

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 25, NO. 2

JANUARY 2000

An Ancient Race in the Old South



Judah Benjamin

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

❑ Leaders Bradley most admires? Carter, Woodrow Wilson, Gorbachev. The latter would like to run for president in Russia, but has zero support. He'd do better here!

211

❑ Civilization: It's a white thing. Blacks don't understand.

300

❑ The PC police are outraged that childless couples will pay thousands for donor eggs from beautiful women. In a perfect world they'd prefer birth-defect guaranteed eggs from crack-addicted black lesbians.

498

❑ If the Chosen had a king, who else would it be but Larry, who has taken as his consort one blonde shiksa after another. When he interviews another Jew, as he often does, together they exude a special, "We're on top of the heap" air. Why not? It's true!

667

❑ Rush Limbaugh has iterated and reiterated that he is completely indifferent to "What America Looks like." Color, ethnicity, he just doesn't care.

906

❑ His usual keen instinct for self-promotion failed Jesse Jackson when he espoused the cause of the Decatur Negro school brawlers. Current climate doesn't

favor a "boys will be boys" attitude toward school troublemakers. For the Cokies, Katies and Sams, if Jesse does it, it must be right. Their discomfort at his support for the hooligans is palpable. What a quandary. Jesse is PC, but right now so is school discipline.

455

❑ The Chosen are among the most vocal in demanding unilateral nuclear disarmament. They know that one nation won't not disarm, whatever it promises.

109

❑ Assumpta Serna is a slim, classy-looking Catalan actress known only in the U.S. for the *Dynasty* TV series. I was disappointed to see her pressed completely naked, pubic hair visible, against an equally naked Louis Gossett Jr. in the 1997 film, *Managua*. What's the line between harlot and actress?

518

❑ The late Henry Ford was an anti-Semite, which is now cited as one of the reasons Ford Motor Co. should pay Jews billions for business done with Germany in the 30s, business that was perfectly legal at the time.

800

❑ The Decatur thugs see themselves as junior O.J.s.

188

❑ I keep a file of newspaper political "cartoons" on Pat Buchanan. I must have over 25 now, most all by Jewish cartoonists. Some would be the envy of Julius Streicher and his *Der Stürmer*, who portrayed Jews as rats, roaches and worse in the 1930s. In my 1999 American collection I have such beauties as Pat Buchanan as a kidney stone passed by an elephant (in a jar of formaldehyde), Pat as a bowel movement, as a rat, as Hitler, as Hitler's dog, as Hitler's mistress, as one of Hitler's pilots, as Goebbels. Once again when dealing with Jews one must ask: "Who are the real haters?"

838

❑ Russia is bombing the hell out of Chechnya, killing more Checkens than we killed Serbs and Kosovars. Clinton says, "Russia is rightly defending its sovereignty."

200

❑ Camille Paglia bravely told columnist Linda Bowles: "That a Jewish collector

and a Jewish museum director had no compunction about selecting a parodic image of the Madonna. . . shows either stupidity or malice."

100

❑ Clinton takes pride in his title, "First black president," a title bestowed on him by "fellow blacks." Judging from his Cabinet, Supreme Court and Federal Reserve appointments, it would be more fitting to call Bill the "First Jewish president."

022

❑ European whites occupy a dwindling slice of what has been called the "World Island," the Afro-Eurasian land mass. Festung Europa is under assault by Muslims and blacks from the South, Muslims and Orientals from the Southeast. Territories vast (Central Asia) and small (Bosnia, the Caucasus) are lost and the fifth column in the final redoubt grows.

111

❑ A surprising number of Jewish celebrities has derisively denounced Clinton. Bodes ill for Al and Hill. Will Mrs. Bradley's Holocaust groveling suffice to overcome her German birth?

901

❑ Hillary has made a fundamental error. She believes what her lackeys tell her.

100

❑ The government official seemed near tears on TV as he explained the labor problems illegal—oops, undocumented—

CONTENTS

Ancient Race in the Old South. . .	4
What Killed South Africa?	7
Duke Visits General Makashov. . .	8
Money Koshertization.	10
Slowdown on the Housing Front. . .	11
More About Hanoi Jane.	12
Jewish Supersensitivity.	13
Northward Ho!	13
Social Revolution of the 1960s. . .	14
Intolerable Cacophony.	14
Backtalk.	15
Cultural Catacombs.	17
Inklings.	18
Primate Watch.	20
Talking Numbers.	21
Satcom Sam.	22
Waspishly Yours.	24
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . .	26
Elsewhere.	27

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.

ISSN 0277-2302

©2000 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve

workers face here. The suggestion that one solution would be to return them home was met with an uncomprehending look, as though it were something akin to going to Mars!

783

□ The behavior of the white race in the 20th century has revolutionized the study of anthropology.

800

□ "Low black [test] scores endure high up the socioeconomic ladder, [but] poor and often newly immigrant Asian-Americans have made a success of the [test] system despite high economic and linguistic burdens to achievement." (N.Y. Times, Oct. 24, 1999) Sounds like racism to me!

122

□ The origin of rock 'n' roll may have been rooted in Negro blues of the 1940s and 50s, but the evolution of rock and later heavy metal of the 70s and 80s was predominately a Nordic phenomenon. Rock and heavy metal bars and hangouts I frequented as a teenager growing up in the 80s were commonplace for Nordic boys and girls. Negro music such as rap was looked on with disdain. Race-mixing was considered disgusting. Not until the 90s did the hybridization of rap and heavy metal begin. The rock and heavy metal of the 70s and 80s may not qualify as high cultural achievements of our race, but it should be noted that the rock scene at that time was exclusively Nordic and reeked of racial purity.

481

□ It's a paradox that white men, most responsible for modern civilization, are despised. Those unable to use its wonders, let alone invent them, are revered.

115

□ In the Safety Valve (Nov. 1999) Zip 220 opines that God made a terrible mistake when he created minorities. I disagree vehemently. I'm not a religious man, but I firmly believe that every living thing has a purpose. So what is the purpose of minorities? How about *contrast*?

752

□ I used to deplore a Jew (Goldin) being in charge of NASA, which is composed largely of Nordic engineers. However, since two recent Mars lunar landing projects have failed, I'm strangely grateful to have a Jew in charge. At least it's hard to

criticize him and ultimately get future projects stopped or downsized. As long as he's in charge I think Congress will continue to funnel money into important NASA projects.

329

□ Fences along our southern border are illogically compared to the Berlin Wall. The N.Y. Times has indignantly editorialized against Czechs fencing off Gypsies. When Israel proposes to fence off the Palestinians, the silence is deafening.

882

□ Are you aware that Governor Gray Davis signed a law making it possible for Jewish survivors to sue German companies in California courts for compensation for "slave labor" in WWII? Some Koreans heard about this and want to sue Japanese companies since Japs used Koreans as slave labor during WWII. But Davis says this is for Jews only.

940

□ Isn't it a sign of our degenerating society that our leaders in the White House and government have to be called by their nicknames? "Sandy" Berger, "Willy" Clinton, "Al" Gore, "Jim" Rubin and so on. Maybe Milosevic will soon join the gang and be greeted with: "Hi, Slobo, how'd you like the bombing?"

065

□ Pamela Digby Churchill Hayward Hariman, late U.S. Ambassador to France, was born rich and married richer. She is admired by other ladies and gentlemen of her circle as a paragon of successful living. Eva Duarte de Peron, a.k.a. Evita, was born poor, married a military man and spent the few short years of her life as First Lady of Argentina helping the poor get a foot up. Pamela is praised to the skies by the oligarchs who despised Evita.

922

□ If we keep getting movies like *Schindler's List*, *Life Is Beautiful* and *Jakob the Liar*, pretty soon the credits will contain a disclaimer to the effect that "No Jews were harmed during the production of this motion picture."

224

□ When blacks search desperately for some proof of past greatness, they charge that whites would be threatened by black achievement, past or present.

"Fear of a black planet." What nonsense! Whites have no trouble recognizing the greatness of Chinese civilization, ancient Cambodia or Java.

577

□ Could we get someone to write a White Anthem or are we so divided we could never settle on the words?

864

□ Black waiters don't want to wait on other blacks. They don't tip. Black taxi drivers shun black passengers. Not safe. Nonetheless we must pretend it isn't so or go to jail. What's next? Pretend black pilots can fly, black surgeons can operate? What it boils down to is that, except for granting preferences, we're supposed to pretend blacks are whites.

102

□ The so-called experts still push heaps of bunk on the public every day: Racial equality myth, Holocaust fable, Big Bunk cosmology, Einstein's "thought experiments," sky-god fairy tales, Jesus hoax, Life-after-death fantasy. What a laugh future generations will have on us "easy marks" who lived in the 20th century!

598

□ In our increasingly diverse society it is inevitable that children from households with incompatible beliefs attend school together. I am a Christian, but I have no objection to my children being taught tolerance of other religions or even atheism. However I do not want schools to teach them that all beliefs and lifestyles are equally valid. Respect is one thing, acceptance is quite another. They need not go together.

984

□ *Turtle Beach* is a 1992 film about Vietnamese boat people washing ashore in Malaysia. Malays don't like it. Mobs of them force the refugees back into the ocean to drown. Local politico comments, "We don't want to be a minority in our own country." We evidently do.

915

□ Russia's loss of a gigantic swath of the Eurasian heartland is the key event of the 20th century.

110

□ Considering the normal mortality of people born over 60 years ago, particularly those who lived through war and prison camps, it's amazing how many have survived to demand reparations.

422

An Ancient Race in the Old South

Among many concepts entrenched in the American psyche is one that correlates Jewishness with liberalism and Yankeedom. If asked to pick a region of the country that was totally anathema to Jews, most mass media-saturated folks would choose the South. Dixie is widely perceived to be inhospitable to blacks and, by extension, to other minorities. Although only one Jew was ever lynched in the South (the famed 1914 Leo Frank case in Atlanta), the presumption is that the South has never been friendly to Jews. Truth to tell, the Old South was a salubrious venue for Hebrews.

If pressed to name a prominent antebellum Jew, most readers would probably think first of Louisiana's Judah Benjamin, who served the Confederacy as Attorney General, Secretary of War, and finally Secretary of State. He was not the only Jew in a high place, however. There was David Camden de Leon, the first Surgeon General of the Confederacy; A.C. Myers, Quartermaster General; and Lionel Levy, Judge Advocate of the Military Court. Other prominent members of the Chosen included Edwin Moise, who was speaker of the Louisiana House at the beginning of the war, Henry Hyans, the Lieutenant Governor of Louisiana four years before the war, and Levi Myers Harby, who commanded the Port of Galveston. An interesting bit of trivia is that Dr. Simon Baruch, the father of Barney Baruch, was a Confederate veteran of the Battle of Gettysburg.

At the time of the outbreak of the Civil War, there were approximately 150,000-180,000 Jews (0.5% of the white population of 27,000,000). Estimates of Jewish participation in the conflict vary widely. Anywhere from 6,000 to 15,000 Jews served in the Union Army while 2,000 to 12,000 served in the Confederate Army. A hard and fast number is impossible to define, considering that number crunching wasn't the obsession then that it is today and that many Jews were non-practicing or had converted. Doubtless many German Jews were counted merely as Germans. Given the greater size of the Union Army, historians believe that Jewish representation was proportionately greater in the Confederacy. At least there were enough casualties to establish a cemetery in Richmond expressly reserved for the Confederate Jewish dead.


The Jewish presence in the Confederacy may come as a surprise to the contemporary reader, but it is perfectly natural, considering that Jews found the prewar South a particularly benign place to set up shop. "Nowhere else in America had they experienced such fullness of opportunity or achieved comparable political and social acceptance."¹ As a result, Jews found it relatively easy to ascend to positions of political power.

Before the Confederacy was formed, Judah Benjamin was a U.S. Senator from Louisiana—in line with the rule that the only Jewish Senators in the 19th century were from Southern states. The first Jew elected to the Senate was David Levy Yulee (1810-1886), who was also Florida's first Senator. We should note that David Levy gave up Judaism (and added the surname Yulee) before being elected. Consequently, Judah Benjamin could be considered the first professing Jew in the Senate.

The earliest Jews in the South were largely Sephardim who traced their heritage to Portugal and Spain. They were later joined by the German Jews who came to the U.S. in large numbers in the first half of the 19th century, peaking in 1848 when they fled European crackdowns on radical politics.

The road from Jewish peddler to shopkeeper was well-traveled. Many a small southern town had a Cohen's Dry Goods or a Levy's General Store. The storied realm of the merchant prince was not out of reach for some. Not surprisingly Southern ports were particularly fertile grounds for Jewish merchants, who were able to tap into their co-religionist connections in the Caribbean and Europe. Foremost among these cities in the 18th century were Charleston and Savannah.

A handful of Jews arrived in Charleston in 1680. The state's constitution was written by none other than English



Charleston harbor shortly after the Civil War

philosopher John Locke, who welcomed "Jews, heathens and dissenters." Catholics were excluded. In 1703, when South Carolina Jews cast their ballots in a general election, it marked the first time that Jews exercised their franchise in the history of the Western world. Though home to only about 80,000 people today, at its peak, Charleston

was the fourth largest city in the British colonies and the largest city south of Philadelphia. As a gateway to the West Indies, Charleston saw commodities galore—including slaves—pass across its docks. Indigo, a particularly important local crop, was largely fathered by indigo monopolist Moses Lindo.

Though most history books dwell on Virginia and points north when dealing with the Revolutionary War, a number of important campaigns took place in the Carolinas. In Charleston, Captain William Lushington commanded the "Jew company," so-called because half its members were Jewish.² At least a dozen Jewish doctors and lawyers practiced in Charleston. Three Jews (Elisha Levy, Mark Marks, Solomon Moses Jr.) served as deputy sheriffs.

At the dawn of the 19th century, Charleston had the largest Jewish community in America. By 1816, there were more than 600 Jews in the city—more than in New York. Several Jews served in the state legislature. One Lyon Levy was state treasurer from 1817-1822. The editor of the local paper was one Mordecai Mandel Noah. In 1826, Isaac Harby, a Jewish journalist in Charleston—and the first Jew in America to make writing his career—estimated that more than 55% of all Jews in the U.S. were in the South. South Carolina had approximately 1,200, Virginia and Georgia had about 100 each, Louisiana 100, Florida 40. But New York was in second place and moving up fast—a sign of things to come!

But Charleston wasn't the only southeast seaport receptive to Jews. When James Oglethorpe obtained a charter for the foundation of a colony that came to be called Georgia, he offered religious liberty to all—again, with the exception of Catholics. The colony was designed to be an asylum for persons newly released from debtors' prison and unemployed, which resulted in a number of poor Jews from London, who had been wards of the synagogue being diverted to Georgia. In fact, the first white child born in the colony was one Mordecai Sheftal. The colony's capital, Savannah, was one of the first planned communities in North America. Founded by Oglethorpe in 1733, Savannah welcomed its first Jews one year later when two boatloads (one containing 43 English Jews, the other 40 Spanish/Portuguese Jews) arrived in the port city. Oglethorpe himself settled them on his own land, rented them a house for religious services and set aside a plot for their cemetery. According to land grants at the time, 14% of the households in that first year of the colony were Jewish. Another contemporary estimate adjudged the city to be one-sixth Jewish. Congregation Mickve Israel dates from the arrival of the first boatloads of Jews.³ In 1765 two Jews were elected port officials of Savannah. As for Mordecai Sheftal, he held a number of civic posts in Savannah and founded the Union Society, an interfaith charity organization.

In military history, Savannah looms large as General Sherman's destination in his famed march to the sea, but the port city was also a big prize in the Revolutionary

War, as the British maintained control of the city from 1778 to 1782. The first Georgian to be killed in the Revolutionary War was a Jew named Francis Salvador, who actually bit the dust in Carolina. War hero David Emanuel, who distinguished himself during the siege of Savannah, was elected governor of Georgia in 1801, thus becoming the first Jew to be elected governor of a state. Sixty years later, one of the leaders of the secession movement in Georgia was one Raphael J. Moses.

In Louisiana, Jews were active in Shreveport, Baton Rouge and particularly New Orleans, which eventually supplanted Charleston as the most cosmopolitan city in the South. New Orleans witnessed the founding of its first Jewish congregation in 1826. Among the leading clans in New Orleans was the Touro family, transplanted from Newport (RI).⁴ Foremost among them was Judah Touro, a highly eccentric importer, who never went outside the city limits of New Orleans after arriving there at age 27. Though he owned some of the largest ships in port, he never once climbed aboard any of them. When he died in 1858, the bachelor Touro left an estate worth more than \$1 million, with approximately half going to a friend who had saved his life during the Battle of New Orleans, and the other half going to a wide variety of institutions, Jew and Gentile, in New Orleans. As such, he became a poster boy for Old World Jews who were looking to make their mark in America.

Upriver from New Orleans, the earliest Jews in what had come to be known as the state of Mississippi were thought to have arrived with a group of French settlers in 1699. By the 1790s Natchez was home to a number of prominent Jewish families, including that of Benjamin Monsanto, whose descendants founded the famed chemical corporation that bears the family name today.

Though the Old Dominion traces its beginning to the establishment of Jamestown in 1607, it was free of Jews until the second half of the 18th century because the influence of the Church of England was reflected in its laws, customs and mores. Church service was compulsory. Not until 1786 when religious tests were thrown out (largely at the behest of James Madison and Thomas Jefferson)⁵ was it possible for Jews to be citizens. Three years later a synagogue was built in Richmond. In North Carolina, however, state law required that an officeholder be a Protestant, a dictate that remained in force until Reconstruction.⁶

Maryland, originally founded as a Catholic haven, retained a religious test as a basis for residence well into the 19th century. It was necessary for a citizen of the state to profess a belief in Christ. Conversely a denial of Christ was punishable by death. In 1826 the Maryland "Jew Bill" eliminated all religious discrimination. By 1838 there were two Jewish congregations in Baltimore. In 1848 the first Reform Congregation in America was founded there. By 1850 Baltimore was home to 4,000 Jews.

Texas, because of its status as a region of Mexico and as an independent nation, was not technically a Southern state until 1846 when it joined the Union. The fledgling

state's first representative in the U.S. House of Representatives was Princeton graduate David Kaufman, for whom Kaufman County (and the county seat, the town of Kaufman, southeast of Dallas) is named. By the 1850s, Houston, Galveston and San Antonio all had established congregations.

Occasional Spanish Jews had passed through Texas in the early days of exploration, and some were also included when Stephen F. Austin brought his Anglo colonizers into Texas while it was still under the Mexican flag. At least one and perhaps as many as three Jews died in the Alamo. Six were with Sam Houston's relief force, including surgeons Moses Albert Levy and Isaac Lyon. In 1837, one year after Texas won its independence from Mexico, the port of Galveston welcomed its first steamship, and Jewish influence gained a foothold. By 1852, the first Jewish cemetery was open for business. One year later Michael Seeligson, the city's first Jewish mayor—the first of many—was elected. Nearby Houston, founded in 1836, had its first Jewish mayor, Lewis Levy, just five years later.

Since Texas was synonymous with wide open spaces, it is not surprising that land speculation attracted Jews, such as Jacob de Cordova⁷, who laid out the city of Waco, and Henri Castro, a land baron during the days of the Texas Republic, who persuaded some 5,000 people to settle in what is now Castroville west of San Antonio. Jews were land agents, lawyers, physicians, financiers, merchants and ranchers. Even as far west as El Paso, the Chosen were prominent. One Adolph Solomon was mayor and Ernest Kohlberg made a name for himself in railways, banking and the manufacturing of cigars.

No matter what their home state, Southern Jews, by and large, hewed to the same racial views as their Gentile peers. Judah Benjamin and David Levy Yulee are well-represented in the annals of the pro-slavery speeches.⁸ Rabbi Morris Jacob Raphael (1798-1868) placed Judaism squarely in opposition to abolitionism. Slaves were among the many commodities the port city Jews imported. The Jewish Davis family of Petersburg (VA) were renowned as slave traders, as were the members of the aforementioned Monsanto clan.⁹ Possibly a quarter of the south's 15,000 to 20,000 Jews were themselves slave owners.

The power of the old Sephardic and German-Jewish businessmen waned with the fortunes of the South after the Civil War. The balance of economic power had tipped overwhelmingly to the north, and soon after Reconstruction died out, the newest (and biggest) wave of Jewish immigration—this time from Russia and Eastern Europe—swelled northern cities, thus intertwining Jewishness and Yankeeedom. Nevertheless the South still produced prominent Jews, such as Louis Brandeis, Barney Baruch, Adolph Ochs, Lillian Hellman, Lewis Strauss, Ludwig Lewisohn, Karl Shapiro and Robert Strauss. The Jewish merchant princes were as much in evidence in Southern cities as they were in the metropolises of the North. Though the South remained poor for generations after Reconstruction, shoppers who could scrape up some spare cash went to

Thalhimer's in Richmond, Goldsmith's in Memphis, Neiman-Marcus in Dallas, Sakowitz in Houston, Godchaux's in New Orleans, Cohen Brothers in Jacksonville, Levy's in Savannah and Rich's in Atlanta.

As recently as 20 years ago, there were approximately 500,000 Jews living in Southern states (this includes enormous numbers of Florida Jews, who are transplants from the north). The South, if it were a separate nation, would have a Jewish population larger than that of all but five nations in the world. The Jew of the New South, like his Gentile peers, has very little in common with his forebears in the Old South. Unlike the Gentile, however, the New South Jew might be shocked to find that he had forebears in the Old South.

JUDSON HAMMOND

FOOTNOTES

1. *A History of the Jews in America* by Howard M. Sachar, Alfred A. Knopf (New York, 1992), p. 72.

2. One of the members of the Jew Company, one Jacob Cohen, moved to Kentucky, where he hired Daniel Boone as a surveyor. Boone also worked for the Transylvania Company, which was largely owned by the Jewish Hart brothers. While in the employ of the firm, Boone employed one Samuel Sanders, a British Jew who had been deported from London, as an assistant. The young Sanders became a surrogate son to Boone, who had lost his own son during an Indian attack.

3. Congregation Beth Elohim followed in Charleston in 1749 and Congregation Beth Shalom was founded in Richmond in 1789. The congregations in these three cities constituted half of all people who belonged to Colonial Jewish congregations.

4. Newport, a hotbed of Hebrew mercantilism, dwindled in importance after America won its independence. A number of the Chosen lit out for Savannah and Charleston, as well as New Orleans.

5. After Jefferson's death, Monticello, his hallowed home- stead, was purchased and restored by a wealthy merchant named Uriah Phillips Levy, a former Commodore in the U.S. Navy.

6. "Intolerance" was also much in evidence in northern states. Massachusetts, perhaps the most liberal state in contemporary America, did not abolish its religious test until 1833. New Hampshire held out until 1876.

7. One of Jacob de Cordova's descendants is Freddy de Cordoba, a familiar name to a generation of late-night TV viewers, since he was the long-time director of *The Tonight Show* while Johnny Carson was host.

8. Abolitionist senator Ben Wade of Ohio referred to Benjamin as "an Israelite with Egyptian principles."

9. Jewish civil rights workers in Alabama in the 1960s might have been shocked to find out that Montgomery was founded by one Abraham Mordecai, who also built the town's first cotton gin. Alabama's oldest congregation was founded in Mobile in 1844. Montgomery followed suit in 1852.

SOURCES

The American Jews by James Yaffee, Random House (New York, 1968).

Everything You Need to Know About America's Jews and Their History by Roy A. Rosenberg, Plume (New York, 1997).

Guess Who's Jewish in American History, ed. by Bernard Postal and Lionel Koppman. Shapolsky (New York, 1988).

A History of the Jews in America by Howard M. Sachar, Alfred A. Knopf (New York, 1992).

The Jews in America, Four Centuries of an Uneasy Encounter: a History by Arthur Hertzberg, Simon and Schuster (New York, 1989).

The Jews in America: a History by Rufus Lears, KTAV Publishing (New York, 1972).

Jews in American History by Jerome Ruderman, KTAV Publishing (New York, 1976).

The Jew in American Politics by Nathaniel Weyl, Arlington House (New Rochelle, NY, 1968).

Jews in Early Mississippi by Leo E. Turitz and Evelyn Turitz, University Press of Mississippi (Jackson, 1983).

Pioneer Jewish Texans by Natalie Ornish, Texas Heritage Press (Dallas, 1989).

Reflections of Southern Jewry, ed. by Louis Schmier, Mercer University Press (Macon, GA, 1982).

Turn to the South; Essays on Southern Jewry, ed. by Nathan M. Kaganoff and Melvin I. Urofsky, University Press of Virginia (Charlottesville, 1979).

What Killed South Africa?

Kaffir was the general term reserved for all the barbarous Bantu tribes which came down from the north and collided with the Dutch and British settlers of the land now called South Africa. In the middle 19th Century, the British Governor of the Cape Colony, Sir Harry Smith, a dashing though somewhat self-absorbed fellow, put an end to the long series of Kaffir wars in a way that should be of interest to present-day whites. Calling together the chiefs of all the assorted tribes, Governor Smith compelled each to take a long oath which bound the natives to obey the laws of the High Commissioner, to stop the practice of witchcraft, to prevent robbery, rape, murder and wife buying, to acknowledge no chief but the Queen, to mind the missionaries, and once a year to bring a fat ox to King Williamstown.

Because these clauses were subversive of the Kaffir way of life, Governor Smith anticipated that the tribal chiefs would be little inclined to keep their oath. Accordingly he arranged for a moment of melodrama the aim of which was to achieve at least temporary obedience. Standing before the assembled gathering of Bantus, Sir Harry exclaimed, "Look at that waggen," pointing to a lonely vehicle standing in the blazing African sun. "Hear me give the word FIRE!" With that, a huge explosion rent the air, flinging thousands of wooden and iron wagon pieces skyward. "That is what I will do to you if you do not behave yourselves," he warned. He then picked up a sheet of paper. "Do you see this?," he shouted, shredding the paper and throwing its fragments to the wind. "If you break this oath, there go the treaties! No more treaties!

Do you hear? No more!"

As history records, South Africa's demise had nothing to do with any Kaffir majority's refusal to honor white minority rule, but only a tragic loss of white resolve to face down the lunatic demands of outside liberals for racial integration. One might reasonably ask what indeed broke the racial spirit of that otherwise brave and confident people? Historically, South Africa's whites were an amalgam of two distinct Northern European peoples—Boer farmers and the later-arriving British colonial merchants and industrialists who looked upon their existence away from mother England as only temporary.



Nothing, however, marked the difference between the two groups as sharply as did their views towards the Bantu natives. Boers felt them utterly unassimilable and racially unworthy. Brits viewed them both as a valuable source of labor

with which to exploit South Africa's mineral wealth and also as a branch of God's children to be educated and, if possible, even civilized.

British toleration of the natives was matched by a curious loathing of the Boers whose simple democratic culture, not unlike that in colonial New England, was viewed as little better than that of the blacks—an attitude that gave rise to Boer outrage and eventual brutal fratricidal conflict. This cemented a spirit between the two groups of seething intraracial enmity that eventually provided the wedge used by later race-liberals to destroy white rule altogether. At the base of this tension was the Brits' Anglo elitism which had its origins in the mother country's amazing success in knitting together a world colonial empire that fed a burgeoning domestic economy with raw materials that were transformed into the world's finest industrial products. Wherever British gentlemen of that Victorian era gathered, the unspoken feeling was always one of enormous racial self-satisfaction.

The day of South Africa is done. White liberals have succeeded in transferring the keys to the castle to the primitives of the bush. All that's left is for the passage of time to bring about the utter destruction of that once great society. For the beleaguered whites of North America, the last chapter is not yet written. Meanwhile our enemies are everywhere, our friends are few, and our struggle is weakening. Is there a lesson for us whites in the danger posed by this tale of ethnic conceit? Time will tell.

IVAN HILD

David Duke Visits General Makashov

David Duke, former Louisiana State Representative and author of his newly published autobiography, *My Awakening*, recently met in Moscow with General Albert Makashov, member of the Duma and outspoken critic of Russia's political leadership. While both men are highly critical of what they consider to be excessive Jewish control in their respective countries, Duke is generally castigated by the establishment in the States, while General Makashov's similar ideological stance is quite popular in Russia. What follows are edited extracts from the interview as reported in *Zavtra* (Oct. 12).

David Duke: General Makashov, I have been observing with awe how you almost single-handedly challenge the forces of the international financial oligarchs today. In my opinion the defense of the Duma in 1993 was not just a private Russian affair. The people at that time were defending traditional values. They were fighting against the forces representing the anti-human New World Order. Patriots in the U.S. understand this perfectly and proclaim the slogan, "No to the establishment of the New World Order."

Albert Makashov: I am really surprised at that. In the eyes of Russian patriots America very much associates itself with the forces of the international financial mafia. I am happy to hear that there are political activists and thinkers in the U.S. who understand the danger that these world oligarchs pose for mankind.

DD: The Russian people do not just consist of Yeltsins and Primakovs, people who are trying to drag the country into a system of colonial subservience to the New World Order, anymore than the American people consists solely of Clintons and Bushes. The paradox is that the top people who are now in power in the U.S. are not just the enemy of the Russian and other European peoples, but of the American people as well. Even though the American economy at the present time is developing quite successfully, the crisis in the U.S. is deeper in many spheres than it is in Russia. The fact is that the financial and political leadership in my country is inexorably reducing the European majority in the States to zero, replacing it with immigrants from the Third World. Only a few decades ago immigrants from Europe comprised 90% of the U.S. population. Now this number has been reduced to 75%. By the year 2010 the European majority will become a minority. I believe that an analogous process is underway in Russia. Perhaps it is still not as noticeable in Russia, but a similar trend is happening in your country. As part of their

general strategy... the financial and political leadership is systematically rooting out traditional values in order to create "a beautiful New World Order" in which real freedom of choice is first narrowed to a minimum, and then disappears, giving way to a rigid hierarchical dictatorial system of an ultra small group of international financial and information oligarchs.

AM: I understand what you are saying. . . . Here in Russia the government and the mass media are sowing ideas that are homicidal for the people. The ideals of family and the concepts of good and evil have been distorted. What is most terrible is that the Russian people remain passive spectators—TV viewers—as this is going on. It appears to me that the very same thing is happening in the U.S. The American people have become just as alienated from vital realities.

DD: I agree. However if we look at the fundamental principles upon which the consciousness of the millions of people in my country and yours are based, we will again see the rule of normal traditional European values. We would see this despite the filth spewed out at our peoples by the mass media. In the two election campaigns that I conducted in Louisiana, 60-70% of the voters supported my political views despite the fact that all the newspapers and television tried to cover me with dirt, saying I was an anti-Semite, a racist and the all the rest. The fact is that the average American and the average Russian are quite similar. It is possible that the situation is a mirror image in both our countries. People sense a danger, but have no idea how serious it is. For that reason they are not yet ready to make the sacrifices necessary to free themselves. We two politicians, you in Russia and I in America, must continue our work and try to overcome all obstacles. In the end the majority will begin to support us. Let us hope that the people wake up before everything is lost and that the present destruction of civilization will again reverse course. The people will wake up when they realize that the pistol is pointed at their heads and the trigger is just about to be pulled. Russia and America are alike. We have many common problems: the low birthrate, the high mortality level. We are the nationalities that comprise the majority, but our governments treat representatives of the minorities too gently. At times, the sympathy shown them borders on insanity. . . .

What also brings us together is that the common enemy of both the American and Russian peoples is nested in the financial and information groups that have taken control of the means of mass information and that have seized al-

most all the positions of power. Control of the mass media... makes it possible to manipulate the historical process. The mass media, which are now dominant—television, Hollywood—are waging total war, an enormous attack on traditional European civilization. Perversion, sexual depravity, single-sex marriages are all being promoted, wrapped in a package of everything goes and reinforced by a morality without bounds. . . .

AM: All the organs of information in Russia are in the hands of Zionists. All banking capital is likewise in their hands. Yeltsin's administration and the Russian government are almost totally composed of Zionists. I know that America has the same problem. In my opinion the Zionists must be removed from the organs of power and propaganda. Possibly a law could be passed requiring proportional national representation both in the government and in television. How could this be done? First, through the enlightenment of the people. . . . Second, through a fight in the parliament with all legal means. This would be the first stage of our liberation. The second stage, most probably, would be attained through the use of force. Zionists are not likely to surrender their power so easily.

DD: We need a revolution. Many patriots in America believe that nothing can be accomplished through legal means alone. All revolutions must be based on force. They must have three necessary components: First, the political component; second, the ideological; finally, the use of force. That is why our participation in parliaments is as important as our efforts in the matter of educating the people. . . . In my book, *My Awakening*, I have raised the question of the totalitarian control of the Zionist system in the mass media and the banks. I use the facts, even including Israeli sources. For example, I picked up one of the major Israeli newspapers and read: "The U.S. no longer has a government run by goyim." . . .

AM: The problem is that a new kind of society and state is being created under our very eyes. I am referring to a world state with a super rigid structure. The Zionists are aiming for a world dictatorship that does not permit individual freedom. In place of a Christian culture, which gave us the greatest achievements in science, technology, and art, they offer a gloomy civilization resembling the antediluvian Eastern tyrannies, whose main underlying principle was a spiritual dictatorship and a slave-like subservience of the millions to a ruling minority equipped with modern technology and electronics. Parapsychological and bioengineering technologies now make it possible to totally control the masses. They are already capable of changing an entire people into a flock of sheep. We see fragments of the Tower of Babel even now. Just imagine how some of the scientific discoveries that are just now appearing will affect society. Apparently Orwell did not write his book about the U.S.S.R., but about the Zionist world state. This borderless global financial information

state will by the year 2100 find a prescription for immortality, then for a biogenic man. There will be a caste of rulers, a caste of soldiers, and a caste of farm laborers. Such a society will have no use for national cultures and national state borders. It is precisely for that reason that the Zionists are tearing down national borders, resulting in the disintegration of the major states, like what happened to the Soviet Union. That is why they are reducing peoples to the level of primitive societies. It is not even feudalism, but slavery, similar to what is happening in Chechnya where human beings are openly traded.

We are witnesses to how a few Zionists are planning a technetronic fascism for all of us which, incidentally, has been described in the Bible. In Hollywood films we see the image of a "beautiful world." A mixture of man and animals, then a cyborg—a computer semi-human semi-machine that has no need for a homeland or love. And the same people are responsible for this whole Bacchanalia, including the elimination of "unnecessary" populations and separate rebellions. These people are the true fascists. It would be more correct to call them "Judeofascists." This is the way I envision the future world if the Zionization process of national states is not stopped, if we do not introduce norms of morality in the limitless development of science and technology.

Russia is now at the crossroads. The choice is either to abandon everything that has in the past comprised the spirit of Russia, abandon all scientific and social development, and become once again a colonially dependent country broken down into feudal Zulus and then to be converted into speechless creatures and slaves, or without losing another minute begin to fight back with all available means and join our efforts with like-minded people in every country, to alert mankind to the impending loss of its future.

DD: General, I agree 100% with the futuristic picture you have sketched. This "future world structure" is well underway in my country. It is being set up by people whose interests are far removed from those of the broad masses of American people. In addition, being an anti-Communist, I hold the opinion that a similar experiment was begun with the Russian people as early as 1917.

AM: For the White Russian Army patriots the February and October revolutions were indeed just such an experiment. The destruction of the churches and the eradication of traditions—all of that occurred. However, the Russian people and the national elements in the party were able to change this Trotskyite-Zionist program. The Trotskyites wanted to build their temple here, but a patriotic leader, who put Russian interests over schemes for a "hidden world" prevented this. In the period from 1927 to 1937 Stalin effected a patriotic revolution and wrecked his enemies' plans for the destruction of Russia. The great Russian people knew, as they did during the years of the Mongol Yoke, how to overcome the enemy, and a new patriotic

elite appeared producing such men as Zhukov, Kurchatov, Korolev, Sholokhov and many others. Inspired with a national credo, the Russian people together with all other peoples and nationalities moved toward a harmonious society. This movement was interrupted, however, by the Gorbachev-Yeltsin conspiracy. A pseudoelite rushed to take possession of all the wealth accumulated by the state during the Soviet years. In the last 10 years the builders of the New World Order have succeeded in everything here, although most of our people understand what is happening quite clearly. The forces of Zionism want to build their Eastern tyrannical society here. They want to divide the people into upper-class "haves" and lower-class "have-nots." They want to herd entire nations like swine and convert them into semi-animals. Your Hollywood and our NTV (Independent Television Company) were created to help achieve these aims. We can prevent this with our passion, our patriotism and our cultural institutions.

We can also prevent this happening with a nationally oriented political force. The recent meeting of the editor of *Zavtra*, Prokhanov and the leader of the Communist Party of the Russian Federation, Zyuganov, is very important. Several times in one interview the Communist leader Zyuganov repeated the words: "The Russian idea, the Russian people, the Russian conscience." I truly hope these

are not just idle words. We want to believe that the great Red Ship is changing course in the direction of a national liberation. That is the direction taken by the Movement in Support of the Army that I head together with deputy Il-yukhin. We have gathered Russian nationalists and patriots around us.

Now is the moment in Russia that everyone wants to look like a patriot and a statesman. But one must be judged by one's actions. Only a true patriot can call things by their right names—publicly, not behind closed doors. Only bold actions will free Russia and the world from the information-financial tyranny.

DD: Scattered throughout the world are patriotic anti-Zionist groups capable of interacting with each other. We could become contact points for the various segments of the patriotic movements of Europe, America and Russia. This would provide the basis for the creation of an international movement of patriotic traditionalists. To achieve this, we must develop completely new ideological and political plans and formulate a new political philosophy. Only by doing this will we beat back those who wish to destroy us.

200

Money Kosherization

The cast of characters and the plot of the current scandal involving the laundering of billions of Russian dollars in the Bank of New York should tell the American people something about the reliability of their banking system and immigration service. Arrested in the first instance were Lucy Edwards and Peter Berlin. As stated in the December *Instauration*, Lucy was born in Leningrad as Lyudmila Pritzker. She married a 19-year-old American sailor named Edwards whom she met in a Leningrad club.

After her divorce and her acquisition of U.S. citizenship, she was arrested at least twice for stealing thousands of dollars worth of clothing from various department stores before she was hired by the Bank of New York, which now claims it had no information about her previous arrests. Her career in the bank was meteoric, rising to a key executive position in the Eastern European unit, where her bosses were Vladimir Goltzine and Natasha Gurfinkel, the latter also an immigrant from the U.S.S.R.

Peter Berlin, who became Lucy's second husband of record, also immigrated to the States from the former U.S.S.R. It is believed he came on a tourist visa, married Lucy and stayed on illegally. Doris Meissner's immigration office and Janet Reno's FBI seem to know little about the couple's background. Berlin, a Jew, is said to have graduated from the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology before emigrating. Before setting up a phony import-export business in the States, Berlin was arrested for shoplifting. How much more of this cultural enrichment

can America stand? In earlier waves of immigration arrivals would be checked for evidence of moral turpitude. Are contemporary Jews exempt from such vetting?

Israel is the central headquarters for many of these illegal money laundering or kosherizing operations. Israeli officials

say they are preparing to tighten their lax banking laws that have made the mini-state one of the major money-laundering centers in the world. Estimates of money from Russian (Jewish) criminals laundered in Israel range up to \$5 billion a year. Semyon Mogilevich, a major leader of Russian organized crime, told the N.Y. Times, "I now feel safe only in Russia and Israel," where he has been a citizen since 1991. Some of the money laundered in Israel is brought in by Soviet immigrants to Israel who sold their dachas, apartments and cars for cash and smuggled the money out. (Another indication of how difficult life was for the Jews in the former U.S.S.R.) Kosherized

Russian money is considered by some to be one of the main engines driving Israel's economy.

Is there any difference whatsoever between Jewish gangsters and Jewish bankers?

200



Chosenite INS Commissioner

Slowdown on the Housing Front

The racial minorities' peculiar and disturbing habit of referring to the public welfare benefits which they receive as "theirs" provides an interesting insight into the attitude which they evince toward that huge packet of tax dollars that generally speaking comes out of the pockets of us whites, only to be somehow transmogrified by the benevolence of political leftists into something called "social surplus." This diaphanous windfall is then transferred whole cloth to the racial minorities as something "rightfully theirs." It is hardly uncommon to hear an excessively fat black mammy whose economic life has been built around nothing more substantial than bilking whiteness as she talks about "her" welfare check or "her" food stamps or "her" subsidized apartment. My mother once lived in a suburban big-city apartment complex that, because its construction had been financed by federal HUD dollars, was obliged to accept small percentages of Section 8 (federally subsidized) families. I learned who these welfare folks are, how they live and what they thought about working stiffies like myself who pay the taxes that provide for their multiple benefits.

On weekly visits to mom that covered a 20-year span, the study of welfare families became an informal scientific exercise. Because her apartment was located on the outer edge of a largely black East Coast metropolis, the majority of Section 8 residents turned out to be African American. Only later did Latinos, Asians and Middle Easterners move in. Rarely were the newcomers white, so rarely that I came to doubt the oft-repeated liberal cliché that whites are the chief beneficiaries of public assistance. A small but increasing proportion of tenants almost invariably involved an Afro-American man and "his" white woman, almost never the other way around. A close scrutiny of the white females who submitted to such liaisons indicated an unusually large percentage of mental defectives.

By any reasonable view the minorities reflected an attitude of unrelieved cynicism and hostility toward their privileged status. On a regular basis the Section 8ers would pull the fire alarm merely to watch the white paying guests scramble to the safety of the apartment lobby. Regularly they would deface the building and disable its elevators. Management's reaction grew increasingly timid as federal support for the minorities became more strident. By the 1980s, HUD was promulgating purposely vague rules of apartment house administration in dealing with minorities, forcing management to err defensively and expensively on their side.

Only by the expenditure of huge sums to install closed-circuit TV cameras and hire additional private guards did the managers of my mom's apartment identify

the miscreants who were pulling the fire alarm and wrecking whatever they could get their hands on. Eventually the word went out that minorities had to be treated with the utmost respect in regard to HUD rental rules to avoid huge fines. The adjacent apartment complex found itself slapped with a \$250,000 fine for allegedly refusing to rent to a tribe of lesbians.

Apartments designed for one or two occupants were thrown open to five or six usually noisy and destructive tenants. Arabs in particular became a huge bone of neighborhood contention consequent to their peculiar and offensive habits. Pungent and revolting odors wafted throughout the apartment buildings.

In this environment of expanding federal regulatory support residential rental housing investment dollars began to dry up, pushing rents into an upward spiral from which they never recovered. Because of federal interference less rental housing is available and at a higher cost for both regular and subsidized renters than was the case before HUD began its brutal antiwhite onslaught.

It hardly takes a rocket scientist to understand how the Majority's standard of living has been damaged by the federal government's program of forced integration. Unfortunately for those who must have everything decided by some federal agency, no statistics exist that calibrate social welfare. Gross Domestic Product, a measure of the level of production of goods and services, is no help in estimating the personal well-being of the producer.

Back in the giddy and innocent 1960s, leftist economists in the employ of Lyndon Johnson advocated adoption of such a system of social welfare measurement. The goal was to highlight the presumed difficulties being faced by minorities in their dealings with us bad old whites. Because the mighty minions who fought the War on Poverty must have had too much else on their minds, the cause of social welfare accounting became a forgotten issue in a world which showed a dramatic decline in the social welfare of whites consequent to their impacting by race-mixing.

The same statistics would likely indicate that race-mixing is not a zero-sum-game in which the loss for whites has not been matched in a quid pro quo improvement for nonwhites, if we are to take the embittered words of minorityites themselves on the subject. Governmental thumb-on-the-scale assistance to nonwhites has only led to added minority frustration, particular as they see whites continuing to refuse to mix with minorities in social functions.

I know precious few whites who consciously think favorably on the subject of interracial marriage. Such a

mindset may explain their eternal inability to feel good about themselves. Psychologically destabilized, they now increasingly retreat from the promise of integration into a segregated spirituality of "racial pride" where minority culture itself becomes enshrined. Despite or perhaps because of this, liberals who once promoted integration now speak quietly of the battle of integration as largely won. Reality points to the opposite. Most big-city public schools remain functionally segregated while school busing to achieve school integration is quietly being abandoned. Most college campuses continue to remain bastions of whitedom as affirmative action to achieve racial balance is phased out.

Four decades of federal racial bullying has not destroyed the whites' sense of their own racial dignity. Though nonwhites are increasingly able to leverage their expanding numbers into control over important segments of government social policy, white segregationist attitudes

rule private social relations to such a degree that further racial integration initiatives are not seriously considered.

It is too early to claim that the high-water mark of race-mixing has been reached, but whites who remain skeptical about integration should face the new millennium with a sense of confident satisfaction that the enemy now stands somewhat in disarray, if not in tactical retreat. Though endless more battles remain to be fought, the politics of the new year may produce a number of victories.

It is by no means a coincidence that Pat Buchanan has emerged as a legitimate spokesman for European values. Nor should we expect to find the likes of Hillary Clinton residing once again in the White House. True, a great leader of the cause yet remains to emerge, but for those of us who have had a negative view of the whole business of racial engineering from the start, the prospects for the future are not universally dismal.

IVAN HILD

More About Hanoi Jane

I read that Jane Fonda has been honored recently by the American Association of University Women with the organization's Speaking Out for Justice Award. She was hailed as a "committed activist who champions the environment, human rights and the empowerment of women and girls."

Listed on the AAUW's two-page biography of Fonda is her support of anti-gang measures in California, her building of rural villages in Tanzania, her student theatrical work in Georgia and her open-space preservation in Montana, where husband Ted Turner has bought tens of thousands of acres. She is also over-praised for her "books, cookbooks and videos," her work on preventing teenage pregnancy, and the children's camp she once ran. Her biography also mentions the Oscar she won for her role in the unprepossing movie *Klute*.

What was not mentioned in the award kudos is a picture that ran in the N.Y. Times (July 16, 1972), which shows her vigorously clapping as a helmeted North Vietnam soldier operates an anti-aircraft gun used to shoot down American planes.

Fonda had come to Hanoi to assist the Communists, to provide aid and comfort to a vicious dictatorship at war with the U.S. Specifically her job was to demoralize American POWs. In a series of broadcasts for Radio Hanoi, Fonda denounced "U.S. imperialism," praised the valor of the North Vietnamese and urged Ameri-

can G.I.s to disobey orders.

"I'm speaking to U.S. servicemen," she said in one broadcast. "I don't know what your officers tell you, but your weapons are illegal and the men who are ordering you to use these weapons are war criminals. . . ." As Fonda was spouting treason, our soldiers were dying in the fields and jungles of South Vietnam.



Fonda in her role as Chosenite Lillian Hellman

American POWs were tortured for refusing to meet with Fonda and pose for propaganda shots. David Hoffman was hung by his broken arm from a hook in

the ceiling until he agreed to take part in the photo ops. Michael Bengier, a civilian was just one of the many who were severely tortured. Later when the POWs came home and told what they had suffered in Hanoi's prison camps, Fonda called them "hypocrites and liars." She denounced Joan Baez in 1979 when the latter asked Fonda to join her in condemning the Communists' human rights violations.

There is no way Jane's decision to aid and comfort Uncle Ho whose troops were busy killing her fellow Americans could be construed as an adolescent whim. It was an adult choice that history will view as contemptible. For the American Association Of University Women to honor this misbegotten creature is an outrageous affront to her country and to Vietnam war veterans in particular.

In this time of far-left political correctness it is considered rather churlish to criticize Fonda today. It is regarded as a sign that one is ideologically stunted, that one refuses to forgive and forget. Nevertheless somebody should speak out and say Jane Fonda committed a war crime.

All nations, including those of the West, execute traitors in time of war as a matter of principle. Hanoi Jane should have been publicly executed for what she did, preferably on the steps of the U.S. Capitol.

Jewish Supersensitivity

Rarely does anti-Semitic rage reach the proportions that it did last summer when an angry white racist sprayed bullets into a Los Angeles Chosenite summer camp. Shocked at both the act's audacity and the depth of anger it revealed, Jews nationwide circled their wagons, threw up concrete barriers in their parking lots and double-locked their doors in anticipation of a homegrown Kristallnacht.

Aboard his Boston-based WBZ-AM radio program, quirky Jewish-homo and AIDS-infected David Brudnoy instantly abandoned his libertarian rhetoric to call on all right-thinking Christians to fight this anticipated wave of mainstream intolerance. In the face of all this paranoia, the casual New England radio listener might have been forgiven for expecting the next morning's Boston Globe to be chock-full of noxious depictions of anti-Semitic outrages committed by Beantown Brown Shirts. Happily or otherwise, no reports emerged of broken storefront windows or humble Semitic couples being cowed by the taunts of Boston street thugs.

Up in another quarter of bucolic New England where I was vacationing a different form of social violence involving Jews was unraveling. As the morning sun broke over the lovely village of Manchester Center (VT) a bloated, unkempt and ill-mannered family of Semites sat themselves down to a huge breakfast in a delightful neighborhood eatery, their unlovely slurping, gesticulating and shouting providing ample reason for unmistakable signs of disapproval by prim and proper locals. As I watched the events unfold, I could not help but think of how each of us, individually or as a group, is responsible for his own social history, be it merely the momentary glance of cross-

room rejection or a more agonizing rebuttal on the part of an entire up-in-arms nation. What made this particular event so fascinating was the juxtaposition it presented between the gorgeous regional scenery of southern Vermont and the innate unloveliness of the cultural invaders. Sure, southern Vermont has been going Jewish for years, a victim of the same Semitic tendency to escape the implications



"Moses" Greenspan

of its boorish political excesses that once made a hash of New York and caused the Chosen to turn the summertime Catskills into a Borscht Belt of grossness.

Now it's the turn of towns like Manchester Center and Rutland, once hidden away from the prying eyes and hands of the perennial outsiders and their excess of social liberalism. Because where the Jews go, so goes not only their culture, but their politics. Vermont's Public Radio has become a carbon copy of what is heard on Manhattan or Philadelphia airwaves, bleats of concern more for the tragedies of Africa and America's inner cities than for the poverty of the locals. Vermont colleges like Middlebury are glad to hand out affirmative action scholarships to unworthy ghettoites as they are to deny admission to working-class whites.

If southern New England is slowly turning Semitic, northern New England remains racially pure, a land of picture-postcard landscapes and eye-catching seascapes unsullied by the insults of urban America. Boston, by contrast, though the financial capital of New England, is pretty much a racial lost cause, home to what might be labeled The Kennedy Colossus, a cultural phenomenon of ambiguous dimension that gathers under its wing the worst of America's East Coast liberalism.

Why Irish-Catholic New Englanders continue to kid themselves that a vote for a Kennedy is a vote for Patty and Mike back in the old neighborhood is something unfathomable to this observer. The Jews control The Kennedy Colossus as they do nearly everything else in Beantown. However, they well know that such control is a two-edged sword. Most Jews fear that an economic downturn could turn the nation against them and ignite a spate of anti-Semitic outrage, particularly among the nation's commoners. For that reason, Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan, the man most credited with engineering the decade-long run of good economic times, has become something of an economic Moses to the Jews.

Should Greenspan or his successor ever stumble in the monetary guessing game (by tradition a Jew gets the Fed chairmanship), Jewish paranoia will pump it up to something far less appealing than either a Catskills or a Vermont summer camp. Of itself, such doubt about the innate goodwill of the nation's racial majority could spark a backlash with or without an economic downturn. For when you call a man an anti-Semite long enough, he may eventually stop denying it.

I.H.

Northward Ho!

On the first anniversary of my hegira from Texas to Idaho, I can say I don't regret the move, but it was not a bed of roses. Generally the whites here are as brain-dead as they are in Texas. As is usually the case in white enclaves, the denizens don't know how good they have it as they rarely travel to faraway places graced with "minority enrichment and diversity."

I do miss "Southern hospitality," however, and "Texas friendliness." The locals have a touch of xenophobia about ingath-

ering "furiners." Part of it derives from envy because the wages paid whites in Idaho are comparatively low. What little "higher education" there is has been commandeered as elsewhere by politically correct teachers and professors.

The Jewish media keep reporting on the organized racist and militia movements, but as far as I can tell there was more of that in Texas. The only well-organized "movement" I can see is that of the culture-mulchers and human rights

promoters. Most of the so-called racial "activists" are merely selling books.

On the plus side Idaho is still almost half rural and the people are more self-reliant and survivalist-oriented than those in white-collar urbanized, industrialized Texas. In the unlikely event of Y2K destabilization, I doubt there will be any riots here. Nor are there one million or more off-the-radar, undocumented Mexicans as there are in Texas.

834

The Social Revolution of the 1960s

Late in the Eisenhower Administration, Chief Justice Earl Warren and his activist court decreed the racial integration of public schools. Against the will of the vast majority of the American people, but with the acquiescence of the Executive Branch and Congress, the judiciary assumed the powers of the Legislative Branch, scrapped the Constitution and rushed to enact laws establishing a new racially blind equalitarian utopia.

To accommodate academically unqualified blacks, testing and academic standards had to be lowered. Just as it is easier to go downhill than up, the schools began their descent into anarchic indoctrination centers for politically correct thinking. Instead of creating a level playing field where blacks would be raised to the level of whites, whites began to sink to the academic level of blacks. White youths, whose parents were unable to afford private schools, were soon adopting black speech patterns and behavior.

To maintain even a semblance of discipline in the public schools, the authorities have had to resort to drugging hundreds of thousands of school children, mostly boys, with something called Ritalin.

Whites who objected to this clearly destructive policy were quickly marginalized by being labeled bigots, racists, Nazis, supremacists or whatever by the liberal dominated press. So pleased were these liberal pots and media moguls with

their success that they decided to broaden their electorate and support by advocating integration in all aspects of American life, including the private sphere.

The liberals managed through deception and cleverness to pass a succession of laws intended to change the racial composition of America. Immigration laws and restrictions, which had served for 200 years to keep America essentially a Christian European country, were scrapped in the 1960s by a bill that favored Hispanics, mestizos, Africans, Asians and Jews, and discriminated against Europeans. In addition the federal government passed a series of laws encouraging the hiring and promotion of minorities and new immigrants. University admission policies were changed to permit the entrance of unqualified students.

The institutions directly under federal control were the first to suffer. The Civil Service Commission, with its highly developed testing system for government employment, was quick to be eliminated. Much of the vetting for security purposes was also abandoned. The very demanding program developed by Georgetown University to prepare for the foreign service was deep-sixed. All such testing was declared discriminatory by the federal government.

The elimination of traditional American standards, without any real replacement in terms of ethics or codes of con-

duct, resulted in the breakdown of discipline everywhere.

The Armed Forces became guinea pigs for the federal government's social and socialist policies. Racial integration came first. The combined disastrous results of an inept liberal administration, characterized by a Defense Dept. run by the likes of McNamara's whiz kids, and an integrated soldiery guaranteed defeat in Vietnam. While the majority of G.I.s fought well, despite incompetent civilian war management, the fragging of white officers and the widespread use of drugs were frighteningly new impediments to maintaining a high-powered war machine.

The current administration has gone even further in undermining military effectiveness. Reflecting the Clinton government's own composition, the military has been ordered to incorporate women, sexual perverts and the mentally challenged. The number of whites of European extraction in command positions is diminishing rapidly. Reenlistments in all ranks are also seriously declining.

Absent a common and respected personal code of behavior, it is hard to see how any politician on the horizon can alter or even retard, America's further decline into a veritable police state in which federal, municipal, private and most likely international, police will govern our people's every action.

200

Intolerable Cacophony

Like rap, soul and jazz, rock makes me truly nauseous. In this current age, however, we're subjected to this obscene eardrum-splitting noise, like it or not. Wherever we go in public, it's there and it will not go away.


Finding myself in a mall not long ago, I was overtaken by a group of Mexican teenagers roaming the aisles and toting the inevitable "barrio blasters." The air was being polluted by what is, arguably, San Antonio's most revolting radio station, KISS. I simply could not believe the raw, audio sewage booming from the "blaster." In between "hits," two Nean-

derthals acting as DJs, one male and one female, were discussing a variety of "topics," the vulgarity of which was beyond imagination. One subject that brought forth gales of guffaws from this disgusting duo was flatulence in the workplace. Another was the open advocacy of adultery for wives. (The female DJ's exact words were, "Same ol' weenie every day gets old, eh girls?") A third snatch of dialogue had to do with the female breast as a sex object. (She: "Get those mams out there and bounce 'em, babes.") A fourth topic was pet excrement. (He: "Hey, nothin' like a pile of [it] to welcome ya home af-

ter a long day at work, huh folks?")

Since I had to wait in line for 10 minutes to make a purchase, there was no way I could avoid this muck. It was a learning experience because I had no idea this kind of music ever hit the ether. I had thought the FCC had regulations to govern it. But it seems that since such Jewish cesspool prowlers as Howard Stern have turned scatology into an art form, radio has taken its place alongside TV and movies as just one more sickening example of Jewish and black acculturation.

762



Ancestral Gene Follow-Up

On page 6 of *Instauration's* October issue, Dr. Brander C. Kitchin writes, "African blacks and white *Homo sapiens* do not have the same origin. In fact, they are different species that, tragically, are able to interbreed." My own doctorate is not in medicine, but I have studied taxonomy and genetics extensively. With all due respect to Dr. Kitchin, the definition everyone accepts of species does not allow two interbreeding groups to be called different species. In sexually reproducing creatures, a species is a group of life forms, all of whose normal adult members are able to mate with any other normal adult member of the opposite sex and thereby produce viable offspring. None of these normal adult members can produce viable offspring with any life form outside the group. If two groups can interbreed, they are of the same species, though they may be of different races. Ability to interbreed demands a common origin, even if remote. If two groups cannot interbreed, then they are by definition separate species. They may be closely related species, such as donkeys and horses. The offspring of such matings are sterile, thereby preventing interbreeding, but proving a common origin at some point in the past. The natures of the genomes of groups do not permit reunion once the groups have diverged genetically beyond a certain degree. This is the heart of Darwin's theory and the explanation of how races form.

Divergence of two or more segregated groups of a species results as viable mutations occur within individual creatures. They are propagated in their offspring and become homogenized throughout each group but do not spread beyond a group's boundaries because of the barriers that separate the groups. As conservation within each group of these individual mutations, assisted by mutual isolation, builds a stock of genes unique to each group, the genome of each gradually becomes incompatible with the genome of any other. Finally, intergroup incompatibility results when insufficient numbers of viable offspring are born that could permit merger of the two groups. At this stage, the old species has completed the cycle of species radiation and at least two new species have resulted.

There is a dangerous fallacy called genetic convergence in which two separate species coincidentally and miraculously evolve the ability to interbreed. Why this cannot happen is simply that, given the enormous number of possible combinations of nucleotides that make up the genes of even the simplest life forms, and the requirement that there be correspondence if not near perfect congruence among the genes of two prospective parents, genetic convergence is among the most statistically unlikely of events that can occur. This is like sprinkling a paper with ink and finding that the pattern exactly duplicates the score of Beethoven's Ninth Symphony. Edgar Rice Bur-

roughs, in writing of the courtship of Deja Thoris of Mars and John Carter of Earth, which courtship produced a large egg of some sort, leaned on that fallacy. It makes a great story, which liberals use liberally to confuse the issue of race.

I know that this is damned technical, But we cannot propagate anything so profoundly interesting as Dr. Kitchin's article, if it leads us into dangerous misconceptions. Let me offer an alternative hypothesis that does not rely on genetic convergence yet passes the Occam's Razor test even more easily than Dr. Kitchin's hypothesis.

Drs. Carleton Coon, Louis Leakey and others suspected that the Olduvai Gorge, a probable aulacogenic rift in east Africa, was the area in which some of man's progenitors appeared. Groups of these creatures, still of the same species, began the species radiation cycle. Some stayed in Africa, others radiated into Asia and Europe. Once these groups became effectively separated, each individual's mutations could evolve into traits that were preserved in that individual's group alone and not shared beyond the group because of contact inefficiency. Even today the groups are genetically compatible enough to reunite, a fact which the regimes of the West are strongly counting on. It is practically certain that the mutation that led to the anti-AIDS allele, CCR5-delta32, was originally a merely random happenstance, as are all mutations. The anti-AIDS allele evolved as a mutation in a single individual, probably from the CCR5+delta32, the AIDS-neutral allele. We can make this speculation because the anti-AIDS allele is much rarer, and because it appears to convey no harmful effects to explain its rarity. Certainly the AIDS-neutral CCR5+delta32 allele has nothing in particular to commend it, unless coding for cells with docking sites has positive value in situations unrelated to AIDS. Yet since it is in the majority, I am urging that it was the common form of the gene before the anti-AIDS allele appeared.

Had the anti-AIDS allele been of negative survival value in whatever environment it appeared, its bearers would have died out in competition with their more viable brethren. Had the allele had immediate positive survival value, it would probably have increased to the point that all members of the group would ultimately at least carry it in genotype and probably display it in phenotype. Perhaps it had limited survival value in denying similar but less virulent viruses, the docking sites which Dr. Kitchen so capably describes in his article. This explains why it has not atrophied due to subsequent mutation at its site on chromosome #3. Or it could just be that chance ignored it until, lo and behold, its advantage became manifest when it displayed itself capable of denying the AIDS virus a docking site. I doubt that we can determine its history, but as a



general rule if a mutation is preserved it is almost invariably because it serves some survival purpose. Otherwise, in the tumble of factors that cause mutations, time will gradually corrupt it and it will disappear.

Many characteristics brought about by mutation have no immediate value, one way or the other, and gradually atrophy, unless the environment puts pressures on their bearers that such traits will either resist or succumb to. These traits then become significant assets or liabilities of the genome of the group and achieves evolutionary significance. Genes do not miraculously mutate because they suspect that their mutation will offer protection against some sort of harm. Genes simply mutate. The process is random, caused by such factors as cosmic ray penetrations, chemical stimuli or mere errors in chromosome replication. If a mutation conveys a useful trait, a very rare occurrence, it is preserved and increased. If it is neutral, it is neither extinguished nor increased, but may atrophy by subsequent mutation of the same gene or genes. If the gene is beneficial but recessive, it may offer no advantage until breeding pairs carrying it meet and reproduce. Likewise, if the gene is harmful but recessive, it may convey no detriment until two such alleles are joined, as in Hunter's, Hurler's or Sanfilippo's Syndromes, and its recessiveness may be what has protected it from extinction. Until it exposes itself through the traits it codes for to the environment in some way, for good or bad, a new allele of an old gene is neutral and useless.

Some environments could increase the value of an allele that would be detrimental in other environments. Sickle cell anemia is a well-known example. Where it has not killed off its bearers, it is found to be of value against Plasmodium, the genus of parasite that causes malaria. Where malaria is not a serious problem, sickle cell anemia has killed off its bearers. Those living in areas with active Plasmodium infestation who have no sickle cell allele simply suffer malaria's ravages as the rest of us would if we were there.

I bear a great debt of gratitude to Dr. Kitchin for the information his article conveys. It is better written than I could have done with the same information. It conveys an absolutely dynamite message regarding AIDS-resistant people and the genetic research surrounding them. It covers two areas, genetics and epidemiology, which I believe to be of crucial importance to our people. If Occam's Razor offers a simpler explanation for the phenomenon he describes than genetic convergence, an established fallacy, we should go with it. Moreover, the rarity of the CCR5-delta32 allele does emphatically not in itself argue that we are miscegenated, though genetic drift might explain some of our less Caucasian traits. I am simply saying that lacking

CCR5-delta32 does not compel Dr. Kitchin's conclusion. An analysis of evolution, genetics and race offers a simpler explanation than his, one that avoids the convergence fallacy as well the acceptance of a heritage of miscegenation. We are not faced with mass extinction. Those graced with CCR5-delta32 are no more than that part of our Nordic race whose #3 chromosomes protect against the plague of the hour. Any breeding program we contemplate should select not merely for AIDS resistance but for all those characteristics that make us unique. AIDS is easy to avoid without drastic measures, simply by being our careful and moral selves. If 95% of humanity dies from it, we, with or without CCR5-delta32, will emerge and rebuild our Eden in a far better world. Who knows? Perhaps we can even learn to splice CCR5-delta32 into our own less fortunate offspring. We've done more difficult things.

DUTCH UNCLE

Let Us Redefine Species

Dr. Kitchin's article, "An Ancestral Gene May Save Us" (Instauration, Oct. 1999), provides compelling objective support for what for centuries has been intuitively known. That is that the three major races lumped together under the classification *Homo sapiens*, are so unlike that there must be some unfathomable chasm separating them. Physically and psychologically different, behaviorally different, perceptually different, emotionally and intellectually different—that there are also differences at the cellular level should come as no great surprise. Still, in light of these and similar findings, it may be time to reconsider current outmoded classifications and hold them to be what they are—different species.

It may ultimately prove more illuminating to the study of Hominid evolution if we step outside of politically inspired classifications and their resultant dogmatic thinking and scientifically reclassify the subraces of *Homo sapiens*. Perhaps as different species altogether or conceivably as a subcategory between species and genus, but certainly not as the taxonomy of one single undifferentiated species. It is absurdly inconsistent with observable facts. Indeed, as our knowledge of cellular biology progresses we find at least as many, if not more, genetic markers differentiating between the Caucasoid, Mongoloid and Negroid as we do between say Wolves, Coyotes and Dogs—"species" that can also interbreed in artificially created environments.

If Dr. Kitchin's hypothesis regarding the long-term result of the HIV/AIDS pandemic is correct, a remnant population of those persons possessing the CCR5-delta32 allele will survive unless that genetic trait is first completely bred out through total miscegenation. This is unlikely to occur before the disease runs its increasingly rapid course.

A population of only a few hundred thousand healthy individuals built our civilization. It seems quite likely that a few thousand uncontaminated survivors will in future do at least as much.

Who was it who said, "You can't fool mother nature"?

LAWRENCE



Incongruous Ally

The Jewish establishment came out almost 100% for keeping the Brooklyn Museum exhibit that degrades and defames the Virgin Mary. The only important Jewish ally of Mayor Rudy Giuliani, who wanted to close it down was—of all people—Abe Foxman of the ADL. Abe wrote a letter to Cardinal O'Connor commiserating with him on the way the Catholic religion was being portrayed. Ironically if some Jewish hero or heroine like Moses or Anne Frank had been given the shaft, Abe would have closed the show down instant.

Racial Heterarchies

Writing in *Archaeology* (Nov./Dec. 1999), Roderick J. McIntosh, professor of anthropology at Rice University, explains the practice of ethnic heterarchy in the Bethlehem steel works in Pennsylvania. He gives the reasons why it proved to be so successful there among first-generation Americans. Heterarchy is the term used to describe the partition of decisions among many ethnic groups without the undesirable effect of vertical control of hierarchies. At Bethlehem Steel the executive, research and upper engineering ranks were predominantly Anglo-Saxon, while the new immigrants filled specialized shops which took on a distinctly ethnic flavor. Hispanics ran the blast furnaces; Slovaks the specialty mills. Germans were the shop foremen. Hungarians operated the coke works. Irish patrolled the plant, and so on. The various ethnic churches in Bethlehem functioned as hiring halls. According to McIntosh, such heterarchical arrangements produced a special dynamism responsible for some of the most rapid and greatest technological advances.

200

Malingering Minorities

The act of self-maiming to avoid the rigors of military duty is called malingering. At the analytical level, malingering amounts to the substitution of a certain evil of lesser magnitude for an uncertain greater evil. It's the equivalent of taking out an insurance policy whose premium is self-mutilation and whose payoff is protection from a catastrophic event. At the psychological level, malingering is afloat in deep rivers of psychic chaos, the source of which only a trained psychiatrist could assess.

In the world of race, malingering is pursued by minorities every day of the week where the pathology of poor diet and devil-may-care indifference to drugs, drink and debauchery lead to incapacities in thinking and calculating, the necessary precondition for obtaining a ticket aboard the public welfare gravy train. Whether such behavior is conscious is beside the point. It is pursued actively by a large proportion of the minority community. Paradoxically the traditional racist view of minorities, which chalks up their incapacities to inherent deficits of genetics, tends to promote such behavior by absolving them of responsibility for their actions and in the process legitimizing their claim to public welfare.

I.H.

Pushy Lady

Lena Alien-Shore, a Holocaust survivor, has maintained over the years a lively correspondence with Pope John Paul II. She can't say or write enough good things about the Holy Father. A teacher of art history, philosophy and Holocaust studies at Gratz College in Philadelphia, Lena is currently writing a bio of her favorite Catholic. In his younger days the Pope also studied art history and philosophy. In 1996 Ms. Shore got herself invited to a 45-minute private audience at the Vatican. Oh thatchutzpah!

Inside The Insider

While the movie, *The Insider*, is cinematographically an excellent production (photography, acting, background music, dramatic effects), it is plain insidious. Essentially the film is a polemic against the tobacco industry. All well and good, but a closer view reveals that, while the venality of the tobacco tycoons is obvious, the real villain in the film is corporate America. Disguised as a documentary, it is really a dramatization with full poetic license of a very topical subject. The media, specifically *60 Minutes* and the N.Y. Times, are portrayed as the arbiters of right and wrong. Corporations and big money (tobacco money not whiskey money) are wicked and wrong and the people must be protected. In the film when Lowell Bergman blurts out that he studied under and admired Herbert Marcuse, we know where he and the movie are coming from. Worth seeing as a first-rate flick, the film is an insidious distortion of reality. Viewers of docudramas,

like readers of Holocaust literature, must learn to distinguish between the factual and the fictional. The impresarios of Hollywood showbiz, like their kinsmen in Shoahbiz, know full well that a fictionalized dramatization of an important issue is far more effective than a factual documentary.

I.H.

Lock and Load

The more minorities are given, the more they threaten to "explode." Inner-city "explosiveness" has become the hot-button topic in the presidential campaign of left-leaning candidate Bill Bradley, who asserts, if the Majority does not come across with cradle-to-grave government-sponsored medical care, the nation's minority neighborhoods "are sure to explode." Explode they did on the 1968 weekend following the assassination of Martin Luther King Jr. In that dust-up the streets of some of our inner cities ran red with gore and the skies were aflame. Washington "burned baby burned" up and down the black commercial avenue of 14th Street NW, while places like New York and Philadelphia remained relatively calm. The difference lay in the attitude taken by the respective police forces. In the fortunate cities that escaped the bulk of destruction, police followed a lock-and-load watchword and tested their truncheons on the skulls of a number of miscreants. In the nation's capital Lyndon Johnson ordered the police and National Guard to stand aside and give the looters their head. Is there a lesson here for arrhythmic hoopster Bill?

I.H.

Genderizing Subs

A confidential Navy study strongly recommending against mixed-sex crews on submarines is being disregarded in favor of a report, prepared by the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services, urging that females be incorporated in the crews. The panel of DACOWITS, appointed and supported by Baptist feminist politician Clinton, and Jewish feminist pols like Cohen and Richard Danzig, Secretary of the Navy, consists of 27 women and two men (sexual orientations of the latter not specified). Most senior naval officers object to mixed-sex crews. Aside from having to redesign the submarines at great cost to accommodate the ladies, senior military authorities cite morale, fraternization, pregnancy, favoritism, wifely concerns and a host of other factors as reasons for not welcoming women aboard.



No Chance for Pat

Precisely because of his virtues, solid education, especially in history, practicing Roman Catholic of a pre-Vatican II mentality, critic of CFR, Bilderbergers, Trilateralists and other One Worlders, precisely because of his genuine honesty, articulate disrespect for political correctness (he dares criticize Israel and other political sacred cows) and precisely because he is an independent and outspoken thinker, Patrick Buchanan is unelectable.



The cards are stacked against him

Pat is mistaken in his belief that America is a republic. It was one up through Teddy Roosevelt's era, but by the end of WWI the U.S. was a full partner in managing the British Empire. By the end of WWII, with Britain bankrupt, America ruled the Empire, now euphemistically called the English-speaking world. Not by accident were many of America's leading politicians once Rhodes "scholars." Graduates of that program are not particularly known for their scholarship but for their political affiliation and their acceptance of Rhodes's call for an Anglo-Saxon controlled world.

The U.S. came to the defense of England in both world wars. Assuming Britain's role in the Near East, the U.S. viewed Kuwait as another English invention that Britain could no longer defend. So we had to step in. We rushed to provide all logistical support to the British in the Falklands War despite the Monroe Doctrine. On a comical note: Virginia ladies still curtsy when a member of the Royal Family is in town. Successful Irish-American politicians take on the accent and mannerisms of English barons (Buckley and Moynihan).

Our smugly entrenched Anglophile and Jewish elites must surely see Buchanan as an atavistic ogre leading a peasant army

armed with pitchforks, just as the British viewed the American colonists over 200 years ago.

It's a drab world out there, Pat. You should be happy that you'll never be allowed to play a major role in it.

Anti-Italianism

At the insistence of the DiMaggio family of baseball fame, the federal government has been asked to release classified documents on enemy aliens relocated and/or interned in "detainment" camps during WWII. It is estimated that 500,000 Eyeties served in the armed forces in WWII, while some 600,000 of their family members were put under wartime restrictions. Joe DiMaggio's father, a San Francisco fisherman, was forbidden to fish during the war because of his Italian origins. While Japanese Americans so treated have already filed complaints and are getting restitution, Italian and German Americans are still taking it on the chin.

200

How Does Your Place Rank?

The rage of pop culture these days is in the accumulation of lists—lists that cover who's in and who's out, lists that detail the best-dressed among us, lists that identify the top spots to live in. Seen anywhere and everywhere, the top-location indicators are couched in the scientific jargon of statistical analysis. They promise to reveal the few grand Valhallas of locational wonder yet left in this darkening American landscape. Based on such categories as school quality, air purity, public safety, cost of living, availability of recreational facilities, economic vitality and growth potential, the lists always omit the factor most sought after by whites who grumble about their present homestead—a low proportion of racial minorities. Almost invariably the winning cities in these lists offer a nearly perfect (negative) correlation with just that factor. Is this merely pure coincidence?

I.H.

No Hate Crime?

Our version of the Daily Worker, the San Antonio Express-News, went apes and bananas over the Jasper (TX) dragging death of a Negro by three whites. Editorial after editorial screamed imprecations and insults at the "white racist killers." But in October, when an illegal Hispanic alien from Honduras murdered a white cop in

cold blood in nearby Boerne, not a murmur was heard from our "fair and impartial" daily. A few days later, in nearby Pleasanton, a black killer ambushed five white cops, killing three before committing suicide. The Express-News broke its editorial neck assuring us this was no hate crime, just an unfortunate "coincidence" which had nothing whatever to do with racial hatred. The mind staggers and reels with images of what "our" paper would have spat had the killer been an Anglo and his victims Hispanics or blacks.

782

Unwelcome Meddler

Jesse Jackson was back in his element. Wherever there's a racial problem he shows up in one or two days and turns everything into a Jackson ego fest. It didn't take him long to be arrested in front of scores of cameras, handcuffed and installed in a Decatur (IL) jail for a few minutes.

Jackson informed the Decatur School Board that its order of suspending six black student thugs for two years was unacceptable. Jesse got it to reduce this to one year, but the Board wouldn't surrender any further. It is interesting to note that with all the back-and-forth agit-prop no one pointed out that the six blacks were not exactly ideal students. One of them had pleaded guilty to a robbery, another to unlawful transgression. Altogether the six have missed more than 300 hours of classroom attendance.

At last report Jackson and his rent-a-mob were trying to move the whole case to the federal courts. This didn't work when a federal judge said he wouldn't touch the issue. Local authorities, however, did get heavily involved when the students were arrested and charged with felony mob attack. So far Jackson's standard routine racial blackmail act hasn't won any Oscars.

Sobran Heats Up

In his voluminous writings Joe Sobran has long been slouching towards anti-Semitism, to paraphrase a well-known line of W.B. Yeats. A recent issue of Sobran's carried the article, "The Church and Jewish Ideology," in which he slouched all the way. It is often only a question of time until a Majority writer with a deep understanding of the Jewish mentality is no longer able to restrain himself and "spills the beans," so to speak. Inevitably he will be fired. Inevitably he will beg to get his position back by promising to be a "good boy." Only in

the rarest case does the Majority writer stick to his ideas, refuse to apologize and keep on writing objectively and honestly about Jews, even though he loses practically all opportunity to get his words disseminated. Readers interested in Joe's anti-Semitic tour de force can order his newsletter from Sobran's, P.O. Box 565, Herndon, VA 22070 (\$44.95 for a one-year sub).

Black Pol Wins

Although she was accused of using \$280,000 of her campaign funds to buy personal luxuries, although Jesse Helms told her she should "look for another line of work," the ex-Democratic senator from Illinois, Carol Moseley-Braun, was confirmed as U.S. Ambassador to New Zealand. No senator wants to have his résumé marked with a refusal to support a high and mighty Negro pol. Clinton's appointment was in his usual malicious vein. There's nothing he likes better than to impose a black phiz on an overwhelmingly white country.

Rosenthal's Out

Abe Rosenthal, once executive editor of the N.Y. Times, latterly a more humble columnist, has been fired. Some say it was because he criticized Israel for working with the Chinese military. At any rate, Abe's off the payroll. Perhaps Arthur Sulzberger Jr., currently the big boss of the Times, is aiming at more and more social respectability. His mother is a non-Jewish Episcopalian. He himself was confirmed in a Manhattan Episcopalian church. Unfortunately it will take much more than Arthur's ethnic wobbling to get the Times off the Jewish track.

Flawed Fighter

The war records, or lack thereof, of two leading presidential candidates, Bradley and Bush, show that, as is common in their profession, these two pols never heard a gun go off in anger. They both managed to avoid Vietnam by securing jobs in the Army Reserves (Bradley) and Bush (Air National Guard). Gore, through the influence of his senatorial father, was given an Army reporter's job that sent him to Vietnam but kept him safely away from the fighting. By means of additional influence from Washington he was able to get his two-year hitch reduced by three months.

The only hero in the bunch was John

McCain, who spent five wretched years in a flea-bitten hell hole in Hanoi. McCain may have been a hero in the war, but he was no hero on the homefront. As a civilian he became a member of the crooked "Keating Five."

Speaking of war avoidance, Jews represented only 0.46% of war-related deaths in Vietnam, yet they composed 2.5% of the population at large. See *Vietnam: The Necessary War* (Free Press). Author Michael Lynn wrote what we all knew about but were never able to see in print: "Apart from Jews, few American students in the 60s were radical."

Parting Schott

They finally "got" Marge Schott, a voice that cried long and hard in the wilderness of baseball. For announcing that only fruits wore earrings and that Hitler did some good things in his early years as German chancellor, the media signed off totally on Marge and never stopped going after her. Finally she was forced to sell her majority interest in the Cincinnati Reds. So now she's just another stockholder without any power to get her way. The Reds new boss is Carl Lindner, a corporate moneybags.

Phony Anthropologist Dies

Montague Francis Ashley Montagu, the absurd name that Israel Ehrenberg cooked up for himself before he left England for the U.S., died in Princeton at age 95. Montagu preached that there was no such thing as racial differences, though he admitted there were differences between the sexes as his major opus, *The Natural Superiority of Women*, attests. There is no one who has spread more disinformation about anthropology than Montagu. Good riddance!

Defeminization

Some researchers claim that man-made chemicals (endocrine disrupters) are causing lower sperm counts, even birth defects. If their suspicions are correct and environmental factors are indeed threatening human reproduction, the cause may also lie in the social sphere, since there is little evidence that lower sperm counts have in any way affected birth-rates of Africans, Hispanics or Asians. But in the white industrialized West, population rates are dwindling. The acceptance and use of birth control methods are partially responsible. More important may be

the radical change in male/female behavioral patterns. Many women, encouraged by government programs and financial necessity, have abandoned traditional female roles and entered male professions (soldiers, police, construction workers). In the process they have had to adopt a certain mindset and certain masculine traits, thereby losing some of their feminine allure. In this exchange of roles in modern industrialized society, the women have become more man-like and the men more feminized. Furthermore the modern obsession with sex in all its clinical and erotic aspects, while titillating many, has in the final analysis also contributed to the loss of natural femininity. Gone is most of the mystery, intrigue, mystique and natural beauty that have allured and appealed to men through the ages. Today too many women are content to be one of the guys. No wonder the spermatozoa are getting in shorter and shorter supply.

200

Jewesses Preferred

Jack Kelly, who writes a column for the Toledo Blade and Pittsburgh Post-Gazette (both papers Jewish owned), went out of his way in one recent scribbling to say how much he loved his first wife, a Jewess, who died some years ago of cancer. He now informs his readers that he is about to marry his second wife, another Jewess. Guess he'll never get around to marrying one of his own—an Irish lass, say.

Jewish Networking Okay

Anthony Stumiolo was representing pro bono the family of one of the students wounded in the Columbine High School bloodbath. One day he was served notice that his services were no longer required. He wrote back warning his ex-clients that they should stay away from Jewish lawyers. Somehow or other, as these things usually do, the letter found its way into some reporter's hands. Another racist scandal was born. The family had hired two new lawyers, one a 100% Jew, the other, the demi-Jewish Geoffrey Fieger, a longtime attorney for Jack (Dr. Death) Kevorkian. Said Fieger, "I try cases in scores of states and no one has made personal attacks against me. Of all the personal attacks I've heard, nobody has ever engaged in this type of blatant religious bigotry." Poor Stumiolo is probably losing a lot of business and clients simply because, as a devoted Christian, he wanted to keep his clients on the Christian straight and narrow. Apparently only Jews are allowed to network.

Primate Watch



Salvador Gutierrez, born in Nicaragua, currently a resident in a Georgia jail, was given 45 years for molesting an 11-year-old Hispanic girl. Salvador's present wife is the daughter of his first wife.

New York cab driver **Akhbar Bajwa** can't resist capturing his female fares. On one occasion he picked up a woman in Manhattan and, instead of delivering her to her address, spirited her off to Queens where he tried to attack her. Thanks to a traffic jam, she was able to escape. Two weeks later Akhbar parked his cab at night on a Manhattan side street and tried to tie up a woman with a scarf. In the nick of time she managed to slide across the back seat and jump out.

Gilda Oliveros, the nation's first Hispanic female mayor, presides over Hialeah Gardens in south Florida. She is not setting a good example. At present she is under criminal investigation for serious and extensive vote fraud.

Black momma **Yolanda Harris** is expecting her third set of twins. She cried when she heard the news because she had been trying to get off welfare. As might be surmised, Ms. Harris, 22, is unmarried. The latest set of twins will have a different father than the first two sets.

Louis Brooks, 40, was freed from prison after serving six years of a 15-year sentence for sexual assault. Four months after his release he again raped the same 75-year-old woman he had previously violated. The woman had apparently paid no heed to a notice sent out by the Georgia Dept. of Corrections warning her that Brooks had been released.

The old rumor that **George W. Bush** was arrested for cocaine possession in 1972 won't die. Bush's father, the ex-President, called the rumor "a vicious lie."

In their temporary love nest in a Miami hotel, **O.J. Simpson's girlfriend du jour** made such a racket (while sniffing cocaine?) that O.J. called 911 and two policemen were sent to quiet things down. Simpson was handed a brochure on domestic violence as required by state law. The cops then happily posed for pictures with O.J. and before leaving managed to get his autograph. . . . A similar dispute, also occurring in the Miami area, erupted between the unbalanced **Dennis Rodman**

and **Carmen Electra**, a cheap showbiz type. The shouting and scuffling grew so loud they were arrested, handcuffed and hauled off to the clink. A judge ordered them to keep a minimum of 500 feet away from each other.

Ronald Stern of Coral Gables (FL), known for being a trustworthy Jewish accountant, committed suicide when his many financial scams failed to pay off. His biggest victim was **Vanilla Ice**, a black rapper whom he took for \$1,432,743. He robbed a Jewish Community Center of \$278,564. Some of Stern's marks are suing his estate for \$10 million. Chances of recovery are slim.

Another Florida flimflam artist is **Alberto Gutman**, a onetime Florida state senator. He was so deeply involved in fraudulent financial schemes that he had no choice but to plead guilty to one of the charges against him. In the meantime he resigned his senate seat.

On CNBC's *Hardball* program **Gennifer Flowers**, Clinton's pre-presidential bit of fluff, told Chris Matthews, "We've had enough of these people—these criminals, these liars, these murderers." Matthews interjected, "murderer"? Flowers responded, "Well, there is a Clinton death list." Matthews followed up: "Do you believe the President ordered the killing of anyone?" Flowers: "I believe that he did."

Stanley Red, a black in Renton (WA), filled the bathtub in his girlfriend's apartment with eight inches of water, put her 14-month-old child in it, then went out to buy beer. When he came back in an hour or so the child had drowned. When the girlfriend returned, she and Red cremated the little body in her apartment fireplace.

A substitute teacher in north Dade County (FL) elementary schools may have exposed more than 300 students to his active and infectious TB. No details were given about the teacher, but the chances are he or she is a minority member.

Hillary Rodham Clinton was seen in Queens recently taking a walking tour with **Rabbi Gerald Skolnik**. She is determined to brush up on her knowledge of Judaism.

Millionaire **Abe Hirschfeld**, onetime owner of the N.Y. Post, allegedly gave \$75,000 as a down payment to a building

contractor to hire a hitman to kill Hirschfeld's business partner. A jury was unable to reach a verdict on Hirschfeld's guilt or innocence. It was the second mistrial. Prosecutors say they will probably try Abe again.

It's hard to imagine but wild and woolly Harvard law professor, **Alan Dershowitz**, often known as Dershowbizwitz, gave \$1,000 to **Orrin Hatch's** presidential campaign. Other contributors to Hatch's idiotic run for president include **Edgar Bronfman Sr.** and **Rupert Murdoch**. Politics makes strange bedfellows.

Ben Collier of Ft. Worth took a shot at his drunken grandson, **Tyrone**, after the latter cursed him for the messy condition of the duplex he rented from grandpa. Collier is 103 years old.

Rev. Theophilus Thompson and three associates have been arrested and charged with burning down their all-black church in 1996 to collect \$270,000 in insurance. Blacks burning down black churches do not interest **Morris Seligman Dees**, whose ears only prick up when whites burn down black churches.

Mayor Wellington Webb of Denver has an errant 37-year-old son, **Allen**. In 1995 the latter was arrested for assault, possession of a controlled substance and attempted sexual assault and robbery. In October **Webb fils** was arrested for cheating **Adrian Nunez** out of \$500 and stealing his Gucci watch while he was showing Webb an apartment.

Wilt the Stilt Chamberlain, the basketball star, died in October at age 63 of a heart attack. In his 1991 autobiography, *A View from Above*, Wilt claimed he had slept with 20,000 women. Might he have lived longer if he had loved less?

Marla Maples, ex-wife of **The Donald**, has been taking kabbala classes, along with her fiancé, **Michael Mailer**, son of Jewish scribbler **Norman Mailer**. "I'm tired of all this Jewish-come-lately stuff," a Hollywood insider commented. "These people arrive from the South and the Midwest and know that 90% of the people who would hire them are Jewish, so suddenly, they all have rabbis."

Two black lawmakers in Albany (NY) were horrified to find hate notes on the doors of their offices. It turned out the culprit was not a Nazi racist but a black janitor. He wrote, "Kill all niggers because they don't belong here. Yours truly, KKK."

Talking Numbers

1.83 71 100.013 .03% 43 31425

Jews are so wrapped up in the Six Million Myth that they can't stand to hear that of the 11 million people who supposedly died in the Holocaust 5 million were non-Jews. The Chosen have made frantic efforts to deemphasize this figure. Shrinkage of Holocaust fatalities by playing up non-Jewish victims is considered pure heresy by Jewish fanatics.

A writing proficiency test given to 100,000 8th graders in 35 states produced the highest scores in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts and Texas. Lowest scores in the Virgin Islands, Mississippi, District of Columbia and Louisiana.

At last count TV networks had the following numbers of lead, supporting or recurring characters that were blatantly queer: NBC 8; ABC 6; Fox 5; CBS 3; WB 1; Showtime 3. In all, the pervert contingent consisted of 20 homos, 5 lesbians and 1 transgenderer.

Early returns from Time's Person of the Century had Elvis in first place, the late Israeli prime minister, Yitshak Rabin, second, and, to the howls of international Jewry, Hitler was third. Later candidates for the "honor" included Billy Graham, Mother Teresa, Reagan, Pope John Paul II, Mikhail Gorbachev and in the controversial corner, Chairman Mao, Che Guevara, Uncle Ho and Lucky Luciano.

When asked how many Kosovars were murdered by Serbs, Secretary of Defense William Cohen put the number at 100,000. A high British official estimated 10,000. A UN bureaucrat said 48,000. Despite 34,000 NATO (overwhelmingly U.S.) air sorties, only 3 Serbian tanks were destroyed. Serbia claimed that NATO (again meaning the U.S.) had killed 1,200 civilians.

Though the student body of the University of Virginia is 68% white, 63% of those expelled for honor violations were black.

53.2 million kids (kindergarten through 12th grade) jumpack U.S. schools. To handle this burgeoning population half-adequately in California a new school has to be built every 24 hours.

O.J. Simpson has looked at 10 properties in south Florida, one priced as high as \$1.2 million. Any permanent move, however, has to be postponed during liti-

gation about the visiting rights of the parents of the murdered Nicole.

2.7 million alleged slave laborers want \$12.5 billion for their work in Germany during WWII. So far the Germans have only offered \$3.3 billion and have insisted that only 900,000 are eligible to receive any payment. Much of the black-mail is being handled not by Germans or international Jewish organizations, but by the Jewish Deputy Secretary of the U.S. Treasury, Stuart Eizenstat.

A campaign called Jubilee 2000 wants to forgive the Third World the \$220 billion debt it owes the First World. An old Hebrew saw states that every 50 years Jews should forgive all their debtors. It is doubtful if the Jubilee worked then. It is more doubtful that it would work today.

By the year 2025 the American Society of Newspaper Editors wants to have 38.25% of U.S. newsrooms staffed by minorities.

29% of Georgia voters are black, as are 25% of South Carolina voters, 21% of Maryland voters, 20% of North Carolina voters. The disproportionate vote of blacks helps explain why the Solid South, which started to crack in the civil rights heydays, is now beginning to resolidify, thanks to population changes and the fact that Yellow Dog Democrats are almost as yellow as ever.

Giraffish hoopster Latrell Sprewell put his John Hancock on a 5-year contract worth \$61.8 million. Compare this huge booty with the skimpy earnings of the average American schoolteacher.

Nothing seems to satisfy the King family when it comes to feeding from the public trough. The latest shakedown is a black-sponsored bill in Congress that calls for the purchase of some 80,000 pages of King papers by the Library of Congress. Price: \$20 million.

In Hopkinsville (KY) a grove of trees and a monument are dedicated to the paratroopers of the 101st Airborne Division who died in the 1985 Gander, Newfoundland, plane crash while returning from one of those interminable peace-keeping missions in the Middle East. Of the 248 names on the monument, 2 are probably Jewish. Since their mission was to help protect the Jewish homeland, one

would have naively expected to see dozens of kosher names inscribed.

Ira Einhorn, who killed a young Majority woman and left her corpse to rot for 12 months in a trunk in his apartment closet, was ordered by a Philadelphia jury to pay \$907 million to the family of the victim. Chances are, not a cent will ever pass hands, even if Einhorn is extradited to the U.S. As of now he is still living it up in France with his Swedish mistress.

Speaking of huge jury awards, an 8-member District of Columbia jury awarded \$98 million to a woman who was killed while working as a government informant in a murder case.

According to the National Institutes of Public Health 5.6 million Americans suffer from serious mental illness.

From 1993 to last fall, 82 children died in school shootings; 99 children were killed by air bags.

There are 79 million Internet users in the U.S. and Canada, out of a total population of 303 million. Africa has 761 million people, of whom only 900,000 use the net, 700,000 of them in South Africa. Needless to say, most of the latter contingent are white.

Ethnic Chinese run 1 of every 5 Silicon Valley companies.

In 1997 guns were the weapons of choice of 17,566 suicides. In the same year 13,522 were murdered by guns.

1 million more prisoners are in jail today than ten years ago. More than \$100 billion a year is now being spent on crime prevention.

44 people close to the Clinton regime have been convicted of or pleaded guilty to a crime. 14 have been sent to jail. 72 congressional witnesses took the Fifth. 17 flew the coop to avoid testifying.

Of all Europeans, Icelanders women bear the most illegitimate children (65% of all births); Frenchmen drink the most alcohol (14.1 million liters per year); Greeks smoke the most cigarettes (8.3 per day); Finns commit the most suicides (26.3 per 100,000); Swedish males and Swiss females live longest (76.7 years and 82.2 years, respectively).

Since 1971 the number of impoverished immigrants in this country climbed from 2.2 million to 7.7 million.

Radio show magnate Howard Stern is shedding his wife of 21 years, "amicably" he states. She will not be suffering from lack of money. Stern made \$20 million last year. Mrs. Stern will keep the family manse in Long Island. Howard will move into his new \$5.9 million Manhattan pad. After he was fired by NBC, Stern was snatched up by CBS, which is now owned by Viacom, the boss of which is Sumner Redstone (Rothstein).

Bryant Gumbel, the affirmative action squawker, has gone back to his old job running a morning show, only this time on CBS instead of NBC. His primetime venture flopped badly last year, but since CBS has to get something for its money (he has a \$5 million annual contract), they've got to use him somewhere. Per usual his co-host will be an attractive Majority woman. The rest of the *Early Show* will be littered with various blacks and browns. Despite massive publicity, the first ratings of the show have been, for want of a better word, "disappointing."

Since CBS has always been the Demos' favorite



Is Gumbel flopping again?

network, Clinton chose the *Early Show* for a long, extensive informative interview. It was supposed to be a straightforward give-and-take, but it quickly turned into a love fest. Not once did Gumbel or Clinton mention impeachment, Monica or the Chinese moneybags who tried to buy the 1996 presidential election.

Artificiality has always been an art and entertainment killer. Turning a white character into a black to meet preordained quotas adds nothing to the suspension of belief required for the success of a TV show.



The answer to the question of cultural diversity is to produce black shows for blacks and white shows for whites. Paradoxically the same gang who wants to force-feed multiculturalism to whites opposes it for blacks. In TV or on Broadway whites should play whites and blacks should play blacks. Cultural mish-mash doesn't work in real life. It fares even worse on what passes for "art" and entertainment.

Not so long ago any TV show that even hinted at a budding romance between a black male and a white female was out of bounds. Today mixed-race boob tubers pop into bed before they even kiss. PBS put on *An American Love Story* that actually extolled interracial marriage by glorifying a family consisting of a black husband, a white wife and a bunch of hybrid kids. It's getting to be a trend. If it continues, marriages with interracial partners will receive large tax breaks and old-fashioned same-race marriages will have to pay some kind of ethnic penalty.

The half-Jewish, half-Puerto Rican Geraldo Rivera publicly announced he was separating from his mistress of seven years, who later became his wife of 12 years. Then after six weeks of soul searching, he took it all back and the couple is shackled up again.

From *Zip 200*. It's worth watching *In the Life*, the PBS gay orgy. More power to the gay movement. It's the best way to alienate the Majority from that whole stinking cesspool.

During a long TV interview mulatress Halle Berry was careful to refer to herself as an "actor," but now and then she slipped up and used the non-PC, "actress."

From *Zip 113*. Selling miscegenation is certainly much easier when our attention is focused on a "higher goal." That is probably what the Liberaloids at PBS [WNET/Nature] had in mind, when they enlisted Julia "Pretty Woman" Roberts for an installment of their *In The Wild* series.

One might say that the program was Tarzan and Jane with a twist. The predictable premise of the celebrity film star who roughs it for the sake of an endangered species quickly gives way to a politically correct Journey of Feminist Self-Discovery, wherein the civilized woman (and social icon) treks through Borneo in search of a close encounter with a male orangutan.

First we see Roberts with a baby orangutan. She laughs hysterically when it urinates on her neck. We hear the actress describe a dream in which she was "hugged" by a male of the species. She says that local people tell of large males invading villages, "and carrying off the prettiest girls." Perhaps it was the novelty of this idea (previously known as the King Kong syndrome) and the awareness of her physical appeal that prompted Roberts to take on this project.

She meets her 400-pound male while he is squatting on the ground eating. Hesitant and fearful, she sits down and inches toward him. A few moments pass. The ape seems indifferent. Suddenly his left arm falls around her shoulders and his right arm circles her waist. She is passive and does not resist. Three seconds later they are in upper body contact. Two white males then appear from behind and proceed with the disentanglement. To emphasize the importance of the scene it was repeated in slow motion.

Moments later, still breathless and smiling broadly, Roberts is ready for another close-up. She describes for the audience her personal reactions to this wonderful and thrilling experience. After all, it was a dream come true.

We can only stand amazed before the talents of our enemies. Their understanding of female psychology is far beyond what we possess. The ability to manipulate a movie star into the appearance of seeking sexual contact with an animal requires an insightfulness, subtlety and skill unlike anything previously known. By defining the socially acceptable and creating the socially fashionable, our manipulators have channeled female behavior to suit their own purposes. Women can be taught that motherhood is unimportant. They can be taught to hate the men of their own ethnic group. With almost no encouragement they treat animals like human infants. Finally they can be made to ignore the division between themselves and another species. Accept the premise and the rest is simple.

It has required perfect timing, innumerable adjustments of every kind, along with delicate shadings and fine tunings of public opinion, in order to bring about our current indifference to the ugly and the aberrant. Our controllers have now sanctioned a kind of pseudo-bestiality, where the female participant is left happy and satisfied for having played the central role in organizing the events.

No guilt or shame accrues to this. The manipulated victim feels honored and privileged. When every traditional value is replaced by its opposite, the abnormal becomes normal and every horror becomes respectable. Such developments are usually sensed by the youngest women first. They can perceive the slightest nuance in any social fashion or instantly adapt to drastic changes in social norms. Be reminded that this is not a mark of frivolity or faithlessness. It is coded in their genes as the best way to survive.

Let Julia Roberts get her thrills among the apes in Southeast Asian jungles and women here at home will follow suit as best they can. Might they possess the kind of charms that move a jungle beast? Could lesser women make the grade and prompt the same reaction? Just walking home alone at night and feigning absent-mindedness would be enough to pass the test and not be held accountable.

To volunteer as Julia did may soon become the fashion. Already modern women are discarding timid men. They want a real experience of instinct in their lives. A search for "primal feelings" might even be interpreted as just another form of self-expression. Self-actualizing feminists could very well agree.

By moving far beyond the point of mere miscegenation, the interbreeding of human races appears tame and unremarkable. In a world of senseless violence and calculated depravity, Julia's great adventure serves a clear and simple purpose. It is aimed at disaffected but idealistic women to show them just how proper and noble it can be to dream of close encounters with the primate of their choice.

There is very little left that our handlers need to do. They have molded and shaped us, threatened and finessed us, into the kind of human product they require. Their consummate skill can be seen in the fact that only the outer shell of Western culture remains. Consider the brilliance of that accomplishment. Try to imagine the infinite patience, the subtle progression of social conditioning that made such a victory possible. Our handlers deserve to be our masters. They've earned it. We deserve to be their slaves because we trusted and believed them. Every outrage and horror they successfully perpetrate is just another proof of how we have been managed.



WASPISHLY YOURS

Who said (Newsweek Feb. 15, 1999): "Yes, I would defend him [Hitler]. And I would win."

Who said (Free Inquiry Summer, 1999): "America has created a political correctness so powerful that even the most courageous are afraid to violate it openly."

Was it Pat Buchanan, the pseudo-Reformist who has been reviled from reveille to redemption as a fascist and a traitor to the kosher way of American life?

Was it braying Governor Jesse Ventura, who calls religion a crutch and hopes to be "reborn" as a double-D-cup bra? If you were really venturesome, Jesse, and you wanted to go down that road, why not pray to return as a clean Tampax or Monica's thong?

So who would have defended Hitler?

Was it Pius XII, whom all Jews hate, because the Pope despised communism (founded and propagated by Jews) more than he loved the unlovely Chosen?

So who encouraged political correctness?

Was it geeky Al Gore, who can't love the unlovable enough, and borrows Monica's presidential knee-pads every chance he gets?

So who defends Hitler and also defends political correctness?

Was it the liarly lawyer Johnny Cochran or racist Johnny Cochran who defended O.J. Simpson by corkscrewing the truth?

I repeat: Who said he would defend Hitler and win? Who said that we must put a stop to political correctness in the country? Give up?

The man who boasted that he would defend Hitler and win (too bad he wasn't heading the defense team at the Nuremberg "trials"), and the man who likewise complained of the moral and intellectual boa constrictions of political correctness in the country—you guessed it—was none other than Alan Dershowbizowitz, defender of the faith (which? whose?), and implacable defender of the cause (whose? which?), and apex of the cadre of Jews who now run Harvard (founded in 1636 as a divinity school for Christians).

Why is Dershowbizowitz leading the charge of the kosher heavy brigades against Pat Buchanan? Why does Dershowbizowitz blather about Buchanan as a fascist because Buchanan refused to submit to the kosher political correctness which wanted to lynch John Demjanjuk, an American citizen, in Israel, while Israel, that haven of forever spotless freedom lovers, refused to extradite confessed murderer Samuel Sheinbein to the U.S. for trial? How much longer can Israel shine us on about Sheinbein and about the beauty of the booty we contribute to "beautiful Israel"?

Who's contributing to the boa constrictions of political correctness, if not Dershowbizowitz, when he calls Israel a "democracy," a place where Christians cannot proselytize, where one can be arrested for criminal conspiracy for giving a Jew a copy of the New Testament? What's the difference between the Nazis and the AshkeNazis when Arabs and Jews intermarry at their own peril in "beautiful Israel"?

Is it democracy or theocracy when Israel cannot even form a government without the complicity of the religious parties, when Reform and Conservative Jews are not even regarded as Jews by the Orthodox who control the "spiritual" life of "beautiful Israel"?

Can we call Israel a "democracy," if an Arab can be imprisoned for changing his/her name in order to marry a Jew? So what's the difference between the Nuremberg laws of the Nazis and the Nuremberg laws in AshkeNazi Israel?

Since the kibbutz-constricted, kibbutz-constructed, quasi-theocracy the AshkeNazis have wrought in Israel is itself a nascent fascist state, why is Alan Dershowitz, its most dedicated defender, accusing Pat Buchanan of fascism? I'm always painfully amused by those Chosenites who try to add the luster of lies to "beautiful Israel" by claiming that the Bible—and not Greece, John Locke and Jean-Jacques Rousseau—gave the Western world democracy. As the late racist Rabbi Meir Kahane was so found of saying, Moses never called a parliament. There is not even a hint of democracy in the Torah. None.

Why are so many of Buchanan's most rabid accusers Chosenites? Why are all the Safires and Rosenthals and Krauthammers all hammering away at Pat so relentlessly? Why do the mein-campy Krauthammers accuse Buchanan of coddling Hitler, which is a lie, even as the Chosen unbutton their codpieces to drown the fire of truth contained in Pat's *A Republic, Not An Empire*?

Buchanan says that the West should have let Hitler and Stalin destroy one another. There is really nothing exceptional in what Buchanan contends about British intervention in WWII in defense of an indefensible Poland. He is opposed now to a duplication of commitments to Eastern Europe and the Baltic states, a duplication of the indefensible commitments the British made prior to WWII. For nearly a year after declaring war against Germany the "Allies" cowered behind the Maginot Line, waiting to be outflanked by Hitler's panzers and grenadiers, the "blond beasts" of the Aryan empire.

How did that help Poland? What was the point of making promises the "Allies" found impossible to keep? Why not have waited to declare war, collecting one's lightning



towards a better time to unleash the thunderbolt?

British historian A.J.P. Taylor has advanced the same view; so has vaunted American diplomat and politician of foreign policy, George F. Kennan, booster of "containment" of the U.S.S.R.

American historian John Toland, and British historians Alan Bullock and David Irving have repeatedly made the point that Hitler wasn't interested in conquering England or the West. His driving focus was for *Lebensraum* in the East. He admired the Brits and felt they should have made common cause with him against the evil empire of Stalin and his U.S.S.R.

Buchanan claims to have been surprised by the reaction to his book. If so, he's more naive than a warrior experienced in the ways of Washington has a right to be. How did he think the kosherites would react? In the measured tones of a WASPy academic?

Channel surfing, I caught a few minutes of Buchanan on C-Span at a book-signing in Dallas, of all places. I can still remember the surprise in Buchanan's eyes after he had signed a copy of his book for a female devotee, who warned him, "I support you all the way, so I do hope you have adequate security."

Why was Pat startled? Did he think that the Chosenites who assassinate him daily in the media would be incapable of another whitewashed caper like the one pulled off near the Texas School Book Depository in the Big D? As he campaigned for the presidency, what did he think those guys in the sunglasses and dark blue business suits were hovering around him for?

All the AshkeNazis care about is what's good for other AshkeNazis, not what's good for America. Anything, especially the truth, which might be regarded as "soft" on Hitler, has to be damned as the blackest of blasphemies. What's good for the U.S., especially the truth, cannot be good, unless it is primarily good for Israel. Doesn't Buchanan realize that by now?

As much as I respect Senator John McCain's behavior in the Vietnam debacle, I must part company with the Cain who slew his Able brother. McCain as much as called Buchanan a traitor, then joined those other kosherites who would excommunicate Pat from the Republican party. Neoconservatives like William Kristol want to circumcise Buchanan from the G.O.P., notwithstanding Pat was there when Goldwasser and Nixon, et al., Kristolized the Party and were later joined by the Safires, Rosenthals and Krauthammers. Buchanan was a Republican even before Kristol was crystallized in his mamma's AshkeNazi womb!

Isn't that a perfect paradigm of push-come-to-shove?

Isn't that yet another shattering example of how the Chosen insinuate and then usurp? When did Capitol Hill become "occupied territory"? Who invented the movies, and who owns them now? Who just got a toehold and pushed the Palestinians out after 1,000 years? Who's pushing us out of our own country, locking us out of the castle built by John Locke and replacing it with a tent built by beaten Babylon nomads?

Like Cain, Senator John McCain has sold his birthright for a mess of kosher potage. Chosenite support for the presidency is tarnished by the troika of Clinton, Hillary and Monica. Shame on you, McCain. Buchanan is as much of a hero as you ever were, for your suffering was physical and inflicted by the moral morons of Uncle Ho, while what Buchanan is up against is nothing less than the heart of the heart of darkness itself, the Sanhedrin which controls the capital on Capitol Hill.

Who cares that Capitol Hill is "occupied territory" and that the hue and cry of the country is increasingly brown? Nobody cares, that's who. So why are the people of The Book so terrified of Buchanan's book?

Isn't Pat a real, live, fire-breathing politician, who actually has the cajones unlike the likes of Dershowbizowitz, to put the welfare of America ahead of the interests of Israel? Isn't that what smoldering Safire is so shaken by? Does Buchanan really have a chance to win, especially if he gets a goyishe grip on all that money "escrowed" for the Reform Party? Just think of how Buchanan can crow, if he can just get his grip on all that loot in escrow!

Is Buchanan's grip on the truth what the Krauthammers and Kristols are griping about? Suppose Buchanan can actually get on the Reform Party ballot in all 50 states! Won't that be a shocking kettle of gefilte fish? Are the Dershowbizowitzs of the world afraid that Buchanan will spread fascism by siphoning fuel from George W and leave the floor covered with fiery Gore? Or are the Chosenites terrified that having \$12.5 million Buchanan might have the wherewithall to broadcast the truth about Israel and provide a rallying point for all those millions already alienated by the system, all those who have had to endure decades of cowardice from our fearful politicians, both Democrat and Republican, the past and present occupants of "occupied territory"?

Can you imagine what would happen to all those billions now going to Israel, if Buchanan were to win?

If we lose, all is not lost. We can do as the great Spanish philosopher, Unamuno, did when he shook his fist at his enemies and declared, "Indeed you will conquer, but you will never convince!"

V.S. STINGER

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

In *The Truth at Last* (no. 416, P.O. Box 1211, Marietta GA 30061), Dr. Fields has pictures of two Jews who look very Jewish: Sholem Asch, the Yiddish playwright, and Dame Shirley Porter, a prominent British Jewess. (By the way, why call women Jews? Where all other nationalities are concerned, don't we always use the feminine form for women, if one exists? Can it be that the word "Jewess" conjures up visions of Jewish feminists and landladies?) Asch and Porter display the Jewish characteristics: long nose, everted lips and big ears.¹ Dr. Fields is demonstrating that the Jews are a race and that they define themselves as such when they call themselves a people.

Well I would agree that the Jews are, and define themselves as, an ethnic group, and that the more Jewish-looking Jews are indeed racially distinct. Anyone who has lived among Jews very quickly notices the uniquely Jewish cast of countenance which is described in John R. Baker's book, *Race*. The author shows how physical appearance among Jews is strongly associated with mental characteristics which mark them out from all other peoples.

Until a few years ago, when the Jews established such control over the media in English-speaking countries that they no longer had to placate the goys, the relatively few Jews who don't look Jewish had a field day in films. A good example is Leslie Howard, a Romanian Jew who acted in British films before WWII. It was often remarked upon that he looked like the quintessential Englishman. Well he was Nordic all right, but his side view indicated that he was a Nordic Dinaric, a minority in Romania which produces a majority of the athletes (with the exception of the gymnasts, who are the more normal Mediterranean Dinarics or simply Mediterranean types planted in Dacia during Roman times). Leslie Howard certainly didn't look Jewish, so he could be used in a film called *Pimpernel Smith*, all about a noble-minded Englishman who helps Jews to escape from a Nazi concentration camp.

Young Jewesses are also likely to be given the *jeune première* role in films, the prime example being Judy Garland in *The Wizard of Oz*. Older Jewesses (e.g., Lauren Bacall) tend to lose their physical attraction and have to be promoted as great ac-

tresses. It is not just that aging Jewesses so often fit into William Sheldon's category of women who are slim in youth but become fat as they age. With Jewesses there is more to it: that hump at the first vertebra and the predatory stance, long nose poking forward.²


I have already given my reasons for disagreeing with those who regard the Ashkenazim as mainly derived from the central Asian tribe of the Khazars. I have met many Turks, hailing from regions as far west as the Balkans and as far east as China. They cover a wide racial range, from yellow-skinned Mongolids (the Türkmen people) to yellow-haired Nordics (the Turks of Manisa Dag), but I never met one genuine Turk who looked like a typical Jew.

What makes many Jews look so Jewish? They are not just Armenid and Orientalid types, but usually distinct from Armenians and Arabs. I think the answer may be found in Stan Gooch's book, *The Neanderthal Question*. He is Jewish himself and claims that the Jews are partially descended from Neanderthal ancestors. What makes Gooch's view compelling is that it is virtually impossible to obtain a copy of his book anywhere. I know because I have tried. Obviously it has received the disappearance treatment from the *sayanim*, or helpers, who serve the Jewish cause throughout the world. I think it is high time a copy was found and republished.

If Jews are native to the Near East, and were established in Palestine (along with non-Jewish peoples like the Philistines) at an early date, then I think I have the answer. Carleton Coon found palaeontological evidence for the existence of a crossbred *Homo Sapiens*-Neanderthal type in the Palestinian caves. This crossbred type came into being before the last Ice Age was over in Europe. Baker's well-founded theory of evolutionary grade would explain how a population with a small, detectable Neanderthal component would come to be regarded, and to regard itself, as utterly different and distinct from the rest of humanity.

1. There is a tree-growing fungus which is known in Italian as a Jew's Ear. No one could be in any doubt as to the resemblance. Incidentally, I wonder why Pinocchio's nose lengthens when he begins to lie?

2. Just compare them with Greta Garbo, whose beauty survived into old age.





England. One of the most sacrosanct equations in modern physics, long considered the brainchild of Albert Einstein, is $E=mc^2$ (E is energy, m is mass, c is the velocity of light). Actually the equation was the invention of an Italian, Olinto De Pretto, who published it in a science magazine in 1903. An acquaintance of Einstein's saw the article and passed it on to *AI* who published it in a 1905 paper with no attribution to the original source. (The Guardian, Nov. 11, 1999)

Some 176 out of every 100,000 white Brits are in jail; 86 Asian Indians, 278 Pakistanis, 101 Bangladeshis and 1,249 blacks.

One of the biggest swindlers of all time and therefore one of the biggest Jewish swindlers of all time was the late Robert Maxwell, who in 1949 was caught redhanded smuggling gold out of East Germany. His arrest was quickly quashed and, through his extensive Jewish connections, he managed to prevent the media from exposing his skullduggery. Maxwell went on to fame and fortune and became a political and financial powerhouse in the Sceptred Isle—until he fell from or was pushed off his luxurious yacht.

Since the latest genetic scoop is that one in five Brits have black genes, the importation of Danish sperm into England comes at a racially strategic time when British donors, so to speak, have dried up.

An official report issued by the Commission for Racial Equality in Britain stated that during a 12-month period 238,000 whites were victims of crimes compared to 101,000 Asians and 42,000 blacks.

Peter Mandelson, known in British politics as the Prince of Darkness, was forced from the cabinet for trying to conceal a \$1-million loan from a colleague. But dear friend Tony Blair didn't leave his Jewish sidekick out in the cold for long. He's now back as Northern Ireland Secretary. Mandelson, a self-anointed homo, is considered Britain's most adept spinmeister.

An American friend of John Tyndall wrote him a letter in which he said that he felt the new leaders of the British National Party were somewhat ungrateful to a man who had led the party in fair weather and foul over many difficult

years. Tyndall replied that he harbored no grudges and was quite willing to continue to work for the party, an unusual reaction from a politician who has just been shown the door. But Tyndall is not the usual sort of pol. He puts the interests of his country above those of party. Incidentally the 1998 upgraded edition of Tyndall's book, *The Eleventh Hour*, is now available: \$56 hardcover, \$42 softcover, which includes postage. Order from Albion Press, P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW, England.

Tabloids have it that Prince William stunned his father Prince Charles recently by announcing that he didn't want to be king. He wanted to be an American movie star à la Arnold Schwarzenegger! Rumor also has it that the Prince got rather good marks in school and hadn't inherited his mother's "thick head." On the other hand, if William's latest pronouncement is to be believed, he's as air-headed as his late miscegenating mama.

Twenty-six Anglican bishops now remain in the House of Lords. Because of the removal of the hereditary members, they worry about how long it will be before they too are purged. Attendance at the Church of England on an average Sunday has fallen below the one million mark. Practicing Anglicans comprise only about 2% of the British population.

Miranda Harte of Leeds is the mother of nine hybrid children. The two eldest, 17 and 18, have committed 289 crimes. Their offenses included aggravated burglary, assault, rape, car theft and drug possession.

Norway. Attending a meeting in Oslo last year Clinton had his gofers tear the city upside down looking for one of his old girlfriends, whom he had met while touring Europe 30 years ago. When found, she stated—truthfully or prudently—that she had no recollection of ever meeting the Arkansas Romeo.

Sweden. Checks for \$22,000 have been sent to 200 Swedes who were forcibly sterilized years after the government declared the practice to be socially undesirable. More than 63,000 Swedes—90% of them females—were sterilized between 1935 and 1975. Hard to believe, but 200 mentally ill patients were starved to death in 1941-43 in a hospital near the town of

Lund. Some 400 patients were fed a strict diet of candy in an experiment to find the causes of tooth decay. Even harder to believe, from 1944 to 1965, 4,500 Swedes, some of them homos, were lobotomized.

Switzerland. Schocken, one of the many Jewish publishers in the U.S., came out with a book called *Fragments: Memories of a Wartime Childhood*. Supposedly the work of a Holocaust survivor, it contained many of the usual gruesome Shoahbiz details. As the author was feted in the literary world, his work won some prestigious literary prizes. A couple of months ago the publisher suddenly withdrew the book. It turned out to be a forgery from first page to last. The author, a Swiss who used the name of Benjamin Wilkomirski, had pretended his fiction was fact.

France. Another of the many con artists in the highest echelons of the French government is or was Finance Minister Dominique Strauss-Kahn, who was forced to resign in November when it was believed that he had antedated documents in order to pocket a \$100,000 fee for advice on a property deal.

Robert Caratini, a Corsican historian, has joined the crusade to deflate Western heroes and heroines. He has penned a vicious attack on Joan of Arc, in which he claims she was a mentally disturbed kid who had practically no influence on the events of her day. She was simply used by various factions in the incessant wars between France and England and between French monarchists and French aristocrats.

Maurice Papon, a Vichyite and onetime high Gaullist official, fled to Switzerland in October to avoid serving a 10-year jail sentence for deporting Jews from France in WWII. In no time Swiss cops swooped down on him and dragged him back to France, where the ailing 89-year-old was immediately thrown in jail. Unlike Papon, an honest man, supercrook Marc Rich, a Jew hiding from the American authorities, is continuing to live high on the hog in Switzerland in a luxurious mansion with his own private beach. The U.S. has asked both Israel and Switzerland to extradite Rich and was rebuffed. Rich is supposed to be worth close to a billion dollars, much of it stolen from American investors.

Speaking of extradition, Israel, which refused to let an American Jewish murderer be returned to the U.S., decided to let an Israeli judge try Sam Sheinbein. He got



24 years, which means that he could be only 33 when let out on parole. Not a bad deal for a killer who snuffed out the life of a young Hispanic, then dismembered him.

Monaco. International banker Edmond Safra, a fancier of Bette Midler, died mysteriously of smoke inhalation in a bathroom of his 10,000-sq.-ft. penthouse in Monte Carlo. One story has it that he locked himself in the bathroom and wouldn't come out for fear of masked invaders. Another story is that one of his bodyguards—he sometimes traveled with as many as 12—deliberately set fire to the building in order to quickly put it out and win Brownie points with his boss. To add credibility to his tale the bodyguard slashed himself with a knife, claiming it was the result of scuffling with intruders. Safra, who controls banks in the U.S., Europe and Israel, is worth at least \$2.5 billion. Guess who attended his Jewish funeral? His bosom companion, Elie (the Weasel) Wiesel.

Germany. Egon Krenz, last head of Communist East Germany, finally was sent to jail for ordering the execution of East Germans trying to flee to the West after WWII. Nazi heads of state are hanged or shot. Communist bosses get slaps on the wrist. Nazis are supposedly responsible for killing 11 million, including 6 million Jews. Stalin, responsible for killing at least 50 to 60 million, died in bed.

Having served seven months of a 10-month sentence, Australian Holocaust skeptic Dr. Fredrick Toben has been set free. He promises to return to Germany for his appeal. If he does, he stands a chance of serving even more time. Moreover, if he or his lawyer argue too forcefully, they could be arrested for denying the Holocaust. Meanwhile we are constantly assured that democracy is flourishing in the Fourth Reich.

The number of "anti-Semitic" incidents in Germany is increasing in direct proportion to the ever-mounting number of Soviet Jews imported into the country. In 1990 there were 30,000 Jews in Germany. Today there are more than 80,000, with the influx soon expected to reach 100,000. Synagogues are springing up like mushrooms—in Leipzig, Dresden, Munich and other cities. It is all too obvious that the German people do not want

these newcomers, but the German government answers to the U.S. government, not to the German people.

The top U.S. noncommissioned officer in Germany, Command Sgt. Major Riley Miller, was arrested and charged with sodomy and other crimes. The top noncommissioned officer in the U.S. was Sgt. Major Gene C. MacKinney, who was acquitted of 18 charges of sexual assault, but found guilty of sexual misconduct and reduced in rank. At least one, if not both, of these super-soldiers is black.

The latest scoop on *Mein Kampf* in Germany is that possessing it, discussing it, selling it or even looking at it carries with it a possible jail sentence. In the past Germans could buy the book on the Internet. No more, thanks to the hateful Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles, which told German authorities that since the sale of the book is against the law in Germany, the ban should also extend to the Internet. Amazon.com, the leading Internet bookseller, controlled naturally by a Jew, now says it will obey German laws and not sell any more books to the natives. A British publisher wanted to include *Mein Kampf* in the Frankfurt book fair where he was exhibiting what he described as the 100 most influential books of the modern era. He was told this would be illegal because German law says that Hitler's tome cannot be displayed except under locked glass. At the height of the so-called Nazi tyranny, Germans could easily buy Marx's *Das Kapital*.

Russia. According to the ADL, which is in the business of exaggerating anti-Semitism so it can bank more money from nervous Jews, 44% of Russians have doubts about the Chosen. Why? Because it is believed they exercise too much power in Russia and in the business world at large. It is also believed (with some justification) that Jews use unscrupulous means to achieve their aims.

Poland. A group of Israeli high school students, after visiting Auschwitz, invited male and female strippers up to their hotel rooms, where all concerned had a rip-roaring party.

Hungary. In the closing days of WWII American troops seized a "gold train" carrying confiscated Jewish valuables from Hungary to the West. In those confused

times G.I.s were often free to loot with nary a peep from higher-ups, who themselves were not adverse to plundering. But stealing from Jews is not the same as stealing from Germans. Will the U.S. now be billed for pilfering the contents of the gold train?

Yugoslavia. Under NATO and UN auspices, an Islamic state—greater Albania, including Kosovo—is abuilding in former Yugoslavia. Most of the Christian Serbs in this former Yugoslav province have now been ethnically cleansed.

Libya. Despite the passage of two and more millennia, the fair-skinned residents of the Jebel Akhdar region remain quite distinct from other Libyans. Whereas Phoenicians and Romans colonized western Libya, Greeks had been the main settlers in Jebel Akhdar, then known as Cyrenaica. The people of Jebel Akhdar are renowned for their independent spirit.

Israel. Somehow or other the U.S. always seems to pay the freight for peace talks in the Middle East. The 1998 Wye River Conference will cost American taxpayers \$1.8 billion. If Israel can be persuaded to give back the Golan Heights it stole in the 1967 War, the U.S. may have to shell out \$20 billion to its "ally."

Although the Israeli economy leans heavily on Christian tourists and pilgrims, especially during the holiday season, Chief Rabbi Israel Meir Lau is unhappy about what is going on. He reminded Israelis that it is forbidden for a Jew to look at a crucifix and has ordered hotels not to display Christmas decorations in their lobbies. All in all, Christians and Christianity are offensive to Rabbi Lau.

Long lines of Jewish refugees from Russia contain many uncircumcised Jews. When they arrive in Israel, rabbis want to cut them forthwith. Some object. The rabbis then tried to get around the problem by circumcising the dead. The objections grew shriller. It was finally decided that the uncircumcised while alive would be asked whether they wished to have the operation before or after they are buried.

Panama. A Chinese company acquired the rights to operate the two ports at either end of the Panama Canal. Such is the ignominious fate of one of the modern world's great engineering marvels. Admiral Thomas Moorer, onetime Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, warns that Panama could become an ideal launching pad for nuclear missiles aimed at the U.S.

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 25, NO. 3

FEBRUARY 2000



THE LATE EVITA PERON

Mother of Her People

The Safety Valve

In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

□ Lebanese-born billionaire banker/financier Edmond Safra recently died of suffocation after fire swept his penthouse in Monaco. Financial and political leaders in Israel expressed sorrow at his death. Safra was president and managing director of the Republic National Bank of New York, which was embroiled in money laundering scandals allegedly involving International Monetary Fund aid to Russia. One of Safra's major "philanthropic" projects was the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Israel.

200

□ Somali vendors sell cheap "Rolexes" on New York City streets. They've got a monopoly on the business. They can't be arrested. That would be racial profiling.

203

□ The Ray Bradbury story, *Harrison Bergeron*, about a future of enforced equality is on a par with *The Camp of the Saints* in its foresight. The 1995 film version is worth seeing. It's surprising that the genius protagonist wasn't played by a black.

155

□ The unnatural and malodorous alliance between the U.S. and Israel recently gave the world another lesson in deal-making. Despite opposition of all members of the UN, Israel, with the unwavering support of its sole loyal ally, the U.S., has successfully insisted that the blockade of Iraq be continued. The U.S. has also insisted—with not much success—

that the sanctions against Cuba be kept in place. Both policies are obviously wrong-minded and obsolete. For the world's greatest power to be wedded to a dwarf state that can only survive through international panhandling, money-laundering and transnational shady dealings is truly a grotesque *mésalliance*. A divorce is long overdue.

930

□ Majority members are expected to embrace the multicultural desecration of their country or be labeled racists. At the rate of our current dispossession, Majorityites may soon realize that they are curiosities in their own homeland.

347

□ The protagonist of the 1997 film, *Telling Lies in America*, is a Greek youth applying for U.S. citizenship. Set in the 50s, his boss is Kevin Bacon and his love interest is pre-anorexic Calista Flockhart. To protect his venal boss the youth lies to a grand jury about payoffs, jeopardizing his naturalization hearing. The judge gives him a "special test." "Was George Washington also a liar?" The correct reply is yes, so we now have a new citizen.

422

□ It's ironic that Harvard Professor Henry Gates Jr.'s absurd claim that medieval books in Arabic found in Timbuktu proves blacks are smart after all demonstrates just the opposite.

110

□ Whichever media apologist said that the media are the mirror of society got it wrong. In reality it is the other way round. Society is a reflection of the media. TV, cinema, radio, newspapers and magazines can help build a better more worthwhile nation and society. Or it can let Jewish financial interests hold sway over the media and drag the Western world down into a bottomless mire of vulgarity, immorality, corruption and barbarism. A prime instance of how the corrupting influence of the Jewish film industry can waylay even one of our own is the film depiction of a cheap young prostitute played by the "actress" Jodie Foster in the film, *Taxi Driver*. The movie intoxicated and diverted John Hinckley and led him down the path to ruination. No doubt Jews and their allies were very pleased with themselves at the destruction of this young man who had previously had such a bright future. Well they

destroyed him, but it is up to every one of us to make certain that they have no such successes ever again.

English subscriber

□ Seen the TV ad for Alta Vista.com featuring the one vs. 50 chess match? With a brief glance the Karpov look-alike disposes of all his white opponents. But for one match he has to draw up a chair and carefully study the situation, looking worried. His opponent? A chubby black boy.

422

□ In the future our women will need to be more like those of Spartan Greece than of Victorian England. Scholastic and club sports are excellent activities for girls and young women. More and more children and adolescents in America are overweight and out of shape. Young people of both sexes need more vigorous activity. Although sports participation is no guarantee of a wholesome lifestyle, female athletes are less likely to spend time hanging out at the mall or in front of the tube watching MTV. They are less likely to drink, smoke or do drugs. They are more likely to know the value of teamwork and fair play.

553

□ Regarding the tragedy of the Texas Aggie Bonfire. All 12 young people appear to have been bona fide Majority members. But in this day and age there is always a minority connection somewhere. In this case it was San Antonian Bryan

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©2000 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

CONTENTS

Juan and Evita Peron.	4
Hollywood's Dixie.	5
Is Alaska Next?.	7
Getting Physical.	10
Mendacious Exhibit.	12
Hitler Should Be Put In Context. .	13
Of Taxis and Tyrants.	14
Backtalk.	16
Cultural Catacombs.	18
Inklings.	19
Primate Watch.	20
Talking Numbers.	21
Satcom Sam.	22
Waspishly Yours.	23
Honest Jew Looks at "The Big H"	24
Notes from the Sceptred Isle.	25
Elsewhere.	26
Fourth AR Conference.	28

The Safety Valve

Allan McClain. A blond Anglo-Saxon, his mother is remarried to a Mexican. Bryan himself was engaged to a Latina. This is not surprising, since the high school that Bryan attended sits in an area which was once 100% Anglo, but today is about 25% Hispanic and black. Looking through the high school yearbook is like looking at a cross-section of Brooklyn. Poor Bryan never had the chance to be with his own race and the unremitting attack upon his people by aliens in government, media, entertainment industry and academia brainwashed the poor kid so badly he didn't even identify with his own kind. Think of the countless Bryan Allan McClains in this country. I keep asking myself how much more of this can Majority America stand?

782

□ Jews are the People of the Superlatives as far as tooting their own ram's horn. We set ourselves up for this kind of mind-bending when we allowed much of our government, media, academia, entertainment industry and Wall Street to fall into alien hands.

782

□ *Law and Order* (Dec. 1) dealt with Holocaust survivors. One testified that in WWII "Poles didn't need any encouragement to hate the Jews."

882

□ The Panama Canal is vital to our security. Panamanian sovereignty could have included security guarantees for the U.S. Clinton is "happy China will run the Panama Canal. They'll do a good job." I'd hate to know what our enemies are thinking about our indifference to our own security.

911

□ The N.Y. Times says a Syrian-Israeli "peace" will cost us from \$15 to \$45 billion, on top of the \$100 billion or so already spent for "peace" with Egypt. "No one has suffered as we have," claim the Chosen. Could be, but when it comes to compensation for suffering, no one else is even close.

811

□ Ted Koppel on *Nightline* (Dec. 18) asked Gore and Bradley why among the 60 winners of a national science talent search 3 were East Indians, 16 Asian Americans and the rest whites. No blacks or Hispanics. The candidates said it was

because we whites had failed those two latter groups and not given them ample opportunities. I wonder how those other groups got all those opportunities?

845

□ Perpetual motion, squaring the circle—said to be impossible. But I bet both will be achieved before valid academic tests are devised that a majority of blacks won't flunk.

220

□ Polygamy is part of the religion of one billion Muslims. This number greatly exceeds the number of homosexuals in the world. If it's normal to be gay, why should we consider polygamy abnormal?

710

□ My guess is that the New World Order took over the U.S. when President Johnson ignored the attack on the *USS Liberty*.

130

□ I thought things might change after the 1980 elections. Fool me once. I thought things would change after the 1994 elections. Fool me twice. Though obviously a slow learner, I'll know better about the 2000 election. To paraphrase the rock group, The Who, "I won't be fooled again." Most likely the Democrats will take the House and we will see such luminaries as Maxine Waters as chair-Negress of the Domestic and International Monetary Policy.

220

□ I thought I'd heard it all. But the Justice Dept. has filed a lawsuit that says Law School Admissions Tests required by 196 law schools are unfair to the physically disabled because they are denied extra time to take them. If your brain is disabled, there's no reason to take the test at all. If it isn't, then why would you need extra time?

511

□ Six-year old Elian Gonzales is being held as a political hostage by the anti-Castro Cuban community in South Florida. He obviously belongs back with his father. Can you imagine what would happen if say Syria or Libya was holding a little Jewish boy and refusing to return him to papa?

110

□ At the height of his popularity there was a presidential boomlet for "the most

trusted man in America," Walter Cronkite. It's surprising it hasn't happened again. The Ted Koppels and Dan Rather comport themselves as though they could easily govern not only America but the world. But things could be worse. Bryant Gumbel could run for president.

046

□ Blacks don't design computers and don't use them much. But judging from computer ads, without their guidance and input nobody would know how to operate them.

119

□ I cannot abide Peter Lorden. Diversity and a wide tent cannot cover a Trojan donkey.

328

□ There have been many reviews of *The Big Test*, which examines SAT scores. A typical comment: "Black kids just don't do well on the SAT. No one quite knows why." Correction: Everyone knows why, but no one dares say it.

644

□ Senator Orrin Hatch averred, "Jerusalem should be the capital of Utah." He quickly noted that he meant to say "Israel," commenting that it just shows how close we are to Israel. It's a wonder he didn't say, "United States."

822

□ I'm a political junkie, a news-watcher around the clock. The typical American is just the opposite. Half don't even know who Gore is. I've heard Clinton say Castro has the same goals we do. Clinton says it's just fine that we gave up the Panama Canal. I bet if Clinton went on TV and canceled the elections, most people wouldn't care.

848

□ In the Cabrini-Green Housing Project in Chicago blacks are shooting each other up. HUD Secretary Cuomo demands action. But by whom? The blacks? Don't be ridiculous. The gun manufacturers! Well, he does have a point. Blacks can't be trusted with guns.

240

□ I always felt Y2K was the biggest media hype in history. It would only feed the paranoia of the conspiratologists. In reality all it accomplished was to make December 31 the most profitable retail sales day of 1999.

330

Juan and Evita Peron

The continued animosity of the Anglo-American Establishment directed against the persons of Juan Domingo Peron and his wife, Eva Duarte de Peron "Evita," would be incomprehensible without understanding the history of British imperialism in The Argentine and the sociopolitical revolutions of the first half of the 20th century.

The underlying cause of the continuing UK/U.S. hostility toward Argentina stems from the the Perons' success in freeing the country, albeit temporarily, from its traditional economic dependence on foreign markets and capital, initially British but later America. British and U.S. companies eventually held a virtual monopoly over the Argentine meat-packing, railroad, electric power, pharmaceutical and other industries. Meat-packing was the pivot around which the entire Argentine economy revolved, the roast beef-addicted English being the prime market. In 1933 the controversial Roca-Runciman Treaty seemed to seal the special Argentine-British relationship. It would also have kept Argentina in a quasi-colonial status as agricultural supplier to Britain.

Several unforeseen events upset this special relationship. First, the onset of WWII cut Argentina off from its traditional markets and investment sources and forced the country to become more self-sufficient by developing its own industrial and financial base. With modernization and industrialization, labor and the labor-unions grew ever more powerful. The long-reigning Argentine oligarchy, with whom the British had always dealt, began to lose its privileged position. (During the Raj the British upper class did business very comfortably with the Indian upper caste. In contemporary Russia the U.S. deals almost exclusively with the oligarchs.)

In 1943 a military coup overthrew the corrupt Castillo government. A young charismatic colonel, Juan Peron, assumed control of the Ministry of Labor and Welfare of the economically foundering nation. With the indispensable assistance of a fellow colonel, Domingo Alfredo Mercante, who assumed control of the vital Buenos Aires Province, Peron's organizational and leadership qualities won him the support of the working class which became his main political base.

The bulk of the population in Argentina is of Italian and Spanish extraction. It was quite natural in the 1930s

Great Depression, when American and British capitalism was on the rocks, that the military and the common people in Argentina turned to Mussolini's Fascist Italy and National Socialist Germany as models. Moreover, like Italy, Argentina was a Catholic country with mores and a spirit quite different from that of "perfidious Albion."

As Peron's power increased (he became Vice President and Minister of War in 1940) the oligarchs and others whose status was now being threatened staged a coup in early October 1945 that ousted Peron from the govern-

ment. However, the insurgents miscalculated badly and within a few days Peron's followers were able to regroup and fight back. Under the leadership of the labor leaders in Buenos Aires and Peron's loyal friend, Colonel Mercante, whom Evita Peron was later to call "the heart of Peron," massive street demonstrations were staged, forcing the insurgents to release Peron on October 17, 1945, which date soon became a major Peronist holiday.

With WWII concluded and Britain an economic basket case, Peron pushed ahead with his domestic industrialization program, including nationalizing foreign-owned busi-

nesses. Joined and reinforced in this major restructuring of the Argentine economy was Evita whom he married shortly after his release from prison. A woman fiercely dedicated to her husband and his program, Evita proved a tremendous asset to Peron who, by 1946, had become President of Argentina.

Peron himself was referred to as the leader and standard bearer of the *descamisados* (the shirtless ones, the workers). Peron's political doctrine was *Justicialismo* (Social Justice) and The Third Position which was opposed to the oligarchs, Communists and imperialists.

Eva Peron, who had a successful career in radio, movies and theater before her marriage to Peron, soon won the affection of the Argentine people. Eva was an extremely effective public speaker, arguing emotionally and dramatically on behalf of Peron's policies. Evita was arguably the most important feminist leader in the 20th century, certainly in Latin America. She almost single-handedly took over all welfare in Argentina, opening hospitals, schools, housing projects, orphanages, libraries, homes for the elderly, shelters for the indigent and social security programs—all under the auspices of her Social Aid



Evita speaking to her people

Foundation. In doing so she in effect replaced charity with a government aid program. Equally important and long-lasting was her support of women's rights and her championship of the law that gave women the right to vote.

To have accomplished so much in a patriarchal society attests to Eva's unusual appeal and tact. In her speeches she always presented herself modestly as Peron's "bridge to the people," never ceasing to defer to and praise her husband, *El Presidente*. For his part Peron could only be most thankful for his wife's loyalty and support.

Eva's activities further incurred the wrath of the oligarchs, especially the wealthy Ladies of Beneficence, who had traditionally managed charitable operations in Argentina.

Juan and Evita were a perfect team: He, the strong, macho military leader fighting against communism and imperialism for an independent Argentina; she, childless, frail in appearance, in failing health, as the wife and main supporter of her revered husband. In Catholic Argentina, particularly after her early death, she literally became the Blessed Mother of the people. On her demise in 1952, the government announced: "It is our sad duty to inform the people of the Republic that Eva Peron, the Spiritual Leader of the Nation, died at 8:25 p.m."

Peron's fortunes began to decline following his wife's death. Europe recovered from WWII and its industries were again working overtime supplying South American countries. The U.S. was now not only helping the British reestablish their pre-Peron privileges but also intervening itself in Argentine affairs. (The total diplomatic and logistical support the U.S. gave Britain during the Falkland Is-

lands war in 1982 demonstrated clearly the commonality of U.S./UK policy vis-à-vis Argentina, whose claims to the islands are at least as valid as Britain's.) Peron's hopes to establish home industries floundered. Economic distress was soon followed by political action against Peronism.

In 1955 Peron was ousted in a military coup. The new regime, backed by the old oligarchy and other enemies of the Perons, undertook to dismantle as many of Evita's innovations and institutions (shelters, schools, hospitals) as it could, especially those bearing her name. Even her body was disinterred and transported out of the country. Peron himself went into exile in Spain.

To discredit Peronism, a campaign of calumny and slander concerning the private lives and character of both Juan and Evita was started and continues to this day. He was viciously accused of living with teenage girls and of being a Nazi sympathizer. Evita was maliciously denounced as a common prostitute who stole money from the Eva Duarte Foundation.

The campaign of hate and vilification against the Perons failed completely in Argentina and most of the Latin world, though the allegations continue to titillate British and American scandalmongers.

Juan Peron was returned to power in 1974 and Evita's body was finally laid to rest in her native land. The Peronist Party continues to exist but, without an effective leader, it is very fragmented. While Evita never quite became Santa Evita, she is nonetheless fondly remembered by many in present-day Argentina.

200

Everything I know about the South I learned from the Silver Screen

Hollywood's Dixie

I have never traveled throughout the American South and yet I feel I know it as well as my own home turf. I know its people, how they think, their attitudes, morals, lifestyle and their outlook on the world. In fact, I would go so far as to say that there is no group of Americans with which I am as well acquainted as the denizens of Dixie.

Over the years, a clear and distinct image of the Southerner has been formed in my mind. Even though I have never met these people, I feel that I am well acquainted with all of them. What do I know? Well for instance, even though the South contains some large cities most Southerners live in small towns where the middle class is almost nonexistent. If you're a poor dirt farmer, you walk around in bib overalls and a straw hat. If you've got money, you wear a white suit and smoke fat cigars. Southern women come in two types—the old ones are nosey, gossiping

snoops. The young ones are sluttish, oversexed teases. Both speak with irritating whiny drawls.

Wealthy old Southern men are powerful, greedy and ruthless and are usually called "Daddy" or "Colonel." Their sons are young hellraisers who drive fast cars and regularly get into trouble as they break all the rules trying to impress some young girl. The sons of poor farmers simply drink too much and wind up in jail.

Southern towns are run by pols who are grasping and corrupt and are usually the same types who operate the feed store, the used car lot and the farm implement dealership. The law, such as it is in the Deep South, is dispensed by a pot-bellied, tobacco chewing sheriff, a sadistic evil brute who is probably the living descendant of some whip-wielding plantation overseer. No one ever talks about it but most townsfolk suspect that the sheriff and the gang of low-lives who hang around the local pool hall all

day are actually members of the Klan. Running afoul of the law anywhere in this region, even for a minor infraction, might very well get you sentenced to a year on the chain gang where you'd be at the mercy of a demented prison warden and his cruel guards. Their idea of fun is to chain a black man and white man together to see if they'll tear each other apart. Talking back to the bossman will get you a flogging.

For black people life in the South is an even grimmer proposition. Old black women are very religious and look forward to getting dressed up for church on Sunday. They adore children and love to take care of them, even white children. Old black men spend most of their days whit-tling pieces of wood or trying to catch catfish on the riverbank. Life for a young black male, however, is a daily encounter with danger. For simply smiling at a white woman, he can be arrested, beaten up by that pot-bellied sheriff and thrown into the hoosegow. From there he will be hauled up before a judge and charged with rape. Regardless of his innocence, an all-white jury will convict him. Before he can be taken away to the county prison, an unruly mob or the Klansmen will break into the jailhouse, drag him out and lynch him.

A young black woman, if she is attractive, the threat of a sexual assault from a white man or group of white men is ever present. Even the most prejudiced of white males is not averse to "a bit of the dark stuff," if he is given the opportunity. Such is the image I have of every town in the South. White men are all corrupt, drunk and evil, and their womenfolk are simple-minded tramps or busybodies. Blacks, on the other hand, are noble, courageous and long-suffering.

Where did I get all these ideas, you ask? From the movies, of course! Starting with *I Am A Fugitive From A Chain Gang* and on through *A Streetcar Named Desire*, *Cat On A Hot Tin Roof*, *The Defiant Ones*, *In The Heat Of The Night*, *To Kill A Mockingbird* and *Cool Hand Luke* right up to *Deliverance* and *Mississippi Burning*, Hollywood has carefully molded the pattern for all Southern characters which still persists to this day. Through film after film we have been led to believe that Dixie is a land dominated by degenerate, illiterate, dishonest cretins that most of us would shun on sight.

Tinseltown also has nothing but contempt for the Southerner's mountain-dwelling cousin, that retarded, inbred subhuman known as the hillbilly. Earlier films depicted him as a toothless, gun-toting clown always feuding with some other mountain family. More recent films have shown his darker, sexual predatory side. The one admirable member of the hilltop dwellers seems to be the young moonshine runner who turns out to be a pretty good stock-car driver as he careens around mountain ledges in his souped-up Chevy with the revenooers in hot pursuit.

Why after all these years does Hollywood still give us nothing but distorted images of those who live below the

Mason-Dixon line? Probably because screenwriters are running short of villains and every good story needs a bad guy. In this politically correct, racially sensitive age, they cannot show blacks, Hispanics, native Indians or Orientals in a bad light.

Immigrant groups, such as the Italians, Greeks, Irish and Poles, have enough clout to make sure they are not cinematically defamed. God forbid we should ever see a Jewish villain in our lifetime! If he's making a WWII movie, any producer can get lots of mileage out of those hideous Nazis, but if the film is set in the good old U.S.A., who can he safely cast as the baddies? Southerners, naturally. Those sweaty, trashy Dixie whites are stereotypes that we have all grown up with and which few people question to this day. Sambo, Rastus and Uncle Remus are gone forever but that nasty, grinning redneck and his hot-to-trot daughter are still with us and still going strong.

A film set in Boston, New York or Los Angeles shows us people that we can all relate to. But any film set in Mississippi or Alabama will be filled with characters that seem to be from another world. The slow-witted, slow-talking inhabitants of this hot and steamy backwater of civilization simply do not strike us as



Marlon Brando as Stanley Kowalski in *A Streetcar Named Desire*

normal people. So we are prepared to believe them capable of any wickedness. For those who would argue the point, simply ask yourselves: Is there any other region or any other population group in the U.S. that has been so constantly ridiculed, maligned, pilloried and dehumanized by generations of filmmakers as the inhabitants of the American South?

One of my favorite contributors to this magazine, N. B. Forrest, writes about the South with great pride and often mentions gallant Southern gentlemen, well-bred genteel Southern ladies and the courageous soldiers of the Confederacy. I cannot believe that the descendants of those people have all disappeared. Yet when do we ever see normal Southerners portrayed in the movies? I can think of only a very few examples. If you would like to see some decent and uplifting sons and daughters of Dixie on your home TV screen, let me suggest that you rent the video version of *Gone With The Wind* and watch only the first hour. This film may have been made by a man named Selznick, but the images he provided us of this gallant race of people was one of the finest portrayals of Southern nobility ever shown to the world. Until someone forms a Southern Anti-Defamation League, it will probably be the last honest film Hollywood ever gives us.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Now that the Panama Canal is gone

Is Alaska Next?

Over the years, both Colombia, which had doubtful claim to the narrow Isthmus of Panama, and the Province of Panama, which finally obtained its long-sought independence as a nation, fought to change the U.S.-favored route across Nicaragua. Finally, under the stewardship of Philippe Bunau-Varilla, an erstwhile engineer holdover from the defunct de Lessups venture to build a canal across the Isthmus, they succeeded. The U.S. Congress under the administration of Teddy Roosevelt switched their sights from Nicaragua to the Isthmus of Panama. The purchase of the ten-mile-wide strip known as the Panama Canal Zone was finalized by the Hay Bunau-Varilla Treaty of 1903. We bought and over-paid for it, just as we did Alaska. The Panama Canal Zone is U.S. territory which belongs to us, just as does Alaska.

But the signing of the Treaty did not end it. With the persistence of termites, Colombia and Panama aided by other Latin-American nations from Mexico to Tierra del Fuego continue to use the "terrible injustices" this treaty forced upon them to extort benefits from the "Colossus of the North." Even before the ink had dried on the Treaty, Colombia was after money and Panama wanted more!

Largely because of poorly veiled threats against Americans and American business interests in Panama and Colombia, a series of three treaties was signed simultaneously in Washington on January 9, 1909, while construction of the canal was well underway. None of these three treaties was to become effective unless all three were ratified. (1) The U.S. agreed to commence annual payments of \$250,000 to Panama in 1908 instead of 1913; (2) Panama agreed to transfer the first ten of these payments to Colombia as its contribution to the Colombia national debt before secession; (3) Colombia recognized the Republic of Panama. The U.S. and Panama ratified their treaties quickly. Colombia, as usual, was a stumbling block. There were riots and threats of assassination against various Colombi-

an government figures. Martial law was declared and, after three weeks, Colombia refused to discuss the pacts.

Swallowing all pride, the U.S. continued its futile quest of Colombian cooperation. On April 6, 1914, shortly before the opening of the completed canal, the new Democratic administration of the questionably competent, bought-and-paid-for President Woodrow Wilson signed the disgraceful Thomson-Urrutia convention with Colombia. In abject, dishonorable and unjustified apology to a contemptuous Colombia, Wilson, in the name of the U.S. offered \$25,000,000! Colombia, in a reversal of its previous stand, with its greedy little black eyes aglitter, ratified

almost immediately. But this time, the U.S. Congress balked at what Theodore Roosevelt called the "black-mail treaty—a crime against the U.S.—an attack upon the honor of the U.S.—the payment can only be justified upon the ground that this nation has played the part of a thief, or a receiver of stolen goods."

Year after year, the U.S. Senate had the integrity to refuse to ratify this scurrilous, totally misguided dishonorable document. Finally, two years after the death of Theodore Roosevelt, perhaps the last president who truly understood and fought for the honor and integrity of his nation, this infamous treaty was ratified in April, 1921. With

the payment of \$25,000,000 to Colombia the U.S., which already had paid the Republic of Panama \$10,000,000 as well as having shelled out to each individual Panamanian for properties he claimed to have owned in the Canal Zone, the U.S. had paid more than three times the value of all real property in the entire Republic of Panama!

This began the decades of "appeasement" to the insatiable demands of Latin America and other Third World areas. This bent-over stance has earned us their absolute—and well-deserved—contempt. As the demographic billions generated by an incredibly uncontrollable reproductive system swarm unhampered across our national borders, our own nation sinks increasingly into the status the prolific hybrid populations had created and sought to es-



Mt. Bertha in the icy Bay District of Alaska

cape. While they continue to rob us and to smile and fawn in our view, they have learned to despise our naive weakness.

Theodore Roosevelt knew the Hispanic Americans. He despised them. Perhaps because of this they loved and respected him! When, after the proclamation of the Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty, he visited Panama, they adulated him. They gave him their first flag! As Congressman Daniel Flood and others have stated so well, perpetual appeasement only promotes further greed and contempt in people of this caliber.

How we have changed! The Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty came under attack even before it was proclaimed. U.S. sovereignty over the Canal Zone has been questioned and attacked by people who want to diminish or deny it. Words that do not even appear in the treaty such as "titular," "residuary" and "reversionary" were bandied about as if they were part of the document. Words such as "rent" and "lease," which also do not appear in the treaty and are not even implied, continued to be used.

History has been deliberately falsified. Textbooks used in U.S. high schools have blatantly claimed that the U.S. merely leased the Canal Zone from Panama as only one among myriad methods used to "dumb down" the American populace in order that we may more easily be fleeced.


This process reached its culmination when that sick little psychotic now cavorting about the world in a hallucinatory schizophrenic haze "gave back" to Panama a canal Panamanians could never have built and never have operated! Years ago in patient anticipation, Japan and China—and at that time, Russia—looked forward to the day when the U.S. finally pulled out so that one of them would be able to take over. Now, China, the avowed enemy of the U.S. has control of access to the Panama Canal from both the west and the east!

There is nothing hazy about the Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty. It is crystal clear. The Canal Zone was granted or ceded to the U.S. forever. It is just as much a part of the U.S. as Alaska or the Louisiana Purchase.

Philippe Bunau-Varilla was not a fly-by-night Frenchman who hastened to the Isthmus in order to befuddle and hoodwink the "poor little Isthmians" when he smelled \$40,000,000. While money undoubtedly was part of his motive, he was a Gallic patriot. He had to live to see a canal built in Panama to vindicate the French for claiming that it was at least possible and for leading the way. He was more than just an engineer thoroughly acquainted with the local geographic, political, sociological and demographic conditions of the Isthmus and of Colombia. He was a brilliant and foresightful planner and negotiator. He knew the local conditions that, alone, would

have defeated the de Lesseps venture. And he knew what must be required of any political agreement if there was to be any hope that anyone could build and maintain a canal through "the pesthole of the world."

As an example of Bunau-Varilla's foresight, before 1900 he realized that the Japanese had designs upon the Hawaiian Islands after which they would look toward



control of the Isthmus of Panama! He notes this as his primary reason for assuring the U.S. its right, under the treaty, to fortify the canal using the right of eminent domain to acquire defense sites within the Republic of Panama. In his own words, "sovereignty was granted en bloc." The circumstances that mandated this have not changed one iota.

Article II of the Isthmian Canal Convention of 1903 states: "The Republic of Panama grants to the U.S." The translation in Spanish of the same document uses the verb "ceder" (to cede, to grant, to transfer). According to the dictionary "to grant" means "to give the possession or title of, especially by a deed or formal writing." "Cede" means "to yield, to grant, to assign, to transfer." Neither in English nor in Spanish can there be any question of this meaning. Nowhere does the word "cede" or "grant" appear to carry the meaning "to lease" or "to rent."

According to Article II this "grant" or "cession" is made "in perpetuity." That means forever. No conditions are stated or implied. This strip of land and its waters became a constitutionally acquired and paid for part of the U.S. forever. To date it has cost us many, many times what we paid for virtually the entire Midwest in the Louisiana Purchase or all of Alaska for which we paid only \$7,000,000.

Article II grants, in addition, what amounts to the right of eminent domain over any other lands and waters remaining under of the jurisdiction of the Republic of Panama for any purpose necessary or convenient for canal purposes. This provision was included primarily for the defense and health of the ceded zone proper. Article II could well stand alone as the entire treaty.

Article III, over which so much confusion seems to have arisen, really is quite straightforward. It spells out in unequivocal form what already has been stated and agreed upon in Article II. There is nothing ambiguous about it. The key words in Article III which seem to have

been ignored and which, in being ignored, have led to some confusion and the use of weasel-words like "titular," "residuary," and "reversionary" as applied to sovereignty, "within which." These two words definitely delineate and separate the territory over which the U.S. may act as sovereign.

To reiterate, Article III says that, while the Canal Zone has been duly ceded to the full sovereignty of the U.S., the U.S. possesses, in addition, the right to act in any matter pertaining to the necessities or conveniences of the canal, as if it were sovereign of the Republic of Panama. It specifically limits this "extraterritorial" sovereignty of the U.S. over the Republic of Panama to that which may be "necessary and convenient for the construction, maintenance, operation, sanitation and protection of the said canal." However, it specifically forbids the Republic of Panama to act within the Republic of Panama, and outside of the Canal Zone, contrary to what the U.S. may deem as "necessary and convenient for the construction, maintenance, operation, sanitation and protection" of the canal. History up to this moment demonstrates the wisdom and sheer necessity of these provisions. They should not have been altered. They should have been enforced to the letter.

Article III thus makes the right of eminent domain in canal matters only more inclusive and more binding. Not only does this reflect the semantics of the words in Articles II and III, but it is the only interpretation consistent with the political history running through all the thinking from 1786 to 1903 of canal projects. Contrary to the hue and cry in some quarters that followed and persisted after its ratification, the conditions of the Isthmian Convention of 1903 did not give the U.S. more than had been expected, only what had been insisted upon for 50 years as a minimal prime requisite to the construction of any canal anywhere in Latin America. And the Panamanians were overjoyed at its terms until they learned the effectiveness of whining over *la dignidad*—play upon the innate compassion of the American citizen in order to extort ever more from their benefactors. In this they were aided by a perfidious group of residents of the U.S., corrupted politicians and the controlled media, all intent upon the nation's destruction.

The remaining articles of the treaty merely spell out, often reiterating, this same theme: absolute sovereignty over the Canal Zone; the right of eminent domain in the Republic of Panama in any matter pertaining to the necessities and conveniences of the canal.

America constructed and completed the Panama Canal. It was a magnificent task completed as a magnificent monument to this nation as it once was. It must not be allowed to become merely a filthy, crumbling ditch across the "pesthole of the world"—or perhaps worse, through our default, fall under the ownership and management of some potentially hostile foreign power.

It must never be forgotten that this is a testimony to the American Majority which was able to create, not just a

canal through a strip of land ten miles wide, but what was the only truly civilized area in Latin America! It must be noted that subsequent revisions of the Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty by ignorant or corrupted officials of the U.S. government bent upon a "policy of appeasement" have destroyed, not just our magnificent Panama Canal, but America's honor and integrity. In return we are hated and despised by those populations.

But, it is not all over. The Carter-Torrijos Treaty of 1977 ratified by the U.S. Senate on April 18, 1978 purports to grant to the U.S., "the rights necessary to regulate the transit of ships, and to manage, operate, maintain, improve, protect and defend the canal" until noon, December 31, 1999. It calls for the "permanent neutrality and operation of the Panama Canal" and that nothing in the treaty shall preclude the Republic of Panama and the U.S. of making after December 31, 1999 any agreement to facilitate canal performance, to maintain neutrality, maintenance of defense sites or stationing of U.S. military forces in defense of the neutrality of the canal.

However, the treaty itself would appear to be fatally flawed:

(1) *For the Republic of Panama, the treaty was not signed by the de jure President but by Omar Torrijos Herrera, a petty caudillo who had wrested control of the government from its legitimate officer. The illegitimacy of the Torrijos regime is attested by polls of the Panamanian populace which overwhelmingly have favored continuation of the status quo.*

(2) *The Republic of Panama, misrepresented as "territorial sovereign" of the Canal Zone, purports to grant to the U.S. a limitation of its rights to the lands and waters of which it already is sovereign in perpetuity. The lands and waters composed of the Republic of Panama and the Canal Zone as a single sovereignty is a mythical entity. Would the great American Boob awaken if Clinton drew up a treaty with China in which Chinese agreed to allow us a diminishing presence in the state of Washington until we turned it over to their sovereignty in 2020?*

(3) *The treaty completely ignores vital national security interests of the U.S.*

As if that were not enough to render this scurrilous document null and void, Panama already has violated it. By something called "Law No. 5" passed by the Panamanian Legislature on January 16, 1997, Communist China is given through its agent, Hutchison-Whampoa, exclusive concessions which include control of the order of ships using the Pacific entry to the canal and even authority to deny ships access on either side, if they are deemed to be interfering with Hutchison-Whampoa business. This directly violates the treaty guarantee of expeditious passage for the U.S. Navy.

So, all may not be lost. How big a miracle does it take to instill a little courage and a trace of patriotic integrity in enough of our politicians to throw this piece of foreign policy garbage out before it becomes even more odorous?

BRANDER C. KITCHIN, M.D.

Getting Physical

Perhaps the most socially acceptable form of racism is joking about black predominance in athletics. "I don't know much about boxing," quips the standup comic, "but I know one thing: never bet on the white guy." Yuk, yuk. You can say that on national television without worrying that the network switchboard will light up. In November and December when pro basketball and football highlights are rampant on TV newscasts, one might well wonder if being black is a prerequisite for scoring points in these contests. If there's one thing the aspiring black athlete can't complain about, it's a shortage of role models.

When the subject of black athletes comes up in the media, the nurture wing of commentators whines that in a racist society blacks have no other avenues of achievement open to their "talents." They have no choice but to exploit sports (and showbiz) because of institutional racism in other venues. The same has often been said of Jews. Throughout history no one would let them into the respectable professions, so they had to become moneylenders. But now that the doors are wide open in the most elite universities and the most respectable professions, Jews are still the world's preeminent moneylenders. The Negro—who has far more opportunities today than he had a couple of generations ago—still excels in pursuits that require strength and speed.

The perks of the professional athlete are all too obvious to young men of all races—big bucks, babes, first-class hotels and prestige. But the attractiveness of a pro sports career looms larger the lower down one is on the socioeconomic scale. Long before the infusion of blacks into professional sports, white players generally were not drawn from the upper strata of society. They were mostly farm boys, tenement dwellers and middle-class kids who used sports as an income supplement in the days when off-season jobs were the norm. In more recent years the depressed coal mining towns of western Pennsylvania were renowned for turning out a disproportionate number of NFL quarterbacks. A football scholarship was a sure-fire, first class, one-way ticket out of a dead-end existence.

Today much the same is true of the contemporary Latin American baseball player. A disproportionate number of players come from the poorest sectors. The Dominican Republic and Puerto Rico are prime examples. Relatively prosperous countries, such as Venezuela, where they also play baseball, send us fewer players. The reason, of course, is that Dominicans and Puerto Ricans have no other options. The only way to make it big is to get off their respective islands, major league baseball being the route of choice. If they make it big, they can take their multimil-

lions back home to the islands, where *dinero americano* goes a lot farther than in the States. The next time you see a major league roster, note the nationality of the Latin ballplayer and cross-check it with his residence. Chances are he will choose to reside in his Latin homeland rather than become an American citizen. Cuba is the prime exception. Sure, in the U.S., *el jugador latino* will be affluent and highly thought of, but in his homeland he will live like a prince and be revered as a god.

White ethnics in America have always taken pride in their sportsmen. In Ellis Island's heyday the latest wave of immigrants provided the next generation of gladiators. Irish, Italian and even Jewish boxers were staples of prize-fights. But the ships have stopped docking at Ellis Island—aside from the tour boats from lower Manhattan—and the descendants of the people who disembarked there have gone on to other opportunities. But the Negro continues to predominate on the playing fields. Is he that good or is it that he simply has no other role to play in society? How can anyone honestly assert that the Negro's prominence in sports is strictly due to his environment?

We know what happens to anyone who posits the contrary. Those who espouse the nature wing of the argument regarding Negroes and sports are usually chastised big time—à la Al Campanis and Jimmy the Greek. Any admission that there are physical differences between the races could lead to a discussion of emotional or intellectual differences. There would doubtless be rough sledding down that slippery slope.

Actually we shouldn't limit our discussion to the shopworn nature/nurture dichotomy or concern ourselves with whether or not blacks are physically superior to whites. Let's try a different tack. Perhaps the real reason blacks predominate in American professional sports is not because they are a physically superior race but because they are a specialized race.

The next time you watch an NFL contest and see a defensive line of Negroes of near sumo proportions, ask yourself what they would be doing if football had never been invented. There's not much call for field hands these days. The white quarterbacks, punters and kickers could probably find something else to do with their lives. Same for the tight ends. (For reasons I've never understood, tight end is a predominantly white position.) But what good is a black 300-pound man-mountain monolith? Or one who weighs half as much and can sprint like a cheetah? In modern civilization, what do these physical attributes qualify them for aside from athletics? If boxing had never been invented, could Mike Tyson have attained one percent of the esteem he holds today by pursuing any other

career open to him? True, John Henry was a steel-driving man—but he didn't become a household name till they wrote a song about him. Anyway they drive steel with machines these days.



What other career could Mike Tyson have pursued?

In general the white race, concomitant with its greater genetic variety, has a wider variety of aptitudes than the black or yellow races. I think this is why blacks, Asians and other nonwhites, no matter how much they complain about racism, can usually find a home of sorts in white civilizations, while the converse is never true, aside from the occasional white man who goes native. There are no white minorities in Asia and Black Africa who find homes in the dominant culture. They may take up residence there for any number of reasons, but they will never be at home there. They can dominate, organize or colonize (as they did in Hong Kong, India and South Africa), but they can never travel down the narrow paths trod by other races and find a fulfilling existence.

For white people athleticism is just one trait among many and hardly the most important. Unfortunately versatility, while it may create and maintain civilizations, rarely rewards individuals with money and prestige. Even in the world of the professional athlete, versatility is rare. A generation ago in professional football, it wasn't at all unusual to find, say, an end who could kick field goals or a quarterback who could punt. Some players even went back and forth from offense to defense. Now every player is only called upon to fulfill one function. In baseball the utility infielder is a staple of every team in baseball, but it is the position player who takes home the fat paycheck. Pitchers used to be left on the mound as long as they were effective. Now starters only rarely finish and long relievers, middle relievers, setup men and closers are called upon at the appropriate innings.

In an age of specialization Renaissance men are revered verbally but not rewarded monetarily. Consequently in a multiracial society the specialized race becomes more prominent due to its dominance in a particular niche. Races or individuals who get short-changed when

it comes to versatility shoot the works on the only thing they've got going for them—boom or bust. The white man, on the other hand, almost always has a fall-back position. The young white child, who expresses risky ambitions, whether to be a professional athlete, an actor, a rock musician, a supermodel, a writer or an artist, is always advised to have "something to fall back on." He usually does. He gets a teaching degree, studies accounting, does free-lance computer programming, moonlights as a massage therapist—whatever.

The wisdom of this policy is glaringly evident, given the low success rate in the more glamorous callings. The downside is that it tends to distract the young person from Plan A. To use an appropriate sports metaphor, he takes his eye off the ball. The original ambition starts to take on the color of a hobby rather than an occupation. The result is that Plan B, gradually but inevitably, trades places with Plan A. Having fallen back on something, the subject often finds that it is difficult to get back up again and pursue the original goal. As a result, the world of "what if" is on his mind till his dying day, even though career paths of Plan A professions are strewn with casualties who gave it their all and ended up with nothing to show for it. The irony is that the Plan B jobs are vital to a functioning society. Plan A jobs, though they remunerate a chosen few, are eminently dispensable.

Therein lies the rub for the Negro. In a technological society his specialties of physical strength and speed are irrelevant. Only in professional sports can he outshine the competition. He knows that if he wants to catch the brass ring, he'd better not drop the ball—literally. There is no Plan B. It's Plan A or McDonald's. For the Negro there are no distractions, no long hours spent mastering backup skills. No "what ifs." The Negro puts all his efforts into the sport of his choice because he cannot afford to fail. This is not to say that he doesn't fail (the number of wannabe professional athletes will always be greater than the number of genuine ones), but it does mean that given equal aptitudes for any given game, the black youth is more likely to make it as a pro than the white youth. If the black youth fails, it is not because he was diverted by Plan B. The comfort zone does not keep him from getting into the end zone. The drawback is that Negroes who don't make it as professional athletes have very little to offer the world.

It is instructive to apply the specialization theory to Asians. The overrepresentation of Asians on honor rolls does not signify that they are a superior race, any more than a black stranglehold on NBA and NFL scoring statistics indicates that blacks are a superior race. Much like Jews, Asian immigrants revere academic achievement to the point where they have few interests outside the classroom and are vastly overrepresented at the elite colleges. It comes as no surprise that their presence is not reflected in the sports teams of said institutions. How many Jews and Asians are on the field when it's Harvard-Yale time in New Haven or Cambridge? If Stanford makes it to the

Rose Bowl, how many Jews and Asians will you see in the pre-game introduction? How about Rice? Or Northwestern? Hell, why should Wong and Wang get banged up on high school playing fields in pursuit of athletic scholarships when they're assured of academic scholarships if they keep their noses glued to the books? They just don't have the size to compete against whites and blacks. Of course, Asians could swim, wrestle or play soccer, but few do. They know they are not likely to excel in athletic competition in America, no matter how disciplined they are—so why even try? Besides, time spent on athletics or other extracurricular interests would divert them from their academic specialty, which would inevitably result in less stellar report cards.

When combined with an above-average IQ, the coolie mentality can gladden the heart of any teacher. But if the adoption of a robot-like existence is the price for academic achievement, few white people would want to pay it. In America, straight-line thinkers are rarely rewarded outside of academic environments. In truth the real world is home to a wild assortment of rebels, quasi-crackpots and misfits who sometimes succeed in ways the academy just can't comprehend. For every straight-A student who disappears into a bureaucracy or a middle-class profession and is never heard from again, there is a rebel, a malcontent or a

party animal with gentleman's Cs—or worse—who finds his calling and flourishes, much to the astonishment of friends, neighbors and teachers who knew him when it was a sure bet he'd never amount to anything. Such unorthodox career paths have largely been blazed by whites. The underachieving black tends to remain at that level. The overachieving Asian eventually graduates from the academy and enters the real world, where his soulless, drone-like discipline assures him a job and a comfortable existence, but does little to advance civilization. His don't-rock-the-boat mentality may assure smooth sailing, but he may never get anywhere worth going. He may even discover to his horror that his children, more Americanized than he, do not regard academic achievement as highly as he does. Having abandoned their specialty—and having found no substitute—they are likely to sink into mediocrity, which is not to be confused with versatility.

A race with diverse gifts may not be as competitive in certain areas as a specialized race. It may take time for individuals who belong to that race to sort through their aptitudes and interests and find their callings. But the civilization such a race creates will be much more rewarding to its creators.

JUDSON HAMMOND

Mendacious Exhibit

The London Times (Nov. 2, 1999) ran an article, "Photo Errors Arm German Neo-Nazis." The piece goes on to describe the latest outrage imposed upon the German people, an exhibit trying to prove that the Wehrmacht (regular Army) participated in the Holocaust, not just the SS. This calumny has backfired! A gallery of photographs showed Wehrmacht soldiers clutching handkerchiefs to their faces to mask the stench, as they filed past a heap of corpses. These and many other haunting photographs are at the core of the touring display that has been shocking ordinary Germans. Since almost every German family had a relative fighting in the Wehrmacht, the exhibit has drawn a lot of flak.

Most of the bodies piled up in the pictures were murdered by the Red Army. Some photos depict corpses of Ukrainian youths slain by the NKVD long before the German Army moved east. They were prisoners in a Soviet camp and the German soldiers in the photos had merely pulled the corpses out for identification. Another photo shows the alleged victims of a German massacre in October 1941

in Kraljevo, Ukraine. The victims were, in fact, Ukrainians, Poles, Russians and even German prisoners of war.

After Soviet units withdrew from western Ukraine at the end of June 1941, locals in Lvov came to the prison to look for relatives and found layers of corpses. In the prison courtyard were two mass graves. As in the other photo, German soldiers were merely helping the locals sort out the corpses. The truth is that the Red Army's treatment of the Christian population of western Ukraine and the Baltic states was so barbaric that the locals welcomed the Germans as liberators. Many joined the Germans in their struggle against Bolshevism.

The organizer of this macabre exhibition, Dr. Hannes Heer, said that 90% of the photos came from former Soviet archives that had been confiscated from captured German soldiers (which would be unusual if these crimes had indeed been committed by the Germans). Dr. Heer admits to having done some retouching, but the flaws run deeper. Original films were cut into separate segments and then displayed in different parts of

the exhibition.

Rather than apologize for the fraud, Berlin historian Peter Steinbach insists that the aim of the exhibit—to show Wehrmacht crimes—has not been compromised. He promises that the wrong order of some photos will be corrected, if only to prevent "certain circles" from exaggerating the mistakes.

It is odd that 54 years after the end of the war the agit-proppers are rehashing mythical atrocities to make the point that all Germans are bad and must atone and pay forever for the so-called Holocaust.

The Germans are in the process of working on a new Constitution to replace the Basic Laws imposed on them by Allied occupation forces, laws designed by Nahum Goldman. Perhaps they are afraid that their new laws will protect not punish the German people for a change and make it difficult to continue to extort money from them.

Because of the doctored photos the arrival of the exhibit in the U.S. has been put on hold. Australia has already banned the exhibit.

Hitler Should Be Put In Context

Was Hitler infinitely evil, an assumption which seems to underlie Ron Rosenbaum's book, *Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil*? Instead of merely making conjectures on the inner workings of Hitler's mind, his motivations, his psychological development and relations with his parents, would it not have been far more to the point to reflect on Hitler's acts and forget the Freudian garbage?

Was Hitler evil in 1919 when he resolved patriotically to help Germans overcome the devastating and humiliating terms of the Versailles Treaty?

Were Hitler's attitudes towards Jews an isolated phenomenon? During the 1920s hostility towards the Chosen was widespread, especially as a result of the Jewish role in Russia. Hitler was influenced by studies subsidized by Henry Ford (1920-1922). American influences on National Socialism have only been meagerly recognized and studied.

Did Hitler have an evil intent to destroy Britain when his government ceded naval superiority to Britain by the British-German naval treaty of 1935? Did 90% of the voters in the Saar territory think Hitler was evil in 1935, nearly three years after his coming to power, when they voted to return their land to Germany?

Was Hitler evil when Germany incorporated impoverished Austria in 1938 with the enthusiastic approval of the overwhelming majority of Austrians? (Their parliament had designated their land officially as *Deutschösterreich* in 1918.)

Was Hitler evil when he donated a large amount of his personal assets to an anti-smoking campaign?

If Hitler been intolerant of Jews, why was much of the retail trade in Germany still in Jewish hands as late as the anti-Jewish riots in November 1938, nearly six years after the National Socialists came to power? (England, Spain and other countries had simply expelled their Jews in previous centuries.)

Was Hitler evil when he promptly ordered the cessation of the *Kristallnacht* rioting in spite of public indignation at the assassination of a German diplomat in Paris by a young Jew?

Was Hitler's government evil when it supported an overseas homeland for Jews in keeping with Zionist objectives, in spite of British opposition to Jewish immigration into the British mandate of Palestine? Few other countries showed much willingness to permit the immigration of Jews, as shown by the paucity of results obtained at the Evian Conference in 1938.

Was Hitler evil when German armed forces invaded areas taken by Poland from Germany after decades of Polish abuse of the large German population of these areas?

Was Hitler out of line for seeking a peaceful solution to the Corridor problem? (Poles were convinced they could defeat Germany with the support of England, France and the U.S., a belief that was cynically betrayed when Poland was handed over to the Soviet Union in 1945.)

England and France declared war on Germany on September

3, 1939. Was Hitler evil when he made one effort after the other to negotiate reconciliation between the genetically linked English and German nations after Britain's war declaration and even after the defeat of France?

Who was evil when Rudolf Hess was arrested and silenced after his daring flight to Scotland on May 10, 1941 in the frustrated hope of bringing about peace with England? Hitler and Hess

had a sober awareness of the damages that would be inflicted on the white race by a continuation of the destructive European war. Roosevelt and Churchill, on the other hand, took an evil delight in the prolongation of the war, as manifested in their demand for the unconditional surrender of Germany in January 1943.

Would hundreds of thousands of the finest European manhood from Scandinavia, Belgium, France and the Baltic lands have volunteered for service in the German armed forces if they had sensed that Hitler was a force for evil?

Which was more evil, the internment of hostile Jews and Gypsies late in the war, in contrast to the swift internment of persons of Japanese descent in the U.S. or the genocidal bombing of huge cities like Hamburg and Dresden? Or the murderous Soviet occupation of

Silesia in 1945? Or the disgraceful treatment of German prisoners of war and the handing over of anti-Communist Russians to Stalin by Eisenhower (Operation Keelhaul)?


Was *Reichsführer* Heinrich Himmler evil when he issued an order at the end of 1942 to reduce "at all costs" the death rates in German labor and concentration camps, in spite of the desperate plight of the Germans themselves?

At the very end of WWII, at the time of Hitler's death, two famous Scandinavians, Nobel Prizewinners, Knut Hamsun and the famous Swedish explorer, Sven Hedin, published eulogistic statements lamenting the German Chancellor's death. Would these two brilliant, well-informed men have praised a monster? The distinguished American man of letters, Ezra Pound, was locked up for years in a mental institution because he had broadcast admonishments against America's conduct of the war.

As the plight of the white component of the U.S. population becomes ever worse in the coming decades, evaluations of National Socialist Germany will probably become more objective in spite of a continuing barrage of anti-German propaganda in the mass media. What will the attitudes of American whites be in another 50 years if by that time they will have become an oppressed, exploited minority? Will there be a radical revision of history?

741


Editor's note: Yes, Hitler triggered WWII by invading Poland. Yes, he was off his rocker when he declared war on the Soviet Union. But to understand Hitler the good must be weighed with the evil, the text should give way to the context. Suffice it to say, it will be decades before this happens.



Knut Hamsun saw some good in Der Führer

Of Taxis and Tyrants

By now everyone has heard about the latest race-guilt paralysis to infect the body politic. Poor Danny Glover, the millionaire Negro movie star, couldn't get a cab to stop in Zoo City, so he held a press conference pinning the blame on "racism." Republican Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, who marches in sodomite street pa-



Giuliani—he stepped and he fetched

rades with much the same dedication as Democrat Bill Clinton proclaims "Gay Pride Months," stepped and fetched at Glover's accusation, ordering that the nonstop cab drivers be severely punished.

On Giuliani's orders the New York City police formed a special investigative unit of black officers to dress in "gangsta" style and hail cabs. Cabbies who choose to keep driving will be cited for some nebulous hate crime or civil violation. Never mind that most cabbies in the Big Apple are blacks or nonwhite immigrants. Never mind that nearly all black cab drivers admit to being the most determined of all to refuse to pick up their own kind.


Stereotypes do not exist for no reason. Cabbies reject prospective black customers based on stereotypes. Negro crime rates are well known to be astronomical and nearly all cab drivers of all races who have been the victims of robberies and assaults have been the victims of Negroes. This same racial stereotype is used by most cops for pulling over Negroes on the streets and highways, a criminal profiling tactic to deal with DWB or Driving While Black. The same logic was once used in law enforcement training techniques, in which officers

were instructed to use certain choke holds and other defensive maneuvers specifically designed to neutralize the more brutal, unresponsive Negro criminals, because their pain threshold level far exceeds that of other races.

Negro taxi customers also tend to be the ones who bail out or otherwise fail to pay the fare. Black riders (especially in groups) often damage taxi interiors with their scabrous behavior. Pimps fighting in the back seat with their "hos" is one example. Also, Negro customers are notoriously bad tipplers. All of these are logical reasons for taxi drivers to reject prospective black riders.

Jewess syndicated columnist Mona Charen retold the story of one cabby who experienced Giuliani's politically correct test. He reluctantly picked up two cops dressed as "gangsta" hoodlums. Identifying themselves, the cop "hoods" got out saying, "You just passed the test." As they walked off, one of the black cops looked back and told the black driver, "And you must be crazy."


Innocent lives must be placed on the line to make social change advocates happy. It's a recurring tragedy for our children, for home and property owners, for businessmen, and now for taxi drivers. It's a recurring proof that the "equality" demanded by the government and its sycophants is impossible except by the denial of freedom to members of one or more groups of people. At the very least Giuliani and his New York City snoops are forcing



Glover in his role as Nelson Mandela

the public to condone Negro "gangsta" criminal attire, appearances and behavior. It is now a "civil right" that the criminal tastes and habits of an ethnic group be accepted.

The "prejudice" exercised by these cab drivers is nothing more than what the Enlightenment social philosophers called "reason." It is John Locke's first law of self-preservation in operation against the wolves of the wilderness who would topple our fragile civilization. Locke pointed out that the wolf could be either a natural enemy or a tyrant abusing his limited authority. The wolf's nature



John Locke was anti-wolf

is well known, Locke wrote. Its mere appearance justifies a defensive first strike. The law of self-preservation that guided the first American colonists has been outlawed and penalized today by the ostensibly "American" government.

How is it that the law of self-preservation has come to be outlawed? How is it that the identification of ravenous wolves is now "stereotyping"? Clarence Page is a black liberal columnist whose article, "Putting a Sting in Taxi Bias," (Washington Times, Nov. 20, 1999) helps us to answer these questions.

Page admits that he is not surprised that people fear blacks, "considering how prevalent the stereotypes of black male violence are." Recall Jesse Jackson's statement that even he would cross the street to get away from having to walk by a group of young blacks. Jesse, however, is not worried about going to Decatur (IL) to defend Negro thugs expelled from school for felony, mayhem and rioting, activities which must now necessarily be defined, as a result of Jackson's actions, as the new form of black "civil rights."

As for what Page calls the prevalence of the image of black violence, we need only note the mass popularity of Negro rap "music" glorifying rape, slaughter, necromancy and sodomy. "Stereotype" is just a slur for what we all know and experience daily.

Page admits that Danny Glover's claims of bias might be exaggerated. When taxi drivers don't recognize the co-star of the *Lethal Weapon* movies, "Can there be any deeper cut to the pride of a Hollywood star?" Page further shows that one of Glover's first roles was that of "one of the scariest hitmen Hollywood ever produced," the dirty

cop opposite Harrison Ford in *Witness*. Such casting fits Glover's classical and frightening tribal physiognomy to a "T": a severely stooped forehead, dog-yellow eyes with black corneas indistinguishable from black pupils, giving a monster alien effect, a flat nose nearly ear-to-ear wide, thick and rubbery lips that rarely close, and mandibles competitive with early evolution carnivores.

Page claims that whenever he visits New York he is penalized just like Glover, only he takes the black argument a step further. "But sometimes, you just don't feel like wearing a suit and tie. As a black man, I have had to think twice about my wardrobe if I had to catch a taxi. The very fact I have to think twice about the possibility of taxi bias is a form of a black tax imposed against black folks." The horror! A "black tax" against black folks who don't dress well, imposed mainly by blacks.

Page recounts the story of how white filmmaker Mike Moore filmed incidents of taxi driver "black bias." He hired a white parolee, Louis Bruno, and a black actor, Yaphet Kotto, and let the cameras roll. Drivers ignored Kotto and picked up Bruno, even when Moore gave Kotto a baby to hold in one arm and a rose bouquet in the other. Part of the problem here is that Kotto is a Glover look-alike. You might remember Kotto as the villain with the alien visage in the James Bond flick, *Live and Let Die*. Moore even hired a clown in full makeup who was picked up before Kotto.


Danny Glover has called for mandatory diversity training for all New York taxi drivers. Page agrees, advising that "someone should tell them not to be so trusting of clowns."

The new offensive against cab drivers is reminiscent of the civil rights campaign against restaurant, shop and hotel owners in the 1960s to open "public accommodations" to unwanted minorities. Those people who wanted to do business or otherwise interact with them were always free to do so. We hear much today about a "woman's right to choose," but everyone's freedom to choose was taken away by "civil rights."

The campaign was also carried to home and private property owners who freely contracted in what they called "restrictive covenants" to exclude unwanted minorities from residential or business real estate. The government outlawed such covenants. Contract law has never been the same.

The word "covenant" imparts a sacred sheen to the contract, reminding us of the moral and communal origins. Today's "capitalists" and "free traders" are suddenly very anti-freedom when a white property owner exercises his right to preserve his home or to expand his business or holdings based on white family or racial interests. Genuine freedom of choice, freedom of contract, freedom of economy and freedom of association are dead in the wake of government force and intimidation. As Page puts it, "Some drivers are foolish enough to turn down just about any black person they see." Foolish? Or smart?

JAY LOCK



Lorden Partially Forgiven

I see Peter Lorden is back in force in December, but I won't gripe again. At least he wasn't talking about the awful Nazis this time. I had toyed with the idea of not renewing *Instauration*, but on the whole, I owe the magazine a lot since 1985. It has kept me company through the darkest days.

About Wolves

If Zip 983 (Nov. 1999, p. 19) had looked up the etymology of *Werwolf* in F. Kluge's famous *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der deutschen Sprache*, he would have found a wealth of information on this psychologically and culturally interesting word. The concept of a man's soul dwelling in the body of a wolf manifests itself in the words of various Indo-European languages, including Greek *lykanthropos* ("wolf-man"). The *Wer-* of *Werwolf* (English werewolf) is related to the Latin word *vir*. Bear in mind that "v" in Latin represented a bilabial rather than a labio-dental sound. The German *Wehr* ("defense") is of a different origin and is related to the English "war."

For many years I have been a loyal subscriber to *Instauration* and an occasional contributor to its pages because I feel that it and similar periodicals can play an important role in the preservation of my race. However, I feel uncomfortable when I encounter amateur speculations in *Instauration*, such as Peter Lorden's article, alas even highlighted by a cover illustration, and Zip 983's silly and incorrect folk etymology.

741

Izzy Defended

In reading over Wolfgang Keller's interesting article on the life of Jewish song plugger and composer Irving Berlin ("Izzy Berlin and American Music," Nov. 1999), I become uneasily aware of a great cultural trap that lies before any *Instaurationist* who enters the literary struggle in the cause of white solidarity by choosing to attack anything Jewish. The simple and solid fact is that not all Jews, whatever one might think of them at the visceral level, are worthy targets of our racial rage. And particularly so in those instances where the Jewish impact has been culturally positive. Irving Berlin's music reflected the great sweep of urban immigrant America. In the last analysis the man who gave us *A Pretty Girl is Like a Melody*, *Alexander's Ragtime Band*, *Blue Skies*, *I've Got My Love to Keep Me Warm*, *All Alone*, *Heat-wave*, *Cheek to Cheek* and *White Christmas*—the composer of all these tunes can't be all bad. Non-Jewish America flocked to the Ziegfeld Follies where Berlin's songs were played because they were sprightly, optimistic, sophisticated and sentimental. To condemn Berlin is a bit like defaming the very age which we *Instaurationists* look back on with special fondness. Nor was Irving Berlin the first to integrate Negro ragtime imagery into the popular musical argot. Decades prior, blackface minstrelsy brought to American musical audiences Negro spirituals and sho' nuf' hand-clappin' precursors of Negro jazz. Think only of Stephen Foster and the early moments of vaudeville. In that musical

world Irish and German, not Jewish, faces made up the bulk of entertainment influence. The world of popular music, prior to the coming of men like Irving Berlin largely replicated the sounds of Paris, Berlin, or Vienna—operetta of the type composed by Robert Stolz, Franz Lehár, Johann and Richard Strauss, Karl Zeller, and Karl Milloecker, plus the wonderful offerings of Irish-born, German-educated, American immigrant Victor Herbert. With the rise of Tin Pan Alley, American musical fare began to take on its characteristic native form of the type that would become known worldwide in its golden age (1925-1935). In the course of my nutty life, I had the good fortune of coming to know a number of the Jewish composers and producers who made up a large segment of this marvelous epoch back in my childhood home of Bucks County (PA), which was a kind of bucolic summertime retreat from the sweltering rigors of Broadway for such as the Hammersteins, Moss Harts, Kitty Carlisles and many more. Broadway of that earlier time became my passion. From that perspective I propose that *Instauration's* writing off the product of these Jews amounts to amputating an important part of our own culture.

I.H.


Unmasking Manning

I thank the Canadian subscriber quoted in *Backtalk* (Nov. 1999). His item added some useful information to my *Elsewhere* story (May 1999) on Canada's participation in the Balkan War. Just the same, I'd like to respond to a few of his comments.

The writer apparently thinks Preston Manning's support for NATO's war against the Serbs is based on principle. Manning's background suggests otherwise. He originally founded the "right-wing" (as the Canadian subscriber calls it) Reform Party as an alternative to Canada's other left-wing parties. But Manning has abandoned almost every non-liberal position his party has held. For example in early November, after years of playing coy on the subject, the Reform Party finally announced it wants to let in 200,000 immigrants a year. That's only a slight reduction from Canada's present level of legal immigration, but probably still enough for this country to maintain the largest per capita rate of immigration in the world. Previously, Manning showed his true colours by formally adding Holocaust orthodoxy to the Reform Party platform. Over time he has demonstrated consistent weakness in the face of liberal media and political opposition. His support for NATO's anti-Serb campaign could well be motivated by fear of opposing American policy.

The Canadian writer is certainly entitled to his pro-Kosovar perspective. But it would be fallacious to suggest that *Instaurationists* should be more willing to support NATO bombing because some lefties oppose it.

I'm puzzled by the writer's depiction of Canadian history professor Michael Bliss. As a former student of his at the University of Toronto, I was unaware that he was even "anti-American," let alone a "nut case."



On the subject of Judson Hammond, however, I not only agree with the Canadian subscriber but would go further and say that Hammond is *Instauration's* best correspondent.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Lorden's Goofs

While Lorden's "The Case of David Cole" (Oct. 1999) is generally accurate, it does contain some errors. Perhaps the most seriously misleading one is his discussion of Mel Mermelstein's second lawsuit against the Institute for Historical Review.

But before addressing that, I want to straighten out some other inaccuracies. First, Mermelstein sued not just the IHR, but also Liberty Lobby and Willis Carto. Mermelstein sued not only for libel, but for a variety of alleged torts. Finally, Mark Lane, who cross-examined Mermelstein at the final hearing was actually the lawyer for Liberty Lobby not the IHR.

As for the major inaccuracy in Lorden's article, it is his claim that Mermelstein's horror stories about Auschwitz were discredited at that final hearing. As Lorden put it, "Under careful cross-examination by IHR [sic] attorney Mark Lane, it transpired that Mermelstein could not possibly have seen what he claimed to have seen. He left the courtroom as a man totally discredited."

In reality Mermelstein's horror stories about Auschwitz never became an issue during the hearing. All that Mermelstein testified about and was cross-examined on was whether or not he was a public figure. Public figures have to meet higher legal standards in order to prove libel, and the defendants claimed that Mermelstein was indeed a public figure. Mermelstein's attorneys at first insisted that he was not.

During Mark Lane's cross-examination of Mermelstein he was able with some help from an IHR staffer to catch Mermelstein in a discrepancy between what he had just testified to in court about the number of public speaking engagements he'd had over the years and what he had said about this earlier, when he'd admitted to making many more public appearances than he had just claimed in court. This was, of course, embarrassing to Mermelstein and led his attorneys to concede the point that Mermelstein is a public figure. This is the extent to which he was "discredited" at the hearing.

Mermelstein's testimony about Auschwitz was never dealt with. When the judge threw out one of Mermelstein's complaints, his lawyers began to complain that they couldn't get a fair trial in that court, since the presiding judge (Jewish) was obviously biased against them. So they withdrew the rest of Mermelstein's complaints with the intention of appealing the judge's ruling to a higher court, which they did. The appeal was not successful. So ended Mermelstein's second suit against the IHR et al.

None of the preceding is intended as a defense of Mermelstein's credibility as an Auschwitz eyewitness. Indeed in 1985 when Mermelstein's first suit against the IHR et al. was still pending, I did some work for the IHR researching Mermelstein.

Among other things I prepared a list of contradictions, falsehoods and exaggerations that cropped up in Mermelstein's various writings and interviews.

If Mermelstein ever were cross-examined in court about his Auschwitz experiences, he might end up a seriously discredited witness. But no such thing has ever happened, despite Lorden's misleading claims. Lorden quotes Harry Elmer Barnes' statement that the purpose of Revisionism is "to bring history into accord with the facts." Unfortunately Lorden's pro-Revisionist essay, especially in the paragraph I've cited, fails to do that.

983

Kitchin Corrected

Dr. Brander C. Kitchin's treatise, "Fateful Times for Whites," (Oct. 1999) was indeed interesting. Although the logic behind his denial that the Bubonic Plague may have been the cause for the trait causing HIV immunity among some whites is flawed. His explanation that the Bubonic Plague cannot be the reason for the greater preponderance of the CCR5(-)delta32 (i.e., the absence of the delta32 cellular receptor) is not correct. He argues that the Bubonic Plague could not have been the cause of this lack of a delta32 receptor (Plague immunity) because the Plague only lasted several years. His theory is that this would not have allowed an offspring who acquired this mutation to reproduce at an advantage, since the disease would have been over by the time this child would have reached reproductive age.

Seems that the good doctor is forgetting his courses on population genetics. Mutations do not occur because of an external need for them. They occur randomly all the time in nature. Most are harmful (death) but some are harmless. If an environmental event occurs where a certain trait is advantageous, this will allow the organism(s) to have the advantage over other organisms that do not have this positive trait. The longer the need for this trait, the greater its frequency will increase in the population. If a mutation or trait never finds an advantage, than its frequency will be minuscule and may continue to exist harmlessly in small percentages through successive generations.

My point is, if this trait did indeed contribute to the survival rates, then this harmless mutation has to have occurred before the Plague and those who had the mutation enjoyed a greater rate of survival. To illustrate my point further, the HIV virus was not the cause of this genetic trait, but those who have it seem to have a distinct advantage.

Variety is essential in nature. A species will occasionally undergo change by way of mutations and with every successive generation through sexual recombination. A species will also attempt to reproduce as much as possible to allow for a greater and more varied population to exist, thereby increasing its chances of survival. That way should an increase in competition, disease or cataclysmic environmental event occur, at least some members of a species may have a chance to survive.

The potato famine is a good example of how identical genetics is unhealthy for any species. Had there been some genetic variety among the crops, the devastation would not have been so extensive. In this case man's intervention with these natural mechanisms did not allow this to exist.

190



Tedious Tape

Shoah, the longest and most boring of Holocaust videotapes, is now available in four cassettes for \$299. The show lasts for 9 hours and 23 minutes. One who wants to test the credibility of the film should listen to the part which says that the Nazi concentration camps in Chelmo, Poland, at one time held 400,000 prisoners, mostly Jews. Only two survived.

Anti-Art News

The Catholic Church has been taking it on the chin lately. A Jewish-inspired PR campaign has practically transformed the late Pope Pius XII into a quasi-Nazi. A few years ago a miniature sculpture of a crucified Jesus, called *Piss Christ*, was displayed in a vial of urine. More recently a portrait of a black Madonna was daubed with elephant dung. On top of all this scatology a woman named Monika Steinhoff has painted a crucified gorilla. The comparison with Jesus on the Cross is more than a tad blasphemous. Speaking of present-day "art," the city of San Diego has commissioned a work consisting mainly of 60 fiberglass boats. As might be surmised, the name of the sculptress is not Jane Smith but Nancy Rubins.

Jews Valued More

A Newsweek poll claimed that Adolf Hitler is "the biggest villain" of the last century. Joseph Stalin came in second. How so? Hitler is charged with killing 11 million in Nazi concentration camps, 6 million of them Jews, 5 million non-Jews. Uncle Joe is charged with killing 50 million or so in his Gulags. Since Hitler is considered more villainous, even though he killed many fewer persons than Stalin, it would seem Jews are more highly valued than non-Jews.

He Thinks Lowly of NYC

Who would have ever thought it. Billionaire trucker Ted Turner has a racist on the payroll of his Atlanta Braves. Reliever John Rocker is not overfond of New York. In an interview with *Sports Illustrated* he let all his hostility towards Zoo City hang out. He worries that taking a subway in New York may put him "next to some kid with purple hair, next to some queer with AIDS, right next to some dude who got out of jail for the fourth time, right next to some 20-year-old mom with four kids." The response was deafening and instantaneous. No matter how Rocker grovels and

humbles himself, no matter how much he apologizes, he won't get off so easily. Truth-tellers seldom do in this day of curbed speech. Marge Schott is probably one of the few who commiserates with him. One who won't commiserate is Jane Fonda. Oddballs Ted and Jane have announced they will go their separate ways.

Prepuce Racket

Jewish doctors have come up with a novel project. They take the foreskins of circumcised babies and use them for skin grafts. If they heard the screams of the mutilated infants, the medicos might turn their profiteering attention to other areas of medicine. Incidentally here's how circumcision works these days. The newborn is placed on a cold, plastic board, his tiny legs tied down with Velcro bands and his arms strapped to his side. The foreskin is then ripped from the glans to the howls of the baby. Bleeding is profuse.

Media Mouthings

N.Y. Times reporter Adam Clymer writes about Ted Kennedy as if he was Jesus come back to earth: "His achievements as

a senator have towered over his time, changing the lives of far more Americans than those who remember the name Mary Jo Kopechne."

In a different sense, Eleanor Clift of *Newsweek* was even further off the mark: "That herd of [impeachment] managers of the House. . . all they were missing were white sheets. They're like nightriders. . ."

Gore Keeps Lying and Lying

On *Meet the Press* (Dec. 19), Gore was asked what changed his life. He replied, "When I joined the army to go to Vietnam." Was he trying to tell us he was a combatant? Fact is, he never dodged a bullet. He was a news correspondent. How many know that he had a personal bodyguard? His spokesmen deny this. They do, however, acknowledge that he had a "security escort."

Griffith Scratched

D.W. Griffith is universally believed to be one of film's greatest directors. Unfortunately his work, particularly his 1915 masterpiece, *Birth of a Nation*, has fallen afoul of minority moviedom. For this reason the Directors Guild of America has dropped his name from the prestigious D.W. Griffith Award. It is claimed that he used racist stereotypes in some of his work.

Naturalization Rites


U.S. District Court, Roanoke, Virginia (Dec. 10, 1999)

Applicants for Citizenship

SAFAA MESBAH ABDELMAKSOUT (Egypt)
APARNA ASHOK ACHARYA (India)
VICTOR GONZALES CAMPOS (Mexico)
DUNG VAN CAO (Vietnam)
JENNIFER JEAN FICKLER (Canada)
KAREN ELIZABETH FICKLER (Canada)
RODNEY ARNOLD FICKLER (Canada)
JOANNA TERESA FILIPCZYK (Poland)
WLODZIMIERZ B. FILIPCZYK (Poland)
CHI RAN JOHNSTON (Korea)
FIGARO JOSEPH (Haiti)
DEVINDER KAUR (India)
DENG KEOVORABOUTH (Laos)
HYEKYUNG KIM (Korea)
MARTIN KLAUS (Switzerland)
BANGALORE G. N. NAGENDRAN (India)
PARVATHI NAGENDRAN (India)
HONG VAN THI NGUYEN (Vietnam)
KIET AHN NGUYEN (Vietnam)
THUY THI NGUYEN (Vietnam)
EPSRTRATIOS NIKOLAIDIS (Greece)
DINH NGOI PHAN (Vietnam)
LILIA BARBO PITTS (Philippines)
MIHOKO TATSUMI SKABELUND (Japan)
BRIAN DOUGLAS SLACK (Canada)
HUGH ASHFORD THORNHILL (Canada)
VORNH VILAYSOUK (Laos)
DAN YU (China)

HUONG THI QUYNH NGUYEN (Vietnam)
ANNETTE EBRELLI (Ireland)
GIACOMO EBRELLI (Italy)
KEVIN JOHN BARRAN (United Kingdom)
MICHAELA CARMEN ADKINS (Germany)
JELPA JAVELLANA CONNER (Philippines)
SETTIMIO DI CORI (Canada)
ANGELA MARY HARKER (United Kingdom)
BRIAN JAMES HARKER (United Kingdom)
TAEOK HENDERSON (Korea)
CARLOS MANZANARES (Mexico)
PRAVINCHANDRA P. SHAH (India)
GEETA VASHEE (Zimbabwe)
CHUN HUI WU (China)
SUN CHA FULLER (Korea)
MONA JAMAL EL-ABD (Lebanon)
RICHARD GEORGE HILL (United Kingdom)
WINIFRED VERA HILL (United Kingdom)
CORINNE LILYPARENT (Canada)
RAMESHCHANDRA M. PATEL (Kenya)
DIANN HILL TAYLOR (United Kingdom)
HANH VINH (Vietnam)
SINH THI WILLBERGER (Vietnam)
YAN LI (China)
MARIAN WACLAW POSPIESZALSKI (Poland)
ZDRAVKO RADAKOVIC (Yugoslavia)
GOSPOVA SIKMAN RADAKOVIC (Yugoslavia)
LOUISA TAKAHASHI (Cyprus)

Time magazine has declared Albert Einstein the "Person of the Century." The boss of Time is Walter Isaacson, who happens to be a Jew. The CEO of the conglomerate that owns Time is Gerald Levin, who also happens to be a Jew. Question: If Einstein wasn't a Jew and Isaacson wasn't a Jew and Levin wasn't a Jew, would Einstein be Time's "Person of the Century"? Whenever Einstein's name is mentioned Instauration feels compelled to recall that rollicking, anonymous lime-
rick:



*There's a famous family
named Stein—
There's Gert, and there's Ep,
and there's Ein;
Gert's poems are bunk,
Ep's statues are junk,
And no one understands Ein.*

War Crimes

How much longer can we continue to stick our noses into other peoples' affairs without becoming the most hated nation on earth? Having beaten Iraq to a pulp, we continue to bomb Saddam and police the Balkan skyways. We maintain sanctions on Iran, Libya, Cuba and how many other countries? We've lobbed cruise missiles at Afghanistan, supposedly to avenge the blasting of U.S. embassies in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam. We practically wiped out the infrastructure of Serbia and Kosovo. We've taken part in the military crusade against the drug lords of Colombia. We bombed China's embassy in Belgrade, killing a few Chinese.

Every one of these aggressive bullying moves stirs up more worldwide animus against the U.S. Only the white nations of Western Europe, Canada, Australia and New Zealand are still friendly. The Third World is largely turning against us, along

with Russia and its allies. Most of the Second World is also harboring bitter feelings about the U.S.

In addition to our large casualties in the big official wars a scattering of U.S. troops have been lost almost everywhere they've been sent. Two hundred forty-one in Beirut, 256 in the Newfoundland crash of the military transport flying American troops back from the Sinai. Twenty-eight killed in the attack on the American barracks in Saudi Arabia.

Everything we do we seems to be warming up the Cold War. Even if we "win" some wars, we're actually losing them because the proportion of American Majority members in the Armed Forces shrinks disproportionately. The Majority is the demographic pillar of the nation. Make this a minority, as it is rapidly becoming, and the country will simply fragment into a mass of mini-wars, cities against suburbs, class against class, race against race. Once the racial tippie point is reached, we will be losing our nation, our culture and our civilization. As we are busy committing racial suicide, we may finally come to know—too late—that the world's best blood has been spilled in vain.

Trivia

•The crudest presidential candidate this year is inarguably Senator John McCain. He can't resist telling his inner circle his favorite "joke": Chelsea is ugly because her mother is Janet Reno. There is a chance that this senatorial jokester may be taking his twisted humor to the Oval Office next year. We can well understand why McCain's second wife was driven to drugs and was actually caught stealing some. She's in the media's good graces, however, because the McCain family adopted a dark-skinned Asian girl.

McCain was an avid supporter of the Vietnam War operation that consisted of dropping 800 tons of bombs a day on North Vietnam from 1965 to 1967. This campaign killed about a million "gooks," as McCain called them before he decided to run for president.

•Newsweek published the name of George W. Bush's five principal foreign policy gurus. Two are WASPs, Richard Armitage, an ex-Pentagon official, and George Shultz, an ex-Secretary of State; one is a hybrid, Condoleezza Rice, an "expert" on Russian affairs; two are Jews, Paul Wilfowitz, dean of Johns Hopkins,

and Richard Perle, one of the America's leading Israel Firsters.

•Four openly homo members of the Bush Steering Committee in D.C. have apparently been appointed by George W. Bush, who solemnly promised a gathering of Christian Republicans that he would never give a job to anyone who openly advocated homosexuality. Meanwhile brother Jeb in Florida is getting cozier and cozier with Log Cabin Republicans, an all-queer group.

•Black voters are split between Bradley and Gore. Some Negroes remember Bradley fondly as a white basketball star, who made a point of getting on well with Negroes. Bradley boosters are trying to make hay by using his multiple interracial friendships as proof that he was or could be "psychologically" black. They hope he may even become to be viewed as the "second black president." Negro novelist Toni Morrison already pinned the designation of the "first black president" on Clinton.

Shame-Faced Pol

The Democratic governor of Missouri, Mel Carnahan, is vying for John Ashcroft's Senate seat come November. Carnahan slavishly follows the Demo Party tactic of automatically accusing Republican office seekers of racism. Digging around in some musty newspaper files, a GOP researcher discovered that back many years ago Carnahan had once chortled in a barber shop quartet in which all the participants wore blackface.

More King-Size Dough

Although most American celebrities and politicians donate their papers to the Library of Congress or some such institution, the family of Martin Luther King has asked for and will probably get \$20 million for St. Martin's papers. They may or may not include transcripts of the FBI tapes of King's hotel sexcapades. The bill to appropriate this money was introduced by Senators Ben Nighthorse Campbell, Joe Lieberman, Chris Dodd and other unworthies. To smooth over this ripoff spokesmen for the Library of Congress said the King *Nachlass* was priceless, easily worth \$30 million. As Rep. Bill Thomas has noted, the proposed \$20 million would be by far the most Congress has ever paid for such material. The largest sum ever shelled out for similar documents was in the 1930s when \$1.5 million was spent to buy the Vollbehr collection of 3,000 15th-century books, which included one of three perfect copies of the *Gutenberg Bible*.

Primate Watch



A jury didn't believe that ex-football star **Jim Brown** threatened to kill his wife by snapping her neck. The 12 good (wo)men and true did believe that Brown vandalized her car.

One prime reason conservatives have earned a bad name in this country is their preachy hypocrisy. Take **George Roche III**, holier-than-thou sermonizing head of right-wing Hillsdale College. "Honor and virtue are increasingly rare commodities. Cheating and lying have become a common habit." He wrote this in the college magazine, *imprimis*, while carrying on a 17-year affair with his daughter-in-law, who committed suicide when all the sordid details came to light. Will the subject of Roche's next sermon be, "Marital Fidelity?"

Next chairman of the Fed? When the newly appointed Jewish **Alan Greenspan** steps down, the betting is that **Roger Ferguson**, currently the Fed's vice chairman of the Board of Governors, will take his place. In line with Clinton's policy of appointing anyone but a Majority member to high office, Ferguson easily fits the main requirement. He's black.

It's tough to be a pro-Nazi these days. Gary Lauck, having spent four years in four different German jails for allegedly passing out Nazi propaganda, returned to the U.S. where only a few months later he was arrested for lying in an application for a gun permit. He could get a sentence of from one to five years—and well might.

Jessica Bibliowicz is planning to be the nation's first female billionaire by organizing a whole string of financial service companies. Being the daughter of **Sanford Weill**, co-head of octopean Citigroup, doesn't exactly dim her chances. Weill, one of the world's richest Jews, has lately been in the news by appointing former Secretary of the Treasury **Robert Rubin** as a top Citigroup exec. It's the old Jewish network networking. Rubin may soon be the subject of a government investigation looking into charges that he enriched himself while in his Treasury job.

Two homos sneaked up on 13-year-old Jesse Dirkhising in Rogers (AR). One of them, **Davis Carpenter**, watched while his lover, **Joshua Brown**, 22, tied the kid up and repeatedly sodomized him. Jesse was handled so roughly that he died of

asphyxiation. We've all heard how Matthew Shephard was "crucified by two homophobes in Wyoming. How many, if any, of us have heard about what Carpenter and Brown did to Jesse?

Rev. Jerry Falwell, who used to have no use for homosexuals, is beginning to whistle a different tune. He invited 200 queers to his Lynchburg (VA) church where he promised to lower his rhetoric a few decibels and where he said he will continue to hate the sin, but love the sinner. If Jerry continues in this vein, he may actually become politically—and sexually—correct.

Cornel Cooks, who raped and murdered Jennie Ridling, a disabled 87-year-old white woman back in 1982, finally got what was coming to him 17 years after the Oklahoma Negro committed his abhorrent crime. Before he died he boasted about a letter he had received from members of the **Ridling family**. They forgave him.

Power 25, an influential gay and lesbian lobby group, includes such high and mighty members as media mogul **Barry Diller** of USA Networks, record mogul **David Geffen** and **Andrew Tobias**, currently treasurer of the Democratic National Committee.

Luis Garcia, 47, was arrested in Dallas for attempting to sell his four-year-old daughter and eight-year-old son. He hoped to get enough money to buy drugs.

Florida Judge Stephan Mickle was arrested and charged with DUI for weaving his silver Mercedes from the right to wrong lanes on I-75. Mickle's résumé is full of firsts: first black undergraduate at the University of Florida; first black law professor at the University; head of the first black law firm in Gainesville; first black Alachua County judge.

Air France is being sued by a **Canadian Jewish businessman** who whines that a flight attendant prevented him from saying his morning prayers on a flight to Paris.

Although he is charged with shooting and killing his fiancé, baseball star **Rae Carruth** is out free as a (black)bird on \$3-million bail.

A womanizer and plagiarizer will soon be sitting on an honored four-acre spot in

Washington between the Jefferson and Lincoln Memorials. The person in question is **Martin Luther King Jr.** who, if he hadn't been shot, would have gone down as just another silver-tongued, rabble-rousing Negro race agitator.

Tonica Jenkins, 24, managed to worm her way into an elite doctoral program at Yale by forging documents and inventing endorsements from distinguished professors. If affirmative action doesn't do the trick, forgery will. Tonica—she must be black because of her "different first name"—has been ordered to repay Yale \$16,000 worth of scholarship money. Otherwise she will get off practically scot free.

Victor Botnick, a onetime top-ranking aide to ex-New York Mayor **Ed Koch**, had to resign some years ago when it turned out that, like Tonica, he had lied about his college credits. More recently Botnick has been feuding with TWA for screwing up his reservations on a flight to Israel. To get even, Botnick set off stink bombs in two planes, once forcing an overseas flight to Israel to turn around and land at Boston Airport. Another TWA plane was compelled to wait hours on an airport tarmac while maintenance workers "deodorized" the cabin.

Jane Smith, 42, white divorced mother with a 12-year-old boy, had a 14-year-old black in her North Carolina science class named **Michael Carter**. When Ms. Smith learned that Michael was on dialysis and desperately needed a functioning kidney, she agreed to be cut open. Apparently the operation was successful. Smith, unsurprisingly, is a God-fearing Baptist.

Jennifer Vanlerberghe, a 6'2" blonde from North Carolina, won a contest to go out on a date with 4'7" **Gary Coleman**, the bankrupt black dwarf. Although the twosome made a few small headlines, Vanlerberghe claims she wouldn't want to do it again. There seems to be a limit to what star-struck blondes will do to get publicity.

A bunch of **Armenian Americans** have been charged with stealing \$1 billion from California's Medi-Cal System.

The **National Catholic Reporter** (circulation 50,000) gave its "Jesus of the People" award to artist **Janet McKenzie** (born in Brooklyn, moved to Vermont) for a painting of a dark-skinned Jesus. One of the judges commented, "It's not real masculine. It's not real feminine. It's not really androgynous, either."

Talking Numbers

1.83 21 2 100.013 .03% 43 3/425 2

The Ford Motor Co. has been ordered to cough up \$7.5 million to compensate female workers in two Chicago area plants, who claimed they were the victims of incessant sexual harassment. In addition, Ford must put \$10 million aside to instruct managers and male workers on female sensibilities.

A court has ordered historically black Tennessee State University to see to it that its student body is 50% white.

23% of black American households are on line; 64% of Asian; 36% of Hispanic; 34% of white.

83% of Hispanics, 80% of blacks and 49% of white students failed recent Massachusetts state examinations.

A volume entitled *100 Banned Books* does not include *The Dispossessed Majority*.

Bill Gates's foundation is getting to be a menace to Majority members. The price gouger's latest \$1 billion worth of scholarships, to be paid over a 20-year period to minority members, is expected to result in a 35% increase in black graduate degrees and a 10% increase in black undergraduate degrees. Altogether Bill's treasure trove will provide up to 1,000 grants for minority students each year. As time goes on Gates's eleemosynary activities are beginning to resemble those of the Ford Foundation, which is dedicated to helping everybody in the world but his fellow whites.

President Clinton has logged more days abroad during his presidency than any other U.S. president. In 1982, the year of President Reagan's most extensive travels, he spent 22 days abroad. In 1998, Clinton's most extensive *Wanderjahre*, he spent nearly 45 days abroad.

5 lawyers in Texas will split a \$3.3 billion fee for negotiating a \$17.9-billion settlement with tobacco companies.

Dade County, which includes Miami, has enacted a law which makes a "discriminatory tipper" liable to fines of up to \$1,000. A black customer claimed a Thai restaurant had added 15% to his check but not to the checks of white customers. The restaurant owner admitted tips have occasionally been added because Negroes "don't tip good." The eatery was

also ordered to spend \$15,000 on sensitivity training for its help.

A New York Post poll taken to determine the 25 most evil people of the millennium, put Adolf not unexpectedly in first place, Bill Clinton was second. Hillary came in sixth. Jack the Ripper was 25th.

The 1998 U.S. defense budget added up to more than \$260 billion, which represents nearly \$850 per capita. Israel spends much more per Israeli (around \$1,500), but a great deal of the money comes from the United States. South Korea has the most troops, nearly 6 million. China comes next with 5 million.

The U.S. gross domestic product has increased from \$1.9 trillion in 1950 to \$8.1 trillion in 1997. From 1947 to 1973 private average hourly earnings increased 75% (to \$8.55). Since then they have fallen to \$7.75, a decrease of 9.4%.

The Los Angeles school district, the nation's second largest, continues to advance failing students. If "social promotions" were ended, 350,000 students would have to be held back a grade next year.

Clinton's inner circle (26 key advisers over 7 years) has been 100% white. His cabinet (28 appointees over 7 years) has been 61% white, 25% black and 1.4% Hispanic. Of the 670 positions in Clinton's executive office, whites composed 79.7%; blacks 11.6%; Asians 4%; Hispanics 3.9%; Native Americans 0.8%. It's an educated guess that well over 50% of the whites whispering in Clinton's ear are Jews.

Only 1 in 10 of the women murdered in the U.S. is murdered by strangers. The most murderous state for females is Louisiana, which has a kill rate of 3.94 per 100,000.

Foehrundwald in Bavaria, the last of 90 Jewish camps, finally closed in 1957, 12 years after Hitler's suicide.

40,000 prison inmates have been illegally receiving welfare and Social Security checks.

Ronald Perelman, the billionaire Jewish Lothario, will only have to pay \$12,885 a month to ex-wife Patricia Duff for child support, which is \$885 a month more

than she has been getting. The grasping shiksa wanted \$100,000 a month for her and Perelman's 5-year-old daughter. She claims she didn't want a penny for herself.

By the latest count 41% of American females, aged 15 to 29, were unmarried when they had their first child. 60 years earlier it was 8%. In the 1990s the figure jumped from 18% to nearly 53%. For black women the percentage of first births, either born or conceived before marriage, doubled from 43% in the 1930s to 86% in the 1990s.

4 million Hispanics live in the Los Angeles area. 1.1 million hang out in Dade County (FL).

Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.'s "I have a dream" oration was rated as the top American speech of all time by 137 scholars. 27 of the top speeches were mouthed in the 1960s. Kennedy, Roosevelt and Reagan were credited with 6 stem-winders each.

Only 10% of the 4th, 8th and 12th grade students who scored highest on the National Assessment of Educational Progress test in reading, math and science were minority members. In 1995 minorities earned only 13% of the nation's bachelor's degrees, 11% of professional degrees and 6% of doctoral degrees.

Nelson Mandela made a brief visit to Seattle in December and walked away with \$30 million for health care, education and political reform in South Africa. Gates's foundation picked up half the freight. Will there ever be a foundation dedicated to helping Majority members and only Majority members?

1 out of every 3 people with the AIDS virus in the U.S. says he or she has neither the time nor the money for treatment.

At the beginning of last year some 524,000 military, civilian and contract workers had "top secret" security clearances. Another 1.8 million had "secret" clearances.

1.5% of the 800 million web pages are pornographic.

29 members of the 106th Congress have been accused of spousal abuse, 7 have been arrested for fraud, 19 have been accused of writing bad checks, 14 have been arrested on drug charges, 8 for shoplifting. (National Assn. for the Advancement of White People newsletter)

Larry King, the ex-swindler, with all his wives and all his TV programs, hardly has time to read anything more than newspapers. Yet he acts as if he is *au courant* with all the bestsellers. He asserts that lawyer Scott Turow's new novel, *Personal Inquiries*, "is as good as it gets for those interested in the machinations of the legal field." Elie Wiesel's latest self-serving memoirs make Larry quiver. "The Nobel Prize winner is one of our great thinkers and writers, and his book is a gem." Is this valid literary criticism or some form of literary networking, considering all the above are Chosenites?

King recently conducted a TV interview with "Judge Judy" (Sheindlin). In the middle of the discussion on the ongoing spread of Yiddish (a debased form of German), Judge Judy suddenly vented the word *emes*. King didn't bat an eyelash. He knew exactly what his guest was talking about. *Emes* is Yiddish for truth. Not many Jews know this, but more Yiddishisms are emerging every day. Our language may soon be peppered with them.

Non-Jews are too fearful to crack down on Jewish talking heads. Only Jews have the guts and "protective coloration" to criticize the perpetrators of this electronic chit-chat. One of the toughest critics is Ben Stein, who has this to say about his competition:

About Ted Koppel: "A standard knee-jerk liberal Democrat. . . .He's always looking for the politically correct answer."

About Barbara Walters: "The worst. . . .She's never looking for anything real or sincere. She's always looking to confirm the prejudices of her friends and colleagues in the ruling circles of Manhattan."

About Charlie Rose: "A fake, blow-dried intellectual. The word 'schmaltzy' doesn't go anywhere near far enough. He pretends to ask deep questions, but they're about as deep as Barbara Walters."

About Bryant Gumbel: "A nice guy to be sure, but he always thinks there's a racial conspiracy going on behind everything."

Stein agrees with Instauration that the best of the lot is Brian Lamb of C-Span. "He asks questions without trying to get a laugh and elicits responses that tell us something we want to know."

Larry King interviewed mask-faced Joan Rivers (Nov. 24). What a pair! Rivers scathingly denounced Clinton for degrading the presidency, but "would vote for him again, because I'm a whore."

The filthiest-minded TV "star" is Robert Schlimmel, the son of Holocaust survivors. Is there a connection? Anyway, he'll be on the Fox network come next fall. One of his favorite topics is "fake breasts," delivered with the appropriate number of smirks. Another choice topic is what victims of heart attacks should do about getting back to the business of sex. Schlimmel again proves that the People of the Book are the People of the Dirty Books.

From Zip 743. In a PBS special on Africa black activist Harvard Professor Gates interviews a man in Mali standing



next to his slave. Legal, says the slave owner. His slave looks on, beaming. No outrage from the professor. Apparently black-on-black slavery is more acceptable than white-on-black slavery.

From Zip 838. I was watching the *Charlie Rose Show* on TV a few weeks ago. His guest was cigarette-puffing ex-West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt. I perked up when Rose asked him: "It has been reported that the bookseller amazon.com had received many orders for Hitler's *Mein Kampf* from people in Germany, but they have had difficulty shipping the books to the Fatherland. Is it possible to buy the book in German bookstores?" Schmidt paused, took a long drag on his cigarette and said, "Yes, one can get the book" in Germany, "but it might be difficult as there is no real demand for it." Rose did not press the issue, of course. Was Schmidt simply lying through his teeth or was he ignorant of how the "democratic process" works in Germany?

The New Testament states all too clearly that Jews pushed a reluctant Pontius Pilate into ordering the crucifixion of Jesus. Yet when *Saturday Night Live* ran a Hanukkah sketch in which a character impersonating pop star Brinkley Spear says that Christians have forgiven Jews "for having killed our Lord," and another character impersonating Celine Dion, who alluded to Jews owning all the banks and movie studios, the ADL had a conniption fit. NBC had to solemnly swear that the sketch would never reappear on TV. Absolutely no reruns without the offending words being stricken. Ironically the NBC exec doing the swearing was Chosenite Roz Weinman. (It would be nice if the Jews got together and decided how to spell Hanukkah. Sometimes a "C" begins the word; sometimes it doesn't.)

Talk about censorship. Non-Jews are forbidden to believe in their own Gospels. What more example is needed to show that members of the 2% are in charge of the thinking processes of the 98%? What further proof is required to show that the tube has been permeated with Jewishness, that we have become cultural prisoners of an alien mindset?



Waspishly Yours

Is it any wonder that Mark Twain called it "the damned human race"? What else could it be, so long as it contains Slobodan-killing slobs like Slick Willie Clinton, stealth Jews like Madeleine Albright and lithping Serb-killers like slithering Morty Zuckerman?

I rarely watch TV, except for the talking heads; but now I understand why Elvis shot out his TV. If I could afford a pink Cadillac—and like Elvis was certain of resurrection—I wouldn't worry about being seen on the road to Emmaus. I'd worry more about the media way to Graceland.

If Willie can't even remember WWII, I'm sure he can't remember the war for Southern independence. Has he ever heard of Gettysburg? Does "Southerner" Slick Willie even have a clue what Marse Robert was doing in Pennsylvania, that far north into the Evil Empire?

England was salivating to intervene on the side of the South in the so-called Civil War—for many reasons, including the cheap cotton it needed for its mills in Manchester. And what was wrong with taking a third bite of the apple anyway, after the baby beatings at Brandywine and Bunker Hill, the surrender of the toga at Saratoga and finally locking the Key to the star-spangled colonies by the failed effort at Fort McHenry?

But what England really needed in 1863 was a signal from the South in the form of a signal victory on Northern soil. And so Pickett was picked to picket-fence-in Meade and to strew the meads at Gettysburg with sacrificial meat, the noble sacrifice of a murderous mistake. Thus Pickett's division was a desperate sacrifice on the altar of Realpolitik, Marse Robert's desperate gamble to stand in the lee of England. The Potomac was Lee's Rubicon in reverse.

The real reason the South lost the "Civil War" was that it had already lost control of the media.

So what's our motive for intervening in a civil war now? Is NATO our instrument in the Balkans for the New World Order, i.e., globalism?

After all, we stole California fair and square. When the wetbacks there and in Texas, and the Cubans in Miami, want to secede and reunite with Mexico, and/or form a greater Cuba under the banner of brownskinned baseball, will we fight or willingly relinquish control of our Kossovos, after the "global community" gangs up on us?

I'm silenced into nausea by all the spinning lies coming out of the White House about our slaughter of the Serbs. Senator McCain sneered, "This is an army that was beaten by Croatia."

Does anybody doubt that conquering Rome was culturally inferior to Greece? Does anybody doubt that conquering Rome was superior to Israel? Was Attila superior to St. Augustine? Does anybody doubt that the losing Japa-

nese had a warrior code superior to any other?

Since the Nazis overran France in six weeks, what does that say for gay Paree?

Does anybody really believe that the American colonies were culturally superior to Hume, Newton and the philosopher who unLocked the constitution of the U.S.?

Come to think of it, Senator McCain, who shot you down? If the Serbians are to be sneered at for being beaten by the Croatians, what does it say for the superpower humiliated by the pajama-clad Vietnamese?

Didn't the Serbs take two million casualties and tie down 15 Axis divisions in Yugoslavia in WWII? And didn't many German G.I.'s prefer to fight in Russia rather than in Serbia, because of the savage tenacity of the Serbian soldiers? And wasn't it Rebecca West who said of the Serbs, "They live without complaint and die without regret"?

Why was it wrong for England to intervene in our "Civil War," if it's okay for us to intervene in Serbia's?

I know Slick Willie would prefer to muck around with Monica rather than think about Antietam. I know he dodged the draft so he'd prefer to forget Vietnam. But shouldn't a president who can't remember George Pickett at least be able to remember Masada, especially with Albright, Cohen, Berger, Rubin, Ross, Indyk, Greenspan and Monica herself all around to remind him?

I need another rabies shot every time I hear a talking head on TV lamenting that the Serbians can't "get over" their defeat on the "field of blackbirds" by the Turks in 1389. They've been fighting one another for centuries in the Balkans—over 600 years! Isn't it time to "get over it" and get on with it?

Among other times, the Jews were conquered in 721 B.C., in 586 B.C., and the Romans wiped them out in A.D. 70. Did they forget? Did the Safires and Zuckermans and drecky Kondrackes forget all the kosherites who now deplore the slaughters in Kossovo, who now diminish the dedication of the Serbs to their holy places, while the Jews cry "Never again!" to the possibility of another loss of Jerusalem? "Never again!" to the possibility of losing even a tiny part of what had always been Arab in Jerusalem.

While the kosherites refuse to relinquish a hectare of the holy land stolen from the Arabs, Chosenites expect—insist—that the Serbs should relinquish their holy places in Kossovo, indeed should cede the seed of their culture to the Slavic insouciance of "just getting on with it," just like the Jews "just got on with it," after Auschwitz.

Why should the AshkeNazis forget the millions of Christians whom kosherite commissars killed and starved and worked to death in the Gulag? Why do the Chosenites still torture their consciences with the thousands of Pales-



tinians they've killed, and the country they've stolen? Why don't these conscience-stricken Jews just follow their advice to the Serbians and "get over it"? Why don't these kasherites just "get over it" too, and just get on with mastering the American media and get on with their careers in decoding the Cabalistic mysteries of Wall Street and get on with wailing over their watered-down stock in the Wailing Wall?

If the Serbs should "just forget" 1389 in Kossovo and just "get on with it," why don't the English forget 1066 and 100 years of warfare in France? Why don't the French just "forget" Joan of Arc? And why doesn't the South just "get over" Sherman's march to the sea? And why doesn't the North just "get over" all that bull about two lost Bull Runs?

Why don't Americans forget all that bull about Bataan? And who cares about Corregidor? What's the point of cherishing this old stuff? Who remembers the *Maine*? Let's remember the Alamo no mo', no mo'. And do the Chosen still remember the real Chosin reservoir the way they still remember the mythical Masada?

Why don't our Hebrew brethren just forget the Holocaust?

In 1978 and 1982—after a taking a decade to digest what they had already ripped off in 1967—the "light unto the nations" gave us two more repeat performances of their usual style of "ethnic cleansing," including 29,000

casualties caused by their invasion of the Lebanon in 1982, not including the icing on that piece of cake, the 2,000 defenseless Palestinians slaughtered in Sabra and Shatila, following three months of round-the-clock bombing of West Beirut.

Why don't we forget that the Jews are still occupying southern Lebanon? Speaking of Kossovo, why hasn't a single—not one!—talking head alluded critically on TV to the "ethnic cleansings" perpetrated by the Jews.

If Belgrade was bombed because the Serbians attempted to "cleanse" their province of Kossovo, why don't we bomb Tel Aviv for having evicted 1.5 million Palestinians from their land and/or homes? And, since we forced Milosevic to allow the Albanians to return to Kossovo, why don't we force Tel Aviv to allow the evicted Palestinians to return to their stolen lands and homes? And since we're allowing the Jews to extort money from Swiss banks, et al., why don't we force the "light unto the nations" to return the value of what they have stolen?

Why don't we bomb the Jews for their aggression? Why don't pigs fly? Why don't Gentiles dominate the media? Why don't the Jews eat pork? Why is Capitol Hill "occupied territory"?

Why did Mark Twain despair of "the damned human race"? I think I know the answer.

V.S. STINGER

An Honest Jew Looks at "The Big H"

It seems that only a Jew can question the Holocaust. As if to prove the point, Peter Novick has written *The Holocaust in American Life*, in which he argues that the Holocaust has become an obsession in American life through the deliberate manipulation by Zionist organizations. Since Novick rejects the claims of Holocaust deniers, much of his approach must be classified as revisionist. He says, correctly, that during WWII Jews were not perceived as the primary victims of Nazism. This jibes with the thesis of Professor Arthur Butz that the myth of Jewish extermination was basically a postwar invention. Novick also notes that Jews were only a small percentage of those interred in German camps. (This may come as quite a surprise to the average TV-watching American.) Prior to WWII the total number of concentration camp inmates never exceeded 20,000, of whom no more than 10% to 15% were Jews.

Novick stresses a key point—that the amount of attention devoted to the Holocaust

has increased inversely to the number of years past the event. The Holocaust as an historic event hardly appeared in the consciousness of the American public until the Eichmann trial in Israel in 1961. It was really not until Israel's blitzkrieg victories over the Arab armies in 1967 that anyone started reliving the Holocaust. (This author, who grew up in the 1960s, can attest to the truth of these words. No one was worrying about or experiencing guilt for "failure to rescue Jews" in those happy days of the Sexual Revolution.)

Novick's #1 reason for Holocaustomania (Alfred Lilienthal's classic term) may not be completely accurate, but nevertheless deserves to be underlined and capitalized. It is Victimhood, the new American status symbol amplified by Jews who hold "strategic positions in the mass media."

It would be interesting to determine just how deeply Novick delves into the virtually unknown history of Nazi-Zionist

collaboration. Does he discuss the infamous Dr. Adolf Kastner and his sacrifice of the majority of Hungarian Jewry to the alleged Nazi "gas chambers" to save his friends? Does he go into the Kastner affair in Israel and the charges by Malchiel Grunewald? Does he even quote David Ben-Gurion's famous statement that had he to choose between saving half the children of Jewry and sending the other half to Israel, he would choose the survival of the Jewish state first?

Novick appears to be leftist. He decries the "inward and rightward turn of American Jewry in recent decades." He finds the glorification of the Holocaust offensive because of its alleged uniqueness. This is a polite way of saying that the Holocaust is now a form of Jew worship camouflaged as a sort of unique catastrophe.

It also demonstrates that some Jews are now seeing through the never-ending Holocaust hype.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull


The British Empire, as every reasonable person must admit, was a philanthropic institution expanded during periodic fits of English absentmindedness. I realise that this view is not widely held in the United States, but a consideration of the facts may do something to modify ingrained prejudice.

But first let me grasp the nettle or rather the thistle. It must be conceded that the Scots played a large part in the expansion of the British Empire—a part which they are now only too willing to forget. As historian Arnold Toynbee once remarked, the Scots are a kith rather than a kin, a sort of uneasy combination of Pict, Scot, Welsh, Angle and Norseman. Their history reminds one of Japan: eight centuries of internecine warfare before they were finally integrated into the United Kingdom. Many ancestors of modern Protestants came down to London with James VI of Scotland, now James I of England as well. Their enthusiasm for the second British Empire was fired by the English settlement of Virginia. They were very much to the fore in the subsequent expansion of the North American colonies.

The great and good Dr. Johnson, the son of a bookseller from Litchfield in the heart of England, was a stern critic of the Scots. When someone remarked on the natural beauties of Scotland, he observed that the finest view a Scotchman ever sees is the high road leading south. He was less balanced when he described oats in his dictionary as "A grain, which in England is generally given to horses, but in Scotland supports the people." Oats are healthier fare than wheat. When the Scots ate porridge for breakfast, they had a low incidence of heart disease. Now they have a high one.

The Scots, you see, came from a poor country and were therefore willing to put up with poor land on the frontiers, land which the English found relatively uninteresting. The Presbyterians were very much in the front ranks of the American revolutionaries. In fact a Hessian officer remarked (exaggerating somewhat) that it was a Scotch Presbyterian revolution! However, the Highlanders of the Appalachians rallied to the banner of Flora Macdonald who rated the principle of monarchy so important that she switched her allegiance to the Hanoverians. Simon Fraser, discoverer of the Fraser river, was the son of an American Tory. The ethnic pattern was repeated in Canada, Australia, New Zealand and South Africa. The Scots settled some godforsaken territory or other. The English were finally forced to send troops and annex the territory

when they got into trouble. The classic case is that of Gordon at Khartoum, a crackpot if ever there was one. He vainly defied the overwhelming forces of the Mahdi, with the consequence that the English had to send gunboats up the Nile to massacre the fuzzy-wuzzies thirteen years later. The Scots have great achievements to their credit, in science, poetry and war, but their best friends, the English, would have to admit that they are a wee bit aggressive.



The attitude of the present-day Scots towards their English neighbours reminds me somewhat of Norwegian attitudes towards their erstwhile overlords, the Danes, except that the Scots were so often the overlords of the English. The official Norwegian line now is that their countrymen were always peaceful farmers, fishermen and perhaps merchants, but never Vikings like the wicked Danes. This is such an awful fib that it induces gasps. Think of Rolf the Ganger, the founder of Norse hegemony in Normandy, or Harold Hardrada, captain of the Varangian guard in Constantinople and claimant to the crown of England, or Aud the Deepminded, Viking Queen of Ireland. The Norwegians were not just Vikings. They comprised many of the Viking leaders. The fact is, the Norwegians have a chip on their shoulders where the Danes are concerned, because the latter tell funny stories about them. I regard this as fair. If we can't make fun of our neighbours, what is the use of having them?

I have strayed somewhat from my justification of the British Empire. Don't think for a moment that I am trying to blame it all on the Scots. Not at all. I was just trying to set the record straight. Let me finish with a memory I have of Edinburgh University many years ago. One Edinburgh professor, a fine-looking man, was speaking to a Central European lady, who breathlessly remarked: "I suppose the Scots must be the finest men in Britain." I expected him to pass it off with a light laugh. Not at all. He replied in his distinctive Edinburgh burr: "No, Madam, the finest man in Britain is the London cockney: brave, kind, humorous and quick-witted." You will understand why I felt demeaned. I remarked, "A pity we had to sell him down the river." The Scot said, "That is what I implied." That kind of generosity is only found among men who have no doubts about their own courage and cleverness. That Scot was the officer type if ever I saw it.

But I digress. The Scots are such a fascinating subject. I really must get back to the British Empire another time.



Canada. The Wealthy Boomer, a business publication, has hired Julius Melnitzer to write a column about tax shelters. The magazine's editor didn't know or didn't care to know that Melnitzer is one of the biggest scammers in the history of Canadian scamdom. Back in 1991 he bilked Canadians out of \$58 million. A judge ordered him to repay \$20 million to his victims but as far as can be ascertained not a single penny has changed hands. Melnitzer spent a few years in a country club jail where he played tennis and was even allowed to bring his horse.

England. Muslims in Britain are protesting loudly a play by the queer American playwright, Terrence O'Grady, that portrays Jesus as a homo.

Blonds and blondes are in shorter and shorter supply, according to Steve Jones, professor of genetics at University College in London. Travel, lower birthrates, wars, cross-racial marriages and affairs are doing the ethnic cleansing. Sad, very sad.

Writes Kathy Phillips in *The Vogue Book of Blondes*, a British book shortly to be published in the States:

Imagine a world without blondes. Natural or fake, cool or hot, airhead or icon, saint or sinner: whichever of these two blonde stereotypes prevailed, both ultimately involve the saying that blondes have more fun. . . . But the blonde has sadly been devalued from goddess to good-time girl. We now feel blondes are easier to bed, which is a huge comedown from [Boticelli's] Venus rising out of the waves.

We'll only discover the crucial importance of blondes when Western civilization goes down the drain, as it seems to be doing. We must remember that blondism consists of more than fair hair, light eyes and a long head. There is internal temperament that makes it possible to create civilizations and keeps pushing people one step higher on the evolutionary ladder.

When the blonde(s) go, high civilization goes, as is happening all around us today. The race's only hope is that some geneticist will come along and develop a packet of blonde genes that can be injected in fetuses. Another thin possibility is that some European country which still

has a sizable amount of Nordic genes will develop a program to preserve instead of destroy them.

Germany. From a subscriber. Large numbers of American troops are still stationed on German soil more than 50 years after the end of WWII and ten years after the fall of the Wall. Only the Americans and British seem unable to find it in their vengeful hearts to conclude a treaty of peace with their former enemy. Not a single reporter who covered the anniversary celebration of the Wall's crumbling thought or dared to point out the obvious—that because the Soviet Union no longer exists, justification for the continued *Wacht am Rhein* has disappeared.

What motivates the certain members of the North Atlantic alliance to remain so many years in the heart of Europe? Could it be anti-German racism? Could it be America's historical inclination to imperialism? Could it be the baleful influence of Wall Street? Perhaps all the above, plus the fact that nearly every member of America's foreign policy establishment, not to mention its military, has at one time or another enjoyed some happy years in *Mitteleuropa* and have no wish to part with the victors' perks, particularly when life back home is becoming racially onerous. Perma-occupation of a white foreign nation, however, is by no means the answer to America's own social problems.

Sweden. Swedish women occupy 42.7% of the country's parliament. Other countries that have high percentages of females in legislatures are Denmark, Finland, Norway and Holland. Does this have anything to do with racial differences? Or racial political proclivities? The most paternal countries where there is no such thing as female legislators, are in Black Africa.

Italy. At an interfaith gathering held in the Basilica of St. Francis of Assisi, Catholic clergy openly expressed their concern over the increasing presence of Islam in Europe. Archbishop Giuseppe Bernardini said that he even suspects a concerted program, backed by petrodollars, is behind the building of mosques and cultural centers across Europe. He warned of a campaign of Muslim "reconquest."

A French cleric, Alain Besancon, told the bishops that the number of Muslims in his country was between 4 and 5 mil-

lion or about the number of practicing Catholics in France. He added that Christians in the burgeoning Islamic suburbs of Paris feel "menaced" in their own country.

Meanwhile the Vatican itself is criticizing Israel for granting Arabs the right to build a mosque next to a church in Nazareth. At the conclusion of the gathering, however, the Catholic clergy did not reproach Islam, but blamed the Catholic Church itself for the decline in Christianity.

Vatican. Popes have canonized 583 saints since the 16th century when the Church first started the practice. Two hundred ninety-six of these saints have been created by the present Pope, John Paul II.

Austria. James Rubin, one of the hive of Jews that buzz around the Clinton administration, has instructed the Austrian government what to say about the runner-up winner of the recent elections: "In our discussions with Mr. Haider, we have emphasized our strong opposition to any statements or policies which could be interpreted as sympathetic to the Nazi regime." In other words, Big Brother is casting a larger and larger shadow over Europe, particularly central Europe. The question is, why should Rubin have the chutzpah to instruct an extremely popular Austrian politician on foreign policy? This is the Monroe Doctrine in reverse.

Czechoslovakia. Gypsies, a favorite population group of the liberals, are as unwelcome in Europe today as they were when they first traipsed west from India. To protect his towns against the filth, noise, garbage and crime generated by Gypsies living there, the mayor of a Czech town had a 6-foot-high fence erected to separate the Gypsies from the Europeans. Local Czechs look upon the fence as a symbol of law and order. The Gypsies and their Western supporters call it the makings of a concentration camp. Most liberals everywhere (except those who live near the area) want the fence torn down, as do transnational organizations like the European Community.

Russia. From a subscriber. The real winner of the recent Russian elections was Boris Abramovich Berezovsky, the oligarch with banking connections and shady dealings in Switzerland, New York, Israel and other financial centers. As adviser, godfather and tipster to the Yeltsin family, he has kept power in the family's hands by supporting the rise of Vladimir Putin, a stale leftover from the KGB. The



grateful Putin has in turn granted an official pardon to the Yeltsins and their closest associates, thereby freeing them of any future unpleasantness. By buying a seat in the Duma, Berezovsky himself has now gained immunity against criminal charges from any quarter. With his billions of ill-gotten dollars, the Jewish Berezovsky is a candidate to become an honored member of the New World Order and the international Jewish Mafia. Through control of the mass media and the money supply, Russian Jews are very much in charge in Russia.

Alexander Lebed, once viewed as a potential leader to restore the crippled Russian state, seems to have been excluded from federal power by the oligarchs. Lebed was no friend of the Yeltsin family.

Without hard currency backing and media support, which Russian Jews and their kinsmen abroad control, native Russians have become supplicants to aliens in their own country. Lebed was so indiscreet as to suggest in an interview in *Le Figaro*, the influential French newspaper, that it was quite possible that both the bombings of Chechnya and the blastings of apartment buildings in Moscow and other Russian cities could have been part of a covert government attempt to destabilize the country and influence, or even cancel, the upcoming presidential elections. Both events have certainly worked to strengthen Putin's chances.

Lebed's contempt for the recent elections to the Duma and the political machinations preceding them has been expressed openly and often. In mid-August he was reported to have said, "I am not getting involved in that cockroach race." In September, *Itar-Tass* reported that Lebed, who chairs the People's Republican Party and the Honor and Motherland Movement, recommended that his political supporters not participate in the Duma elections. Lebed:

It would be shameful to run in such a farce. The self same people, of whom everyone is sick and tired and who for ten years have known what has to be done, but do not do it, will run again in the elections.

Not by coincidence, on November 17, the *Moskovski Komsomolets* newspaper reported that the Krasnoyarsk District Court will now reconsider a case against Lebed for alleged violation of campaign spending regulations in his successful bid

a year ago for governor of a territory. Since the newspaper is close to Moscow Mayor Yuri Luzhkov, another political enemy of the embattled governor, it must be assumed that Lebed is being pressured to play ball with the rest of the gang.

Israel. "Gallant Little Israel," considered by some highly placed elements, to be America's best strategic ally in the Near East, is now reported to be supplying satellite broadcasting services to Yugoslavia despite the U.S./UN imposed embargo. David Pollack, director of Spacecom, the company that operates Israel's Amos 1 satellite, said that he was deceived by his Yugoslav clients.

Jews like to claim that U.S. interests and Israel's coincide and that we should not be upset that a traitor like Jonathon Pollard gave top-secret information to a "friendly" country. What isn't mentioned is that Israel is not averse to selling sensitive information and military equipment to U.S. adversaries. The *N.Y. Times* reported in a front-page article (Nov. 11, 1999) that Israel was supplying China with advanced radar systems that could be used to facilitate an attack on Taiwan. When the Pentagon requested that Israel stop this merchant of death traffic, no one should be surprised that our "ally" refused. In recent years Israel has secretly sold billions of dollars of military equipment to China.


Nigeria. Richard Blythstone of CNN covered a New Year's Eve bash in Lagos

as part of the network's around-the-world reportage. In a crowd of several hundred there were no women. Funny how the likes of German Chancellor Schröder, and American feminists Bella Abzug and Gloria Steinem are absent where they are most needed, where the European concept of chivalry is as dead as the dodo. Blythstone reported that the crowd threw burning fireworks at the band while the police used pepper spray to calm and subdue the participants. At one point Blythstone could hardly speak as he coughed and choked from the tear gas.

South Africa. Former Communist terrorist Nelson Mandela, now the world-class elder statesman of the New World Order, has taken his first step to secular sainthood. He has been made a member of the Most Venerable Order of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem. The order is awarded to those who supposedly alleviate the suffering of all mankind and encourage its spiritual growth. Her Royal Highness Queen Elizabeth is its Sovereign Head. The Grand Prior is always a member of the Royal Family.

Since the transfer of power away from the whites in South Africa to Mandela and his cohorts, the country has become a cesspool of crime and corruption.

Argentina. An Argentine newspaper has published an interview with the 92-year-old widow of the late Oscar Schindler, who may be a hero to Spielberg, but a villain to his wife. Emilie Schindler claims he abandoned her, left her penniless and went off with another woman. At present Mrs. Schindler exists on a monthly stipend furnished by B'nai B'rith and a grant from the government of Argentina.



Negro "intellectuals" keep telling the world that Ancient Egypt was a black country. Take a good look at Kai Seated, a Fifth Dynasty (2465-2323 B.C.) bigshot. Is he black?

Register today for the Fourth American Renaissance Conference

March 31 - April 2, 2000, Reston, Virginia (near Washington, D.C.)

The West in the 21st Century

Conference Schedule:

The conference will begin on Friday, March 31st, with registration from 5:00 to 6:00 p.m. The *American Renaissance* staff will give opening remarks followed by a reception. There will be latecomer registration at 8:30 a.m. on Saturday, April 1st, and the program will begin at 9:00 a.m. There will be a banquet (separate charge of \$29) on Saturday evening. Participants with alternate dinner plans are welcome after the meal to hear the speaker. The program will resume at 9:00 a.m. on Sunday the 2nd and end at noon. Gentlemen will wear jackets and ties.

Accommodations and Transportation:

The conference will be at the three-star Sheraton Reston Hotel near Dulles Airport outside Washington, D.C. Please make your own reservations for the nights of March 31st and April 1st by calling 800-392-7666. Be sure to ask for the special "American Renaissance" rate of \$75 a night. **There is no extra charge for double occupancy**, so a spouse or friend can stay free. The hotel offers shuttle service from Dulles Airport. You may call from the courtesy telephone at the baggage claim. There is free parking for those who are driving. Please call the reservations number, 800-392-7666, for directions. **Discounted air tickets** are available from Up and Away Travel at 800-373-5261. Just ask for the "American Renaissance" discount.

Speakers will include:

Bruno Gollnisch — "The Nationalist Movement in France." Dr. Gollnisch is the second-ranking officer of the French National Front. He is Jean-Marie Le Pen's right-hand man and is in charge of ideology, publicity, and political strategy.

J. Philippe Rushton — "Latest Research on Race." Prof. Rushton is the world's leading theorist on the nature and significance of racial differences. He teaches psychology at the University of Western Ontario. His most recent book is *Race, Evolution and Behavior*.

Samuel Francis — "Race and the American Right." Dr. Francis is a syndicated columnist, whose latest book is *Revolution From the Middle*. Dr. Francis was fired as staff columnist at the Washington Times for remarks made at an *American Renaissance* conference in 1994.

Jared Taylor — "Prospects for the New Century." Mr. Taylor is editor of *American Renaissance*. He is the author of *Paved With Good Intentions* and editor of a collection of essays on race called *The Real American Dilemma*.

Roger McGrath — "The Reconquista of California." Prof. McGrath taught history at UCLA for 15 years and is now at California State University, Channel Islands. He is the author of *Gunfighters, Highwaymen, and Vigilantes*.

Frank Ellis — "The McPherson Report: Racial Hysteria in Britain." Dr. Ellis is professor of Russian and Slavonic Studies at the University of Leeds in England.

Robert Weissberg — "The Relationship Between Blacks and Jews." Prof. Weissberg teaches political science at the University of Illinois. He is author of *Political Tolerance and The Politics of Empowerment*.

Richard Lynn — "Race: European Developments." Prof. Lynn is director of the Ulster Institute for Social Research and is author of *Dysgenics: Genetic Deterioration in Modern Populations*.

Others who will address the conference include **Gordon Baum**, CEO of the Council of Conservative Citizens; **Frank Borzellieri**, New York City school board member and author of *The Unspoken Truth*; and Atlanta attorney **Sam Dickson**.

Registration Fee:

\$100. Please send payment by March 20th.



Participant(s): _____ Telephone: (____) _____

Address: _____

Please register _____ participant(s) @ \$100 each \$ _____

Please reserve _____ place(s) for the banquet @ \$29 each \$ _____

Please make check payable to *American Renaissance*, P.O. Box 527, Oakton, VA 22124 Total: \$ _____

I (we) will _____ will not _____ stay at the Sheraton.

Please call *American Renaissance* at (703) 716-0900 if you have questions.