, however, these surveillance systems have trouble identifying them from other loud noises, according to the ACLU. So far, these "gunshot detectors" have been predominately used outdoors, namely on top of street lights and buildings. Yet SST

is now pushing to have its detectors installed inside airports, malls, and additional schools across America in what will likely result as the next explosive phase of domestic surveillance.

"Expanding the use of ShotSpotter technology to include school campuses could carry the cost of jeopardizing ... our privacy rights," Jory Steele, managing attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California, told the *San Jose Mercury News*. Washington, D.C. in particular is already blanketed with at least 300 "gunshot detectors" across 20 square miles of the city, which can easily triangulate and analyze audio. Illumination Concepts, the company responsible for these Intellistreet Lights, bragged about the "Homeland Security" applications of its products, including "voice stress analyzers" which can upload recorded conversations to a central data hub.

Other sophisticated spy technologies are also being used across America. The Las Vegas Public Works Department is currently installing street lights in the city that can record conversations in both audio and video, as reported by Mikael Thalen of Storyleak.com. In Seattle, white boxes attached to utility poles can effectively track the city's entire population by recording the last 1,000 known GPS locations of all cell phones within the city, even if they are not connected to a wi-fi network.

On November 12, we revealed leaked documents showing how the public's personal information harvested by the white boxes are being funneled into a massive intelligence database for perpetuity. It is now clear that 1984 was accurate in predicting the rise of the domestic spy grid, but not its tremendous extent as the gradual extinction of privacy forges ahead.

SST IS NOW PUSHING TO HAVE ITS DETECTORS INSTALLED INSIDE AIRPORTS, MALLS, AND ADDITIONAL SCHOOLS ACROSS AMERICA IN WHAT WILL LIKELY RESULT AS THE NEXT EXPLOSIVE PHASE OF DOMESTIC SURVEILLANCE.

DHS Stealth Spy Grid Blankets Seattle

Government Documents Obtained Exclusively by Infowars Expose Massive DHS Domestic Spy Grid Designed to Track Citizens in Real-Time Through Mega Government Databases.

written by:

Anthony Gucciardi, Mikael Thalen & Paul Joseph Watson

WHEN THESE TECHNOLOGIES ARE COMBINED WITH THE FACT THAT VIRTUALLY EVERY NEW CONSUMER ITEM CAN BE LINKED TO THE INTERNET (AND THEREFORE TRACKED AND WIRETAPPED), THIS REPRESENTS A SURVEILLANCE GRID THAT MAKES NSA WIRETAPPING OF PHONE CALLS AND EMAILS LOOK ANTIQUATED IN COMPARISON.

Strange new off-white boxes popping up in downtown Seattle use wi-fi networks that can record the last 1,000 locations of a person using their cell-phone's MAC address, but the Department of Homeland Security — which funded the network to the tune of \$2.7 million dollars — has refused to address the nightmare privacy implications of a system that could lead to the permanent tracking of an entire city's population.

Labeled by their intersection location such as "1st & University" and "2nd & Seneca," the multiple network devices are easily detected in Seattle's downtown area through a simple Wi-Fi enabled device, leading many residents to wonder if they are being detected in return. According to reports from Kiro 7 News, the mesh network devices can capture a mobile user's IP address, mobile device type, apps used, current location, and even historical location down to the last 1,000 places visited.

"How accurately can it geo-locate and track the movements of your phone, laptop, or any other wireless device by its MAC address? Can the network send that information to a database, allowing the SPD to reconstruct who was where at any given time, on any given day, without a warrant? Can the network see you now?" asked weekly Seattle newspaper *The Stranger*.

A report by *The Stranger* exposes how the boxes, which are attached to utility poles and include vertical antennae, can track cell-phones even if they are not connected to the system's wi-fi network. When reporters Matt Fikse-Verkerk and Brendan Kiley asked the Seattle Police Department and the Department of Homeland Security to explain what the boxes were for, the DHS refused to comment

and Seattle Police detective Monty Moss would only state that the department "is not comfortable answering policy questions when we do not yet have a policy."

Detective Moss added that the mesh network would not be used for "surveillance purposes ... without City Council's approval and the appropriate court authorization." Note that he didn't say the mesh network couldn't be used for the surveillance functions we asked about, only that it wouldn't — at least until certain people in power say it can. That's the equivalent of a "trust us" and a handshake.

When these technologies are combined with the fact that virtually every new consumer item can be linked to the internet (and therefore tracked and wiretapped), this represents a surveillance grid that makes NSA wiretapping of phone calls and emails look antiquated in comparison.

The justification for the mesh network is that it will allow police, firefighters, and other first responders to communicate, as well as stream surveillance video on a private, uncluttered network during an emergency. However, the system is, "adept at seeing all the devices that move through their coverage area and visually mapping the locations of those devices in real time for the system administrators' convenience." The SPD has also indicated that it plans "citywide deployment" of the network, opening the door for mass unfettered surveillance of Seattle's 634,000 residents.

Seattle council member Bruce Harrell justified the mesh network being used for surveillance purposes by making reference to the Boston bombings. "While I understand that a lot of people have concerns about the government having access to this information, when we have large public gatherings like the

situation like in Boston and something bad happens, the first thing we want to know is how are we using technology to capture that information,” Harrell told KIRO-TV.

“We are being told that such measures will help police ‘solve more crime.’ We are being told that such measures will ‘keep people safe.’ But what about our privacy? Doesn’t that count for something? What about the Fourth Amendment? Are our most cherished liberties and freedoms going to be thrown into the trash just because we live ‘in a more dangerous world?’” asks Michael Snyder.

But as exclusive documents obtained by Infowars from an insider government source reveal, the \$2.7 million DHS-funded grid is far deeper than the media is telling you. The Seattle DHS spy system ultimately ties in with an enormous stealth database that acts as an intelligence hub for all of your personal data.

On page 55 of the “Port Security Video Surveillance System with Wireless Mesh

Network” project document that we have obtained, a diagram reveals the system’s basic communication abilities in regards to the Port of Seattle that the DHS has refused to comment on despite funding with millions in taxpayer dollars. So far Seattle police have been tight-lipped about the network’s roll-out. Several groups including the ACLU have submitted requests to learn the programs intended use, but days have turned to months as the mesh network continues its advancement.

Despite a recently passed ordinance requiring all potential surveillance equipment to be given city council approval and public review within 30 days of its implementation, the network has remained shrouded in secrecy. Unknown to the public until now, information regarding the system has been hiding in plain view since last February at minimum. Diagrams attached to a March 2012 proposal request (# DIT-2996), which have since been approved, updated, and finalized, are publicly

viewable at the Seattle.gov website.

Several connections can be made by studying the diagram, including its now apparent link to Seattle’s public waterfront. The recent instillation of 30 Department of Homeland Security-funded surveillance cameras on Seattle’s popular waterfront, complete with mesh network devices attached, were purported to increase the Port of Seattle’s protection against such acts as terrorism. Residents soon discovered multiple cameras facing inward toward Seattle homes, not towards the coast line as allegedly intended. The “accident” was later remedied by city officials.

While unknown members of multiple law enforcement agencies will have access to the mesh network, so will the Seattle Fusion Center, where FBI and Homeland Security gather data on Americans deemed “extremist” for such crimes as “loving liberty.” Incredibly, even the U.S. Senate called the Fusion Centers a “useless and costly effort that tramples on



IBM
INTRODUCES
VOICE
RECOGNITION
SOFTWARE
«

It began earlier than you might think. Technology giant IBM introduced voice recognition to the world in 1986 on “World News Tonight” with Peter Jennings. An experimental computer system was unveiled in a short video narrated by a woman showcasing the vast capabilities of a computer system that we would be inclined to dismiss as primitive next to today’s processing capabilities. The monochromatic display renders her speech with an accuracy that seems to surpass Apple’s famous Siri application. If this could be the nearly unthinkable software capabilities publicly flaunted by the technocracy close to 30 years ago, it does not take much to imagine what is available to the greedy globalist elites and power-mad politicians today. The frightening reality is that what we know about the extent of the reach of corporate interests and the military-industrial complex into our private lives is just the tip of the iceberg.

civil liberties” in a 2012 bi-partisan report.

Page 65 of the public document details the information-collecting capabilities of the Network Mesh System (NMS), revealing its ability to collect identifying data of anyone “accessing the network.” Although the document details an alert system for reporting unauthorized access, California-based Aruba Networks — a major provider of next-generation mobile network access solutions and the company that provided the boxes to the Seattle Police Department — brags in its technical literature about how the boxes can keep track of “rogue” or “unassociated” devices, in other words your cellphone even if you have refused to let the system access your device’s wi-fi component.

The user’s guide for one of Aruba’s recent software products states, “The wireless network has a wealth of information about unassociated and associated devices.” That software includes “a location engine that calculates associated and unassociated device location every 30 seconds by default ... The last 1,000 historical locations are stored for each MAC address.”

“The NMS also collects information about every Wi Fi client accessing the network, including its MAC address, IP address, signal intensity, data rate and traffic status,” the Port Security document reads. “Additional NMS features include a fault management system for issuing alarms and logging events according to a set of customizable filtering rules, along with centralized and version-controlled remote updating of the Aruba Mesh Operating System software.”

The diagram shows what also may be the Seattle Department of Transportation Intelligent Transportation Systems Network, linked directly into the mesh network. According to the Department of Transportation website, the system controls several surveillance related items such as license plate readers and closed circuit TV (CCTV) systems.

An early draft of the diagram appears to show Seattle police vehicle’s ability to receive and “control” certain aspects of the mesh network. Whether police were originally intended to control surveillance cameras from their vehicles, including their panning, tilting, and zooming abilities, remains unclear. According to statements made by Seattle’s Assistant Chief Paul McDonagh last February regarding the waterfront cameras specifically, “only a few people would have that capability, so the officer on the street would just have the ability to view it.”

Following a privacy outcry concerning the wi-fi “mesh network,” the Seattle Police Department responded to the controversy by announcing that it will temporarily deactivate the network, which was rushed through the Seattle City Council with virtually no oversight, and allow public scrutiny of the system before proceeding.

“The wireless mesh network will be deactivated until city council approves a draft policy and until there’s an opportunity for vigorous public debate,” SPD spokesperson Sgt. Sean Whitcomb said, adding that turning off the system involves, “a little more than just flipping a switch.”

“Our position is that the technology is the technology,” Whitcomb added, “but we want to make sure that we have safeguards and policies in place so people with legitimate privacy concerns aren’t worried about how it’s being used.” Whitcomb dubiously asserted that the network was “operational without being operated” because police had switched on the network for DHS grant-mandated testing and then forgot to turn it off again.

Police Chief Jim Pugel gave the order to begin the deactivation on November 12, but a temporary hold on the system is unlikely to satisfy surveillance critics. Most privacy advocates will not be satisfied until the wi-fi mesh network which currently covers downtown Seattle is ripped out completely and abandoned

for good. At the very least, clear and provable safeguards need to be put in place to ensure that devices which are not connected to the network cannot be tracked.

Despite claims by the Seattle Police Department, as of Wednesday, November 13, at 4pm, the network was still very much active. While no one expects the SPD to remove all the boxes within 24 hours, authorities did promise to switch off the network. That clearly hasn’t happened since the wi-fi hubs were still broadcasting a signal, giving them the capability to track the location of every cellphone in the vicinity even if it is not connected to the network. A Reddit user commented that, “The devices remain broadcasting all over downtown.”

While the Seattle Police Department’s failure to deactivate the network is likely due to tardiness and not outright deception, it doesn’t do a great deal to suggest that authorities are that eager to allay the concerns of privacy advocates. Combined with the fact that the incident with the SPD surveillance cameras was explained as an “accident” by officials is not going to provide much assurance that Seattle authorities have much concern for privacy.

In reality, Seattle is only one of countless cities across the country being flooded with a sea of surveillance equipment. While the public has focused mainly on surveillance issues relating to the NSA, the federal government has continued its 20-plus year dragnet surveillance grid roll out of covert conversation-recording microphones.

Despite the federal government’s constant justification of throwing away civil liberties in the name of fighting terrorism, which kills less Americans than bee stings, continued NSA revelations show that the federal government’s continued surveillance system build-up is aimed at everyday Americans, not foreign al-Qaeda admittedly supported by the U.S. government in Syria.

UNKNOWN TO THE PUBLIC UNTIL NOW, INFORMATION REGARDING THE SYSTEM HAS BEEN HIDING IN PLAIN VIEW SINCE LAST FEBRUARY AT MINIMUM.

Feds Deploy National Spy System of Microphones

Hidden in Plain Sight: The Next Level of NSA Snooping Will Detect Dissent Via Ubiquitous Audio Sensors

written by:

Paul Joseph Watson & Alex Jones

The revelations of Edward Snowden shined fresh light on NSA spying targeting the American people, but what has gone largely unnoticed is the fact that a network of different spy systems which can record real-time conversations are already in place throughout many urban areas of the United States, as well as in the technology products we buy and use on a regular basis.

These systems are no secret — they are hiding in plain view — and yet concerns about the monolithic potential for their abuse have been muted. That lack of discussion represents a massive lost opportunity for the privacy community because, whereas polls have shown apathy, indifference, or even support for NSA spying, anecdotal evidence suggests that people would be up in arms if they knew the content of their daily conversations were under surveillance.

The dystopian movie *V for Vendetta* features a scene in which goons working for the totalitarian government drive down residential streets with spy technology listening to people's conversations to detect the vehemence of criticism against the state. Such technology already exists or is rapidly being introduced through a number of different guises in America and numerous other developed countries.

The Washington Post recently published a feature length article on gunshot detectors, known as ShotSpotter, which detailed how

in Washington, D.C., there are now, “at least 300 acoustic sensors across 20 square miles of the city.” The microphones are wrapped in a weather-proof shell that can detect the location of a sound down to a few yards and analyze the audio using a computer program.

While the systems are touted as “gunshot detectors,” as *The New York Times* reported in May 2012, similar technology is already installed in over 70 cities around the country, and in some cases it is being used to listen to conversations. “In at least one city, New Bedford, Massachusetts, where sensors recorded a loud street argument that accompanied a fatal shooting in December, the system has raised questions about privacy and the reach of police surveillance, even in the service of reducing gun violence,” states the report.

Frank Camera, the lawyer for Jonathan Flores, a man charged with murder, complained that the technology is “opening up a whole can of worms.” “If the police are utilizing these conversations, then the issue

is, where does it stop?” he asked. This led the ACLU to warn that the technology could represent a clear violation of the Fourth Amendment if misused.

The ACLU's Jay Stanley asked, “whether microphones can be remotely activated by police who want to listen to nearby conversations,” noting that it was illegal for police “to make audio recordings of conversations in which they are not a participant without a warrant ... If the courts start allowing recordings of conversations picked up by these devices to be admitted as evidence, then it will provide an additional incentive to the police to install microphones in our public spaces, over and above what is justified by the level of effectiveness the technology proves to have in pinpointing gun shots,” wrote Stanley.

Eventually, if indeed it is not already happening in some major metropolitan areas, voices will be linked to biometric facial profiles via the Trapwire system, which allows the government to monitor citizens via public



and private CCTV networks. Numerous major cities in the United States are currently being fitted with Intellistreets 'smart' street lighting systems that also have the capability of recording conversations and sending them directly to authorities via wi-fi. The Las Vegas Public Works Department has begun testing the devices, which act as surveillance cameras, Minority Report-style advertising hubs, and Homeland Security alert systems. As ABC 7 reported in 2011, they are "also capable of recording conversations."

We first reported on Intellistreets bragging of its product's "homeland security" applications back in 2011, with the backlash from privacy advocates causing the company to

remove a promotional video from YouTube. The video was later restored, although comments were disabled. However, Illuminating Concepts, the company behind Intellistreets, seems to be more comfortable in acknowledging the "security" aspects of its devices now that it has secured numerous lucrative government contracts to supply street lighting in several major cities.

A page on the Intellistreets website that highlights "benefits and applications" features a section on security, wherein it is admitted that the hi-tech system includes "voice stress analyzers," amongst several other sophisticated sensors that "assist DHS in protecting its citizens and natural resources."

THE LAS VEGAS PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT HAS BEGUN TESTING THE DEVICES, WHICH ACT AS SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS, MINORITY REPORT-STYLE ADVERTISING HUBS, AND HOMELAND SECURITY ALERT SYSTEMS.



The ability to record street conversations is merely one special feature of the Intellistreets lighting system, which is linked back to a central data hub via a ubiquitous wi-fi connection. The “smart” street lights can also act as surveillance cameras and make loudspeaker security announcements (See Something, Say Something), as well as track “RFID equipped stuff,” which could be any of us given the increasing amount of clothing and products which are RFID tagged.

The company’s website highlights how the system is now being installed in areas of Las Vegas, Chicago, Detroit, Auburn Hills, Asbury Park, and at stadiums like the Mercedes Benz Superdome in New Orleans. The Las Vegas Public Works Department has begun testing the devices although they denied they would be used for surveillance, at least for the time being. Authorities in New York City also recently announced that they would be replacing the city’s 250,000 street lights with new LEDs by 2017, although it is not known how many of these if any will be provided by Intellistreets.

Intellistreets is just one component of a huge network of microphones embedded in everything from games consoles to gunshot detectors that are beginning to blanket our streets and dominate our home life. The next level of NSA snooping will rely on systems like these to record people’s conversations and detect antagonism or political extremism and represents the potential for a ubiquitous wiretapping system that outstrips George Orwell’s worst nightmare.

Televisions, computers and cellphones are

already utilizing technology that records conversations in order to bombard users with invasive targeted advertising. Last year, Verizon followed Google’s lead and officially filed a patent for a set-top box that will actively spy on Americans in their own homes by turning TVs into wiretaps. The patent application says that the technology will be capable of detecting “ambient action” including “cuddling, fighting, and talking” in people’s living rooms. The box will even listen to your conversations, according to the communication giant’s patent.

“If detection facility detects one or more words spoken by a user (e.g., while talking to another user within the same room or on the telephone), advertising facility may utilize the one or more words spoken by the user to search for and/or select an advertisement associated with the one or more words,” the document states. In an article published by Infowars in 2006, we highlighted the fact that, “Digital cable TV boxes, such as Scientific Atlanta, have had secret in-built microphones inside them since their inception in the late 1990s.”

This technology is now commonplace, with products like the Xbox utilizing built-in microphones to allow voice control. Microsoft promises that it won’t use the microphones to record your conversations, which is a fairly hollow guarantee given that Microsoft collaborated with the NSA to allow the federal agency to bypass its encryption services in order to spy on people. App providers on the Android network also now require users to agree to a condition that, “Allows the app to record audio with the microphone,” on

cellphones and other “smart” devices. “This permission allows the app to record audio at any time without your confirmation,” states the text of the agreement.

Virtually every new technological device now being manufactured that is linked to the Internet has the capability to record conversations and send them back to a central hub. Is it really any wonder therefore that former CIA director David Petraeus heralded the arrival of the “smart home” as a boon for “clandestine statecraft?”

Whistleblowers such as William Binney have warned that the NSA has virtually every U.S. citizen under surveillance, with the ability to record all of their communications. The agency recently completed construction of a monolithic heavily fortified \$2 billion facility deep in the Utah desert to process and analyze all of the information collected.

If the revelations of Edward Snowden taught us one thing then it is that if the NSA has the capability to use a technology to spy on its primary target — the American people — then it is already doing so. The state already has already had blanket access to phone records since at least 1987 through the Hemisphere program, under which AT&T gave the Drug Enforcement Agency access to call logs.

This network of computer programs, urban wi-fi infrastructure, and technological products inside our homes that all have the capability of recording our conversations represents an even more invasive and Orwellian prospect than anything Edward Snowden brought to light, and yet discussion of its threat to fundamental privacy has been virtually non-existent.



THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA IS DEAD

THE REVOLUTION STARTS NOW

It starts with us, it starts with you.

It starts with all of us together fighting back against the state-run media, which poses in the clothes of the mainstream news that died betraying the public for profit. That's right. It's on record the government is paying them to lie to you. Infowars does not claim to have a patent on the truth, we just seek it. Inside this publication you will see just a snapshot of our breaking news and analysis covered on the syndicated radio show of Alex Jones, our Nightly News broadcast, and more. We want your tips. We want your feedback. We want you watching and listening, because we want to live in a free world, and we know you do too.

**DISCOVER THE REVOLUTION
AGAINST TYRANNY**
@ INFOWARS.COM/SHOW

www.infowars.com
www.prisonplanet.com
Watch our nightly news
@www.prisonplanet.tv
Follow Alex on twitter
@[realalexjones](https://twitter.com/realalexjones)

